Original article

Frequency of extended-spectrum beta lactamase positive and multidrug resistance pattern in Gram-negative urinary isolates, Semnan, Iran

Golamreza Irajian¹, Ali Jazayeri Moghadas²

How to cite this article:

Irajian G, Jazayeri Moghadas A. Frequency of extended-spectrum beta lactamase positive and multidrug resistance pattern in Gram-negative urinary isolates, Semnan, Iran. Jundishapur J Microbiol. 2010; 3(3): 107-13.

Received: March 2010 Accepted: May 2010

Abstract

Introduction and objective: Monitoring of the antimicrobial susceptibilities provides information about pathogenic organisms isolated from patients, and assists in choosing the most appropriate empirical antimicrobial therapy. This study tried to determine frequency of extended spectrum beta lactamase (ESBL) positive and multidrug resistance pattern in Gram-negative uropathogenic bacteria.

Materials and methods: In this study, 310 Gram-negative uropathogen were investigated. Combined disk test was used as a screening test for ESBL production. Disk diffusion method was performed for antibiotic susceptibility testing.

Results: Isolated bacteria were as follow: 226(72.9%) Escherichia coli, 76(24.5%) Klebsiella pneumoniae, 3(1%) Citrobacter spp. 2(0.6 %) Proteus mirabilis and 3(1 %) Pseudomonas spp. ESBL production was observed in 88(28.4%) of all isolates, 29.2% of E. coli isolates and 28.9% of K. pneumonia. The most and least resistance were seen in the case of ampicillin (98.4%) and ceftazidime (24.2%), respectively. Resistance to six antibiotics or more was seen in 104 isolates (33.5%).

Conclusion: In the present study, relatively high frequency of ESBL production and multidrug resistance were seen in uropathogens. To avoid treatment failure and choose either empirical or direct therapy by physicians, antimicrobial susceptibility testing and ESBL production monitoring are recommended in patients with urinary tract infection (UTI).

Keywords: Uropathogen, Multidrug resistance, Extended-spectrum beta lactamase (ESBL), Escherichia coli, Klebsiella

¹Department of Microbiology, School of Medicine, Iran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran. Iran

²Department of Microbiology, School of Medicine, Semnan University of Medical Sciences, Semnan, Iran



Introduction

Urinary tract infection (UTI) is one of the most frequent causes of illness in humans and common both in the community and hospitalized patients. Most of UTIs are caused by a few genera of bacteria of which Escherichia coli is the predominant bacterial agent. Klebsiella pneumoniae and other enteric Gram- negative rods are the most common bacterial agents of UTI [1].

Antibiotic resistance in uropathogens is increasing worldwide. It varies according to geographic locations and is directly proportional to the use and misuse of antibiotics [2]. Understanding the impact of drug resistance is of critical importance as the changing rate of antibiotic resistance has a large impact on empirical therapy of UTIs [2]. Some bacteria, especially E. coli and Klebsiella spp., which are more frequent agents of UTI, show increasing resistance to cephalosporins. These organisms produce extended-spectrum beta-lactamases (ESBL), which are coded by genes located on transferable plasmids.

Resistance to the quinolones, by strains of E. coli isolated from urine specimens of outpatients, has also increased [3]. Since the pattern of bacterial resistance is constantly monitoring changing, the antimicrobial susceptibilities becomes more important. It provides information on the pathogenic organisms isolated patients, and assists in choosing the most appropriate empirical antimicrobial therapy. In addition, the continuous survey of antimicrobial resistance is crucial for monitoring changes antimicrobial of resistance [3]. The aim of our study was to determine the frequency of ESBL positive and multidrug resistance pattern in Gramnegative urinary isolates from Semnan, Iran.

Materials and methods

Bacterial isolates

From June 2007 to June 2008 a total of 310 Gram-negative urinary isolates, including 226 E. coli, 76 K. pneumoniae, three Citrobacter spp., two Proteus mirabilis and three Pseudomonas spp, were collected. Only one isolate per patient was included in the study. Urine specimens were cultured on Blood agar and Eosin Methylene Blue (EMB) agar (Merck, Germany), incubated at 37°C for 24 hrs and suspected colonies identified API20E were by system (BioMerio, France) [4].

