Effects of bioencapsulated *Daphnia magna* with *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* on the growth and feeding performance of Persian sturgeon (*Acipenser persicus*) larvae

Jafaryan, H.^{1*}, Soltani, M.²

Key words:

Bioencapsulation, *Daphnia magna*, srotein gain, Saccharamyces cerevisiae, Persian sturgeon.

Correspondence

Jafaryan, H.

Gonbad Institutes of Higher Education.

Tel: +98(172) 2293401 Fax: +98(172) 2293401

Email: Hojat.jafaryan@gmail.com

Received: 7 August 2011 Accepted: 14 December 2011

Abstract:

BACKGROUNDS: Optimization of microbial compositions and load in live food during the process of bioencapsulation is one of the most important concerns in aquaculture, as it can promote the growth and feeding parameters of fish larvae. OBJECTIVES: The aim of this study was to determine the growth and feeding performance of Persian sturgeon larvae fed with bioencapsulated D. magna with Saccharomyces cerevisiae. METHODS: D. magna were bioencapsulated with S. cerevisiae at three concentrations of 5, 5.30 and 5.48 Log CFU ml-1 for 10 hours. P. sturgeon larvae were fed using enriched D. magna at 30 percent of their body weight, six times a day. Controlled treatment was fed on unbioencapsulated D. magna. RESULTS: The results indicated that the S. cerevisiae promoted the growth and feeding parameters in P. sturgeon larvae. The final body weight and specific growth rate (SGR) in experimental treatments had significant difference in comparison with control treatment (p<0.05). Food conversion ratio (FCR) was decreased significantly in treatment group compared to control one (p<0.05). The maximum of lipid productive value (LPV) and protein productive value (PPV) were obtained in the larvae fed on bioencapsulated D. magna at 5.30 Log CFU ml-1). CONCLUSIONS: This study showed that S. cerevisiae had high efficiency in promotion of feeding parameters and growth performance of P. sturgeon larvae.

Introduction

The bacterial flora in the larval gut originates from the bacteria associated with the eggs, the water in the rearing tanks, and the live food (Olafsen and Hansen, 1992). The gut of marine fish larvae is rapidly colonized by bacteria during the first days after hatching. Members of this pioneer community that colonize the gut at an early stage may acquire a competitive advantage compared with bacteria introduced at a later stage (Hansen and Olafsen, 1999). Successful colonization in digestive system of larvae involves competition with the established

microflora for attachment sites and nutrients. The *Daphnia magna* is common live food organisms used for the rearing of marine fish larvae. These have been considered as possible vectors for the delivery of different substances, such as nutrients and probiotics. Intensive rearing of marine fish larvae suffers from heavy mortalities, which may be attributed to pathogenic bacteria in the rearing system (Keskin et al., 1994). Optimization of microbial compositions and load in live food during the process of bioencapsulation is one of the most important concerns in aquaculture, as it can reduce the heavy mortalities which often occur during the rearing of

¹Gonbad Institutes of Higher Education, GonbadKavoos, Iran.

²Department of Aquatic Animal Health, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, University of Tehran, Tehran, Iran.

fish larvae (Olsen, 1997).

Probiotics can be defined as live microbial feed supplement, which beneficially affects the host animal by improving its intestinal balance (Fuller, 1989). The use of probiotic bacteria has been suggested as an important strategy to accomplish reproducible outputs through biocontrol in cultivation systems for marine fish larvae and crustaceans (Nogami and Maeda, 1992). The species composition of the intestinal microflora of fish larvae can be influenced at an early stage of development, when few, if any, bacteria are present in the larval gut, by addition of specific bacterial strains to the live food or the water (Ringø et al., 1996). Live food e.g. D. magna have been used as vectors for delivering compounds of diverse nutritional and/or therapeutic value to larval stages of aquatic animals (Cappellaro et al., 1993), a process known as bioencapsulation. D. magna are able to graze bacteria (Michels et al. 1998). The number of bacteria accumulated in live food during bioencapsulation depends on the concentration of the bacterial suspension and the bacterial strain applied (Gomez-Gil et al., 1998; Makridis et al., 2000). Saccharomyces cerevisiae has been used as a probiotic and diet additive for various animals. It has been observed to be capable of enhancing feeding efficiency as well as growth (Lara-Flores et al., 2003) of various fish species and thus may serve as an excellent health promoter for fish culture. The live bacterial additives may have a positive effect on the host organism by improving the growth parameters and feeding efficiency. An incubation of live food organisms in a bacterial suspension consisting of one or several probiotic strains is a possible approach to carring the beneficial bacteria into digestive tract of fish larvae. There is little information about the bioencapsulation of D. magna by probiotic yeast and thus present study was conducted to evaluate the potential effects of different levels of the beneficial probiotic S. cerevisiae in bioencapsulation of D. magna on the exploitation of nutrient composition of this live food by Persian sturgeon (Acipenser persicus) larvae for promotion of feeding parameters.

