RESEARCH

Open Access

Calculation of state energy of (2*n*+1)-fold wells using the spectral properties of supersymmetry shape-invariant potential

Marjan Tavakkoli

Abstract

Shape invariance is an important factor of many exactly solvable quantum mechanics. Several examples of shape-invariant 'discrete quantum mechanical systems' are introduced and discussed in some detail. We present the spectral properties of supersymmetric shape-invariant potentials (SIP). Here we are interested in some time-independent integrable systems which are exactly solvable owing to the existence of supersymmetric shape-invariant symmetry. In 1981 Witten proposed (0+1)-dimensional limit of supersymmetry (SUSY) quantum field theory, where the supercharges of SUSY quantum mechanics generate transformation between two orthogonal eigenstates of a given Hamiltonian wit degenerate eigenvaluesfor the non-SIP as very few lower eigenvalues can be known analytically, which are small to calculate spectral fluctuation.

Keywords: Supersymmetry, Eigenspectra, Potential wells, Shape invariant potential, Eigevalue, Eigenfunction, Spectral statistics

PACs codes: 11.30.Pb

Introduction

Many exactly solvable quantum mechanical systems, for example, the harmonic oscillator without/with a centrifugal potential, the Coulomb problem, etc., are shape invariant [1]. Energy level statistics is one of the most important and well-studied characteristics of quantum systems. This problem has recently attracted new interest in different contexts because it indicates the type of motion in a quantum system. One of the main problems involved in many physical processes is the energy state difference between the ground state and first excited state for potential wells. This is generally solved using the approximation methods [1]. In this paper, we calculate these difference values for threefold, fivefold, and sevenfold potential wells using supersymmetry in quantum mechanics (SUSYQM). We finally generalize it to find a relation for (2n+1)-fold wells.

Correspondence: marjan.tavakkoli.t@gmail.com

Department of Physics, Islamic Azad University, Gonabad Branch, P.O. Box:96916–29, Gonabad, Iran

Factorization of SUSY Hamiltonian and shape invariance condition

Let us now explain precisely what one means by shape invariance. If the pair of SUSY partner potentials $V_{1,2}(x)$ defined in Equation 1 is similar in shape and differs only in the parameters that appear in them, then they are said to be shape invariant [2-5]. The Hamiltonian of SUSYQM is given by

$$H = \begin{pmatrix} H_1 & 0\\ 0 & H_2 \end{pmatrix} \tag{1}$$

where

$$H_{1,2} = -\frac{d_2}{dx^2} + V_{1,2}(x) \tag{2}$$

$$V_{1,2} = W^2 \mp \dot{W}(x).$$
(3)

W(x) is called superpotential. Then the supercharges are as follows:

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ A & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad Q^+ = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & A^+ \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
(4) and



© 2013 Tavakkoli; licensee Springer. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

$$A = \frac{d}{dx} + W(x) \quad A^+ = -\frac{d}{dx} + W(x). \tag{5}$$

Then it is easy to present $H_{1,2}$ as the factorization

$$H_1 = A^+ A \quad H_2 = A A^+ \tag{6}$$

$$W(x) = -\frac{\dot{\psi}_0^1}{\psi_0^1(x)}$$
(7)

and

$$\{Q^+, Q^+\} = \{Q, Q\} = 0 \quad \{Q, Q^+\} = H.$$
 (8)

More precisely, if the partner potentials $V_{1,2}(x,a_1)$ satisfy the condition

$$V_2(x, a_1) = V_1(x, a_2) + R(a_1)$$
(9)

where a_1 is a set of parameters, a_2 is a function of a_1 ($a_2 = f(a_1)$), and the remainder $R(a_1)$ is independent of x, then $V_1(x,a_1)$ and $V_2(x,a_1)$ are said to be shape invariant. The shape invariance condition is an integrability condition [6,7].

