

Relationship between in vitro susceptibility of bovine subclinical mastitis isolates and bacteriological outcome of intramammary treatment with cefquinome

Kasravi, R.^{1*}; Bolourchi, M.¹; Farzaneh, N.²; Seifi, H.A.²; Barin, A.¹; Hovareshti, P.¹ and Gharagozlou, F.¹

¹Department of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran. ²Department of Clinical Sciences, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran.

Key Words:

Subclinical mastitis; antimicrobial; susceptibility; cefquinome.

Correspondence

Kasravi, R.,
Department of Clinical Sciences,
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine,
University of Tehran, P.O. Box: 14155-
6453, Tehran, Iran.
Tel: +98(912)1957396
Fax: +98(21)22313075
Email: kasravar@yahoo.com

Received 28 October 2009,

Accepted 28 February 2010

Abstract

The objective of the present study was to determine whether there was an association between ~~time~~ ^{in vitro} antimicrobial susceptibility test results of subclinical mastitis pathogens and bacteriological outcomes of intramammary treatments using cefquinome. A total of 110 intramammary pathogens from 51 cows were assessed in this study. Most intramammary infections were due to coagulase-negative staphylococci, environmental streptococci, and coliforms. The antimicrobial susceptibility to cefquinome was determined using the Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method. Bacteriological cure rates for the sensitive, intermediate, and resistant isolates in the standard treatment group (three intramammary infusions of 75 mg cefquinome at 16 h intervals) were 82.4%, 90%, and 87.5%, respectively. These figures in the extended treatment group (six intramammary infusions of 75 mg cefquinome at 16 h intervals) were 83.3%, 100%, and 100%, respectively. Treatment outcomes were not associated with the results of ~~sensitivity~~ ^{in vitro} tests in the standard group. However, in the extended group, the probability of a bacteriological cure was lower in quarters from which cefquinome-sensitive pathogens were isolated than the quarters from which intermediate or resistant pathogens were isolated. Based on this study, the Kirby-Bauer susceptibility test result is a poor predictor for the bacteriological cure of subclinical mastitis treated with intramammary cefquinome.

Introduction

Antibacterial therapy is an important part of every results of subclinical mastitis bacterial pathogens and mastitis control program in dairy cattle (Erske ~~et al.~~ ^{et al.}, bacteriological cure rates of intramammary (IMM) 2003). In vitro antimicrobial susceptibility tests of treatment with the use of cefquinome.

clinical or subclinical mastitis pathogens are frequently used by bovine practitioners to guide treatment decisions at the level of both the cow and herd.

However, for certain antimicrobial agents, previous studies failed to demonstrate statistically significant correlations between the results of susceptibility testing and treatment outcomes for clinical and/or subclinical mastitis (Owens ~~et al.~~ ^{et al.}, 1997; Cattell ~~et al.~~ ^{et al.}, 2001; thrice daily. The herd had a relatively low prevalence of Staphylococcus aureus and Mycoplasma bovis (Constable and Morin, 2002; Hoe and Ruegg, 2005; Apparao ~~et al.~~ ^{et al.}, 2009 a & b). Cefquinome is a fourth-generation cephalosporin which has been developed solely for veterinary use. It has shown excellent in vitro and bulk tank milk cultures and serological tests. and in vivo activity against a variety of animal Mastitis pathogens were obtained from a pathogenic Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria randomized controlled clinical trial that evaluated the (Limbert ~~et al.~~ ^{et al.}, 1991; Chiret ~~et al.~~ ^{et al.}, 1992; Murphy ~~et al.~~ ^{et al.}, efficacy of standard and extended cefquinome 1994; Bottne ~~et al.~~ ^{et al.}, 1995). The objective of the present intramammary therapy for the treatment of persistent

study was to determine whether there was an association between ~~than~~ ^{in vitro} antimicrobial susceptibility test

results of subclinical mastitis bacterial pathogens and bacteriological cure rates of intramammary (IMM) 2003). In vitro antimicrobial susceptibility tests of treatment with the use of cefquinome.