Materials and Methods

Ten-day old P. sturgeon larvae with initial weight of 50 ± 7 mg and total length of 22 ± 5 mm were

obtained from hatchery of Marjanii sturgeon center, Golestan, Iran.

S. cerevisiae (Thepax) containing 1×10^{10} cells mg^{-1} was prepared from Doxal Co.-Italy. The D. magna was cultured in earth ponds. S. cerevisiae was prepared at three concentrations of 5, 5.30 and 5.48 $Log CFU ml^{-1}$ in broth medium. The newly caught D. magna from pond, were collected on a 120 mm-poresize sieve, washed with fresh water thoroughly and were bioencapsulated with S. cerevisiae at the density of 5 g-Lat 29±1°C, illumination (2000 Lux), salinity 0.5 ppt and aeration (Michels et al, 1998). After 10h the bioencapsulated D. magna was collected on a 120 mm-pore-size sieve, and washed with fresh water. The P. sturgeon larvae were fed with bioencapsulated D. magna at 5 (T_1), 5.30 (T_2) and 5.48 (T_3) Log CFU ml⁻¹ of S. cerevisiae. In control the fish larvae were fed on unbioencapsulated D. magna. Each treatment was included in triplicate. The density of fish larvae per was 4-5 fish liter. Sturgeon larvae were fed at 30% body weight six times a day with bioencapsulated D. magna.

Each rearing tank was supplied with running fresh water which had been filtered through the special cotton filter (flow rate: 1 L min⁻¹). Water quality parameters consisted of water temperature at 16.8± 0.6 °C, pH 7.6-8.3 and dissolved oxygen above 7.5 mg 1⁻¹ during the experiment by setting electrical air pump. Fifty fish larvae from each tank were sampled at the termination of the feeding experiment, and the total weight and length of body were measured. The experimental period was 2 weeks. Proximate composition of D. magna, fish carcass (initial and final of experiment) were analyzed using the standard procedures described by the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (1990); moisture was determined by oven drying the weight of fresh sample at 100 °C for 24 h; crude protein (nitrogen · 6.25) by micro-Kjeldahl digestion and distillation after acid digestion using a Kjeltec 1026 Distillation Unit together with a Tecator Digestion System (Tecator, Sweden); lipid was determined by extracting the residue at 40-60 °C petroleum ether for 7-8 h in a Soxhlet apparatus and ash was determined by ignition at 550 °C in a muffle furnace to constant weight. Twenty fish from each tank were sampled at the termination of the feeding experiment, homogenized, and analyzed for moisture, crude protein, crude lipid and ash (on wet weight basis). Some growth and feeding parameters of the fish were calculated based on the data of carcass analysis and biometry of the larvae. The growth parameters and feeding parameters of the studied fish were calculated on the data of carcass analysis.

Results were analyzed by one-way ANOVA and significant different were determined by Duncan test. The statistics were performed using the software SPSS 15.0 for Windows.

Results

The data of growth parameters are shown in table 1. Final body weight (FBW) in experimental treatments of larvae had significant difference in comparison with control treatment (p<0.05). The highest FBW (487.22 mg) was obtained in experimental treatment of T2. The *S. cerevisiae* had significant positive effects on the specific growth rate (SGR) and thermal growth coefficient (TGC) in comparison with control treatment (p<0.05). The maximum of SGR (11.642% BW day⁻¹) and TGC (1.583 %) were obtained in larvae fed with treatment T2.

