Iranian Journal of Numerical Analysis and Optimization Vol. 12, No. 2, pp 467–482 DOI: 10.22067/IJNAO.2022.74347.1086 https://ijnao.um.ac.ir/



How to cite this article Research Article



Archive of SID.ir

A numerical treatment based on Bernoulli Tau method for computing the open-loop Nash equilibrium in nonlinear differential games

M. Dehghan Banadaki^{*}, H. Navidi

Abstract

The Tau method based on the Bernoulli polynomials is implemented efficiently to approximate the Nash equilibrium of open-loop kind in nonlinear differential games over a finite time horizon. By this treatment, the system of two-point boundary value problems of differential game extracted from Pontryagin's maximum principle is transferred to a system of algebraic equations that Newton's iteration method can be used for solving it. Also, for the mentioned approximation by the Bernoulli polynomials, the convergence analysis and the error upper bound are discussed. To demonstrate the applicably and accuracy of the proposed approach, some illustrated examples are presented at the final.

AMS subject classifications (2020): 35A01, 65L10, 65L12, 65L20, 65L70.

Keywords: Nonlinear differential games; Open-loop Nash equilibrium; Pontryagin's maximum principle; Bernoulli Tau method.

^{*} Corresponding author

Received 24 December 2021; revised 20 February 2022; accepted 22 March 2022 Mojtaba Dehghan Banadaki

Department of Applied Mathematics, Shahed University, Tehran, Iran. e-mail: m_dehghan@shahed.ac.ir

Hamidreza Navidi

Department of Applied Mathematics, Shahed University, Tehran, Iran. e-mail: na-vidi@shahed.ac.ir

1 Introduction

A differential game is an extension of optimal control theory that describes a conflict situation between some players, who seek their maximum or minimum own payoffs under a dynamical system. It has arisen in practical problems from economics to engineering applications in recent years [29, 30, 44, 11, 15, 31].

One of the most important and crucial solution concepts in game theory is the Nash equilibrium, in which players have no incentive to deviate from their original plans [23] and is classified into the following two cases in differential games based on the information of the state of the game that players know at different times of game:

- The players have no information during the game and only know the game state at the initial time. This kind of equilibrium is known as open-loop Nash equilibrium.
- The current game state is known to players. Such equilibrium is often called feedback Nash equilibrium.

The main approaches to computing the open-loop Nash equilibrium in differential games are indirect methods and direct methods. In indirect methods, the nonzero-sum differential game is reduced to a system of two-point boundary value problems (TPBVPs) by using the necessary optimality conditions of the Pontryagin's maximum principle that can be solved analytically or numerically [5]. In direct approaches that are optimization based methods, differential game problem is transferred to mathematical programming [12, 20]. However, the drawback of direct approaches is that there is no guarantee that the solution obtained is feasible for the original problem [27, 42].

Regarding the indirect methods, most researchers have focused on a special kind of differential game, namely linear-quadratic dynamic games that the state equation of the game is linear with respect to control and state variables, and both are quadratic in the performance indices. For this kind of differential game, the systems of TPBVPs are linear in general, and hence the open-loop Nash equilibrium can be obtained analytically based on solving Riccati equations [14, 17]. Indeed in practice, we face with differential games that their systems of TPBVPs are nonlinear generally. Therefore, using suitable numerical methods is necessary [35].

To the best of our knowledge, there are a few research works carried out to compute open-loop Nash differential games in nonlinear case. In [27], a pseudospectral method based on Chebyshev polynomials was applied for finding the players' open-loop strategies in nonlinear differential games. In [24], by Riccati equations, the open-loop Nash equilibrium of differential games in polynomial case was obtained. In [9], the coordinate transformation approach was extended for computing open-loop Nash equilibrium, and complementarity theory was applied for a class of zero-sum differential games to be solved

IJNAO, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp 467–482

468

A numerical treatment based on Bernoulli ...

in [43]. In [32], a combined quasilinearization method with exponential Bernstein functions was introduced for a numerical solution to TPBVPs.

There are several methods for solving differential equations numerically, such as spectral methods [8], shooting and multiple shooting methods [25], variational iteration method [16], and homotopy analysis method [1] that each of which has its own implementation. Spectral methods are based on the weighted residual method that has high accurate results in solving differential equations and are classified into three methods, namely collocation, Galerkin, and Tau methods [19, 22, 34, 41].

The Tau method is one of the most accurate spectral methods for a numerical solution to differential equations of different kinds [39, 3, 38, 18]. The goal of this paper is to propose an implementation of the Bernoulli Tau method (BTM), in which the solution functions are defined by means of a truncated Bernoulli series expansion, to compute the open-loop Nash equilibrium in nonlinear differential games with finite horizons.

