



## Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations of Phenolic Extracts and Resistant Starch for *Clostridium perfringens*: *In vitro* Study

Samira Karamati Jabehtar<sup>1</sup>, Farzad Mirzaei Aghjehgheshlagh<sup>1\*</sup>, Bahman Navidshad<sup>1</sup>, Ali Mahdavi<sup>2</sup>,  
Hamid Staji<sup>3</sup>, Nemat Hedayat Evrigh<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Mohagheh Ardabili University, Ardabil, IRAN

<sup>2</sup> Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Semnan University, Semnan, IRAN

<sup>3</sup> Department of Pathobiology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Semnan University, Semnan, IRAN

### Abstract

**BACKGROUND:** *Clostridium perfringens*, as a bacterial agent causing foodborne illnesses, is of great importance in the food industry. On the other hand, the increasing concern of antibiotic resistance is forcing humans to find an alternative to antibiotics.

**OBJECTIVES:** This study aimed to evaluate the antimicrobial activity of the extracts of grape pomace, pistachio peel, and pomegranate pomace against *Clostridium perfringens* (*C. perfringens*) in the presence or absence of resistant starch (RS) as a prebiotic.

**METHODS:** The RS (Fibersol-2) was purchased, and the extracts of grape pomace, pistachio peel, and pomegranate pomace were prepared. The total phenolic content and tannin of extracts were determined by Folin-Ciocalteu and standard tannic acid method, respectively. The antimicrobial activity of the extract with or without RS was evaluated using the minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) against *C. perfringens*.

**RESULTS:** Our findings showed that 100 ppm of pistachio peel extract could act as an inhibition factor against the growth of *C. perfringens*. The RS alone was not able to prevent *C. perfringens* growth. In contrast, 400 ppm dilution of RS+grape pomace extract could restrain *C. perfringens* growth. In contrast, the pomegranate pomace extract with and without RS could not inhibit its growth. On the other hand, the RS±pistachio peel extract could not prevent *C. perfringens* growth, in comparison with other treatments.

**CONCLUSIONS:** We concluded that grape pomace extract, both with and without RS, effectively prevented *C. perfringens* growth.

**KEYWORDS:** Antimicrobial activity, *Clostridium Perfringens*, Phenolic compounds, Prebiotic, Resistant starch

### Correspondence

Farzad Mirzaei Aghjehgheshlagh, Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Mohagheh Ardabili University, Ardabil, IRAN

Tel: +98 (453) 1505039, Fax: +98 (453) 1505035, Email: [f\\_mirzaei@uma.ac.ir](mailto:f_mirzaei@uma.ac.ir)

Received: 2020-08-31

Accepted: 2020-11-23

Copyright © 2021. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution- 4.0 International License which permits Share, copy and redistribution of the material in any medium or format or adapt, remix, transform, and build upon the material for any purpose, even commercially.

### How to Cite This Article

Karamati Jabehtar, S., Mirzaei Aghjehgheshlagh, F., Navidshad, B., Mahdavi, A., Staji, H., Hedayat Evrigh, N., A. (2021). Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations of Phenolic Extracts and Resistant Starch for *Clostridium perfringens*: *In vitro* Study. *Iranian Journal of Veterinary Medicine*, 15(1), 93-103.

## Introduction

*Clostridium perfringens* (*C. perfringens*) is a gram-positive, anaerobic, and rod-shaped bacterium that survives longer than vegetative cells, such as coliforms (e.g., *Escherichia coli* and *Enterococci*) (Gerba, 2015). This bacterium is distributed in nature and could be found in the intestine of animals and humans (Taghi Akhi et al., 2015). The ingested *C. perfringens* can produce enterotoxin in the intestine, which is capable of binding to the epithelial cells of the intestine leading to damaged cell membranes of the host. As a result, glucose absorption is prevented, while secretion of sodium and chloride increases due to altered permeability. In animal nutrition, reduced nutrient uptake causes a decline in feed efficiency, and removing *C. perfringens* from the intestines could improve growth performance (Zaffarano, 2003).

Feeding antibiotics for improving livestock performance has been associated with antibiotic resistance concerns. Resistant bacteria will be transported from animals to humans through food consumption (Zaffarano, 2003). Foodborne illness is the central problem of pathogenic resistant bacteria (Chan et al., 2018). Antibiotic-resistant *C. perfringens* strains are becoming a significant health concern due to their role in bacterial foodborne illnesses. However, increasing concern about antibiotic resistance is forcing farmers to find alternatives (Modi et al., 2014). These alternatives include probiotics and prebiotics, which can prevent the disease and improve growth characteristics. To alter the intestinal microbiota, the consumption of prebiotic carbohydrates like resistant starch (RS) is recommended (Herrmann et al., 2017). This type of carbohydrate is not digested in the upper gastrointestinal tract. According to Liu et al. (2020), Dietary fiber isolated from sweet potato residues, as a type of RS, significantly decreases the concentrations of *C. perfringens*.