Also, the growth parameter of growth coefficient efficiency (GCE%) had the highest level (14.12%) in treatment of T2. Treatment of T2 and T3 had significant difference compared to control treatment (p<0.05). Persian sturgeon larvae fed with bioencapsulated *D. magna*, significantly (p<0.05) increased the velocity of growth body weight (VW%) and velocity of growth body length (VL%). The best VW (11.405%) and VL (4.594%) were showed in T2 and T3, respectively. The survival rate was significantly (p<0.05) increased in T1 (89.00%) and T2 (92.00%), compared to control treatment (88.33%).

Proximate analysis of whole body of Persian sturgeon at the end of the feeding trial and mean values of feeding parameters were shown in table 2 and 3. The best results in this trial obtained in Persian sturgeon larvae fed with bioencapsulated *D. magna*. The maximum of drymatter (12.01%) and minimum of mouisture (88.00%) were seen in treatment T2.

Also, use of bioencapsulated *D. magna* significantly promoted levels of crude lipid, crude energy and carcass dry matter in P. sturgeon larvae compared to the control group (p<0.05). However, the crude protein and ash levels were in significant (p<0.05). The level of crude lipid had significant difference in

experimental treatments comparied to control (p<0.05). The lowest (5.84%) and the highest (6.77%) of crude lipid were obtained in the control and treatment T3, respectively.

In experimental treatments the food conversion efficiency (FCE) increased while food conversion ratio (FCR) and relative food intake (RFI) decreased compared to control group (p<0.05).

The FCR of diets showed an inverse correlation with concentration of yeast (CFU¹L) of bioencapsulated suspension of broth. Protein efficiency ratio (PER), lipid efficiency ratio (LER) in treatments T2 and T3 had significant difference compared to the control group (p<0.05). The results indicated that the *S. cerevisiae* significantly enhanced levels of protein productive value (PPV), lipid productive value (LPV) and energy productive value (EPV) in experimental treatments compared to the control group (p<0.05). The levels of PPV (0.71), LPV (0.102) and EPV (0.367) were significantly higher than control (p<0.05). The gastro somatic index (GSI %) in T2 was significantly different from the control group (p<0.05).

Discussion

The incorporation of probiotics via live food constitutes a very important potential tool for supplying probionts to the larvae. *D. magna* as one of the most important live foods was used as a vector to carry yeast to digestive system of P. sturgeon larvae, while the most studies have used the probiotics as bioencapsulations by Artemia and rotifer.

The *D. magna* and the *A. urmiana* are the common live food organisms used for the rearing of sturgeon fish larvae. These have been considered as possible vectors for the delivery of different substances, such as probiotics (Jafaryan et al., 2010).

Bioencapsulation of Daphnia with yeast, has not been reported, so far. This study highlights the effects of yeast *S. cerevisiae* on the enhancement of growth of *A. persicus* larvae. The beneficial influence of *S. cerevisiae* on growth parameters of *A. persicus* larvae, were completely observed. The probiotic treatments of T1 and T2 resulted in growth and feeding performances better than control treatment while the treatment T3 had lower effect than the control group. The best performance of fish in terms

Table 1. The growth parameters of Persian Sturgeon larvae in different treatments. Specific growth rate (SGR) = $100 \, [\ln final \, weight \, of \, fish-ln \, initial \, weight \, of \, fish)]/days of feeding. (Larid and Needham, 1988). Thermal growth coefficient (TGC) = [g \, final \, body \, weight^{0.333} - g \, initial \, body \, weight^{0.333}]/[Water temperature \, days \, of \, experiment]. (De Silva \, and \, Anderson, 1995). Velocity of growth \, body \, weight (VW %) = <math>100 \, [2(final \, weight \, of \, fish- \, initial \, weight \, of \, fish)]$. (De Silva and Anderson, 1995). Velocity of growth \, body \, length (VL %) = $100 \, [2(final \, length \, of \, fish- \, initial \, length \, of \, fish)/$ days of experiment (final length of fish+ initial length of fish)]. (De Silva and Anderson, 1995). Food conversion ratio (FCR) = $100 \, [(ferming \, length \, of \, fish)]$. (De Silva and Anderson, 1995). Food conversion efficiency (FCE) = $100 \, [(ferming \, length \, of \, fish)]$. (De Silva and Anderson, 1995).