Materials and Methods

The study was conducted in the summer of 2007 in a closed, commercial, large Holstein dairy farm in the Tehran province of Iran, with an average of 1,100 lactating dairy cows. All lactating cows were milked twice daily. The herd had a relatively low prevalence of Staphylococcus aureus and Mycoplasma bovis (Constable and Morin, 2002; Hoe and Ruegg, 2005; Apparao ~~et al.~~ ^{et al.}, 2009 a & b). Cefquinome is a fourth-generation cephalosporin which has been developed solely for veterinary use. It has shown excellent in vitro and bulk tank milk cultures and serological tests.

Mastitis pathogens were obtained from a pathogenic Gram-positive and Gram-negative bacteria randomized controlled clinical trial that evaluated the (Limbert ~~et al.~~ ^{et al.}, 1991; Chiret ~~et al.~~ ^{et al.}, 1992; Murphy ~~et al.~~ ^{et al.}, efficacy of standard and extended cefquinome 1994; Bottne ~~et al.~~ ^{et al.}, 1995). The objective of the present intramammary therapy for the treatment of persistent

subclinical mastitis (lasting at least 1 mo) in lactating dairy cows at various stages of lactation (Kasali et al., 2011). Fifty-one dairy cows with 110 infected quarters were considered to be significant.

quarters were enrolled in the study based on composite milk Somatic Cell Count (SCC \geq 150,000 /ml at the Results last test-day record, positive California Mastitis Test

(CMT) scores (scores T, 1, 2, and 3) at the time of first pre-treatment sampling, quarter milk S \geq 200,000/mL and isolation of the same mastitis pathogen in the two samples obtained 7 d apart. CMT was used as a screening test to prevent quarters with low scores from entering the experiment before knowing the results. Sampling and microbiological procedures were conducted in accordance with standards of the National Mastitis Council (NMC) (Oliver et al., 2004). Most intramammary infections were due to Coagulase-Negative Staphylococci (CNS) (47.70%; 52/109), environmental Streptococci (18.35%; 20/109), and coliforms (15.60%; 17/109). One out of 110 quarters was excluded from this experiment because the susceptibility data were not available for the pathogens isolated from that quarter. The distribution of pathogens that caused intramammary infections across the treatment groups is presented in Table 1. The overall bacteriological cure

Infected cows (not quarters) were grouped by rate for all intramammary infections was 84.61% parity and days in milk and randomly allocated into (44/52) and 91.37% (53/58) for the standard and the treatment groups. The infected quarters of cows were extended regimen groups, respectively. A spontaneous enrolled in the study were treated by IMMUSION of cure rate of 20% was achieved in the negative untreated 75 mg of cefquinome (Cefquinome sulphate; Cobactan control group (further details concerning the untreated LC, Intervet International, Holland) three times at 16 h control group are not mentioned due to their intervals according to the recommendations of the manufacturer for herds that are milked three times a day (standard regimen group: 25 infected cows, 52 day (standard regimen group: 25 infected cows, 52 testing for different pathogen groups are presented in Intra Mammary Infection (IMI)), or six times at 16 h Table 2. The bacteriological cure rates for sensitive, intervals (extended regimen group: 26 infected cows, intermediate and resistant isolates in the standard 58 IMI). A negative untreated control group was also treatment group were 82.4%, 90%, and 87.5%, included (22 cows, 40 IMI). respectively. These figures in the extended treatment

Mueller-Hinton medium (Merck, Darmstadt, group were 83.3%, 100%, and 100%, respectively. Germany) was used for the sensitivity testing of Gram-negative bacteria and *Staphylococci* spp., and Mueller-Hinton medium supplemented with 5% defibrinated sheep blood was used for sensitivity testing of *Streptococci* and *Corynebacteria* spp. The mastitis quarters from which cefquinome-sensitive pathogens were isolated were evaluated for antimicrobial sensitivity via the Kirby-Bauer disc diffusion method. The procedure was performed in accordance to CLSI guidelines (4.1; P-value = 0.04; Table 3).

(Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute, 2007). Disks impregnated with 10 µg cefquinome sulphate were used to determine the susceptibility pattern

(Cefquinome disk; Oxoid, Basingstoke, Hampshire, England). The isolates were categorized as sensitive (zone diameter >20 mm), intermediate (zone diameter 16-20 mm) or resistant (zone diameter <16 mm) (Sader and Jones, 1993). In the present study, treatment outcomes were not associated with the sensitivity test results in the standard group. In previous studies on clinical and/or subclinical mastitis failed to

Mammary quarter foremilk samples were demonstrate statistically significant correlations collected for microbiological evaluation at 14 and 28 d between the results of susceptibility testing and after the last treatment. Bacteriological cure was treatment outcomes for IMM pirlimycin (Cattell et al., 2001; Hoe and Ruegg, 2005; Apparaet al., 2009a), defined as a treated infected mammary quarter that was bacteriologically negative for the presence of IMM penicillin-novobiocin (Owen et al., 1997), IMM previously identified bacteria at 14 and 28 d after the cephalosporin (Apparaet al., 2009b), and systemic last treatments. The relationship between the results of oxytetracycline or systemic oxytetracycline plus IMM in vitro sensitivity test and bacteriological cure was cephalosporin (Constable and Morin, 2002). However, examined using Mantel-Haenszel Chi-Square statistics with ≤ 58 infected quarters per group to power of the

Table 1: Distribution of pathogens causing subclinical intramammary infections across the treatment groups.

Pathogen	Treatment groups*		Total
	Standard	Extended	
CNS ^a	27	26	53
<i>C. bovis</i> ^b	1	0	1
Environmental streptococci ^c	13	7	20
Coliforms ^d	7	10	17
<i>S. aureus</i> ^e	3	7	10
Others	1	8	9
Total	52	58	110

*Details concerning the untreated control group are not presented due to their irrelevance to the subject and aim of this paper.

^aCNS: Coagulase-negative staphylococci

^b*C. bovis*: *Corynebacterium bovis*

^cIncludes *Streptococcus dysgalactiae* subsp. *dysgalactiae* (predominant spp.) and *Streptococcus equinus*.

^dIncludes *E. coli* (predominant spp.), *Enterobacter aerogenes*, and *Klebsiella pneumoniae*.

^e*S. aureus*: *Staphylococcus aureus*.

Table 2: Qualitative results of in vitro antibiotic susceptibility testing for different pathogen groups.

Pathogen	Proportion of isolates in different susceptibility categories		
	Sensitive	Intermediate	Resistant
CNS	59.61% (31/52)	17.30% (9/52)	23.08% (12/52)
<i>C. bovis</i>	100% (1/1)	-	-
Environmental streptococci	50% (10/20)	30% (6/20)	20% (4/20)
Coliforms	58.82% (10/17)	11.76% (2/17)	29.41% (5/17)
<i>S. aureus</i>	100% (10/10)	-	-
Others	22.22% (2/9)	44.44% (4/9)	33.33% (3/9)

Table 3: The correlation of bacteriological test outcomes with the results of antibiotic susceptibility testing.

Cefquinome treatment group	Susceptibility category	Cure	Failure	P-value (one-sided)
Standard	Sensitive	82.4% (28/34)	17.6% (6/34)	0.61
	Intermediate	90% (9/10)	10% (1/10)	
	Resistant	87.5% (7/8)	12.5% (1/8)	
Extended	Sensitive	83.3% (25/30)	16.7% (5/30)	0.04
	Intermediate	100% (11/11)	0	
	Resistant	100% (16/16)	0	

present study to detect significant differences between treatment outcomes and results of sensitivity testing of cefquinome, the influence of individual cows has 80% (• or type II error =0.20) has also been a limitation in the majority of the above-mentioned studies.