The remainder of the paper is organized into the following sections. In Section 2, the nonzero-sum nonlinear differential games are defined, and the extraction of the systems of TPBVPs from Pontryagin's maximum principle is described. In Section 3, the Tau approach based on the Bernoulli polynomials is introduced and applied for computing the open-loop strategies of these differential games. In Section 4, some numerical examples are presented to validate the accuracy and applicability of the present method. Finally, conclusions are presented in section 5.

2 Problem statement

A family of nonzero-sum nonlinear differential games with finite horizon is described in the following definition.

Definition 1. A nonzero-sum nonlinear differential game is defined as follows [6]:

$$\max_{u_i(\cdot)} J_i(u_i(\cdot), u_{-i}(\cdot)) = \int_0^T K_i(t, x(t), u_1(t), u_2(t), \dots, u_m(t)) dt + \Phi_i(x(T)),$$

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t), u_1(t), u_2(t), \dots, u_m(t)),$$

$$x(0) = x_0 \in \mathbb{R},$$
(1)

where $u_i(t) \in U_i \subset \mathbb{R}$ is the player *i*'s control (strategy), $x(t) \in \mathbb{R}$ is the state vector of the differential game, and $M = \{1, 2, \ldots, m\}$ is the set of players. The functions $K_i(t, x(t), u_1(t), u_2(t), \ldots, u_m(t))$ and $\Phi_i(x(T)), i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, are continuously differentiable functions that describe the player *i*'s running payoff and terminal payoff, respectively. The goal of this differential game for each player *i*, $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, is to maximize his payoff by choosing a suitable strategy $u_i(t) \in U_i \subset \mathbb{R}$.

IJNAO, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp 467-482

Dehghan Banadaki and Navidi

For differential game (1), the open-loop Nash equilibrium is described as follows.

Definition 2. The control actions $u_i^*(\cdot)$, i = 1, 2, ..., m, are considered as a Nash equilibrium for differential game (1), if the following inequalities hold:

$$J_i(u_i^{\star}(\cdot), u_{-i}^{\star}(\cdot)) \ge J_i(u_i(\cdot), u_{-i}^{\star}(\cdot)), \quad \text{for all } u_i \in U_i.$$

where u_i is the *i*th player's strategy and u_{-i} state the other players' strategies, that is, $u_{-i} = u_i, j \neq i$.

For deriving the first-order optimality necessary conditions of nonlinear differential game (1) and characterizing an open-loop strategy, the Hamiltonian functions are defined as follows:

$$H_i(t, x, u_i, u_{-i}, \lambda_i) = K_i(t, x, u_i, u_{-i}) + \lambda_i f(t, x, u_i, u_{-i}), \qquad i = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

where the variables λ_i , i = 1, 2, ..., m, are the adjoint functions.

Pontryagin's maximum principle provides a set of optimality conditions for control actions to construct an open-loop strategy in nonlinear differential game (1) as follows:

$$\dot{x}(t) = f(t, x(t), u_1(t), \dots, u_m(t)), \quad x(0) = x_0,$$
(2)

$$\dot{\lambda}_i(t) = -\frac{\partial H_i}{\partial x}(t, x(t), u_i(t), u_{-i}(t), \lambda_i(t)), \quad \lambda_i(T) = \frac{\partial \Phi_i(x(T))}{\partial x}, \quad (3)$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{\partial H_i}{\partial u_i}(t, x(t), u_i(t), u_{-i}(t), \lambda_i(t)) = 0, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$$
(4)

An expression for $u_i(t)$, i = 1, 2, ..., m, with respect to x(t) and $\lambda_i(t)$ can be obtained by solving the algebraic equations (4) as follows:

$$u_i = \Psi_i(t, x(t), \lambda_i(t)).$$

This expression is replaced in (2) and (3) to obtain the system of TPBVPs based on x(t) and $\lambda_i(t)$, i = 1, 2, ..., m, as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}(t) &= f(t, x(t), \Psi_1(t), \Psi_2(t), \dots, \Psi_m(t)), \\
\dot{\lambda}_i(t) &= -\frac{\partial H_i}{\partial x}(t, x(t), \Psi_i(t), \Psi_{-i}(t), \lambda_i(t)), \\
x(0) &= x_0, \\
\dot{\lambda}_i(T) &= \frac{\partial \Phi_i(x(T))}{\partial x},
\end{aligned}$$
(5)

where $\Psi_i = \Psi_i(t, x(t), \lambda_i(t)), i = 1, 2, ..., m$.