Treatment	Control	Т1	Т2	Т3
Parameter				
FBW(mg)	389.78±54.35 b	400.89±75.87 ^a	487.22±69.40 ^a	427.56±75.48 ^a
SGR (%BW day-1)	10.046±2.342 °	10.284±2.173 bc	11.642±2.265 ^a	$10.865\pm1.694^{\ b}$
TGC%	1.367±0.294 ^c	1.397±0.281 ^{bc}	1.583±0.315 ^a	$1.468 \pm 0.227^{\text{ b}}$
GCE%	9.43±2.86 °	9.81±1.09 °	14.12±2.53 ^a	11.09±2.290 b
VW%	$10.768\pm1.100^{\ b}$	10.888±0.955 b	11.405±0.843 ^a	11.160±0.667 ^a
VL%	4.037 ± 0.951^{c}	$4.293\pm0.708^{\ b}$	4.555±0.674 a	4.594±0.573 ^a
Survival	88.33±1.53 ^b	89.00±1.73ab	92.00±2.00 ^a	80.03±1.00 °

Table 2. Proximate composition of Persian Sturgeon larvae (dry matter base) in feeding treatments.

Treatment Parameter	Control	T1	T2	Т3
Dry matter %	9.67 0.88 ^b	9.30 1.51 ^b	12.01 1.25 a	8.75 0.53 ^b
Moisture %	90.33 0.86 ^a	90.70 0.86 ^a	88.00 1.27 ^b	91.30 0.51 ^a
Crude protein %	77.08 1.36 ^a	77.01 2.52 ^a	77.11 0.89 ^a	$76.971.40^{\rm a}$
Crude lipid %	5.84 0.30 ^b	6.76 0.50 ^a	6.74 0.50 ^a	6.77 0.40 ^a
Crude energy (kcal/g)	4498.47 101.22 ^b	4798.23 99.01 ^a	4736.59 102.00 ^a	4780.45 180.20 ^a
Ash %	10.80 1.00 ^a	10.65 1.50 ^a	10.42 1.92 ^a	10.40 0.95 a

Table 3. Mean values of some of feeding parameters in *Acipenser persicus* larvae. Protein efficiency ratio (PER) = living weight gain (g) / protein intake (g). (Helland et al, 1996). Lipid efficiency ratio (LER) = living weight gain (g) / lipid intake (g). (Helland et al, 1996). PPV=g retained protein/g protein intake. (Helland et al, 1996). LPV=g retained lipid/g lipid intake. (Helland et al, 1996). EPV=g retained energy/g energy intake. (Helland et al, 1996). GSI (%) = digestive tract weight (g) / living weight gain (g) 100. (Desai, 1970).

Treatment				
Parameter	Control	T1	T2	Т3
FCR	7.80 ±2.92 ^a	7.52 ±2.49 ^a	6.10 ±2.03 ^b	6.65 ±1.61 ^b
PPV	0.45 ± 0.13^{b}	0.46 ± 0.12^{b}	0.71 ± 0.22^{a}	0.46 ± 0.11^{b}
LPV	0.056 ± 0.017^{d}	0.064 ± 0.018^{c}	0.102 ± 0.032^{a}	$0.072 \pm 0.017^{\mathrm{b}}$
EPV	0.224 ± 0.041^{b}	0.237 ± 0.052^{b}	0.367 ± 0.075^{a}	0.237 ± 0.048^{b}
PER	6.39 ± 1.89^{c}	6.52 ± 1.88^{bc}	8.92 ± 2.80^{a}	7.12 ± 1.67^{b}
LER	10.82 ± 3.21^{c}	11.04 ±3.21 ^{bc}	13.72 ± 4.31^{a}	12.04 ± 2.82^{b}
GSI (%)	18.30 ± 3.25^{b}	18.63 ± 2.58^{ab}	24.15±3.43 ^a	$18.50 \pm 2.94^{\rm b}$
RFI (%)	133.82±30.67 ^a	127.10±20.41 ^a	99.88±25.99 ^b	99.85±27.85 ^b
FCE (%)	14.28 ±2.23 ^c	14.57 ±1.24 ^{bc}	18.11 ± 3.69^{a}	$15.90 \pm 2.72^{\mathrm{b}}$