On the other hand, the food industry has an ever-growing interest in using natural antimicrobials due to the health risk of chemical additives, which can improve food stability and safety against pathogens (Santas et al., 2010). Some chemicals, including phenolic compounds, which are a significant group of biologically active chemicals found in some foods, plants, and residual plants are generally recognized as safe (GRAS) (Lambert et al., 2001) and are often used as natural preservatives in food. These compounds are utilized as natural antimicrobials and have a great potential for controlling the growth of pathogens (Cetin-Karaca and Newman, 2015). In addition to their antimicrobial activity, they are of particular interest as natural alternatives to synthetic preservatives in food (Bouarab-Chibane et al., 2019).

Moreover, phenolic compounds and flavonoids are synthesized by many plants and fruit species that are utilized in traditional medicine or diets (Tungmunnithum et al., 2018). Kim et al. (2011) reported the antimicrobial activity of some plant-derived phenolic compounds. In a study by Jianu et al. (2012), the thymol derived from dill seeds had a strong antimicrobial impact on *C. perfringens*. However, there are no available reports about the synchronic effect of phenolic compounds and prebiotics on *C. perfringens* as a pathogenic bacterium. Therefore, this investigation was carried out to evaluate the antibacterial effect of the phenolic compound of the extracts of grape pomace, pistachio peel, and pomegranate pomace on *C. perfringens* in the presence or absence of RS.

## Materials and Methods

### Providing Material and Preparing Extracts

Pistachio peel (from Nut and Pistachio Peel Commerce Co., Mashhad), Pomegranate pomace (from Naairan Co., Saveh), and grape pomace (from SunSunShahd Co., Urmia) were purchased. The RS (Fibersol2) was purchased

from Karen Nutrilife Co., Yazd, Iran. For preparing the extracts, 50 g of air-dried and powdered (0.5 mm) pomegranate pomace, grape pomace, and pistachio peel were extracted separately with 300 mL methanol 99.5% and were kept and shaken every 30 min at room temperature for 30-32 h. Afterwards, the extracts were filtered through Whatman 42 mm and located in a water bath under sterile air condition. Finally, the extracts were collected and weighted after combining and evaporating all methanolic fractions.

#### **Determining the Total Phenolic Compounds and Total Tannin Content**

The Folin-Ciocalteu and standard tannic acid method were used to determine the total phenol and tannin content (Makkar, 2000). Briefly, tannins containing extracts were transferred into the test tube at three different quantities of 0.02, 0.05, and 0.1 ml. Next, 1.25 ml sodium carbonate solution and 0.25 ml Folin-Ciocalteu reagent were added and vortexed well. Absorbance was recorded at 725 nm after keeping at room temperature for 40 min. The total phenols were measured based on a standard calibration curve and expressed on a dry matter basis. Afterwards, the tannins were removed from the extract. For this aim, 100 mg Polyvinylpyrrolidone (PVPP) was poured into the test tube, 1 ml distilled water was added, vortexed well, and kept at 4°C for 15 min. The test tube was centrifuged at 3000 rpm for 10 min and the supernatant was collected. The phenolic content of this supernatant was calculated according to the Folin-Ciocalteu method. This non-tannin compound was expressed based on dry matter. After calculating total phenolic and non-tannin compounds, the result of subtracting the non-tannin from the total phenolic was considered as total tannin.

#### **Determining Minimum Inhibitory Concentration**

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of the extracts with or without RS was determined according to the recommendation

of the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards (NCCLS, 2000) using the broth microdilution method (96-well plate) in duplicates. Briefly, 0.02 g of each extract and 0.02 g of RS were added separately to a 2 mL sterile brain heart infusion (BHI) broth medium and were vortexed well to reach a final concentration of  $10^4$  ppm as the stock solution. Two-fold dilutions were prepared to obtain the concentrations of 50, 100, 200, 400, 800, 1600, and 3200 ppm of each extract in 2 mL BHI broth + dimethyl sulfoxide. The standard strain of *C. perfringens* ATTC 13124 was cultivated on Luria-Bertani (LB) broth to activate the bacteria (Sigma-Aldrich, Germany). Afterwards, the bacterial suspension was prepared in turbidity equal to 0.5 McFarland standard tubes ( $5 \times 10^5$  CFU/mL). Then, 200  $\mu$ l of each dilution of grape pomace extract, pistachio peel extract, pomegranate pomace extract, grape pomace extract+RS, pistachio peel extract+RS, pomegranate pomace extract+RS with 6  $\mu$ L of bacterial suspension of *C. perfringens* was added to each well. Finally, the plate was incubated at 37°C for 24 h in anaerobic conditions. After the incubation period, an enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay microplate reader was applied to measure the absorbance of each well at 630 nm (BIOTEK ELX 800, USA). The MIC was the lowest concentration of extracts with or without RS that prevented the visible growth of bacteria (Andrews, 2001).

