

Comparison of Shahnameh & Garshasnameh's Tahmidehs Based on Halliday's Systemic Functional Grammar (Ideational metafunction)

Vol. 12, No. 2, Tome 62
pp. 449-480
June & July 2021

Samane Refahi* 

Abstract

Halliday's Systemic Functional Grammar analyzes text in context. Based on this theory, in the study of texts, we are faced with three metafunctions: Ideational metafunction, Interpersonal metafunction and textual metafunction, that Ideational metafunction divided into experiential and logical ones. In experiential metafunctions the six processes, participants, and circumstances are analyzed. According to some experts, Garshasnameh is the best heroic poem after Shahnameh among heroic texts. For this reason, in this study, the Tahmidehs of Shahnameh and Garshasnameh have been selected for comparison based on Ideational metafunction of the Halliday's Systemic Functional Grammar to determine how similar the Tahmidehs of these two works are linguistically and if we assume that Asadi has tried to write a work like Ferdowsi's Shahnameh, how much has he achieved his goal? After the study, it was found that in both Shahnameh and Garshasnameh, the material process has the highest percentage. According to the genre of these two works, which is epic, this result and attention to the material process is predictable. Since the nature of Tahmideh is to praise God, God is the main participant in most of these processes and the percentages are almost equal. It can be acknowledged, with a little forgiveness, Asadi has been able to follow in the footsteps of Ferdowsi in composing the Tahmideh of Garshasnameh, and the Tahmideh of Garshasnameh is equal to the Tahmideh of Shahnameh from the view point of Ideational metafunction.

Keywords: Halliday, Systemic functional grammar, Ideational metafunction, Shahnameh, Garshasnameh, Tahmideh

*Corresponding author; Department of Persian Language and Literature, Allameh Tabataba'ei University, Tehran, Iran;
Email: Samane_Refahi@atu.ac.ir, <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8445-926X>

Received: 15 July 2020
Received in revised form: 25 October 2020
Accepted: 25 December 2020

perspective.

In structural linguistics, the unit of language processing is sentence, while in functional linguistics clauses are important; This is because sentences alone do not convey the meaning of the text and should be considered in conjunction with other sentences. For this reason, the text is divided into clauses and analyzed. According to Halliday, each clause as a discourse chunk must be examined from three perspectives: field, tenor and mode of discourse. Halliday defines the metafunctions with the help of these three domains. Thus, in the structural study of each text, according to the context of its position, we are faced with three metafunctions: ideational, interpersonal and textual. Each of these metafunctions is also divided into several sub-categories. From these three metafunctions, ideational metafunction has been selected for analysis in this research. Ideational metafunction itself is divided into two experiential and logical metafunctions. In experiential metafunction, the six processes (three main processes and three sub-processes), participants and circumstances are examined. But in the logical metafunction, the relations between the clauses are discussed. Processes occur in the verb and are divided into three main processes and three sub-processes. Material, mental, and relational processes are the three main processes, and behavioral, verbal, and existential processes are the three sub-processes.

3. Discussion and Conclusion

After determining the absolute frequency and relative frequency of ideational metafunction processes in the initial verses of Shahnameh and Garshasnameh and putting them together, considerable results were obtained. One of the results is that in both works, the highest percentage is related to the material process. In both Shahnameh and Garshasnameh, about 37% of all processes are related to the material process. As mentioned, the material process implies doing a work or happening an event, which according to the genre of the two works, which is epic, this amount of attention to the material process is predictable. Another process that should

be considered according to the genre of the two works is the mental process. It seems that in composing an epic work, mental processes are less than genre like lyrical. The epic expresses the efforts and struggles of the heroes and pays less attention to mental issues (than material issues). In Shahnameh, about 18% and in Garshasnameh, about 17% of the total processes are mental processes. Material and mental processes with few difference are the most similar among the types of processes and this shows that Asadi in composing Garshasnameh has been able to be very close to Shahnameh linguistically in these two processes. The percentage of relational process in Shahnameh is higher than Garshasnameh and this difference in verbal and existential processes in Garshasnameh has been compensated. The percentage of the behavioral process in both works is zero, which is justifiable considering that the Tahmidiyehs of two works have been examined and have not yet entered the narrative section.

The results obtained for the participants in these processes are also significant. In Shahnameh, participant of about 31% of processes and in Garshasnameh, participant of about 39% of processes is God. Considering that in this study, Tahmidiyehs of the two works were analyzed, assigning the highest percentage of participants to God was reasonable and predictable, and this shows the dominance and ability of the two poets.

In the end, with a little connivance, it can be acknowledged that Asadi was able to cope well with Ferdowsi in composing the Tahmidiyeh of Garshasnameh, and the Tahmidiyeh of Garshasnameh is equal to the Tahmidiyeh of Shahnameh based on ideational metafunction