This system of differential equations with split boundary conditions is nonlinear generally, which makes it difficult or impossible to be solved analytically. Therefore, using an appropriate numerical approach is required.

IJNAO, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp 467–482

470

A numerical treatment based on Bernoulli ...

3 The Bernoulli Tau method for nonlinear differential games

In this part, an efficient formulation of the Tau method for a numerical solution to the system of TPBVPs is established by obtaining the open-loop strategy of nonlinear differential game (1).

The Tau method is a highly accurate spectral method for differential equations to be solved numerically. Implementing this method is based on expanding the solution functions f(t) of differential equations in terms of suitable basis polynomials such as Bernoulli [40, 33], Jacobi [4, 28], and Bernstein polynomials [21] as follows:

$$f(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} f_i P_i(t)$$

where f_i and $P_i(t)$, i = 0, 1, 2, ..., are unknown coefficients and basis polynomials, respectively [7].

In practice, we use only a finite number of these basis polynomials, meaning that $f^n(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i P_i(t)$ is a numerical approximation of the exact solution f(t).

In this paper, the Bernoulli polynomials are considered as basis polynomials, in which the definition and properties of these polynomials in a function approximation are stated below.

Definition 3. Bernoulli polynomials of order n are defined on [0, 1] by (see [26])

$$\beta_n(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} \alpha_{n-i} t^i,$$

where α_i , i = 0, 1, ..., n, are Bernoulli numbers and defined as

$$\frac{t}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \alpha_i \frac{t^i}{i!}.$$

The first few Bernoulli numbers are

$$\alpha_0 = 1, \quad \alpha_1 = \frac{-1}{2}, \quad \alpha_2 = \frac{1}{6}, \quad \alpha_4 = \frac{-1}{30}, \dots,$$

with $\alpha_{2i+1} = 0$, for $i = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$

The first seven Bernoulli polynomials are

$$\begin{split} \beta_0(t) &= 1, \quad \beta_1(t) = t - \frac{1}{2}, \quad \beta_2(t) = t^2 - t + \frac{1}{6}, \quad \beta_3(t) = t^3 - \frac{3}{2}t^2 + \frac{1}{2}t, \\ \beta_4(t) &= t^4 - 2t^3 + t^2 - \frac{1}{30}, \quad \beta_5(t) = t^5 - \frac{5}{2}t^4 + \frac{5}{3}t^3 - \frac{1}{6}t, \end{split}$$

IJNAO, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp 467-482

Dehghan Banadaki and Navidi

$$\beta_6(t) = t^6 - 3t^5 + \frac{5}{2}t^4 - \frac{1}{2}t^2 + \frac{1}{42}$$

A complete basis is formed by these polynomials over the interval [0, 1].

Any function f(t) belonging to $L^2[0,1]$ can be approximated by Bernoulli functions as follows:

$$f(t) \approx f^n(t) = \sum_{i=0}^n f_i \beta_i(t).$$

To apply the Tau method based on the Bernoulli polynomials for solving the system of TPBVPs (5), for simplification matters and without loss of generality, we consider T = 1, and then the unknown functions x(t) and $\lambda_i(t)$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, can be approximated as finite expansions of Bernoulli polynomials as follows:

$$x(t) \approx x^{n}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} a_{j}\beta_{j}(t) = A^{T}\beta(t)$$
$$\lambda_{i}(t) \approx \lambda_{i}^{n}(t) = \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_{ij}\beta_{j}(t) = B_{i}^{T}\beta(t), \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

where $A^T = [a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ and $B_i^T = [b_{i0}, b_{i1}, \ldots, b_{in}]$, $i = 1, \ldots, m$, are the vectors of unknown coefficients and $\beta(t) = [\beta_0(t), \beta_1(t), \ldots, \beta_n(t)]^T$ is the vector of Bernoulli polynomials.

The residual functions are defined by substituting these expansions in the differential equations of the system of TPBVPs (5) as follows:

$$R_0(t) = \dot{x}^n(t) - f(t, x^n(t), \Psi_1^n(t), \Psi_2^n(t), \dots, \Psi_m^n(t)),$$

$$R_i(t) = \dot{\lambda}_i^n(t) + \frac{\partial H}{\partial x^n}(t, x^n(t), \Psi_i^n(t), \Psi_{-i}^n(t)), \quad i = 1, \dots, m.$$

Then, multiplying these residuals by $\beta_j(t)$, $j = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$, integrating over the interval [0, 1], and setting equal to zero, together with the boundary values, the following system of (m+1)(n+1) algebraic equations is created, which Newton's iteration method can be applied to solve it and to determine the unknown vectors A^T and B_i^T , $i = 1, \ldots, m$:

$$\begin{cases} \int_{0}^{1} R_{0}(t)B_{j}(t)dt = 0, \\ \int_{0}^{1} R_{i}(t)B_{j}(t)dt = 0, \\ x^{n}(0) = x_{0}, \\ \lambda_{i}^{n}(1) = \frac{\partial\Phi_{i}(x^{n}(1))}{\partial x^{n}}. \end{cases}$$

IJNAO, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp 467-482

472

A numerical treatment based on Bernoulli ...