#### **Statistical Analysis**

The data were recorded at 0 and 24 h (i.e., the times of inoculation and after incubation) and analyzed by the t-test ( $P \leq 0.05$ ) using the SAS software version 9.1 4 (Statistical Analysis Systems, Cary, NC, USA) for determining the difference between the growth rates of bacteria at two-hour intervals.

## **Results**

The total phenolic compounds of grape pomace, pomegranate pomace, and pistachio peel extracts were 2.7%, 14.94%, and 11.74% of dry

matter, respectively. The total phenolic compounds of pomegranate pomace and grape pomace were the highest and lowest, respectively. The tannin contents of grape pomace, pomegranate pomace, and pistachio peel extracts were 2.167%, 3.634%, and 1.906% of dry matter, respectively. Therefore, the highest tannin content was observed in pomegranate pomace.

The MICs of the extracts of grape pomace, pomegranate pomace, and pistachio peel for *C. perfringens* are shown in Table 1. According to this table, *C. perfringens* could grow in a culture media containing diverse dilutions of grape pomace extract, except 800 ppm. As shown in Table 1, *C. perfringens* did not grow in 100 and 200 ppm of pomegranate pomace extract. In contrast, the MIC of pistachio peel extract showed that 100 ppm of pistachio peel extract could inhibit the growth of *C. perfringens*.

**Table 1.** The Minimum Inhibitory Concentration results of grape pomace extract, pomegranate pomace extract, and pistachio peel extract for *Clostridium perfringens*

	Dilution	Maen-0h	Mean-24h	f-value	v. equal test	T-value	significant
Grape Pomace Extract	50	0.087	0.087	<.0001	Unequal	1.0000	NS
	100	0.1215	0.159	0.1003	Equal	0.1880	NS
	200	0.122	0.156	0.1409	Equal	0.0642	NS
	400	0.174	0.2515	0.2966	Equal	0.1250	NS
	800	0.2785	0.338	0.7487	Equal	0.0222	*
	1600	0.443	0.526	0.8705	Equal	0.1400	NS
	3200	0.8	0.9185	0.8562	Equal	0.0754	NS
Pomegranate Pomace Extract	50	0.102	0.223	0.1209	Equal	0.0291	*
	100	0.1075	0.228	0.0883	Equal	0.0792	NS
	200	0.1295	0.311	0.3349	Equal	0.0792	NS
	400	0.1755	0.3705	0.6289	Equal	0.0014	*
	800	0.4165	0.6015	0.4097	Equal	<.0001	*
	1600	0.3725	0.569	0.3711	Equal	0.0007	*
	3200	1.0035	1.167	0.6962	Equal	0.0067	*
Pistachio Peel Extract	50	0.112	0.117	0.7487	Equal	0.2999	NS
	100	0.128	0.1435	0.5903	Equal	0.0052	*
	200	0.2055	0.2975	0.3390	Equal	0.0038	*
	400	0.2975	0.357	0.9252	Equal	0.0101	*
	800	0.503	0.8455	0.6500	Equal	0.0017	*
	1600	0.903	1.4465	0.5096	Equal	0.0007	*
	3200	1.384	1.927	0.4320	Equal	0.0098	*

\*: Significant difference in bacterial growth between 0h and 24h ( $P \leq 0.05$ )

NS: Not Significant difference in bacterial growth between 0h and 24h ( $P > 0.05$ )

The MICs of RS are presented in [Table 2](#) which indicates that RS could not inhibit the growth of *C. perfringens* in all dilutions. As shown in [Table 3](#), RS + grape pomace extract prevented *C. perfringens* growth in 400, 800, 1600, and 3200 ppm dilutions. Therefore, the MIC of RS + grape pomace extract for *C.*

*perfringens* was 400 ppm dilution. The MICs of RS + pomegranate pomace extract for *C. perfringens* revealed that the combination of RS and pomegranate pomace extract could not inhibit *C. perfringens* growth. However, the dilutions of 50 and 100 ppm of RS + pistachio peel extract could restrain its growth ([Table 4](#)).

**Table 2.** The Minimum Inhibitory Concentration results of Resistant Starch for *Clostridium perfringens*

Dilution	Maen-0h	Mean-24h	f-value	v. equal test	T-value	Significant
50	0.088	0.3675	0.9023	Equal	0.0003	*
100	0.083	0.388	0.1688	Equal	0.0025	*
200	0.087	0.369	<.0001	Unequal	0.0045	*
400	0.0865	0.3685	1.0000	Equal	<.0001	*
800	0.08	0.362	0.4097	Equal	0.0005	*
1600	0.0775	0.3795	0.2513	Equal	<.0001	*
3200	0.0775	0.3675	0.1228	Equal	0.0029	*

\*: Significant difference in bacterial growth between 0h and 24h ( $P \leq 0.05$ )

NS: Not Significant difference in bacterial growth between 0h and 24h ( $P > 0.05$ )