of growth performance and FCR was recorded for the enrichment D. magna with 5.30 Log CFU of yeast-L. Then this concentration of yeast was recognized the best level for process of bioencapsulations of D. magna by S. cerevisiae in feeding of A. persicus larvae. Some reports have shown that yeast S. cerevisiae has been recognized to have potential as a substitute for live food in the production of certain fish or as a potential replacement for fish meal and potential of probiotic (Oliva-Teles and Goncalves, 2001). In experimental trials, S. cerevisiae optimized the feed consumption of A. persicus larvae. In the probiotic experimental treatments dry matter, crude lipid and crude energy of A. persicus larvae significantly increased while the FCR and RFI significantly decreased. The other feeding parameters as PPV, LPV, EPV, PER, EER and FCE significantly increased (p<0.05). Similar effects had been reported for other fishes to increase considerably with the use of probiotic in the diet (Tovar-Ramirez et al., 2004; Lara-Flores et al., 2003). Similar results were reported by Lashkarboloki et al. (2011) who fed A. persicus larvae, with bioenriched D. magna with extract of S. cerevisiae at 150 mg-L. This mixture resulted in the maximum growth and survival rate. Tovar-Ramires et al. (2004), indicated that S. cerevisiae increased feeding parameters and better feeding efficiency in sea bass. Also Tovar et al., (2002) reported that a diet supplemented with a suitable amount of the yeast caused faster growth in the sea bass (Dicentrarchus labrax) larvae. This suggests that is S. cerevisiaecan improve the growth of fish larvae (Andlid et al., 1995).

In this study different results of growth parameters of P. sturgeon were obtained using different levels of S. cerevisiae via D. magna. The growth parameters of FBW, VW and VL in probiotic trials of T1 and T2 had the highest levels compared to control one. Similar results were also seen where S. cerevisiae was used in Nile tilapia, (Oreochromis niloticus) larvae (Abdel-Tawwab et al., 2008). The SGR, GCE and TGC significantly increased in experimental treatments similar results were seem by Jafaryan et al. (2010) when, S. cerevisiae, and probiotic bacillus were used in A. persicus, Acipenser nudiventris and Huso huso larvae. While He et al. (2009) reported use of S. cerevisiae fermentation product (DVAQUA) had in significant effect on growth performance of hybrid

tilapia ($Oreochromis niloticus \times O. aureus$) cultured in cage system.

Similar results had been reported by Lara-Flores et al. (2003); they showed that *S. cerevisiae* improved feeding efficiency of Nile tilapia juveniles. Noh et al. (1994) and Bogut et al. (1998) studied the effect of supplementing carp feeds with *S. cerevisiae* and bacteria, and found the best growth with the bacteria.

Nevertheless *S. cerevisiae* had negative effects on growth parameters of feeding performance of *A. persicus* when used at 5.48 Log CFU ml-1. Similar to our results, Abdel-Tawwab et al (2008), reported the over dose (5.0 g yeast/kg diet of yeast), decreased the growth and survival rate of Nile tilapia, (*Oreochromis niloticus*).

However P. sturgeon larvae fed with bioencapsulated *D. magna* at 5.30 Log CFU ml-1 showed the best growth and feeding parameters. The results of the present experiment highlighted that use of *S. cerevisiae* via *D. magna* can improve the growth parameters of P. sturgeon larvae resulting in increasing the larvae survival.

References

- Abdel-Tawwab, M., Abdel-Rahman, A.M., Ismael, N.E.M. (2008) Evaluation of commercial live bakers' yeast, S. cerevisiae as a growth and immunity promoter for Fry Nile tilapia, Oreochromis niloticus (L.) challenged in situ with Aeromonas hydrophila. Aquaculture. 280:185-189.
- Andlid, T., Juarez, R.V., Gustafsson, L. (1995) Yeast colonizing the intestine of raibow trout (Salmo gairdeneri) and turbot (*Scophthalmus maximus*). Microb. Ecol. 30:321-334.
- AOAC. (1990) Animal feed, In: Official Methods of Analysis of Association of Official Analtical Chemists (AOAC). Horwitz, W. (ed.). (15th ed.). Assoc. Official Analytical Chemists, Washington. USA. 15: 979-982.
- Bogut, I., Milakovic, Z., Bukvic, Z., Brkic, S., Zimmer, R. (1998) Influence of probiotic Streptococcus faecium M74 on growth and content of intestinal microfolora in carp.Czech J.Anim.Sci. 43:231-235.
- Cappellaro, H., Gennari, L., Achene, L., Brambilla,
 G. (1993) Artemia salina as medicated feed for

