

Journal of Dental Biomaterials. 2016;3(3)

#### **Review** Article

# **Relevance of Micro-leakage to Orthodontic Bonding - a Review**

#### Karandish M

Department of Orthodontics and Health Policy Research Center, Dental School, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

Abstract
As it is seen, by passing the evolutionary process of banding of orthodontic
attachments to the bonding ones, orthodontics have witnessed many
developments, such as application of new adhesives, optimized base designs,
new bracket materials, curing methods and more efficient primers. The studies
often address the morphological, micro-leakage, and shear bond tests to
evaluate bond efficacy. Among studies endeavored to develop the bond strength
of brackets, some observed the reduction of micro-leakage of bracket-adhesive
and enamel-adhesive interfaces. Owing to the importance of micro-leakage in
orthodontics, this study aimed at reviewing the micro-leakage values directly
relevant to the enamel decay and debonding of the brackets. To reach the best
bond strength, the researchers tried to design different studies to evaluate the
effect of variables and prevent any possible side effects in clinical situations. It is
noticed that most studies have mainly focused on adhesives, enamel preparation
and methods of curing which are discussed in this review. The literature was
reviewed by searching databases, using micro-leakage and orthodontic bonding
as the keywords . Having found the relevant studies, the researchers entered
them into the database. After reviewing numerous studies conducted in this field,
the type of adhesive or curing method was not found to have determinative role
in the value of micro-leakage although more standardized studies are needed.

*Cite this article as*: Karandish M. Relevance of Micro-leakage to Orthodontic Bonding - a Review. J Dent Biomater, 2016; 3(3):254-260.

#### Introduction

One of the challenges in orthodontics is the bond strength between the bracket base and the enamel surface. In restorative dentistry discoloration of the restoration margins, caries, dental sensitivity and apparent failure of the restorations are mentioned as the results of micro-leakage [1]. The reduction in marginal integrity in this junction would causes debonding of brackets during orthodontic treatment [2-4]. Moreover, bacterial accumulation causes white spot lesions during the orthodontic treatment under the influence of unfavorable bond [1-4]. Polymerase shrinkage of bonding materials, in addition to intermittent thermal cycle of mouth [5] due to hot and cold meals and mechanical loads, reinforces the marginal gaps.

Different thermal expansion coefficients be-

tween Enamel ( $\alpha = 12 \text{ ppm/°C}$ ), adhesive ( $\alpha = 20-55 \text{ ppm/°C}$ ) and bracket base ( $\alpha = 16 \text{ ppm/°C}$ ) [6] will add a shear stress to the bond strength because of repeated expansion and contraction [7,8]. Fluid shift at the brackets-adhesive and enamel-adhesive interfaces and the lytic effect of water on the adhesive will form either large gaps or will cause debonding of brackets [5,7,9,10].

To reach the best bond strength, the researchers tried to design different studies to evaluate the effect of variables and prevent side effects in the clinical setting. Some of the studies focused on the effect of different adhesive materials, such as different self-etch primers [11-13], resin modified glass ionomers [14] and nanocomposites [15]. To reach the optimal bond, others applied different enamel preparations, such as application of bromelain and papaein gel [16], calcium silicate-sodium phosphate salts or resin infiltration [17] laser beam [18-21], air and bur abrasion [22] and different curing methods [23,24]. Some other researchers emphasized using new bracket materials [25], coating the bracket surface [26] and/or using optimized bracket base designs [27].

Having reviewed relevant studies performed, we noticed that after the banding of orthodontic attachments was replaced by the bonding ones, orthodontics underwent significant developments including the application of new adhesives, optimized base designs, new bracket materials, curing methods as well as more efficient primers. The studies often addressed the morphological, micro-leakage, and shear bond tests to evaluate the efficacy of the bond [7,28].

Among studies conducted to develop bond strength of brackets, some observed the reduction of micro-leakage of bracket-adhesive and enamel-adhesive interfaces [29,30]. As numerous studies have been carried out to investigate the effect of micro-leakage in bracket debonding and white spot lesions during orthodontic treatment and as there, at times, has not been consensus among their findings, the current study has reviewed the parameters of micro-leakage value which are directly relevant to the enamel decay and debonding of the brackets.