Screening test for ESBL production

Combined disk method was used in this study as a screening test for ESBL production, using disks of cefotaxime 30mcg and ceftazidime 30mcg with and without clavulanic acid 10mcg (Mast Group Ltd., Merseyside, UK). A culture from 0.5 McFarland suspension of bacteria were prepared on the surface of Muller Hinton Germany). agar (Merck. Phenotypic confirmatory test was performed comparing the inhibition zone of disks containing cefotaxime or ceftazidime with and without clavulanic acid. In the case of more than 5mm inhibition zone around the disk containing clavulanic acid, the isolates were considered as ESBL positive. E. coli ATCC 25922 and K. pneumoniae ATCC 7006039 (Mast Group Ltd.) were used as negative and positive control respectively [5].

Antibiotic susceptibility testing

Disk diffusion method was used according to Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute [5] recommendations. The nine used disks were amoxicillin-clavulanic acid (AMC) 20/10mcg, ampicillin (AM) 10mcg, tetracycline (TET) 30mcg, trimetoprimsulfamethoxazole (SXT) 1.25/23.75mcg, nalidixic acid (NA) 30mcg, ciprofloxacin (CIP) 5mcg, gentamycin (GM) 10mcg, cefotaxime (CTX) 30mcg, and ceftazidime (CAZ) 30mcg (Mast Group Ltd.). E. coli



ATCC 25922 and *Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 25923 (Mast Group Ltd.) were used as standard controls.

Results

Of the 310 specimens, which had 10⁵ bacteria per ml or more in urine culture, 226(72.9%) *E*. coli. 76(24.5%) pneumoniae, 3(1%) Citrobacter spp. 2(0.6 %) P. mirabilis and 3 (1 %) Pseudomonas spp. were isolated. Using disk diffusion method, resistance rates are shown in table 1. The most and least resistance were seen to ampicillin (98.4%) and ceftazidim (24.2%), respectively. Seven isolates (2.3%) were resistance to one antibiotic, 34 isolates (11%) resistant to two antibiotics, 47 isolates (15.1%)resistant three to antibiotics, 65 isolates (21%) resistant to

four antibiotics, 53 isolates (17.1%) resistant to five antibiotics, 19 isolates (6.1%) resistant to six antibiotics, 35 isolates (11.3%) resistant to seven antibiotics, 15 isolates (4.8%) resistant to eight antibiotics and 35 isolates (11.3%) resistant to nine antibiotics (Table 2).

Using combined disk, ESBLs were detected among 88 (28.4%) isolates, of which 66 were *E. coli* and 22 were *K. pneumoniae*. None of other isolates were ESBL producer. ESBL production was observed in 29.2% of *E. coli* isolates and 28.9% of *K. pneumoniae* isolates. Multidrug resistance and ESBL production for the isolated bacteria are shown in table 2.

Table 1: Resistance rate for Gram-negative urinary isolates

Antibiotics	E. coli N=226 (%)	K. pneumoniae	Citrobacter spp.	P. mirabilis N=2 (%)	Pseudomonas spp. N=3 (%)	Total N=310 (%)
	,	N=76 (%)	N=3 (%)	,		,
AM	224 (99.1)	73 (96)	3 (100)	2(100)	3(100)	305 (98.4)
AMC	217 (96)	69 (90.1)	3(100)	2(100)	3(100)	294 (94.8)
TET	153(67.7)	35 (46)	3(100)	2(100)	3(100)	197 (63.5)
SXT	150 (63.4)	41 (53.9)	2(67)	2(100)	3(100)	198 (63.9)
NA	124 (54.9)	33 (43.4)	2(67)	2(100)	3(100)	164 (52.9)
CIP	91 (40.2)	35 (46)	2(67)	2(100)	1(33)	131(42.3)
GM	57 (25.2)	19 (25)	1(33)	1(50)	3(100)	81 (26.1)
CTX	64 (28.3)	19 (25)	0(0)	0 (0)	3(100)	86 (27.7)
CAZ	54 (23.9)	18 (23.7)	0(0)	0 (0)	3(100)	75 (24.2)