- marine fry. Boll. Soc. Ital. Patol. 5:29-33.
- Desai, V.R. (1970) Studies on the fishery and biology of Tortor (Hamilton) from river Narmada. J. Inland Fish. Soc. India. 2:101-112.
- 7. De Silva, S.S., Anderson, T.A. (1995) The effect of ration on growth ratio. Fish Nutrition in Aquaculture. Chapman, London. UK.
- 8. Fuller, R. (1989) Probiotics in man and animals. J. Appl. Bacteriol. 66:365-378.
- 9. Hansen, G.H., Olafsen, J. (1999) Bacterial interactions in early life stages of marine cold water fish. Microb. Ecol. 38: 1-26.
- 10. He, S., Zhou, Z., Liu, Y., Shi, P., Yao, B., Ringø, E., et al. (2009) Effects of dietary *S. cerevisiae* fermentation product (DVAQUA®) on growth performance, intestinal autochthonous bacterial community and non-specific immunity of hybrid tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* ×O. aureus) cultured in cages. Aquaculture. 294: 99-107.
- Gomez-Gil, B., Herrera-Vega, M. A., Aberu-Grobis, F.A., Roque, A. (1998) Bioencapsulation of two different vibrio species in nauplii of the brine shrimp (*Artemia fransiscana*). Appl. Envirn. Microbiol. 64: 2318-2322.
- 12. Helland, S.J., GrisdaleHelland, B., Nerland, S. (1996) A simple method for the measurement of daily feed intake of groups of fish in tanks. Aquaculture. 139: 157-163.
- 13. Hevroy, E.M., Espe, M., Waagbo, R., Sandness, k., Rund, M., Hemre, G.-I. (2005) Nutrition utilization in atlantic salmon (Salmo salar L) fed increased level of fish protein hydrolysate during a period of fast growth. Aquacul. Nutr. 11:301-313.
- 14. Jafaryan, H., Shahii, Gh., Yazdanii, A.R. (2010) The effect of probioics on the feeding efficiency and larval growth of three species of Caspian sturgeon. J. Gorgan Univ. Agric. Sci. Nat. Res. (Iran). 16: 38-49.
- 15. Keskin, M., Keskin, M., Rosenthal, H. (1994) Pathways of bacterial contamination during egg incubation and larval rearing of turbot, Scophthalmus maximus. Appl. Ichthyol. 10: 1-9.
- 16. Lara-Flores, M., Olvera-Novoa Miguel, A., Guzman-Mendez Beatriz, E., Lopez-Madrid, W. (2003) Use of the bacteria streptococcus faecium and lactobacillus acidophilus, and the yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* as growth promoters in Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). Aquaculture. 216:193-201.
- 17. Larid, L.M., Needham, M. (1988) Growth, Nutrition

- and Feeding, Salmon and Trout Farming. England, Ellis Horwood Limited. London, UK.
- 18. Lashkarboloki, M.M., Jafaryan, H., Faramarzi, M., Adineh, H. (2011) The effects of Amax yeast fed to Persian sturgeon (*Acipencer persicus*) larvae via bioenrichment of *Daphnia magna*. Aquacul. Aquarium, Conserv. Legis. 4:361-367.
- Makridis, P., Fjllheim, A., Skjermoj, J., Vadstein, O. (2000) Colonization of the gut in first feeding turbot by bacterial strains added to the water or bioencapsulation in rotifers. Aquac. Int. 8: 367-380.
- 20. Michels, E., Mesters, D. (1998) The influence of food quality on the phototactic behaviour of *Daphnia magna* Straus. Hydrobiologia. 379:199-206.
- 21. Noh, S.H., Han, K., Won, T.H., Choi, Y.J. (1994) Effect of antibiotics, enzyme, yeast culture and probiotics on the growth performance of Israeli carp.Korean J.Anim.Sci. 36:480-486.
- Nogami, K., Maeda, M. (1992) Bacteria as biocontrol agents for rearing larvae of the crab Portunus trituberculatus. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 49: 2373-2376
- 23. Olafsen, J.A., Hansen, G.H. (1992) Intact antigen uptake in intestinal epithelial cells of marine fish larvae. J. Fish Biol. 40: 141-156.
- 24. Olsen, Y. (1997) Larval rearing technology of marine species in Norway. Hydrobiologia. 358: 27-36.
- 25. Ringø, E., Birkbeck, T.H., Munro, P.D., Vadstein, O. Hjelmeland, K. (1996) The effect of early exposure to Vibrio pelagius on the aerobic flora of turbot, *Scophthalmus maximus* (L.) larvae. J. Appl. Microbiol. 81: 207-211.
- 26. Oliva-Teles, A., Goncalves, P. (2001) Partial replacement of fishmeal by brewers yeast Saccaromyces cerevisae in diets for sea bass *Dicentrarchus labrax* juveniles. Aquaculture. 202: 269- 278.
- 27. Tovar, D., Zombonino-Infante, J.L., Cahu, C., Gatesoupe, F.J., Vazquez, R., Lesel, R. (2002) Effect of live yeast incorporation in compound diet digestion enzymes activity in sea bass larvae. Aquaculture. 204: 113-123
- 28. Tovar, D., Zombonino-Infante, J.L., Cahu, C., Gatesoupe, F.J., Vazquez, R., Lesel, R. (2004) Influence of dietary live yeast on European sea bass (*Dicentrarchus labrax*) larval development .Aquaculture. 234: 415-427.

