Jundishapur J Microbiol. 2012;**5**(2):401-404. DOI: 10.5812/jjm.3190



Isolation of Biosurfactant Producing Bacteria From Oily Skin Areas of Small Animals

Azizollah Ebrahimi^{1*}, Najmeh Tashi², Sharareh Lotfalian¹

¹Department of Pathobiology, School of Veterinary Science, Shahrekord University, Shahrekord, IR Iran
² School of Veterinary Science, Shahrekord University, Shahrekord, IR Iran

ARTICLE INFO	ABSTRACT
<i>Article type:</i> Original Article	Background: Biosurfactants or microbial surfactants are surface-active biomolecules that are produced by a variety of microorganisms. They are a structurally diverse group
Article history: Received: 01 May 2011 Revised: 05 Nov 2011	 of surface-active molecules and are highly sought after biomolecules for both present and future applications. Objectives: The aim of the present study was to isolate and identify biosurfactant producing bacteria from the ear canal and inguinal areas (oily skin areas) of dors and cats.
Accepted: 01 Oct 2011	Materials and Methods: Eighty inguinal area and ear canal samples were collected from stray and owned dogs and cate (10 primals each 20 camples) and screaped for bigsur
<i>Keywords:</i> Biosurfactant Oil spreading Dog Cat	factant-producing bacteria using criteria such as hemolysis, oil spreading and E 24 emul- sification index tests. The isolated strains were identified at genus level. Results: 42 hemolytic bacterial strains (20 from dogs and 22 from cats) were isolated. The owned animal's samples had a higher population of positive strains than the stray ones. In total 11 isolates (26. 2%) were positive for all examinations, out of these 9 (21. 1%)
Skin	isolates belonged to owned animals. 9 isolates (out of 11) (82%) were gram positive of which 4 (44. 4%) were <i>Bacillus spp</i> . and 3 (27. 2%) <i>Lactobacillus spp</i> . Conclusions: The results showed that biosurfactant producing bacteria are distributed in the oily skin areas of both dogs and cats. Further investigation into the composition of the biosurfactants and phylogenetic determination of biosurfactant producing bacteria is suggested.
	Copyright © 2012 Kowsar Corp. All rights reserved.

► Implication for health policy/practice/research/medical education: Oily skin areas of small animals may be a source of novel biosurfactant-producing bacteria.

▶ Please cite this paper as:

Ebrahimi A, Tashi N, Lotfalian S. Isolation of Biosurfactant Producing Bacteria From Oily Skin Areas of Small Animal. *Jundishapour J Microbiol*.2012.5(2):401-4. DOI: 10.5812/jjm.3190

1. Background

Biosurfactants are unique amphipathic molecules with properties that have been explored for a variety of industrial and bioremediation applications (1). They are amphiphilic compounds produced on living surfaces, mostly on microbial cell surfaces, or excreted extra cel-

DOI:10.5812/jjm.3190

Copyright ©2012 Kowsar Corp. All rights reserved.

lularly and contain hydrophobic and hydrophilic moieties that confer the ability to accumulate between fluid phases, thus reducing surface and interfacial tension at the surface and interface respectively (2). Rosenberg and Ron (3) suggested that biosurfactants can be divided into low-molecular-mass molecules, which efficiently lower surface and interfacial tension, and high molecular-mass polymers, which are more effective as emulsion-stabilizing agents. Recently, several groups have presented intriguing data suggesting that biosurfactants are important for microbial growth and survival in the environment. For example, surfactin production is necessary for fruiting body formation by *Bacillus subtilis* (4).

Apart from their obvious role as agents that decrease

^{*} Corresponding author: Azizollah Ebrahimi, Department of Pathobiology, College of Veterinary Science, P. O. BOX 115,Postal Code, 88186/34141, Shahrekord University, Shahrekord, IRIran. Tel: +98-3814424427, Fax: +98-3814424427, *E-mail:* A_kahrizsangi@yahoo.com

surface and interfacial tension, thus promoting the formation and stabilization of emulsions, surfactants can have several other functions. They improve the consistency and texture of fat-based products (5). Several biosurfactants have shown antimicrobial action against bacteria, fungi, algae and viruses (6). There are many advantages of biosurfactants compared to their chemically synthesized counterparts. Research on this subject, will make them highly sought after biomolecules for present and future applications such as fine specialty chemicals, biological control agents and new generation molecules for pharmaceutical, cosmetic and health care industries. Although a large number of biosurfactant producers have been reported in the literature, based on our knowledge there is no report on the screening and isolation of these microorganisms from animal skins.

2. Objectives

The aim of the present study was to investigate biosurfactant producing bacteria (BPB) habitats in ear canal and inguinal areas (IA) (oily skin areas) of dogs and cats.