Shape invariance and solvable potentials

We start from the SUSY partner Hamiltonians H_1 and H_2 whose eigenvalues and eigenfunctions are related by SUSY. Since SUSY is unbroken, we know that

$$E_0^1(a_1) = 0, \psi_0^1(x, a_1) = N \exp\left[-\int_0^x W_1(y, a_1)dy\right].$$
 (10)

We now show that the entire spectrum of H_1 can be very easily obtained algebraically using the shape invariance condition (10). To that purpose we construct a series of Hamiltonians H_s , s = 1,2,3,... On repeatedly using the shape invariance condition, it is then clear that

$$H_s = -\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + V_1(x, a_s) + \Sigma_{k=1}^{s-1} R(a_k)$$
(11)

where $a_s = f^{s-1}(a_s)$, i.e., the function f applied s - 1 times. Let us compare the spectrum of H_s and H_{s+1} . In view of Equations 9 and 10, we have

$$H_{s+1} = -\frac{d}{dx^2} + V_1(x, a_{s+1}) + \Sigma_{k=1}^s R(a_k)$$

= $-\frac{d}{dx^2} + V_2(x, a_s) + \Sigma - 1_{k=1}^s R(a_k).$ (12)

Thus, H_s and H_{s+1} are SUSY partner Hamiltonians and hence have identical bound state spectra for the ground state of H_s whose energy is

$$E_0^s = \Sigma_{k=1}^{s-1} R(a_k).$$
(13)

This follows from Equation 12 and the fact that $E_0^1 = 0$. On going back from H_s to H_{s-1} etc., we would eventually reach H_2 and H_1 whose ground state energy is zero and whose *n*th level is coincident with the ground state of the Hamiltonian H_n [5,6]. Hence, the complete eigenvalue spectrum of H_1 is given by

$$E_n^-(a_1) = \Sigma_{k=1}^n R(a_k), \quad E_0^-(a_1) = 0.$$
 (14)

In Table 1, we give expressions for the various shapeinvariant potentials $V_1(x)$, superpotentials W(x), parameters a_1 and a_2 , and the corresponding energy eigenvalues E_n^1 . Two remarks are in order at this time [8-12]:

- 1. In this section, we have used the convention of $\hbar = 2$ m = 1. It would naively appear that if we had not put $\hbar = 1$, then the shape-invariant potentials as given in Table 1 would all be \hbar -dependent. However, it is worth noting that in each and every case, the \hbar dependence is only in the constant multiplying the *x*dependent function so that in each case we can always redefine the constant multiplying the function and obtain an \hbar -independent potential.
- 2. It may be noted that the Coulomb and the harmonic oscillator potentials in *n*-dimensions are also shape-invariant potentials.

From 1987 until 1993, it was believed that the only shape-invariant potentials were those given in Table 1 and that there was no more shape-invariant potentials. Many of these potentials are reflectionless and have an infinite number of bound states. So far, none of these potentials have been obtained in a closed form, and they are obtained only in a series form [3,13-15].

State energy of (2*n*+1)-fold wells using the spectral properties of supersymmetry shape-invariant potential

We see that spectral properties of supersymmetry shapeinvariant potential are a necessary condition for unbroken

Potential	W(x)	$V_1(x,a_1)$	<i>a</i> ₁	a 2	Eigenvalue E_n^1	Variable y	Wave function $\psi_n(y)$
3-D oscillator	$\frac{1}{2}Wr - \frac{(l+1)}{r}$	$\frac{1}{4}w^2r^2 + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} - (l+3/2)w$	L	/ + 1	2nw	$y = \frac{1}{2}wr^1$	$y^{\frac{(l+1)}{2}} \exp(-\frac{1}{2}y) L_n^{l+\frac{1}{2}}(y)$
Coulomb	$\frac{e^2}{2(l+1)} - \frac{(l+1)}{r}$	$-\frac{e^2}{r} + \frac{l(l+1)}{r^2} + \frac{e^4}{4(l+1)^2}$	L	/ + 1	$\frac{e^4}{4(l+1)^2} - \frac{e^4}{4(n+l+1)^2}$	$y = \frac{re^2}{(n+l+1)}$	$y^{l+1}\exp(-\frac{1}{2}y)L_n^{(2l+1)}(y)$
Morse	A – Bexp (–ax)	$A^{2} + B^{2}exp(-2ax)$ $-2B(A+a/2)exp(-ax)$	A	А – а	$A^2 - (A - na)^2$	$y = \frac{2B}{a}e^{-\alpha x}s = \frac{A}{a}$	$y^{s-n}\exp\left(-\frac{1}{2}y\right)L_n^{2s-2n}(y)$
Scarf I	Atan ax – Bsec ax	$-A^{2} + (B^{2} + A^{2} - Aa)sec^{2}ax$ $-B(2A - a)\tan ax \sec ax$	A	А +а	$\left(A+na\right)^2 - A^2$	$y = \sin \alpha r$ $s = {}^{A}/a, \lambda = {}^{B}/a$	$(1-y)\frac{(s-\lambda)}{2}(1+y)\frac{(s+\lambda)}{2}$ $\times P_n^{\left(\frac{s-\lambda-1}{2},\frac{s+\lambda-1}{2}\right)}(y)$
Scarf II	Atanh ax + Bsechax	$A^{2} + (B^{2} - A^{2} - Aa)sech^{2}ax$ $+B(2A+a)sech ax tanh ax$	A	А – а	$A^2 - (A - na)^2$	$y = \sinh ax$ $s = \frac{a}{a} \lambda = \frac{b}{a}$	$ \times P_n^{i^n(1+y^2)\frac{-s}{2}e^{-\lambda \tan^{-1}}} (s - \lambda - 1) (y) $