**Table 3.** The Minimum Inhibitory Concentration results of grape pomace extract, pomegranate pomace extract, and pistachio peel extract + Resistant Starch for *Clostridium perfringens*

	Dilution	Maen-0h	Mean-24h	f-value	v. equal test	T-value	Significant
grape pomace extract + Resistant Starch	50	0.08	0.4165	0.1335	Equal	0.0072	*
	100	0.086	0.336	0.0606	Equal	0.0070	*
	200	0.0995	0.1795	0.1651	Equal	0.0204	*
	400	0.1275	0.173	0.1666	Equal	0.1409	NS
	800	0.17	0.2135	<.0001	Unequal	0.0656	NS
	1600	0.291	0.3355	0.1491	Equal	0.0351	NS
	3200	0.415	0.4605	0.4568	Equal	0.0087	NS
pomegranate pomace extract + Resistant Starch	50	0.0915	0.2495	0.1295	Equal	0.0234	*
	100	0.104	0.2445	0.0688	Equal	0.0169	*
	200	0.118	0.25	0.1521	Equal	0.0345	*
	400	0.151	0.329	0.5325	Equal	0.0030	*
	800	0.221	0.3885	0.6067	Equal	0.0107	*
	1600	0.3335	0.499	0.9252	Equal	0.0117	*
	3200	0.5295	0.735	0.3753	Equal	0.0197	*
pistachio peel ex-	50	0.0965	0.095	0.8591	Equal	0.6855	NS
	100	0.1145	0.12	0.8591	Equal	0.2280	NS



Dilution	Maen-0h	Mean-24h	f-value	v. equal test	T-value	Significant
200	0.151	0.1745	0.5903	Equal	0.0023	*
400	0.2135	0.2655	0.6199	Equal	0.0325	*
800	0.3435	0.5445	0.4097	Equal	0.0030	*
1600	0.5895	0.9885	1.0000	Equal	0.0003	*
3200	0.942	1.456	0.3119	Equal	<.0001	*

\*: Significant difference in bacterial growth between 0h and 24h ( $P \leq 0.05$ )

NS: Not Significant difference in bacterial growth between 0h and 24h ( $P > 0.05$ )

**Table 4.** Growth of *Clostridium perfringens* in different dilutions of phenolic extract ±Resistant Starch (brief)

	Dilution						
	50	100	200	400	800	1600	3200
grape pomace extract	-	-	-	-	+	-	-
pomegranate pomace extract	+	-	-	+	+	+	+
pistachio peel extract	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
RS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
grape pomace extract + RS	+	+	+	-	-	-	-
pomegranate pomace extract + RS	+	+	+	+	+	+	+
pistachio peel extract + RS	-	-	+	+	+	+	+

+: bacterial growth

- : Lack of bacterial growth

## Discussion

The structure of polyphenol, the microorganism strain, and the evaluated dosage are some factors that affect bacterial metabolism and growth (Hervert-Hernandez and Goni, 2011). The outer membrane of gram-negative bacteria is a lipopolysaccharide membrane (Kalambhe *et al.*, 2017). Consequently, gram-positive bacteria are more sensitive to polyphenols due to their wall composition (Ghimire *et al.*, 2017).

Recent findings demonstrated that phenolic compounds may bind to bacterial cell membranes and disturb their function leading to the inhibition of cell growth (Kemperman *et al.*, 2010). Singh *et al.* (2019) argued that polyphenols generate hydrogen peroxide and can alter the microbial membrane permeability. In addition, polyphenols can bind bacterial cell

membranes and alter membrane function resulting in prevention from their growth (Singh *et al.*, 2019).

Bouarab-Chibane *et al.* (2019) noticed that hydrogen bonding of hydroxyl groups of polyphenols (e.g., catechins and theaflavins) to lipid bilayers of cell membrane controls the antimicrobial mechanism of polyphenols. The configuration of these polyphenols is influenced by molecular structure at the time of binding to the bilayer surface, and they form hydrogen bonds with the lipid head groups. Selma *et al.* (2009) reported that the main genera involved in the metabolism of many phenolics (e.g., isoflavones, flavonols, flavones, and flavan-3-ols) are *C.* and *Eubacterium*.

Dolara *et al.* (2005) found a shift in fecal bacterial composition from *Bacteroides*, *Clostridium*, and *Propionibacterium* spp. to *Bacteroides*, *Lactobacillus*, and *Bifidobacterium* spp. in rats that consumed proanthocyanidin-rich grape extract. Larrosa *et al.* (2009) and Tzounis *et al.* (2008) stated that the growth of some *Bifidobacteria* and *Lactobacilli* were stimulated or remained comparatively unaltered by phenolic compounds, such as resveratrol. However, the growth of *C. perfringens* was inhibited by catechin and epicatechin, as the types of polyphenols. Yamakoshi *et al.* (2001) evaluated the growth inhibitory activity of grape seed extract against *C. perfringens*. They stated that the growth of *C. perfringens* was not prevented by the phenolic extract.