AMC: Amoxicillin- clavulanic acid, AM: Ampicillin, TET: Tetracycline, SXT: Trimetoprim- sulfamethoxazole, NA: Nalidixic acid, CIP: Ciprofloxacin, GM: Gentamicin, CTX: Cefotaxime, CAZ: Ceftazidime

Discussion

Our results showed that *E. coli* is the dominant bacterial agent of UTI. This is similar to what is reported by Hosseini-Mazinani *et al.* [6], Akram *et al.* [7] and Kader and Kumar [8]. From 310 isolates in this study 28.7% (Confidence interval (CI: 33.7%, 23.7%) were identified as ESBL producer. 29.2 % (CI: 35.1%-23.3%) of *E.*

coli isolates and 28.9 % (CI: 39.1%-18.7%) of *K. pneumoniae* isolates were ESBL producer. Hosseini-Mazinani et al. [6] in a similar study in Tehran, Iran have reported that 2.4% of uropathogenic *E. coli* harbored ESBL. Our result is comparatively higher than this

Akram et al. [7] conducted a survey on the urinary tract isolates in India and

www.SID.ir



reported that frequencies of ESBL production were 34.2% and 27.3% in *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae*, respectively. With

regard to our results, these percentages are not show significantly different.

Table 2: Multidrug resistance and ESBL production for Gram-negative urinary isolates

Resistance profile	Number	ESBL Producer		
•		E. coli	K. pneumoniae	
AMC, AM, TET, SXT, NA, CIP, GM, CTX, CAZ	35	27	6	
AMC, AM, TET, NA, CIP, GM, CTX, CAZ	5	4		
AMC, AM, TET, SXT, NA, CIP, CTX, CAZ	10	9	1	
AMC, AM, TET, SXT, NA, CIP, GM	20		4	
AMC, AM, TET, SXT, NA, CTX, CAZ	10	9	1	
AMC, AM, TET, SXT, GM, CTX, CAZ	5	5		
AMC, AM, TET, SXT, NA, CTX	10	10		
AMC, AM, TET, SXT, NA, CIP	9			
AMC, AM, TET, SXT, NA	46			
AMC, AM, TET, CIP, GM	7			
AMC, AM, CTX, CAZ	10		10	
AMC, AM, SXT, CIP	21			
AMC, AM, SXT, NA	5			
AMC, AM, TET, SXT	15	2		
AMC, AM, TET, CIP	9			
AMC, TET, SXT, NA	5			
AMC, AM, NA	4			
AMC, AM, CIP	15			
AMC, AM, TET	16			
AMC, AM, SXT	7			
AMC, AM, GM	5			
AMC, AM	25			
AM, GM	4			
AMC, TET	5			
AM	7			

AMC: Amoxicillin- clavulanic acid, AM: Ampicillin, TET: Tetracycline, SXT: Trimetoprim- sulfamethoxazole, NA: Nalidixic acid, CIP: Ciprofloxacin, GM: Gentamycin, CTX: Cefotaxime, CAZ: Ceftazidime

Kader and Kumar [8] reported that ESBL was detected in 9.6% of uropathogenic *E. coli* and 11.3% in *K. pneumoniae* in Saudia Arabia, which were significantly less than our results. Khurana *et al.* [9] in a survey on urinary tract isolates of family Enterobacteriaceae reported that 24.7% of *E. coli* and 38.5% of *K. pneumoniae* were ESBL producer, these results do not show significant differences in comparison to our results.

Sader *et al.* [10] reported that ESBL production among E. coli and pneumoniae were 8.9% and 48.4%. respectively. Although the percentages of ESBL producing strains of E. coli reported in our study is significantly higher than, the ESBL producing K. pneumoniae in our study. Drulis-Kawa et al. [11] reported that 32.5% of uropathogenic K. pneumoniae were ESBL producer which do not show significant differences with our results. Resistance frequency of E. coli and K.

www.SID.ir

pneumoniae isolates compared with other studies is shown in table 3.