Table 1 Expressions for various shape-invariant potentials, superpotentials, parameters a_1 and a_2 , and the corresponding energy eigenvalues

Shape-invariant potentials with (n = 1, 2) in which the parameters a_2 and a_1 are related by translation $(a_2 = a_1 + \beta)$. The energy eigenvalues and eigenfunctions are given in units $\hbar = 2m = 1$. The constants A, B, a, β , and I are all taken as ≥ 0 . Unless otherwise stated, the range of potentials is $-\infty \leq x \leq \infty$, $0 \leq r \leq \infty$. For spherically symmetric potentials, the full wave function is $\varphi_{nlm}(r, \theta, \varphi) = \varphi_{nl}(r)Y_{lm}(\theta, \varphi)$. In the table, $s_1 = s - n + a$, $s_2 = s - n - a$, $s_3 = a - n - s$, $s_4 = -(s + n + a)$ [9].

SUSY, and when this condition is satisfied, then $H_{1,2}$ have identical spectra, including zero modes. In this case, using the known eigenfunctions $\psi_n^1(x)$ of $V_1(x)$, one can immediately write down the corresponding (un-normalized) eigenfunctions $\psi_n^2(x)$ of $V_2(x)$.

Several comments are in order at this stage:

a. State energy of threefold wells

At first we write the eigenfunction for one threefold well that oscillates between $-x_0$ and $+x_0$. This well is symmetric.

$$\psi_0^1(x) = e^{-(x-x_0)} + e^{-x^2} + e^{-a(x+x_0)^2}$$
(15)

Superpotential W(x):

$$W(x) = -\frac{\psi_0(x)}{\psi_0(x)}$$

= $\frac{-2(x-x_0)e^{-(x-x_0)^2} - 2xe^{-x^2} - 2a(x+x_0)e^{-a(x+x_0)^2}}{e^{-(x-x_0)^2} + e^{-x^2} + e^{-a(x+x_0)^2}}$ (16)

Partner potential $V_1(x)$ and $V_2(x)$ show to this firm

$$V_{2,1}(x) = W^2(x) \pm W'(x) \tag{17}$$

That

$$V_{1}(x) = \frac{\left(-2e^{-(x-x_{0})^{2}} + \left(-2x + 2x_{0}\right)^{2}e^{-(x-x_{0})^{2}} - 2ae^{-a(x+x_{0})^{2}} + 4a^{2}(x+x_{0})^{2}e^{-a(x+x_{0})^{2}} - 2e^{-x^{2}} + 4x^{2}e^{-x^{2}}\right)}{e^{-(x-x_{0})^{2}} + e^{-(x+x_{0})^{2}} + e^{-x^{2}}}$$
(18)

$$V_{2}(x) = \frac{\left(2\left((-2x+2x_{0})e^{-(x-x_{0})^{2}}-2a(x+x_{0})e^{-a(x+x_{0})^{2}}-2xe^{-x^{2}}\right)^{2}\right)}{\left(e^{-(x-x_{0})^{2}}+e^{-a(x+x_{0})^{2}}+e^{-x^{2}}\right)^{2}} - \frac{\left(-2e^{-(x-x_{0})^{2}}+(-2x+2x_{0})^{2}e^{-(x-x_{0})^{2}}-2ae^{-a(x+x_{0})^{2}}+4a^{2}(x+x_{0})^{2}e^{-a(x+x_{0})^{2}}-2e^{-x^{2}}+4x^{2}e^{-x^{2}}}{e^{-(x-x_{0})^{2}}+e^{-a(x+x_{0})^{2}}+e^{-x^{2}}}$$
(19)