Bouarab-Chibane *et al.* (2019) stated that the phenolic compounds of plant extracts are natural alternatives to synthetic preservatives in food. Li *et al.* (2015) reported that pomegranate extract increased the growth of *Lactobacilli* and *bifidobacteria*. On the other hand, it inhibited the growth of the *Bacteroides fragilis* group, *Clostridia*, and *Enterobacteriaceae* in stool cultures. In another study carried out by Rosas-Burgos *et al.* (2016), the most sensitive strains to the constituents of pomegranate by-products were gram-positive intestinal pathogenic species, such as *C. perfringens*. Naziri *et al.* (2012) demonstrated that the different antibacterial activities of the methanolic extract of pomegranate peel may be due to the variations in the antibacterial substances, namely tannins and phenolic substances. Kavak *et al.* (2010) investigated *Pistacia terebinthus* extract, as a potential antioxidant, antimicrobial, and possible  $\beta$ -glucuronidase inhibitor. These authors concluded that *Pistacia terebinthus* leaf extract had antimicrobial activity against *Staphylococcus aureus* as a gram-positive bacteria, while it did not have sufficient antimicrobial activity against *E. coli*.

Tzounis *et al.* (2011) suggested that phenolic compounds (flavan-3-ol monomers) may influence the bacterial population of the large intestine even in the presence of carbohydrates and proteins. It appears that polyphenols have a prebiotic effect on the modulation of gut microbiota and exert antimicrobial activities against pathogenic gastrointestinal bacteria (Kawabata *et al.*, 2019). As mentioned before, the host physiology is dependent on gut microbiota (Umu *et al.*, 2013), and the distinct physico-chemical and metabolic properties of fibers result in a different impact on community composition from the ingestion of dietary (Umu *et al.*, 2015). Therefore, the prebiotic characteristics of RS may be due to the non-digestibility of carbohydrate fractions for colonic bacteria that influence the host gut health (Spencer, 2011). The weight of the total gastrointestinal tract increased by RS consumption in the animals. Elevated bacterial mass, fermentation end-products (Slavin, 2013), and augmented metabolically active tissue in the colon (Souza da Silva *et al.*, 2014) result from the mentioned effect of RS. The prebiotics selectively stimulate the growth of beneficial bacteria, such as *Lactobacilli* and *bifidobacteria* (Samal *et al.*, 2015), while suppressing the growth of toxigenic and proteolytic bacteria, including *C. perfringens*, *Streptococcus* spp., and *Staphylococcus* spp. (Samarasinghe *et al.*, 2003; Rohin *et al.*, 2014). We found that although RS did not affect growth prevention of *C. perfringens*, grape pomace extract and RS had a synchronic inhibitory effect on this strain.

## Conclusion

We concluded that the grape pomace extract prevented *C. perfringens* growth. The RS had no inhibitory effect on the growth of this bacterium. However, the treatments of RS + pomegranate pomace extract and RS pistachio peel extract could not inhibit the growth of *C. perfringens*. On the other hand the RS + grape pomace extract could well

suppress the growth of *C. perfringens* by a synchronic inhibitory effect.

## Acknowledgments

The authors of this article express their appreciation to the faculty of Veterinary Medicine, department of Pathobiology in the Semnan University, for their help in this research.