مجله طب دامی ایران، ۱۳۹۱، دوره ۶، شماره ۱، ۱۸ – ۱۳

تاثیر مخمر نانوایی پروبیوتیکی (Saccharomyces cerevisiae) برای ارتقاء عملکرد رشد و تغذیه لارو تاس ماهی ایرانی (Acipenser persicus) در بهره برداری از دافنی ماگنای غنی شده (Daphnia magna)

حجت الله جعفريان مهدى سلطاني

گروه شیلات، دانشکده کشاورزی و منابع طبیعی، دانشگاه گنبد کاووس، گنبد کاووس، ایران.
 گروه بهداشت و بیماری های آبزیان، دانشکده دامپزشکی دانشگاه تهران، تهران، ایران.

(دریافت مقاله: ۱۶ مردادماه ۱۳۹۰ ، پذیرش نهایی: ۲۳ آذرماه ۱۳۹۰)

چکیده

زمینه مطالعه: بهینه سازی ترکیبات میکروبی و ذخیره سازی آن از طریق غذای زنده در فر آیند غنی سازی یکی از مهمترین موارد در آبزی پروری است، بطور یکه آن می تواند معیارهای رشد و تغذیه لاروهای ماهی را افزایش دهد. هدف: هدف از این مطالعه تعیین عملکردر شد و تغذیه لارو تاس ماهی ایرانی در تغذیه از دافنی ما گنای غنی شده با مخمر نانوایی بود. روش کار: دافنی ما گناباسه غلظت ۵، ۵٬۳۰۵ و 40/6 لگاریتم واحد کلنی در هر میلی لیتر در سوسانسیون غنی سازی برای مدت ۱۰ساعت غنی شده و توسط لاروهای تاس ماهی ایرانی تغذیه شدند. لاروهای تاس ماهی ایرانی برپایه ۳۰٪ و زن بدن، ۶ بار در روز تغذیه شدند. تیمار شاهد با دافنی غنی نشده تغذیه گردید. نتایج: نتایج نشان داد که ساکارو مایسیس سرویز معیارهای رشد و تغذیه را در لاروهای تاس ماهی ایرانی ارتقاء داد. و زن نهایی بدن و نرخ رشد و یژه (SGR) در تیمارهای آزمایشی تفاوت معنی داری را در مقایسه با تیمار شاهد داشت (40/6). ضریب تبدیل غذای (FCE) بطور معنی داری کاهش یافت آزمایشی تفاوت معنی داری را در مقایسه با تیمار شاهد داشت (40/6). رتیمار (40/6) در تیمار کار الاروهای تاس ماهی ایرانی تغذیه شده با دافنی غنی شده بوسیله 40/6 این تحقیق نشان داد که ساکارو مایسیس سرویز یا بالاترین ارزش تولید چربی (LPV) و عملکرد رشد لارو تاس ماهی ایرانی داشت.

واژه های کلیدی: غنی سازی، دافنی ماگنا، مخمرنانوایی، ارزش تولید چربی.

*)نویسنده مسؤول: ۱۰-۴۹۸ (۱۷۲) ۲۲۹۳۴ نمابر: ۲۹۸۱ (۱۷۲) ۲۲۹۳۴۰۱ نمابر: Email: Hojat.jaf ariyan@g mail.com +۹۸