3. Materials and Methods

3.1. Sample Collection

The study was carried out between September 2010 and April 2011 on 40, stray and owned dogs and cats. They were randomly selected from the stray dogs and cats in areas around Shahrekord University. Owned animals were referred to veterinary clinics in Esfahan for routine checking and vaccination. All animals were adults and were found to be apparently healthy. Samples were collected by inserting sterile cotton-tipped applicator sticks into the ear canal and rubbing on the inguinal areas. The surfaces were swiped thoroughly by rolling the wet swabs to attain maximum contact. The swabs were put in separate sterile test tubes containing Stuart transport media (Quelab cat. QB-65-5015), labeled and kept in a cool box then transported to the veterinary microbiology laboratory at the veterinary college of Shahrekord University for further processing. For the bacteriological examination, the swabs were removed from the tubes and streaked over plates of blood agar-base (Scharlau 01-352, EU) supplemented with 7% sheep blood. The streaking was further spread with an inoculating loop to aid colony isolation. The plates were labelled and incubated aerobically at 37°C for 24-48 h (7). One colony was selected from those colonies that had similar morphologies and sub-cultured on blood agar plates for further analysis.

3.2. Screening Methods

The first screening test for the identification and isolation of BPB was a hemolysis test (8). In order to assay the hemolytic activity each strain was streaked onto a blood agar medium and incubated for 48 h at 37°C. The plates were visually inspected for zones of clearing around the colonies, indicative of biosurfactant production. For identification, Gram staining, catalase and oxidase tests were performed on the isolated haemolytic positive strains using a standard biochemical scheme according to Balows et al (9). Hemolytic isolates were inoculated into tubes containing Luria bertani broth (LB, Biomark-B699) media and incubated at 37°C for 72 h and shaken (~ 50 rpm). For each set of cultures one tube of sterile LB was considered as control. For the oil spreading technique (OS), 50 mL of distilled water was added to a large petri dish (25 cm diameter) followed by the addition of 20 µL of n-Decane (Merck, UN 2247) to the surface of the water. Ten microliters of LB culture cell-free broth (centrifuged at 10000 rpm for 10 min.) were then added to the surface of the oil (10). The diameter of the clear zone on the oil surface was measured. The diameters of tripli-

Table 1. Bacteria Isolated From Stray and Owned Dogs										
Isolated Bacteria Ear/IA ^a		•		IA						
	E _{24h, %} a	E _{72h, %} a	O. S. SD (cm)	E24h,% a	E _{72h, %} a	$O.S.SD(cm)^a$				
Stray										
Staphylococcus spp. /Bacillus spp.	40	40	4.4 ± 0.5	52	52	6.3 ± 0.2				
Bacillus spp. /Bacillus spp.	48	48	5.5 ± 0.2	40	40	4.3 ± 0.6				
Chromobacterium spp. /Bacillus spp.	36	36	7.5 ± 0.3	52	52	5.4 ± 0.2				
Staphylococcus spp. / Staphylococcus spp.	31	31	4.8 ± 03	48	48	2.7 ± 0.16				
Bacillus spp.	36	36	5.6 ± 0.3	-	-	-				
Staphylococcus spp.	40	40	3.3 ± 0.4	-	-	-				
Control	50	50	4.45 ± 0.05	50	50	4.45 ± 0.05				
Owned										
Bacillus spp. /Staphylococcus spp.	52	52	4.65 ± 0.07	54.5	63.6	5.65 ± 0.21				
Lactobacillus spp. /Bacillus spp.	54.5	59	6.7 ± 0.28	45.5	47.2	6.1 ± 0.56				
Bacillus spp. /Lactobacillus spp.	59	68.2	2.5 ± 028	59	59	5.5 ± 0.04				
Chromobacterium spp. /Bacillus spp	60	56	6.4 ± 0.14	36	44	7.0 ± 0.04				
Capnocytophaga spp.	30.4	39.2	2.2 ± 0.14	-	-	-				
Staphylococcus spp.	47.8	56.5	7.0 ± 0.28	-	-	-				
Control	50	50	4.7 ± 0.14	50	50	4.7 ± 0.14				

^a Abbreviation: IA , Inguinal area; O. S. SD - Oil spreading and the Standard Deviation; E, Emulsification index

cate samples from the same culture of each strain were determined.

The emulsifying capacity was evaluated by an emulsification index (E_{24}). The E_{24} of the culture samples was determined by adding 1.5 mL of kerosene and 1.5 mL of the cell-free broth to a test tube, spun at high speed for 2 min and allowed to stand for 24h and 72h. The E_{24} (and E_{72}) index is given as a percentage of the height of the emulsified layer divided by the total height of the liquid column (cm). The percentage of the emulsification index is calculated by using the following equation (11), E_{24} = height of emulsion formed x 100 / total height of solution. For each test strain, centrifuged samples of incubated tubes of sterile LB were used as a control.