Conclusion

In present study, relatively high frequency of ESBL production and multidrug resistance were seen in uropathogens, and it seems that this is due to misuse of antibiotics in this area. In order to avoid treatment failure and provide physicians with enough information about choosing empirical or direct therapy, antimicrobial susceptibility testing and ESBL production monitoring are recommended in patients with UTI.

Acknowledgement

The presented work was financially supported by Semnan University of Medical Sciences (Grant no: 129). We wish to thanks our technicians Masod Monem, Alamtaj Salehian and Fatemeh Ghods for their excellent help.

References

- Szász M, Lehotkai N, Kristóf K, Szabó D, Nagy K. Prevalence and antimicrobial resistance of uropathogens in different inpatient wards. *Acta Microbiol Immunol Hung*. 2009; 56(4): 375-87.
- 2) Taneja N, Rao P, Arora J, Dogra A. Occurrence of ESBL & Amp-C beta-lactamases and susceptibility to newer antimicrobial agents in complicated UTI. *Indian J Med Res.* 2008; 127(1): 85-8.
- 3) Kader AA, Angamuthu K. Extended-spectrum beta-lactamases in urinary isolates of *Escherichia coli*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae* and other Gram-negative bacteria in a hospital in Eastern Province, Saudi Arabia. *Saudi Med J.* 2005; 26(6): 956-9.
- 4) Forbes BA, Sahm DF, Weissfeld AS. Baily and Scott's diagnostic microbiology. 12th ed, St. Louis, Mosby, International edition, 2007; 365-77.
- Clinical and Laboratory Standard Institute: Performance standard for Antimicrobial Susceptibility testing. Fifteenth

- Informational Supplement. 2005 CLSI-M110-S15 Villanova, PA.
- 6) Hosseini-Mazinani SM, Eftekhar F, Milani M, Ghandili S. Characterization of beta-lactamases from urinary isolates of Escherichia coli in Tehran. *Iran Biomed J*. 2007; 11(2): 95-9.
- 7) Akram M, Shahid M, Khan AU. Etiology and antibiotic resistance patterns of community-acquired urinary tract infections in J N M C Hospital Aligarh, India. *Ann Clin Microbiol Antimicrob*. 2007; 6: 4.
- 8) Kader AA, Kumar A. Prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase-producing *Escherichia coli* and *Klebsiella pneumoniae* in a general hospital. *Ann Saudi Med.* 2005; 25(3): 239-42.
- 9) Khurana S, Taneja N, Sharma M. Extended spectrum beta-lactamase mediated resistance in urinary tract isolates of family Enterobacteriaceae. *Indian J Med Res.* 2002; 116: 145-9.
- 10) Sader HS, Gales AC, Pfaller MA, *et al.* Pathogen frequency and resistance patterns in Brazilian hospitals: summary of results from three years of the SENTRY Antimicrobial Surveillance Program. *Braz J Infect Dis.* 2001; 5(4): 200-14.
- 11) Drulis-Kawa Z, Lewczyk E, Jankowski S, Doroszkiewicz W. Infectivity and resistance to antibiotics of uropathogenic Gramnegative rods. *Med Dosw Mikrobiol*. 2000; 52(2): 119-27.
- 12) Chulain MN, Murray AM, Corbett-Feeney G, Cormican M. Antimicrobial resistance in *E. coli* associated with urinary tract infection in the west of Ireland. *Ir J Med Sci*. 2005; 174(4): 6-9.
- 13) Andrade SS, Sader HS, Jones RN, Pereira AS, Pignatari AC, Gales AC. Increased resistance to first-line agents among bacterial pathogens isolated from urinary tract infections in Latin America: time for local guidelines? *Mem Inst Oswaldo Cruz*. 2006; 101(7): 741-8.
- 14) Shahcheraghi F, Noveiri H, Nasiri S. Detection of bla_{TEM} & bla_{SHV} genes among clinical isolates of *E. coli* from Tehran hospitals. *Iranian J Med Microbiol*. 2007; 3(1): 1-8.