By the following theorem, the convergence analysis and the error upper bound for the mentioned approximation obtained by the Bernoulli polynomials is discussed.

Theorem 1. Suppose that x(t) and $\lambda_i(t)$, i = 1, ..., m, belong to $C^{n+1}[0, 1]$ and that $S_n = span\{\beta_0(t), \beta_1(t), ..., \beta_n(t)\}$. If $A^T\beta(t) \in S_n$ and $B_i^T\beta(t) \in S_n$, i = 1, 2, ..., m, are the best approximations of x(t) and $\lambda_i(t)$, i = 1, ..., m, respectively, then

$$||x(t) - A^T \beta(t)||_{L^2[0,1]} \le \frac{C}{(n+1)!\sqrt{2n+3}}$$

and

$$\|\lambda_i(t) - B_i^T \beta(t)\|_{L^2[0,1]} \le \frac{C_i}{(n+1)!\sqrt{2n+3}}, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, m,$$

where $C = \max_{t \in [0,1]} |x^{(n+1)}(t)|$ and $C_i = \max_{t \in [0,1]} |\lambda_i^{(n+1)}(t)|, i = 1, 2, \dots, m.$

Proof. The proof will be done for the first inequality and the other inequalities can be proved in a similar manner.

Since $x(t) \in C^{n+1}[0, 1]$, there exists $C \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $t \in [0, 1]$, we have $|x^k(t)| \leq C, k = 0, 1, \ldots, n+1$, and x(t) can be expanded into the Taylor formula as

$$x(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{x^{(k)}(0)}{k!} t^{k} + \frac{x^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{(n+1)!} t^{n+1} = \tilde{x}(t) + \frac{x^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{(n+1)!} t^{n+1},$$

where $\tilde{x}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \frac{x^{(k)}(0)}{k!} t^{k}$ and $\xi \in [0, t]$. Hence, we have

$$|x(t) - \tilde{x}(t)| = \frac{x^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{(n+1)!}t^{n+1}.$$

Because $A^T \beta(t)$ is the best approximation of x(t) out of S_n , $\tilde{x}(t) \in S_n$, and considering the above equality, it is concluded that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x(t) - A^T \beta(t)\|_{L^2[0,1]} &\leq \|x(t) - \tilde{x}(t)\|_{L^2[0,1]} = \left\| \frac{x^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{(n+1)!} t^{n+1} \right\|_{L^2[0,1]} \\ &= \left(\int_0^1 \left| \frac{x^{(n+1)}(\xi)}{(n+1)!} t^{n+1} \right|^2 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &\leq \frac{C}{(n+1)!} \left(\int_0^1 t^{2n+2} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{aligned}$$

IJNAO, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp 467-482

474

Dehghan Banadaki and Navidi

$$=\frac{C}{(n+1)!\sqrt{2n+3}},$$

and this completes the proof.

Remark 1. It should be noted that for practical use of Bernoulli polynomials on the interval [a, b], it is necessary to shift the defining domain by the following variable substitution and construct the shifted Bernoulli polynomials:

$$t = \frac{x}{b-a} - \frac{a}{b-a}.$$

4 Numerical illustrations

In this part, three differential game problems are presented to illustrate the accuracy and efficiency of the proposed approach. Example 1 is a linearquadratic differential game that its exact solution can be obtained. By this example and comparing it with the exact solution, we can verify and validate the proposed approach. Example 2 is also a linear quadratic differential game with attainable exact solution. In this example, we compare the results of the proposed method with the Chebyshev pseudospectral method (CPM) presented in [27]. Example 3 is a differential game arising from an economic model with a nonlinear system of TPBVPs that the exact solution is not available. To check the performance of the proposed method for this problem, a residual function is defined.

All the computations associated with the proposed method have been performed by Maple 17 software with 32 digits precision on a Core (TM) i7 PC with 2.70GHz of CPU and 16GB of RAM.