www.SID.ir

and we calculate

$$H_{2}\frac{1}{\psi_{0}^{1}} = H_{2}\frac{1}{e^{-\int W(x)dx}} = H_{2}e^{+\int W(x)dx}$$
$$= \left(-\frac{d^{2}}{dx^{2}} + V_{2}\right)e^{+\int W(x)dx}$$
$$= -\frac{d}{dx}\left(e^{\int W(x)dx}W(x)\right)$$
$$+ V_{2}e^{\int W(x)dx}$$
$$= -\left\{W'e^{\int W(x)dx} + W^{2}e^{\int W(x)dx}\right\}$$
$$+ V_{2}e^{\int W(x)dx}$$
$$= -\left\{W' + W^{2}\right\}e^{\int W(x)dx}$$
$$+ \left\{W^{2} + W'\right\}e^{\int W(x)dx}$$
$$= 0 = E\frac{1}{\psi_{0}^{1}} \Rightarrow E = 0$$

From the last equation, we have seen that if the excited state energy of a Hamiltonian H_2 is zero, then it can always be written in a factorizable form as a product of a pair of linear differential operators

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{\int_{x}^{\infty} \left[\psi_{0}^{1}(y)\right]^{2} dy}{2I_{1}\psi_{0}^{1}(x)} \qquad (21)$$

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{1}{2\psi_0(x)} - x_0 < x < x_0 \tag{22}$$

$$\varphi(x) = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{x} [\psi_0^1(y)]^2 dy}{2I_2 \psi_0^1(x)} \quad x < x_0$$
(23)

that

$$I_{1} = \int_{x_{0}}^{\infty} [\psi^{1}(y)]^{2} dy$$

$$I_{2} = \int_{-\infty}^{x_{0}} [\psi^{1}(y)]^{2} dy$$
(24)

One of the main problems involved in many physical processes is the energy state difference between the ground state and first excited state for potential wells. The ground state wave energy is E^1 and the first excited state energy is E^2 , as a result

$$H_{2} = H_{0} + \delta H$$

= $H_{0} + \frac{\left[\psi_{0}^{1}(x_{0})\right]^{2}}{I_{1}}\delta(x - x_{0})$
+ $\frac{\left[\psi_{0}^{1}(-x_{0})\right]^{2}}{I_{1}}\delta(x + x_{0})$ (25)

In other words,

$$E^{1} = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi(x)(\delta H)\varphi(x)dx}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi^{2}(x)dx}$$

$$= \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi(x) \left[\frac{\left[\psi_{0}^{1}(x_{0})\right]^{2}}{I_{1}}\right] \delta(x-x_{0})\varphi(x)dx}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi^{2}(x)dx}$$

$$+ \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi(x) \left[\frac{\left[\psi_{0}^{1}(-x_{0})\right]^{2}}{I_{1}}\right] \delta(x+x_{0})\varphi(x)dx}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi^{2}(x)dx}$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \left[\frac{1}{I_{1}} + \frac{1}{I_{2}}\right] \frac{1}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi^{2}(x)dx}$$
(26)

$$E^{2} = -\left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{-x_{0}} dx \left[\frac{E^{1} \int_{-\infty}^{x} \varphi^{2}(x) dx}{\varphi(x)} \right]^{2} + \int_{-x_{0}}^{+x_{0}} dx \left[\frac{E^{1} \int_{-x_{0}}^{x} \varphi^{2}(x) dx}{\varphi(x)} \right]^{2} + \int_{x_{0}}^{x} dx \left[\frac{E^{1} \int_{-x}^{\infty} \varphi^{2}(x) dx}{\varphi(x)} \right]^{2} \right\}$$

$$(27)$$

Thus,

$$\Delta E = E^1 + E^2. \tag{28}$$

In this relation, ΔE is the energy state difference between the ground state and first excited state for potential fivefold well.

b. State energy of fivefold well

For calculation of the state energy of fivefold well, we start from eigenfunction, and then we have shown

Tavakkoli Journal of Theoretical and Applied Physics 2013, 7:10 http://www.jtaphys.com/content/7/1/10



that *E* is an eigenvalue of the Hamiltonian *H* with eigenfunction ψ .

$$\psi_0^1(x) = e^{-(x-2x_0)^2} + e^{-(x-x_0)^2} + e^{-x^2} + e^{-a(x+x_0)^2} + e^{-a(x+2x_0)^2}.$$
(2)