www.SID.ir



- 15) Kiffer CR, Mendes C, Oplustil CP, Sampaio JL. Antibiotic resistance and trend of urinary pathogens in general outpatients from a major urban city. Int Braz J Urol. 2007; 33(1): 42-8.
- 16) Randrianirina F, Soares JL, Carod JF et al. resistance Antimicrobial uropathogens that cause communitytract infections acquired urinary

Antananarivo, Madagascar. J Antimicrob Chemother. 2007; 59(2): 309-12.

Address for correspondence:

Ali Jazayeri Moghadas. Department of Medical Microbiology, School of Medicine, Semnan University of Medical Sciences, Semnan, Iran Tel: +982313354171; Fax: +982313354161

Email: alijazayery@gmail.com

Table 3: Resistance frequency of isolated *E. coli* compared in compare with other studies

		This study	Akram et	Kader et	Chulain et	Andrade et	Shahcheragh	Kiffer et	Randrianirina
			al. (7)	al. (8)	al. (12)	al. (13)	et al. (14)	al. (15)	et al. (16)
	E. coli	99.1%, CI:(100%, 97.7%)	-	-	50%	53.6%	-	43.4%	-
AM	K. pneumoniae	90.1%, CI:(96.8%, 83.4%)	-	-	-	74.4%	-	100%	-
	E. coli	96%, CI:(100%, 91%)	-	36%	-	1.2%	-	-	11.5%
AMC	K. pneumoniae	96%, CI:(100%, 91.6%)	-	16%	-	7%	-	-	-
	E. coli	67.7%, CI:(73.8%, 61.6%)	76%	-	-	-	-	30.5%	-
TET	K. pneumoniae	46%, CI:(57.2%, 34.8%)	53%	-	-	-	-	19.8%	-
	E. coli	63.4%, CI:(69.7%, 57.1%)	76%	-	-	40.4%	-	33.7%	69.5%
SXT	K. pneumoniae	53.9%, CI:(65.1%, 42.7%)	53%	-	-	20.9%	-	17.7%	-
	E. coli	54.9%, CI:(61.4%, 48.4%)	-	-	6.1%	29.3%	-	15.5%	25.3%
NA	K. pneumoniae	43.4%, CI:(54.5%, 32.3%)	-	-	-	25.6%	-	15.2%	-
	E. coli	40.2%, CI:(46.6%, 33.8%)	69%	34%	5.3%	21.6%	42.9%	11.9%	16.4%
CIP	K. pneumoniae	46%, CI:(57.2%, 34.8%)	47%	11%	-	18.6%	-	6%	-
	E. coli	25.2%, CI:(30.8%, 19.6%)	64%	7%	-	8.4%	26.7%	3%	9.1%
GM	K. pneumoniae	25%, CI:(34.7%, 15.3%)	53%	9%	-	14%	-	3.3%	-
	E. coli	26.3%, CI:(32%, 20.6%)	56%	-	-	-	32.1%	-	-
CTX	K. pneumoniae	25%, CI:(34.7%, 15.3%)	41%	-	-	-	-	-	-
	E. coli	23.9%, CI:(29.4%, 18.4%)	65%	10%	-	1.5%	30.1%	-	3.1%
CAZ	K. pneumoniae	23.7%, CI:(33.2%, 14.2%)	53%	_	-	4.7%	-	-	-

AMC: Amoxicillin- clavulanic acid, AM: Ampicillin, TET: Tetracycline, SXT: Trimetoprim- sulfamethoxazole, NA: Nalidixic acid, CIP: Ciprofloxacin, GM: Gentamycin, CTX: Cefotaxime, CAZ: Ceftazidime

Jundishapur Journal of Microbiology, School of Medicine, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran, Phone: +98611 3330074; Fax: +98611 3332036; URL: http://jjm.ajums.ac.ir; E-mail: editorial office: jjm@ajums.ac.ir