Example 1. For this differential game, the state equation is [13]

$$\dot{x}(t) = u_1(t) + u_2(t), \quad x(0) = 1,$$

and two players' performance indices are

$$\min_{u_1} J_1 = \int_0^1 (-x^2(t) + u_1^2(t)) dt,$$

$$\min_{u_2} J_2 = \int_0^1 (2x^2(t) + u_2^2(t)) dt + x^2(1)$$

The exact open-loop Nash equilibrium of this differential game is [13]

$$u_1^* = -\frac{1}{e} + e^{-t},$$
$$u_2^* = \frac{1}{e} - 2e^{-t}.$$

A numerical treatment based on Bernoulli ...

Hence, the exact values of players' performance indices are

$$J_1^* = -0.32975303263305$$
$$J_2^* = 1.9344880850240.$$

The system of TPBVPs for the mentioned game is stated as

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = -\frac{\lambda_1(t)}{2} - \frac{\lambda_2(t)}{2}, \\ \dot{\lambda}_1(t) = 2x(t), \\ \dot{\lambda}_2(t) = -4x(t), \\ x(0) = 1, \\ \lambda_1(1) = 0, \quad \lambda_2(1) = 2x(1). \end{cases}$$

The values of performance indices obtained by the proposed approach and the comparison of the analytical solutions are shown in Table 1. Also, the approximate solutions and the exact solutions with n = 10 together with absolute errors are plotted in Figure 1.

Table 1: Comparison of optimal payoff functionals J_1 and J_2 obtained by BTM with the exact solutions and also the CPU time(s) for Example 1.

n	J_{1BTM}	J_{2BTM}	$ J_{1BTM} - J_1^* $	$ J_{2BTM} - J_2^* $	CPU time(s)
4	-0.32975302954236861650	1.93448814833633875533	3.09×10^{-9}	5.23×10^{-8}	0.124
6	-0.32975303263303305145	1.93448808502434431993	$1.35 imes 10^{-14}$	2.27×10^{-13}	0.156
8	-0.32975303263304656749	1.93448808502406878964	1.69×10^{-20}	2.84×10^{-19}	0.218
10	-0.32975303263304656750	1.93448808502406878929	8.17×10^{-27}	1.37×10^{-25}	0.328

Example 2. For this differential game, the state equation is [13]

$$\dot{x}(t) = 2x(t) + u_1(t) + u_2(t), \quad x(0) = 1,$$

and two players' performance indices are

$$\min_{u_1} J_1 = \int_0^3 (x^2(t) + u_1^2(t)) dt,$$

$$\min_{u_2} J_2 = \int_0^3 (4x^2(t) + u_2^2(t)) dt + 5x^2(3).$$

The exact open-loop Nash equilibrium of this differential game is [13]

$$\begin{split} u_1^* &= -e^{-st} + \frac{1}{e^3}e^{-2t}, \\ u_2^* &= -4e^{-3t} - \frac{1}{e^3}e^{-2t}. \end{split}$$

Hence, the exact values of players' performance indices are

 $J_1^* = 0.3140381912,$

IJNAO, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp 467-482



Figure 1: Plots of the approximate solutions and the analytical solutions together with absolute errors with n = 10 for Example 1.

$J_2^* = 3.4136123279.$

The system of TPBVPs for the mentioned game is stated as

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = 2x(t) - \frac{\lambda_1(t)}{2} - \frac{\lambda_2(t)}{2}, \\ \dot{\lambda}_1(t) = -2x(t) - 2\lambda_1(t), \\ \dot{\lambda}_2(t) = -8x(t) - 2\lambda_2(t), \\ x(0) = 1, \\ \lambda_1(3) = 0, \quad \lambda_2(3) = 10x(3). \end{cases}$$

IJNAO, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp 467–482

Archive of SID.ir

476

A numerical treatment based on Bernoulli ...

The values of performance indices obtained by the proposed approach and the comparison of the analytical solutions are shown in Table 2. Besides, to compare the BTM results with an existing approach, the results obtained by the CPM [27] are shown in Table 3.

Table 2: Comparison of optimal payoff functionals J_1 and J_2 obtained by BTM with the exact solutions and also the CPU time(s) for Example 2.

\overline{n}	J_{1BTM}	J_{2BTM}	$ J_{1BTM} - J_1^* $	$ J_{2BTM} - J_2^* $	CPU time(s)
10	0.31403763402282	3.41361478021289	$5.57 imes10^{-7}$	$2.45 imes 10^{-6}$	0.437
15	0.31403819123820	3.41361232797387	1.07×10^{-14}	4.58×10^{-14}	0.640
20	0.31403819123819	3.41361232797391	8.77×10^{-24}	3.85×10^{-23}	1.154

Table 3: Comparison of optimal payoff functionals J_1 and J_2 obtained by CPM with the exact solutions for Example 2.

n	J_{1CPM}	J_{2CPM}	$ J_{1CPM} - J_1^* $	$ J_{2CPM} - J_2^* $
10	0.3140689582	3.4134809955	0.0000307670	0.0001313324
15	0.3140381906	3.4136123306	6.1×10^{-10}	2.7×10^{-9}
20	0.3140381912	3.4136123279	5.2×10^{-15}	2.2×10^{-14}

Table 2 indicates that in the same situation in terms of the number of basis functions, the results obtained by the proposed method are more accurate than the results obtained by the CPM in this example.