In this relation, *a* is the rate of antisymmetry (asymmetry) potential well, and if a = 1, potential well will be symmetric. Five potential wells for the oscillators that oscillate between $-2x_0$ and $+2x_0$ and eigenvalue for *H* are as follows:



$$E^{1} = \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi(x) \frac{(\psi_{0}^{1}(2x_{0}))^{2} \delta(x-2x_{0})\varphi(x)dx}{I_{+}}}{\int \varphi^{2}(x)dx} + \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi(x) \frac{(\psi_{0}^{1}(-2x_{0}))^{2} \delta(x+2x_{0})\varphi(x)dx}{I_{+}}}{\int \varphi^{2}(x)dx} + \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi(x) \frac{(\psi_{0}^{1}(-x_{0}))^{2} \delta(x-x_{0})\varphi(x)dx}{I_{+}}}{\int \varphi^{2}(x)dx} + \frac{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi(x) \frac{(\psi_{0}^{1}(-x_{0}))^{2} \delta(x+x_{0})\varphi(x)dx}{I_{+}}}{\int \varphi^{2}(x)dx}$$
(30)

The equation E^1 can be interpreted in the following two different ways depending on the superpotential W(x) or the ground state wave function. In this equation,

$$I_{+} = \int_{2x_{0}}^{\infty} (\psi_{0}^{1}(y))^{2} dy \quad I_{-} = \int_{-\infty}^{-2x_{0}} (\psi_{0}^{1}(y))^{2} dy, \quad (31)$$

then

$$E^{1} = \frac{2}{1} \left[\frac{1}{I_{+}} + \frac{1}{I} \right] \frac{1}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi^{2}(x) dx}.$$
 (32)



www.SID.ir

From the last equation, we have seen that if the ground state energy of a Hamiltonian H_1 is zero, then it can always be written in a factorizable form



It is then clear that if the ground state energy of a Hamiltonian H_1 is E_0^1 with eigenfunction ψ_0^1 , then in

view of Equation 32, it can always be written in the form

$$\Delta E = E^1 + E^2. \tag{34}$$

In this relation, ΔE is the energy state difference between the ground state and first excited state for potential fivefold well.

c. State energy of sevenfold well

This case is similar to the state energy of fivefold well, but in this case, there are sevenfold wells oscillating between $-3x_0$, and $+3x_0$.





15

10

Tavakkoli Journal of Theoretical and Applied Physics 2013, 7:10 http://www.jtaphys.com/content/7/1/10

4

Tavakkoli Journal of Theoretical and Applied Physics 2013, 7:10 http://www.jtaphys.com/content/7/1/10



$$\psi_{0}^{1}(x) = e^{-(x-3x_{0})^{2}} + e^{-(x-2x_{0})^{2}} + e^{-(x-x_{0})^{2}} + e^{-x^{2}} + e^{-a(x+x_{0})^{2}} + e^{-(x-2x_{0})^{2}} + e^{-a(x+3x_{0})^{2}}$$
(35)

d. State energy of (2n+1)-fold wells We shall now point out the key steps that go into the classification of SIPs in this case. Firstly, one notices the fact that the eigenvalue spectrum of the Schrodinger equation is always such that the *n*th eigenvalue E_n for large *n* obeys the constraint.



We calculate these difference values for a fivefold and sevenfold potential wells using SUSYQM. We finally generalize it to find a relation for (2n+1)-fold wells

$$E^{1} = \frac{n}{4} \left[\frac{1}{I_{+}} + \frac{1}{I} \right] \frac{1}{\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \varphi^{2}(x) dx}$$
(36)
$$E^{2} = -\left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{-nx_{0}} dx \left[\frac{E^{1} \int_{-\infty}^{-nx} \varphi^{2}(y) dy}{\varphi(x)} \right]^{2} + \int_{-nx_{0}}^{nx_{0}} dx \left[\frac{E^{1} \int_{-nx}^{nx} \varphi^{2}(y) dy}{\varphi(x)} \right]^{2} + \int_{nx}^{\infty} dx \left[\frac{E^{1} \int_{-nx}^{\infty} \varphi^{2}(y) dy}{\varphi(x)} \right]^{2} \right\}$$
(37)

and

$$\int_{+}^{\infty} \int_{nx_0}^{\infty} (\psi_0^1(y))^2 dy, \quad I_{-} = \int_{-\infty}^{-nx_0} (\psi_0^1(y))^2 dy$$
(38)

