Language, Metaphor, Truth: The Role of Metaphor in the Development of Poststructuralism, based on Derrida's Ideas

Fardin Hosseinpanahi^{*} Seyed Asaad Sheikhahmadi^{**}

Abstract

Poststructuralists, especially Derrida rely on processes and mechanisms such as deferment, trace and otherness to deal with the criticism of binary oppositions and metaphysics-based approaches. Despite the emphasis post-structuralism puts on its own mechanisms and approaches, explaining the theoretical deep structures of post-structuralism (and especially the ideas of Derrida) helps to realize the fundamental role of metaphor and metaphorical functions in the development of its theoretical foundations. This paper seeks to demonstrate that post-structuralism is essentially formed based on the metaphorical nature of language, and its theoretical and methodological bases are also inspired by the mechanisms of "metaphor" and "metaphorical function". On the other hand, it is the basis for a critique of post-structuralism. The results of this study show the other functions of "metaphor" in the evolution of human cognitive and thought system.

Derrida takes différance as the prior aspect in (to) language. He believes there is no truth beyond the system that is based on différance and otherness in language since such conceptions as center, truth, reality, origin, existence, absolute signification, and signified never come to be. This approach is the ground for Derrida's view of such categories like the rejection of signs, plurality of signification, plurality of identity and absolute subjectivity, priority of text, floating of signifier and deferral of signified. Among the fundamental oppositions that Derrida addresses to deconstruct, the opposition of signifier / signified is of great importance, as it affects his approach to oppositions such as: speech / writing and form / meaning. Derrida's purpose of deconstructing such oppositions is to criticize their metaphysical deep structures, and to cut the metaphysical roots of sign. Relying excessively on the "signifier" and its functions in the referral system of language, Derrida neglects the important role of the "signified" in identification of the signifier in this approach. There stands a permanent gap between the signifier and the signified, however, the identification of the subject relies on its reference to the signified, and it represents the proportionality and ontological conjugates extant between them.

Received: 23.08.2014 Accepted: 29.05.2016

 \odot

NC NO This work is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License

^{*} Ph. D. Student of Persian Language and Literature, University of Kurdistan, Sanandaj, Iran. fardin.hp@gmail.com

^{**} Assistant Professor of Persian Language and Literature, University of Kurdistan, Sanandaj, Iran asaad1385@yahoo.com

Interpreting all ideas "in language" and "through language", ignores many deep and deciding bases. The recognition of reality in human perception system is based on a metaphorical process that is formed by distancing from the reality, and through the transfer from one signification to another signification. On the other hand, the mechanism of human mind is based on separating the things and putting them (or their components) "in relation to one another". In such a case, the integral concepts like truth, center, origin, and existence, would never find linguistic and mental manifestations. Accordingly, Derrida does not believe in the existence of truth, center or origin that are beyond différance as the prior aspect to language. Although metaphysics is itself a system of signification, its deep structure, as well as its relation to intuitive perceptions (not concepts) makes metaphorical and referral mechanisms underlying language to ultimately operate on a metaphysical ground. As his methodological approach shows, Derrida finally, and in spite of his claim, has operated on a metaphysical ground. The deep structures of Derrida's ideas are more specifically in common with the ideas of Maimonides, and this shows that Derrida was influenced by his ideas.

Keywords: Language, Metaphor, Center, Post-structuralism, Derrida

References

- Quran
- -Abrams, M. H & Geoffrey Galt Harpham (2005). *A Glossary of Literary Terms*, 8th ed., Boston: Thomson Wadsworth.
- -Abu Deeb, Kamäl (1984). Categorizing of Jorjani's metaphor: regard to Categorizing of Aristotle's metaphor, Alimohammad Haqshenäs (trans.), *Maa'äref*, No 1, pp. 37-76.
- Adamson, Joseph (2009). Deconstruction, *Encyclopedia of Contemporary Literary Theory (Approaches, Scholars, Terms)*, Irena Rima Makaryk, Mehrän Mohäjer & Mohammad Nabavi (Trans.), Tehran: Ägäh. pp. 452-459.
- Ahmadi, Bäbak (2005). *The Text-structure and Textual Interpretation*, Tehran: Markaz.
- Aristotle (1973). Poetics, Abd ol-hossein Zarrinkub (Trans.), Tehran: B.T.N.K.
- A'ttär, Mohammad bin Ebrähim (2009). *Manteq ol-Tayr*, Mohammadrezä Shafie'i Kadkani (emend), 3th Ed., Tehran: Sokhan.
- Bäbak Moein, Mortezä (2012). Metaphor and Plot in Paul Ricoeur's Ideas, *Literary Criticism*, No 20, pp. 7-26.
- Barthes (A), Roland (1977). "From Work to Text", *Image Music Text*, Stephen Heath (Trans.), London: Fontana, pp. 155-164
- Barthes (B), Roland (1977). "The Death of the Author", *Image Music Text*, Stephen Heath (Trans.), London: Fontana, pp. 142-148
- Cassirer, Ernest (2008). *The Philosophy of Symbolic Forms (Vol.2: Mythical thought)*, Yad ol-Alläh Muqen (Trans.), Tehran: Hermes.
- Culler, Jonathan (2007). *Ferdinand de Saussure*, Koresh Safavi (Trans.), Tehran: Hermes.

and the Study of Literature, Koresh Safavi (Trans.), Tehran: Minoo-ye Kherad.