Example 3. The following differential game describes the competition between two players in an effort for harvesting a natural renewable resource. The state equation of this game is expressed as

$$\dot{x}(t) = 0.1x(t) - 0.001x^{2}(t) - x(t)u_{1}(t) - x(t)u_{2}(t), \quad x(0) = 1.$$

The players' payoffs are given by

$$J_1(u_1, u_2) = \int_0^1 (3x(t)u_1(t) - \frac{1}{2}u_1^2(t))dt,$$

$$J_2(u_1, u_2) = \int_0^1 (2x(t)u_2(t) - \frac{1}{2}u_2^2(t))dt,$$

where the value x(t) > 0 is the resource level and the amounts $u_1(t) \ge 0$ and $u_2(t) \ge 0$ are the players' efforts for harvesting this resource, all at time t. Moreover, $\frac{1}{2}u_1^2$ and $\frac{1}{2}u_2^2$ indicate the costs for harvesting at effort levels u_1 and u_2 , respectively [9].

Remark 2 (see [35]). By the linearity of the state equation of this differential game with respect to the control variables u_i , i = 1, 2, and the concavity of integrand of performance index J_i , i = 1, 2, with respect to u_i , i = 1, 2,

IJNAO, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp 467-482

Dehghan Banadaki and Navidi

(because $\frac{\partial^2 J_i}{\partial u_i^2} = -1 < 0, i = 1, 2$), it yields that the open-loop strategy exists and is unique for this dynamic game regrading the Filippov–Cesari existence theorem [10].

The nonlinear system of TPBVPs extracted from Pontryagin's maximum principle for this differential game is stated as follows:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = 0.1x - 5.001x^2 + x^2\lambda_1 + x^2\lambda_2, \\ \dot{\lambda}_1 = -9x - 0.1\lambda_1 + 8.002x\lambda_1 - x\lambda_1^2 - x\lambda_1\lambda_2 \\ \dot{\lambda}_2 = -4x - 0.1\lambda_2 + 7.002x\lambda_2 - x\lambda_2^2 - x\lambda_1\lambda_2 \\ x(0) = 1, \\ \lambda_1(1) = 0, \quad \lambda_2(1) = 0. \end{cases}$$

The numerical results for various amounts of n are presented in Table 4. It is worth mentioning that since the exact solution to this differential game is not available, to check the accuracy and validity of the proposed method for the differential game under consideration, the error of residuals is defined as follows:

$$||Res||^{2} = \int_{0}^{1} (R_{1}^{2}(t) + R_{2}^{2}(t) + R_{3}^{2}(t))dt,$$

where $R_i(t)$, i = 1, 2, 3, are the residuals defined in the previous section.

Table 4: Optimal payoff functionals J_1 and J_2 for Example 3 with error norms and also the CPU time(s).

		. ,		
n	J_{1BTM}	J_{2BTM}	$\ Res\ ^2$	CPU time(s)
6	0.946161437829	0.452174552034	$3.74 imes 10^{-5}$	3.931
8	0.946161294373	0.452174505059	$5.44 imes 10^{-7}$	12.683
10	0.946161293220	0.452174504702	7.27×10^{-9}	35.334
12	0.946161293210	0.452174504699	9.16×10^{-11}	92.486

It is notable that due to the nonlinearity of the system of TPBVPs for this example and also the process of implementing the Tau method, we expect that it consumes more time than the previous examples to be solved. Table 4 verifies this matter.

5 Conclusions

In this paper, a formulation of the Bernoulli Tau method (BTM) was established efficiently for approximating the open-loop Nash equilibrium in nonlinear differential games over a finite time horizon. Using this approach, the system of TPBVPs extracted from Pontryagin's maximum principle was

478

A numerical treatment based on Bernoulli ...

reduced to a system of algebraic equations by expanding the solution functions in terms of Bernoulli polynomials, which can be solved numerically to determine the unknown coefficients. At last, three examples were presented and solved by this approach to validate the applicably and accuracy of the present method. The approximate solutions were obtained with an excellent agreement with the exact solutions.