## References

- Akhi, M. T., Asl, S. B., Pirzadeh, T., Naghili, B., Yeganeh, F., Memar, Y., & Mohammadzadeh, Y. (2015). Antibiotic sensitivity of *Clostridium perfringens* isolated from faeces in Tabriz, Iran. *Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology*, 8(7). [DOI:10.5812/jjm.20863v2] [PMID] [PMCID]
- Andrews, J.M. (2001). Determination of Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations. *J Antimicrob Chemother*, 1, 5-16. [DOI:10.1080/1120009X.1989.11738941] [PMID]
- Bouarab-Chibane, L., Degraeve, P., Ferhout, H., Bouajila, J., and Oulahal, N. (2019). Plant antimicrobial polyphenols as potential natural food preservatives. *J Sci Food Agric*, 99, 1457-1474. [DOI:10.1002/jsfa.9357] [PMID]
- Bouarab-Chibane, L., Forquet, V., Lanteri, P., Clement, Y., Léonard-Akkari, L., Oulahal, N., et al. (2019). Antibacterial properties of polyphenols: characterization and qsar (quantitative structure-activity relationship) *Models, Front Microbiol*, 10, 829. [DOI:10.3389/fmicb.2019.00829] [PMID] [PMCID]
- Cetin-Karaca, H., Newman, M.C. (2015). Antimicrobial efficacy of natural phenolic compounds against gram positive foodborne pathogens. *J Food Res*, 4(6), 14-27. [DOI:10.5539/jfr.v4n6p14]
- Chan, C.L., Gan, R.Y., Shah, N.P., Corke, H. (2018). Polyphenols from selected dietary spices and medicinal herbs differentially affect common food-borne pathogenic bacteria and lactic acid bacteria. *Food Control*, 92, 437-443. [DOI:10.1016/j.foodcont.2018.05.032]
- Dolara, P., Luceri, C., De Filippo, C., Femia, A. P., Giovannelli, L., Caderni, G., ... & Cresci, A. (2005). Red wine polyphenols influence carcinogenesis, intestinal microflora, oxidative damage and gene expression profiles of colonic mucosa in F344 rats. *Mutation Research/Fundamental and Molecular Mechanisms of Mutagenesis*, 591(1-2), 237-246. [DOI:10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2005.04.022] [PMID]
- Gerba, C.P. (2015). *Environmental Microbiology: Chapter 23- Indicator Microorganisms*. (3<sup>rd</sup> ed). Academic Press, p. 551-564. [DOI:10.1016/B978-0-12-394626-3.00023-5]
- Ghimire, B. K., Seong, E. S., Yu, C. Y., Kim, S. H., & Chung, I. M. (2017). Evaluation of phenolic compounds and antimicrobial activities in transgenic *Codonopsis lanceolata* plants via overexpression of the  $\gamma$ -tocopherol methyltransferase ( $\gamma$ -tmt) gene. *S Afr J Bot*, 109, 25-33. [DOI:10.1016/j.sajb.2016.12.022]
- Herrmann, E., Young, W., Rosendale, D., Conrad, R., Riedel, C.U., Egert, M. (2017). Determination of resistant starch assimilating bacteria in fecal samples of mice by *in vitro* rna-based stable isotope probing. *Front Microbiol*, 8, 1331. [DOI:10.3389/fmicb.2017.01331] [PMID] [PMCID]
- Hervert-Hernandez, D., Goni, I. (2011). Dietary polyphenols and human gut microbiota: a review. *Food Rev Int*, 27, 154-69. [DOI:10.1080/87559129.2010.535233]
- Jianu, C., Misca, C., Pop, G., Rusu, L.C., Ardelean, L., Gruia, A.T. (2012). Chemical composition and antimicrobial activity of essential oils obtained from dill (*Anethum graveolens* L.)

## Conflict of Interest

The authors declared no conflict of interest.



- grown in western Romania. *Revista De Chimie*, 63, 641-645.
- Kalambhe, D.G., Zade N.N., Chaudhari, S.P. (2017). Evaluation of two different lipopolysaccharide extraction methods for purity and functionality of LPS. *Int J Curr Microbiol Appl Sci*, 6(3), 1296-1302. [DOI:10.20546/ijcmas.2017.603.150]
- Kavak, D.D., Altıok, E., Bayraktar, O., Ulku, S. (2010). Pistacia terebinthus extract: As a potential antioxidant, antimicrobial and possible  $\beta$ -glucuronidase inhibitor. *J Mol Catal B Enzym*, 64, 167-171. [DOI:10.1016/j.molcatb.2010.01.029]
- Kawabata, K., Yoshioka, Y., Terao, J. (2019). Role of intestinal microbiota in the bioavailability and physiological functions of dietary polyphenols. *Molecules*, 24, 370. [DOI:10.3390/molecules24020370] [PMID] [PMCID]
- Kemperman, R.A., Bolca, S., Roger, L.C., Vaughan, E.E. (2010). Novel approaches for analyzing gut microbes and dietary polyphenols: challenges and opportunities. *Microbiology*, 156(11), 3224-31. [DOI:10.1099/mic.0.042127-0] [PMID]
- Kim, S.Y., Kang, D.H., Kim, J.K. (2011). Antimicrobial activity of plant extracts against *Salmonella Typhimurium*, *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, and *Listeria monocytogenes* on fresh lettuce. *J Food Sci*, 76, 41-46. [DOI:10.1111/j.1750-3841.2010.01926.x] [PMID]
- Larrosa, M., Yanez-Gascon, M.J., Selma, M.V., Gonzalez-Sarrias, A., Toti, S., Ceronm, J.J., et al. (2009). Effect of a low dose of dietary resveratrol on colon microbiota, inflammation and tissue damage in a DSS-Induced colitis rat model. *J Agric Food Chem*, 57, 2211-2220. [DOI:10.1021/jf803638d] [PMID]
- Li, Z., Summanen, P.H., Komoriya, T., Henning, S.M., Lee, R.P., Carlson, E., et al. (2015). Pomegranate ellagitannins stimulate growth of gut bacteria *In vitro*: implications for prebiotic and metabolic effects. *Anaerobe*, 34,164-168. [DOI:10.1016/j.anaerobe.2015.05.012] [PMID]
- Liu, M., Li, X., Zhou, S., Wang, T.T.Y., Zhou, S., Yang, K., et al. (2020). Dietary fiber isolated from sweet potato residues promote healthy gut microbiome profile. *Food Funct*, 11(1), 689-699. [DOI:10.1039/C9FO01009B] [PMID]
- Makkar, H.P.S. (2000). *Quantification of tannins in tree foliage: A laboratory manual*. FAO/IAEA Edition, Vienna.
- Modi, S.R., Collins, J.J., Relman, D.A. (2014). Antibiotics and the gut microbiota. *J Clin Invest*, 124, 4212-4218. [DOI:10.1172/JCI72333] [PMID] [PMCID]
- Naziri, Z., Rajaian, H., Firouzi, R. (2012). Antibacterial effects of Iranian native sour and sweet pomegranate (*Punica granatum*) peel extracts against various pathogenic bacteria. *Iranian Journal of Veterinary Research*, 13(4), 282-288.
- Rohin, M.A.K., Abu Bakar, A., Ali, A.M. (2014). Isolation and characterization of oligosaccharides composition in organically grown red pitaya, white pitaya and papaya. *Int J Pharm Pharm Sci*, 6, 131-136.
- Rosas-Burgos, E. C., Burgos-Hernández, A., Noguera-Artiaga, L., Kačániová, M., Hernández-García, F., Cárdenas-López, J. L., & Carbonell-Barrachina, Á. A. (2017). Antimicrobial activity of pomegranate peel extracts as affected by cultivar. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture*, 97(3), 802-810. [DOI:10.1002/jsfa.7799] [PMID]
- Samal, L., Chaturvedi, V.B., Saikumar, G., Pattnaik, A.k. (2015). Prebiotic potential of Jerusalem artichoke (*Helianthus tuberosus* L.) in Wistar rats: Effects of levels of supplementation on hindgut fermentation, intestinal morphology, blood metabolites and immune response. *J Sci Food Agric*, 95, 1689-1696. [DOI:10.1002/jsfa.6873] [PMID]
- Samarasinghe, K., Wenk, C., Silva, K.F.S.T., Gunasekera, J.M.D.M. (2003). Turmeric (*Curcuma longa*) root powder and mannanoligosaccharides as alternatives to antibiotics in broiler chicken diets. *Asian-Australas J Anim Sci*, 16, 1495-1500. [DOI:10.5713/ajas.2003.1495]
- Santas, J., Almajano, M., Carbo, R. (2010). Antimicrobial and antioxidant activity of crude onion (*Allium cepa*, L.) extracts. *Int J Food Sci Technol*, 45, 403-409. [DOI:10.1111/j.1365-2621.2009.02169.x]
- Selma, M.V., Espin, J.C., Tomas-Barberan, F.A. (2009). Interaction between phenolics and gut microbiota: role in human health. *J Agric Food Chem*, 57, 6485-501.