Contrary to the results of the study reported here, some studies have demonstrated a significant positive correlation between the antimicrobial susceptibility testing and treatment outcomes for mild Gram-positive clinical mastitis treated with IMM cephalosporins (Constable and Morin, 2002), and coliform mastitis treated with systemic trimethoprim-sulfonamide with or without non-steroidal anti-inflammatory agents (Shpigiel et al., 1998). An apparent, but not statistically proven, association between the results of antimicrobial susceptibility tests and therapeutic outcomes were also found for short-acting *S. aureus*, CNS, and streptococcal mastitis treated with IMM penicillin-novobiocin (Owen et al., 1997), as well as for environmental streptococcal mastitis treated with IMM pirlimycin (Cattell et al., 2001). In the present study, in the extended group, the probability of bacteriological cure was lower in quarters from which cefquinome-sensitive pathogens were isolated than the quarters from which intermediate or resistant pathogens were isolated. The reason for this finding is unidentified but could be due to the small sample size in the intermediate and resistant categories. The in vitro sensitivity despite in vivo resistance in the present study could be attributed to several factors: (1) the lack of in vitro susceptibility breakpoint data specific for mastitis in cows. With the exception of pirlimycin, ceftiofur, and penicillin-novobiocin combination, most breakpoints used to categorize mastitis pathogens as sensitive or resistant are derived from data on human pathogens and based on the pharmacokinetics of drugs in humans (Apparao et al., 2009a, Constable et al., 2003). Therefore, zone diameters in the Kirby-Bauer test have not been related to antimicrobial concentrations achieved in the bovine mammary tissue for most antimicrobials (Constable et al., 2003). Several previous studies have failed to detect an association between the results of susceptibility testing and treatment outcome with regards to the use of pirlimycin for which validated breakpoints for bovine mastitis are available (Cattell et al., 2001; Hoe and Ruegg, 2005; Apparao et al., 2009a); (2) milk components in the udder (casein, calcium, lipids, and indigenous antibacterial agents) could potentially decrease the activity of many antimicrobials (Fahg et al., 1996); (3) pharmacodynamic data concerning the IMM administration of antimicrobials in mastitic cows are limited (Constable et al., 2003); (4) antimicrobials could have detrimental effects on mammary defense mechanisms (Constable et al., 2003); (5) milking frequency (three times daily versus twice daily) may influence the concentration of antimicrobials at the site of infection in the mammary tissue, although in the case of cefquinome, the influence of individual cows has been more pronounced than that of milking frequency (Knappstein et al., 2003); and (6) mastitis pathogens in the test media multiply rapidly and are sensitive to antimicrobials, whereas these pathogens may have reduced multiplication rates in mastitic milk (Fahg et al., 1996). The reason for in vitro resistance despite apparent in vivo susceptibility is most likely to be related to the role of the mammary defense mechanisms in self-curing intramammary infections caused by several mastitis pathogens, particularly in CNS (Apparao et al., 2009a). However, this could not be the case in our study as proven, association between the results of antimicrobial susceptibility tests and therapeutic outcomes were also found for short-acting *S. aureus*, CNS, and streptococcal mastitis treated with IMM penicillin-novobiocin (Owen et al., 1997), as well as for environmental streptococcal mastitis treated with IMM pirlimycin (Cattell et al., 2001). In the present study, in the extended group, the probability of bacteriological cure was lower in quarters from which cefquinome-sensitive pathogens were isolated than the quarters from which intermediate or resistant pathogens were isolated. The reason for this finding is unidentified but could be due to the small sample size in the intermediate and resistant categories. The in vitro sensitivity despite in vivo resistance in the present study could be attributed to several factors: (1) the lack of in vitro susceptibility breakpoint data specific for mastitis in cows. With the exception of pirlimycin, ceftiofur, and penicillin-novobiocin combination, most breakpoints used to categorize mastitis pathogens as sensitive or resistant are derived from data on human pathogens and based on the pharmacokinetics of drugs in humans (Apparao et al., 2009a, Constable et al., 2003). Therefore, zone diameters in the Kirby-Bauer test have not been related to antimicrobial concentrations achieved in the bovine mammary tissue for most antimicrobials (Constable et al., 2003). Several previous studies have failed to detect an association between the results of susceptibility testing and treatment outcome with regards to the use of pirlimycin for which validated breakpoints for bovine mastitis are available (Cattell et al., 2001; Hoe and Ruegg, 2005; Apparao et al., 2009a); (2) milk components in the udder (casein, calcium, lipids, and indigenous antibacterial agents) could potentially decrease the activity of many antimicrobials (Fahg et al., 1996); (3) pharmacodynamic data concerning the IMM administration of antimicrobials in mastitic cows are limited (Constable et al., 2003); (4) antimicrobials could have detrimental effects on mammary defense mechanisms (Constable et al., 2003); (5) milking frequency (three times daily versus twice daily) may influence the concentration of antimicrobials at the site of infection in the mammary tissue, although in the case of cefquinome, the influence of individual cows has been more pronounced than that of milking frequency (Knappstein et al., 2003); and (6) mastitis pathogens in the test media multiply rapidly and are sensitive to antimicrobials, whereas these pathogens may have reduced multiplication rates in mastitic milk (Fahg et al., 1996).