References

- Abbasbandy, S., Shivanian, E., and Vajravelu, K. Mathematical properties of ħ-curve in the frame work of the homotopy analysis method, Commun. Nonlinear Sci. Numer. Simul., 16(11) (2011), 4268–4275.
- Bhrawy, A., Tharwat, M., and Yildirim, A. A new formula for fractional integrals of Chebyshev polynomials: Application for solving multi-term fractional differential equations, Appl. Math. Model., 37(6) (2013), 4245– 4252.
- Bhrawy, A. H. and Zaky, M. A. A method based on the Jacobi tau approximation for solving multi-term time-space fractional partial differential equations, J. Comput. Phys., 281 (2015), 876–895.
- Bhrawy, A. H., Zaky, M. A., and Baleanu, D. New numerical approximations for space-time fractional burgers' equations via a Legendre spectralcollocation method, Rom. Rep. Phys., 67(2) (2015), 340–349.
- Bressan, A. Bifurcation analysis of a non-cooperative differential game with one weak player, J. Differ. Equ., 248(6), (2010), 1297–1314.
- Bressan, A. Noncooperative differential games, Milan J. Math., 79(2) (2011), 357–427.
- Canuto, C., Hussaini, M. Y., Quarteroni, A., Thomas Jr, A., et al. Spectral methods in fluid dynamics, Springer Science & Business Media, Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, (2012).
- Canuto, C., Hussaini, M. Y., Quarteroni, A., and Zang, T. A. Spectral methods: fundamentals in single domains, Springer Science & Business Media, Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, (2007).
- Carlson, D. A. and Leitmann, G. An extension of the coordinate transformation method for open-loop Nash equilibria, J. Optim. Theory Appl., 123(1) (2004), 27–47.
- Cesari, L. Optimization-theory and applications: problems with ordinary differential equations, Springer Science & Business Media, Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, (2012).

IJNAO, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp 467–482

Dehghan Banadaki and Navidi

- Dockner, E. J., Jorgensen, S., Van Long, N., and Sorger, G. Differential games in economics and management science, Cambridge University Press, London, (2000).
- Ehtamo, H. and Raivio, T. On applied nonlinear and bilevel programming or pursuit-evasion games, J. Optim. Theory Appl., 108(1) (2001), 65–96.
- Engwerda, J. LQ dynamic optimization and differential games, John Wiley & Sons, NJ, USA, (2005).
- Engwerda, J. C. On the open-loop Nash equilibrium in lq-games, J. Econ. Dyn. Control, 22(5) (1998), 729–762.
- Erickson, G. Dynamic models of advertising competition, Springer Science & Business Media, Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, (2002).
- Ghaneai, H. and Hosseini, M. Variational iteration method with an auxiliary parameter for solving wave-like and heat-like equations in large domains, Comput. Math. Appl., 69(5) (2015), 363–373.
- Grosset, L. A note on open loop Nash equilibrium in linear-state differential games, Appl. Math. Sci., 8 (2014), 7239–7248.
- Heydari, M., Loghmani, G. B., Rashidi, M. M. and Hosseini, S. M. A numerical study for off-centered stagnation flow towards a rotating disc, Propuls. Power Res., 4(3) (2015), 169–178.
- Heydari M., Loghmani G. B. and Hosseini S. M. Exponential Bernstein functions: an effective tool for the solution of heat transfer of a micropolar fluid through a porous medium with radiation, Comput. Appl. Math., 36(1) (2017), 647–675.
- Horie, K. and Conway, B. A. Genetic algorithm preprocessing for numerical solution of differential games problems, J. Guid. Control Dyn., 27(6), (2004), 1075–1078.
- Hosseini, E., Barid Loghmani, G., Heydari, M., and Wazwaz, A.-M. A numerical study of electrohydrodynamic flow analysis in a circular cylindrical conduit using orthonormal Bernstein polynomials, Comput. Methods Differ. Equ., 5(4) (2017), 280–300.
- Hosseini, E., Loghmani, G. B., Heydari, M. and Rashidi, M. M. Investigation of magneto-hemodynamic flow in a semi-porous channel using orthonormal Bernstein polynomials, Eur. Phys. J. Plus, 132(7) (2017), 1–16.
- 23. Jafari, S. and Navidi, H. A game-theoretic approach for modeling competitive diffusion over social networks, Games, 9(1) (2018), 8.

IJNAO, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp 467-482

Archive of SID.ir

480

A numerical treatment based on Bernoulli ...