We show $V_1(x)$ and $V_2(x)$ in Figures 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8 for threefold well. It is immediately obvious that there are some quite significant differences between the two charts, for example, when a = 1, $x_0 = 2$, and a = 1.5, $x_0 = 2$. These figures have been drawn by the software Matlab. In these figures, one of the main problems involved in many physical processes is the energy state difference between the ground state and first excited state for potential wells. This is generally solved using the approximation methods. In these figures, we show these difference values for threefold potential wells using supersymmetry in quantum

mechanics. We finally generalize it to find a relation for (2n+1)-fold wells. In these figures '*a*' shows the symmetry of the potential wells. If a = 1, wells will be symmetric, and if $a \neq 1$, wells will be antisymmetric. In these figures, x_0 is the oscillation range (amplitude). For example, $x_0 = 2$ means oscillator oscillation between +2 and -2.

Conclusions

Shape invariance is an important factor of many exactly solvable quantum mechanics. In this paper, several examples of shape invariance are introduced and discussed in some detail. It is a well-established fact that systems with more than one degree of freedom have completely random energy level spacings. Lots of theoretical and numerical evidences are put forward in this context. One of the main problems involved in many physical processes is the energy state difference between the ground state and first excited state for potential wells. This is generally solved using the approximation methods. In this paper, we calculate these difference values for fivefold and sevenfold potential wells using supersymmetry in quantum mechanics. We finally generalize it to find a relation for (2n+1)-fold wells.

Competing interests

The author declares that he has no competing interests.

Acknowledgments

I would like to thank Dr. Mohammad Reza Sarkardei of Al-Zahra University and Technology University of Shahrood for his comments and financial support.

Received: 23 July 2012 Accepted: 25 January 2013 Published: 27 February 2013

References

- Chakrabarti, B: Spectral properties of supersymmetric shape invariant potentials. Pramana J. Phys 70, 1 (2008)
- Odake, S, Sasaki, R: Shape invariant potentials in discrete quantum mechanics. J Nonlinear Math Phy 12(1), 507–521 (2005)
- Gendenshtein, LE: Derivation of exact spectra of the Schrodinger equation by means of supersymmetry. JETP Lett 38, 356–359 (1983)
- Cooper, F, Khare, A, Sukhatme, U: Supersymmetry and quantum mechanics. Phys. Rept 251, 267–385 (1995)
- Spiridonov, V, Vinet, L, Zhedanov, A: Difference Schrodinger operators with linear and exponential discrete spectra. Lett Math Phys 29, 63 (1993)
- Khare, A. Cooper, F. Supersymmetry and quantum mechanics. Phys. Rep. 251, 267–385 (1995)
- 7. Witten, E: Dynamical breaking of supersymmetry. Nucl. Phys. B. 188, 513 (1981)
- 8. Gaeta, G: Finite group symmetry breaking., (2005). arXiv:math-ph/0510010 v1
- Tavakkoli, M, Amiri, R: An application of quantum mechanical model to a theory become supersymmetry in the presence of interactions when the free theory may not be supersymmetry. Paper presented at the First Annual Conference on Particle Physics. Yazd, University, Yzad. 26–27 January 2011
 Infeld, L, Hull, TE: The factorization method. Rev. Mod. Phys 23, 21–68 (1951)
- 11. Spiridonov, V, Vinet, L, Zhedaniv, A: Difference Schrodinger operators with linear and exponential discrete spectra. Lett. Math. Phys. **29**, 63 (1993)
- Kane, GL: Supersymmetry: What? Why? When? Contemporary Physics, 2000. 41(6), 359–367 (2000)
- Bender, CM, Mead, LR, Pinsky, SS: Resolution of operator ordering problem by the method of finite elements. Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 2445–2448 (1986)
- El Kinani, AH, Daoud, M: Generalized coherent and intelligent states for exact solvable quantum systems. J. Math. Phys 43, 714–733 (2002)
- Andrews, GE, Askey, R, Roy, R: In: (ed.) Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications, Cambridge (1999)

doi:10.1186/2251-7235-7-10

Cite this article as: Tavakkoli: Calculation of state energy of (2*n*+1)-fold wells using the spectral properties of supersymmetry shape-invariant potential. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Physics* 2013 7:10.