bacteriological cure rate in the treated groups3. compared with controls (Kasravi et al., submitted). Additionally, a much lower spontaneous cure rate was found in our study (20%) versus the above-mentioned study (66%). The lack of validation of in vitro test relative to concentration and time above MIC of the antimicrobial at the actual site of infection in the mammary tissue could be another reason for in vitro resistance but in vivo susceptibility (Constable et al., 2003, Ruegg P. L., personal communication). Some researchers believe that for decision making in mastitis therapy, it is more informative for practitioners to know the causative pathogen rather than have results of the susceptibility test (Ruegg, P.L., personal communication). Interestingly, some researchers suggest that the results of susceptibility testing could be useful as a tool to understand the herd mastitis outbreaks caused by environmental pathogens; since similar sensitivity patterns among isolates could be interpreted as single strain predominance in these situations (Cattell et al., 2001). Furthermore, susceptibility testing can be useful for developing a herd profile of contagious mastitis pathogens, particularly in the case of *S. aureus*, to guide future treatment decisions (Hinckley et al., 1985).

The results of the present study indicate that Kirby-Bauer antimicrobial susceptibility testing does not predict bacteriological outcome in cows with persistent subclinical mastitis treated with IMM cefquinome. Further research is needed to elucidate the role, if any, that antimicrobial sensitivity testing should play in the treatment of clinical and/or subclinical mastitis in dairy cows.

Acknowledgments

This study was funded by the Research Deputy of the University of Tehran (Project no.:7508036/6/3). The authors express their appreciation to Intervet/Schering-Plough Animal Health and the Chaltasian Dairy Company for the kind provision of Cobactan LC, and to Dr F. Moosakhani, Mr Sattari, Mr Sh. Saffari, Mr Dehghan, and Mrs Sh. Noursalehi for their kind support and assistance.