4. Results

After culture and incubation of 80 samples (20 from each animal species, 10 ear canal and 10 IA) 42 hemolytic strains, 20 from dogs and 22 from cats were isolated. OS and bioemulsifying activities were measured for all isolates (*Tables 1 and 2*). In total 11 isolates (26.2%) were positive for all examinations, out of these 9 (21.1%) isolates belonged to owned animals. Nine isolates of the 11 (82%) were gram positives of which 4 (44.4%) were Bacillus spp. and 3 (27.2%) Lactobacillus spp. (*Table 1 and Table 2*)

5. Discussion

Hemolytic activity appears to be a good screening criterion in the search for BPB (8) Such screening can be used to limit the number of samples. Further screening of BPB is generally carried out using monitoring parameters that estimate surface activity, such as the ability to emulsify oils and dispersing or solubilization activity (12). Comparatively high numbers of surfactant-producing bacteria were isolated from the owned cats and dogs so that five (22%) and four (20%) isolates respectively of these owned animals were positive for all examinations. In contrast, stray animals had lower surfactant producing bacteria. From 23 isolates of stray animals only 2 (from dogs) were positive for all examinations.

This distribution of BPB may be a response to the type of environmental contaminants present in the studied skin areas of the examined animals. The contaminants may have inhibitory effects on establishing BPB in the studied skin areas and stray animals might be more exposed to these contaminants. Adria *et al.* (13) showed that the distribution of biosurfactant-producing bacteria in soils was dependent on the soil conditions, with gram-positive biosurfactant-producing isolates tending to be from heavy metal-contaminated or uncontaminated soils and gram-negative isolates tending to be from hydrocarboncontaminated or co-contaminated soils.

Further investigation is needed to determine whether this pattern holds for other species of owned and stray animals. However, some skin areas that were not included in this present study may contain even more surfactants produced by BPB when compared to the studied areas. We could not find reports regarding BPB isolation from animals; however our previous work indicates that BPB are also present on oily areas of ruminant's skin (unpublished observations). The presence of BPB has also been described in the guts of some marine invertebrates (14).

The relative domination of the biosurfactant producing *Bacillus* spp. and *Lactobacillus* spp. is represented in the isolated strains. This distribution may represent the ability of the microorganisms to survive in these skin areas. The biosurfactant activity in *Bacillus spp*. isolated from diesel oil has been documented by Singh and Lin (15), Tabatabaee *et al.* (12) also supports the biosurfactant activity of this bacteria. Ligia *et al.* (16) showed the biosurfactant activity of *Lactobacillus* spp. and that cheese

Table 2. Bacteria Isolated From Stray and Owned Cats											
Isolated bacteria Ear/ IA ^a	Ear		,	IA							
	E _{24h, %} a	E _{72h, %} a	O.S.SD(cm) ^a	E _{24h, %} a	E _{72h, %} a	O.S.SD(cm) ^a					
Stray											
Bacillus spp. / Staphylococcus spp.	40	40	7.55 ± 0.5	46	46	6.4 ± 0.1					
Staphylococcus spp. Bacillus spp.	48	48	4 ± 0.7	52	52	4.6 ± 0.5					
Capnocytophaga spp. / Staphylococcus spp.	36	36	5.35 ± 0.5	40	40	5.5 ± 0.2					
Chromobacterium spp. /Bacillus spp.	31	31	7.25 ± 0.5	56	56	2.85 ± 0.16					
Bacillus spp. / Chromobacterium spp.	40	40	5.4 ± 0.16	48	48	5.3 ± 0.1					
Lactobacillus spp./Bacillus spp.	40	40	4.3 ± 0.16	40	40	6.25 ± 0.2					
- /Staphylococcus spp.	-	-	-	40	40	5.5 ± 0.16					
Control	50	50	4.4 ± 0.1	50	50	4.4 ± 0.1					
Owned											
Staphylococcus spp. / Lactobacillus spp.	44	44	5.05 ± 0.35	47	54.5	5.25 ± 0.07					
Bacillus spp./Bacillus spp.	44	45.5	3.2 ± 0.56	48	52	3.4 ± 0.28					
Bacillus spp./Bacillus spp.	40	38.5	5 ± 0.14	34.78	40	6.1 ± 0.028					
Capnocytophaga spp. /Bacillus spp.	42.3	50	5.5 ± 0.28	50	43.3	6.2 ± 0.07					
Bacillus spp. /	44	51.9	5.2 ± 0.14	-	-	-					
Control	40	40	4.4 ± 0.1	40	40	4.4 ± 0.1					

^a Abbreviation: IA, Inguinal area; O. S. SD - Oil spreading and the Standard Deviation; E, Emulsification index

whey can be used as an alternative medium for the biosurfactant production by this bacteria. Biosurfactant production by many of the isolated strains suggests that the resident bacteria could be a source of surfactants in the studied areas. The function and composition of surfactants in the organisms of the examined areas has not been established. It might be suggested that the surfactants assist in the removal process of the surface fat layer by solubilizing the hydrophobic fat layer or preventing the destructive function of skin lytic substances. It may also dissolve the organic matter on the skin surface secreted by the different body systems or it may have some role in the formation of the bacterial community on the skin surfaces. From a clinical perspective, at least one biosurfactant rhamnolipid produced by Pseudomonas aeruginosa, has a role in the pathogenesis of this opportunistic pathogen (17).