- [DOI:10.1021/jf902107d] [PMID]
- Singh, A. K., Cabral, C., Kumar, R., Ganguly, R., Rana, H. K., Gupta, A., *et al.* (2019). Beneficial effects of dietary polyphenols on gut microbiota and strategies to improve delivery efficiency. *Nutrients*, 11(9), 2216. [DOI:10.3390/nu11092216] [PMID] [PMCID]
- Slavin, J. (2013). Fiber and prebiotics: mechanisms and health benefits. *Nutrients*, 5, 1417-35. [DOI:10.3390/nu5041417] [PMID] [PMCID]
- Souza da Silva, C., Bosch, G., Bolhuis, J.E., Stappers, L.J.N., van Hees, H.M.J., Gerrits, W.J.J., *et al.* (2014). Effects of alginate and resistant starch on feeding patterns, behaviour and performance in ad libitum-fed growing pigs. *Animal*, 12, 1917-27. [DOI:10.1017/S1751731114001840] [PMID]
- Tungmunnithum, D., Thongboonyou, A., Pholboon, A., Yangsabai, A. (2018). Flavonoids and other phenolic compounds from medicinal plants for pharmaceutical and medical aspects: an overview. *Medicines*, 5(93), 1-15. [DOI:10.3390/medicines5030093] [PMID] [PMCID]
- Tzounis, X., Rodriguez-Mateos, A., Vulevic, J., Gibson, G.R., Kwik-Urbe, C., Spencer, J.P. (2011). Prebiotic evaluation of cocoa-derived flavanols in healthy humans by using a randomized, controlled, double-blind, crossover intervention study. *Am J Clin Nutr*, 93, 62-72. [DOI:10.3945/ajcn.110.000075] [PMID]
- Tzounis, X., Vulevic, J., Kuhnle, G.G., George, T., Leonczak, J., Gibson, G.R., *et al.* (2008). Flavanol monomer-induced changes to the human faecal microflora. *Br J Nutr*, 99, 782-792. [DOI:10.1017/S0007114507853384] [PMID]
- Umu O.C., Frank J.A, Fangel J.U., Oostindjer, M., Silva C.S.d., Bolhuis E.J., *et al.* (2015). Resistant starch diet induces change in the swine microbiome and a predominance of beneficial bacterial populations. *Microbiome*, 3, 16. [DOI:10.1186/s40168-015-0078-5] [PMID] [PMCID]
- Umu, Ö. C. O., Oostindjer, M., Pope, P. B., Svihus, B., Egelandsdal, B., Nes, I. F., & Diep, D. B. (2013). Potential applications of gut microbiota to control human physiology. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek*, 104(5), 609-618. [DOI:10.1007/s10482-013-0008-0] [PMID]
- Yamakoshi, J., Tokutake, S., Kikuchi, M., Konishi, H., Mitsuoka, T. (2001). Effect of proanthocyanidin-rich extract from grape seeds on human fecal flora and fecal odor. *Microb Ecol*, 13, 25-31, [DOI:10.1080/089106001750071672]
- Zaffarano, J.I. (2003). Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations of two common food phenolic compounds and their effect on the microbial ecology of swine feces *In vitro*, M.Sc. Thesis, University of Kentucky, USA