- Jiménez-Lizárraga, M., Basin, M., Rodríguez, V., and Rodríguez, P. Open-loop Nash equilibrium in polynomial differential games via statedependent Riccati equation, Automatica J. IFAC, 53 (2015), 155–163.
- 25. Johnson, P. A. Numerical solution methods for differential game problems, PhD thesis, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, (2009).
- Keshavarz, E., Ordokhani, Y., and Razzaghi, M. A numerical solution for fractional optimal control problems via Bernoulli polynomials, J. Vib. Control, 22(18) (2016), 3889–3903.
- Nikooeinejad, Z., Delavarkhalafi, A., and Heydari, M. A numerical solution of open-loop Nash equilibrium in nonlinear differential games based on Chebyshev pseudospectral method, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 300 (2016), 369–384.
- Nikooeinejad, Z., Delavarkhalafi, A., and Heydari, M. Application of shifted Jacobi pseudospectral method for solving (in) finite-horizon minmax optimal control problems with uncertainty, Int. J. Control, 91(3) (2018), 725–739.
- Nikooeinejad, Z., Heydari, M., Saffarzadeh, M., Loghmani, G. B. and Engwerda, J. Numerical Simulation of Non-cooperative and Cooperative Equilibrium Solutions for a Stochastic Government Debt Stabilization Game, Comput. Econ., (2021), 1–27.
- Nikooeinejad, Z., Delavarkhalafi, A., Heydari, M. and Wazwaz, A. M. A computational method for solving the system of Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman PDEs in nonzero-sum fixed-final-time differential games, Trans. A. Razmadze Math. Inst., 175(1) (2021), 83–100.
- Nikooeinejad, Z. and Heydari, M. Nash equilibrium approximation of some class of stochastic differential games: A combined Chebyshev spectral collocation method with policy iteration, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 362 (2019), 41–54.
- Nikooeinejad, Z., Heydari M. and Loghmani G. B. Numerical solution of two-point BVPs in infinite-horizon optimal control theory: A combined quasilinearization method with exponential Bernstein functions, Int. J. Comput. Math., 98(11) (2021), 2156–2174.
- Rabiei, K., Ordokhani, Y., and Babolian, E. Numerical solution of 1d and 2d fractional optimal control of system via Bernoulli polynomials, Int. J. Appl. Comput., 4(1) (2018), 1–17.
- Shen, J., Tang, T., and Wang, L.-L. Spectral methods: algorithms, analysis and applications, Springer Science & Business Media, Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, (2011).

IJNAO, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp 467-482

Dehghan Banadaki and Navidi

- Sorger, G. Competitive dynamic advertising: A modification of the case game, J. Econ. Dyn. Control, 13(1) (1989), 55–80.
- Starr, A. W. and Ho, Y.-C. Further properties of nonzero-sum differential games, J. Optim. Theory Appl., 3(4) (1969a), 207–219.
- Starr, A. W. and Ho, Y.-C. Nonzero-sum differential games, J. Optim. Theory Appl., 3(3) (1969b), 184–206.
- Tameh, M. S. and Shivanian, E. Fractional shifted Legendre tau method to solve linear and nonlinear variable-order fractional partial differential equations, Math. Sci., 15(1) (2021), 11–19.
- Tari, A., Rahimi, M., Shahmorad, S., and Talati, F. Development of the tau method for the numerical solution of two-dimensional linear Volterra integro-differential equations, Comput. Methods Appl. Math., 9(4) (2009), 421–435.
- Tohidi, E., Bhrawy, A., and Erfani, K. A collocation method based on Bernoulli operational matrix for numerical solution of generalized pantograph equation, Appl. Math. Model., 37(6) (2013), 4283–4294.
- Torabi, S. M., Tari, A., and Shahmorad, S. Two-step collocation methods for two-dimensional Volterra integral equations of the second kind, J. Appl. Anal., 25(1) (2019), 1–11.
- Wu, C., Teo, K. L., and Wu, S. Min-max optimal control of linear systems with uncertainty and terminal state constraints, Automatica J. IFAC, 49(6) (2013), 1809–1815.
- 43. Yazdaniyan, Z., Shamsi, M., Foroozandeh, Z., and de Pinho, M. d. R. A numerical method based on the complementarity and optimal control formulations for solving a family of zero-sum pursuit-evasion differential games, J. Comput. Appl. Math., 368, (2020), 112535
- Yeung, D. W. and Petrosjan, L. A. Cooperative stochastic differential games, Springer Science & Business Media, Berlin/Heidelberg, Germany, (2006).

How to cite this article

M. Dehghan Banadaki and H. Navidi A numerical treatment based on Bernoulli Tau method for computing the open-loop Nash equilibrium in nonlinear differential games. *Iranian Journal of Numerical Analysis and Optimization*, 2022; 12(2): 467-482. doi: 10.22067/IJNAO.2022.74347.1086.

IJNAO, Vol. 12, No. 2, pp 467-482

Archive of SID.ir

482