Biosurfactants are often superior to commercial surfactants at solubilizing different chemicals and are more easily biodegraded (6). Viewing biosurfactant producing bacteria in *Tables 1 and 2*, the genera isolated from the studied areas are well documented to be present in different oily environments such as potato process effluents, cassava flour waste water and oil reservoirs for *Bacillus spp.* (6, 12). The results of this present study showed that biosurfactant-producing bacteria are distributed in the oily skin areas of both dogs and cats. The microorganisms isolated in this study could well be sources of novel biosurfactants. Further investigation into the composition of the biosurfactant producing bacteria is suggested.

Acknowledgement

None Declared.

Financial Disclosure

None of the authors has any financial relationships that could inappropriately influence or bias the contents of this paper.

Funding/Support

Funding for this work was provided by School of Veteri-

nary Science of Shahrekord University as costs for DVM research project.

References

- Banat IM, Makkar RS, Cameotra SS. Potential commercial applications of microbial surfactants. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*. 2000;53 (5):495-508.
- 2. Karanth N, Deo P, Veenanadig N. Microbial production of biosurfactants and their importance. *Curr Sci.* 1999;77 (1):116-26.
- 3. Rosenberg E, Ron EZ. High- and low-molecular-mass microbial surfactants. *Appl Microbiol Biotechnol*. 1999;**52** (2):154-62.
- Branda SS, Gonzalez-Pastor JE, Ben-Yehuda S, Losick R, Kolter R. Fruiting body formation by Bacillus subtilis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2001;98 (20):11621-6.
- Schlingmann M.T Possible food and agricultural application of microbial surfactants: an assessment. *Biosurfactants and biotech*nology. 1987;25:183.
- Muthusamy K, Gopalakrishnan S, Ravi TK, Sivachidambaram P. Biosurfactants: properties, commercial production and application. *Curr Sci.* 2008;94 (6):736-47.
- Carter GR. Isolation and identification of bacteria from clinical specimens. Diagnostic Procedures in Veterinary Bacteriology and Mycology. USA; 1980. p. 19-30.
- Carrillo P, Mardaraz C, Pitta-Alvarez S, Giulietti A. Isolation and selection of biosurfactant-producing bacteria. World J Microb Biot. 1996;12 (1):82-4.
- Balows AH, W. J. Kenthl, J. R, Isenberg, H. H. D. Shadomy ,H. J. Manual of clinical microbiology 5th ed.; 1991.
- Morikawa M, Hirata Y, Imanaka T. A study on the structurefunction relationship of lipopeptide biosurfactants. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) -Molecular and Cell Biology of Lipids*. 2000;**1488** (3):211-8.
- 11. Asfora Sarubbo L, Moura de Luna J, de Campos-Takaki GM. Production and stability studies of the bioemulsifier obtained from a new strain of Candida glabrata UCP 1002. *Electron J Biotechn*. 2006;**9** (4):0-.
- Tabatabaee A, Assadi MM, Noohi A, Sajadian V. Isolation of biosurfactant producing bacteria from oil reservoirs. *IJEHSE*. 2005;2 (1).
- Bodour AA, Drees KP, Maier RM. Distribution of biosurfactantproducing bacteria in undisturbed and contaminated arid Southwestern soils. *Appl Environ Microbiol*. 2003;69 (6):3280-7.
- Mayer LM, Weston DP, Bock MJ. Benzo[a]pyrene and zinc solubilization by digestive fluids of benthic invertebrates-a crossphyletic study. *Environ Toxicol Chem.* 2001;20 (9):1890-900.
- Singh C, Lin J. Isolation and characterization of diesel oil degrading indigenous microrganisms in Kwazulu-Natal, South Africa. *Afr J Biotechnol*. 2010;7 (12).
- Rodrigues I, Moldes A, Teixeira J, Oliveira R. Kinetic study of fermentative biosurfactant production by Lactobacillus strains. *Biochem Eng J.* 2006;28 (2):109-16.
- Singh PK, Schaefer AL, Parsek MR, Moninger TO, Welsh MJ, Greenberg E. Quorum-sensing signals indicate that cystic fibrosis lungs are infected with bacterial biofilms. *Nature*. 2000;407 (6805):762-4.