## حداقل غلظت بازدارندگی عصاره فنولی و نشاسته مقاوم بر کلاستریدیوم پرفرینجنس: مطالعه آزمایشگاهی

سمیرا کرامتی جبه‌دار<sup>۱</sup>، فرزاد میرزائی آقجه قشلاق<sup>۱\*</sup>، بهمن نویدشاد<sup>۱</sup>، علی مهدوی<sup>۲</sup>، حمید استاجی<sup>۳</sup> و نعمت هدایت ایوریق<sup>۱</sup>

<sup>۱</sup>گروه علوم دامی، دانشکده کشاورزی و منابع طبیعی، دانشگاه محقق اردبیلی، اردبیل، ایران

<sup>۲</sup>گروه علوم دامی و صنایع غذایی، دانشکده دامپزشکی، دانشگاه سمنان، سمنان، ایران

<sup>۳</sup>گروه پاتوبیولوژی، دانشکده دامپزشکی، دانشگاه سمنان، سمنان، ایران

(دریافت مقاله: ۱۰ شهریور ماه ۱۳۹۹، پذیرش نهایی: ۰۳ آذر ماه ۱۳۹۹)

**زمینه مطالعه:** نقش کلاستریدیوم پرفرینجنس در ایجاد بیماری‌هایی که از طریق خوراک منتقل می‌شوند، مسئله مهمی است که در صنعت خوراک انسان و دام وجود دارد. افزایش نگرانی‌ها درباره مقاومت آنتی بیوتیکی انسان را وادار به یافتن جایگزین‌هایی برای آنتی بیوتیک‌ها کرده است.

**هدف:** هدف از تحقیق حاضر بررسی فعالیت ضد میکروبی عصاره تفاله انگور، عصاره پوسته پسته و عصاره تفاله انار در حضور یا عدم حضور نشاسته مقاوم به عنوان پری بیوتیک بر کلاستریدیوم پرفرینجنس بود.

**روش کار:** برای این هدف، نشاسته مقاوم (فایبرسول ۲) فراهم شده و عصاره تفاله انار، پوسته پسته و تفاله انار آماده شد. میزان فنول کل و تانن عصاره‌ها به ترتیب به وسیله روش فولین سیوکالتو و استاندارد اسید تانیک تعیین شد. فعالیت ضد میکروبی عصاره‌ها در ترکیب یا بدون نشاسته مقاوم با استفاده از روش حداقل غلظت بازدارندگی علیه باکتری کلاستریدیوم پرفرینجنس ارزیابی شد.

**نتایج:** نتایج نشان داد که ۱۰۰ پی‌پی‌ام از عصاره پوسته پسته توانست به عنوان یک بازدارنده رشد برای کلاستریدیوم پرفرینجنس عمل کند. نشاسته مقاوم به تنهایی قادر به ممانعت از رشد کلاستریدیوم پرفرینجنس نبود. درحالی که ۴۰۰ پی‌پی‌ام از مخلوط عصاره تفاله انگور و نشاسته مقاوم از رشد کلاستریدیوم پرفرینجنس ممانعت کرد؛ در مقابل، عصاره پوسته انار در هر دو حالت بدون نشاسته مقاوم و در ترکیب با نشاسته مقاوم مانع رشد این باکتری نشد. از سویی دیگر، عصاره پوسته پسته در مخلوط با نشاسته مقاوم و بدون نشاسته مقاوم در مقایسه با سایر تیمارها نتوانست از رشد باکتری کلاستریدیوم پرفرینجنس جلوگیری کند.

**نتیجه‌گیری نهایی:** عصاره تفاله انگور در هر دو حالت، همراه با نشاسته مقاوم و بدون نشاسته مقاوم، توانست در ممانعت از رشد کلاستریدیوم پرفرینجنس موثر باشد.

**واژه‌های کلیدی:** پری بیوتیک، ترکیبات فنولی، فعالیت ضد میکروبی، کلاستریدیوم پرفرینجنس، نشاسته مقاوم