References

- Apparao, M.D.; Oliveira, L. and Ruegg, P.L. (2009a) Relationship between results of in vitro susceptibility tests and outcomes following treatment with pirlimycin hydrochloride in cows with subclinical mastitis associated with gram-positive pathogens. *J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc.* 234: 1437-1446.
- Apparao, M.D.; Ruegg, P.L.; Lago, A.; Godden, S.; Bey, R. and Leslie, K. (2009b) Relationship between in vitro susceptibility test results and treatment outcomes for gram-positive mastitis pathogens following treatment with cephapirin sodium. *J. Dairy Sci.* 92: 2589-2597.
- Bottner, A.; Schmid, P. and Humke, R. (1995) In vitro efficacy of cefquinome and other anti-infective drugs against bovine bacterial isolates from Belgium, France, Germany, the Netherlands, and the United Kingdom. *J. Vet. Med. B.* 42: 377-383.
- Cattell M.B.; Dinsmore R.P.; Belschner A.P.; Carmen, J. and Goodell, G. (2001) Environmental gram-positive mastitis treatment in vitro sensitivity and bacteriologic cure. *J. Dairy Sci.* 84: 2036-2043.
- Chin, N.X.; Gu, J.W.; Fang, W. and Neu, H. (2002) In vitro activity of cefquinome, a new cephalosporin, compared with other cephalosporin antibiotics. *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 15: 331-337.
- Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute. (2007) Performance standards for antimicrobial disk and dilution susceptibility tests for bacteria isolated from animals. Approved standard, 3rd edition. CLSI document M31-A3. Clinical Laboratory Standards Institute, Wayne, PA, USA.
- Constable, P.D.; Morin D.E. (2002) Use of antimicrobial susceptibility testing of bacterial pathogens isolated from the milk of dairy cows with clinical mastitis to predict response to treatment with cephalosporins and oxytetracycline. *J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc.* 221: 103-108.
- Constable, P.D.; Morin, D.E. (2003) Treatment of clinical mastitis Using antimicrobial susceptibility profiles for treatment decisions. *Vet. Clin. North Am. Food Anim. Pract.* 19: 139-155.
- Erskine, R.J.; Wagner, S. (2003) Mastitis therapy and pharmacology. *Vet. Clin. North Am. Food Anim. Pract.* 19: 109-138.
- Fang, W.; Pyörälä, S. (1996) Mastitis caused by *Escherichia coli*: serum sensitivity and susceptibility to selected antibacterials in milk. *J. Dairy Sci.* 79: 76-82.
- Hinckley, L.S.; Benson, R.H.; Post, J.E. and Decloux, J.C. (1985) Antibiotic susceptibility profiles for mastitis treatment. *J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc.* 187: 709-711.
- Hoe, F.G.; Ruegg, P.L. (2005) Relationship between antimicrobial susceptibility of clinical mastitis pathogens and treatment outcome in cows. *J. Am. Vet. Med. Assoc.* 227: 1461-1468.
- Knapstein, K.; Suhren, G. and Walte, H.G. (2003) Influence of milking frequency on withdrawal period after application of β -lactam antibiotic-based drugs. *Chimica Acta.* 483: 241-249.
- Limbert, M.; Isert, D.; Klesel, N.; Markus, A.; Seeger, K.; Seibert, G. and Schrinner, E. (1991) Antibacterial activities in vitro and in vivo and pharmacokinetics of cefquinome (HR 111V), a new broad-spectrum cephalosporin. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.* 35: 14-19.
- Murphy, S.P.; Erwin, M.E. and Jones, R.N. (1994) Cefquinome (HR 111V) in vitro evaluation of a broad-spectrum cephalosporin indicated for infections in animals. *Diagn. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* 20: 49-55.
- Oliver, S.P.; González, R.N.; Hogan, J.S.; Jayarao, B.M. and Owens, W.E. (2004) Microbiological procedures for the diagnosis of bovine udder infection and determination of milk quality. 4th edition. National

- Mastitis Council Inc., Verona, WI, USA. pp: 1-30.
17. Owens, W.E.; Ray C.H.; Watts J.L. and Yancey, R.J. (1997) Comparison of success of antibiotic therapy during lactation and results of antimicrobial susceptibility tests for bovine mastitis. *J. Dairy Sci.* 80: 313-317.
18. Sader, H.S.; Jones, R.N. (1993) The fourth-generation cephalosporins: antimicrobial activity and spectrum definitions using cefpirome as an example. *Antimicrob. Newslett.* 9: 9-16.
19. SAS. Statistical Analysis System: a user's guide. (2001) Version 8.2, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC, USA.
20. Schmid, P.; Bottner, A. and Humke, R. (1994) In vitro testing of bacterial field strains from bovine origin for sensitivity to cefquinome. In: *Proceedings of 18 World Buiatric Congress.*, Bologna, Italy pp: 539.
21. Shpigel, N.Y.; Winkler, M.; Ziv, G. and Saran, A. (1998) Relationship between in vitro sensitivity of coliform pathogens in the udder and the outcome of treatment for clinical mastitis. *Vet. Rec.* 142: 135-137.