- Derrida (A), Jacques (2011). *De la Grammatologie*, Mahdi Pärsä, Tehran: Rokhdäd Now.
- Derrida (B), Jacques (2011). "Structure, Sign, and Play in the Discourse of the Human Sciences", in *Towards the Postmodern (Post structuralism in Literary Studies)*, Payäm yazdänjoo (Trans.), Tehran: Markaz, pp. 15-43.
- ----- (2002). *Positions*, Payäm yazdänjoo (Trans.), Tehran: Markaz.
- Ebrähimi Dinäni, Qolämhossein (2009). Jewish Philosophers and an enormous *matter*, Tehran: Hermes.
- Gandomkär, Rähele (2012). "A New View to how Understand the Metaphor in Persian Language", *Adab Pazhuhi*, No 19, pp. 151-167.
- Hagberg, Garry L. (2012). "Metaphor", *The Routledge Companion to Aesthetics*, Berys Gaut & Dominic McIver Lopes (Edit.), Manuchehr sänee'i Darebidi & Amirali Nojumiän & Shide Ahmadzäde & Bäbak Mohaqqeq & Masud Qäsemiän & Farhäd Säsäni (Trans.), Tehran: Matn, pp. 207-214.
- Harland, Richard (2009). Super Structuralism: The Philosophy of Structuralism and Post structuralism, Farzän Sojoodi (Trans.), Tehran: Soore-ye Mehr.
- Hawks, Trance (1998). Metaphor, Farzäne Täheri (Trans.), Tehran: Markaz.
- Ibn Meymun, Musa (n.d.). *Delälat ol-Häierin (The Guide of the Perplexed)*, Hossein Atay (emend), Maktab al-saqäfat ol-Diniyya.
- Jacobson, Roman (2007). "The Metaphoric and Metonymic Poles in Aphasia", *Linguistics and Literary Criticism*, Maryam Xuzän & Hossein Päyande, 2th Ed., Tehran: Nashre Ney, pp. 39-46.
- Jorgenson, Marianne & Philips L. (2010). Discourse Analysis as Theory and Method, Hädi Jalili (Trans.), Tehran: Nashre Ney.
- Jorjäni, Abd ol-qäher (1984). *Asrär ol-Beläqat fi E'elm ol-Bayän*, Sayed Mohammad Rashid Rezä (emend), Qom: Manshurät al-Razi.
- ----- (2004). *Daläel ol-Ee'jäz*, Mahmud Mohammad Shaker (emend), Cairo: Maktab al-Khäneji.
- Khatib-e Qazvini, Jaläl ol-Din Mohammad (2009), *Talkhis al-Meftäh*, Ahmad Amini (Trans.), Sanandaj: Kälej.
- Lakoff, G. (2004). "The Contemporary Theory of Metaphor", Farzän sojoodi (Trans.), *Metaphor: The Basis of Thought and Device of Aestheticizing*, Farhäd säsäni (Edit.), Tehran; Soore-ye Mehr, pp. 195-298.
- Moran, Richards (2004). "Metaphor", Azitä Afräshi (Trans.), *Metaphor: The Basis of Thought and Device of Aestheticizing*, Farhäd säsäni (Edit.), Tehran; Soore-ye Mehr, pp. 371-416.
- Morgan Wortham, Simon (2010). The Derrida Dictionary, London: Continuum.
- Nezämi, Elyäs bin Yusef (2003). *Layli & Majnoon*, quintuplet of Nezämi Ganjavi, Vahid Dastgerdi (emend), Tehran: e'elm.
- Nietzsche, F. (2004). "On Truth and Lie in an Extra-Moral Sense", Moräd farhädpoor (Trans.), *Theoretical Foundation of Modernism (A Collection of Articles) (Organon3)*, Tehran: PPOIR, pp. 121-136.
- Richards, I.A. (2003). *The Philosophy of Rhetoric*, Alimohammad Äsyäbädi, Tehran: Qatre.

- Sakkäki, Abu-Ya'qub Yusef bin Abu-Bakr (1987). *Meftäh ol-o'lum*, Nae'im Zarzoor (emend), Beirut: Dar al-Kotob al-e'elmiyyat.
- Saussure, Ferdinand de (2003). *The Course of General Linguistics*, Koresh Safavi (Trans.), Tehran: Hermes.
- Shamisä, Sirus (1999). Bayän, Tehran: Ferdows.
- Strauss, Leo (1963). *How to Begin to Study the Guide of the Perplexed*, Chicago: University of Chicago Press.
- Taftäzäni, Saa'd ol-Din Mas'ud bin Omar (2004), *Almotawwal (The Explanation of Talkhis al-Meftäh)*, Ahmad a'zzu e'näyat (emend), Beirut: Dar Ehyä al-Toräs al-A'rabi.
- Zeymarän, Mohammad (2000), *Jacques Derrida and Metaphysics of Presence*, Tehran: Hermes.