#### **Materials and Methods**

Medline and EMBASE electronic database search-

es were undertaken. Search terms included orthodontic brackets and micro-leakage.

#### Results

With a simple search and after deleting the common papers, 35 papers were encountered. The papers with English full text were adopted. After a gross review on the title and abstracts, the more relevant studies comprising 32 articles were included. The papers discussing the micro-leakage of orthodontic bands were also excluded from the study

# Discussion

Although there is some evidence showing no correlation between micro-leakage and clinical parameters in restorative dentistry [31], several other studies insist on its adverse effects in orthodontics [5,7,9,10].

In order to investigate the micro-leakage accurately, the researchers have to use the related laboratory lab promptly. To accomplish this, each laboratory test in medical studies should fulfill some requirements- described for medical devices and compiled entitled "Good Laboratory Practice" by regulatory authorities such as the Food & Drug Administration (FDA) in Washington or the European authorities in Brussels in the 1970s and 1990s- respectively to be nominated internally valid [32]. The requirements are as follows: reproducible results, known parameters, acceptable and low variability of measured values and application of suitable devices for given purposes. On the other hand, the correlation of results with clinical findings addresses the external validity.

Considering this principle, we observed different methods used in evaluating micro-leakage beneath orthodontic brackets, as shown below.

#### The effect of adhesives on micro-leakage

The majority of studies conducted in this field were related to the application of different adhesives or modification of these materials. Although Buyuk *et al.* reported lower micro-leakage in low-shrinking composites, they found insufficient shear bond strength and adhesive remnant score [33] not clinically relevant. Kim *et al.* did not find significant differences between APC flash-free adhesive coated and PLUS adhesive coated system brackets [34]. Using a resin coat reduces the value of micro-leakage of orthodontic brackets [35].

Various studies were performed on the application of self-etch vs. acid-etch primers and its effect on the micro-leakage. Pakshir and Ajami, for example, did not find any statistically significant differences in micro-leakage using Transbond XT primer [36]. In a more comprehensive study [37] conducted on the effect of three self-adhesive resin cements, namely (Maxcem Elite, Relyx U 100 and Clearfil SA Cement), three two-step self-etch bonding system (Clearfil SE Bond, Clearfil Protecbond and Clearfil Liner Bond), three one-step self-etch bonding system (Transbond Plus SEP, Bond Force and Clearfil S3) and three total-etching bonding system (Transbond XT, GreenGlue and Kurasper F) on micro-leakage, it was not found to be directly related to the type of adhesive. To confirm the findings of these studies, Shahabi observed the same value for micro-leakage in spite of the lowest shear bond strength in self-etch primers (SEP) [38]. Uysal et al. adopted Transbond Plus Self-etching Primer vs Transbond XT. In contrast to previously addressed studies, they reported more micro-leakage in the application of self-etch primers [39].

Vicente *et al.* demonstrated that resin composites and flowable composites had poor performance after thermocycling [40]. Resin modified glass ionomers (RMGI) resulted in more micro-leakage especially at the enamel-adhesive interface [41]. The study performed on rebonding brackets found no differences in micro-leakage using various adhesive removal methods [42].

In comparison with direct and indirect bonding techniques, it was observed that applying different adhesives had no effects on micro-leakage [43]. This finding was verified by Ozturk *et al.* [44]. Canbek *et al.* compared human and bovine teeth for the evaluation of micro-leakage beneath the brackets. They reached the conclusion that unlike the thermocycled specimens, the value of micro-leakage in human teeth was less in the absence of thermocycling [7].

# The effect of enamel preparation on micro-leakage

Some other studies applied different enamel preparations to investigate the differences in micro-leakage. Toodehzaeim *et al.*, for instance, found no differences between 1.5 and 2.5 watt Er:YAG laser and acid-etch preparation [19] although in a previous study, acid-etching appeared to have superior properties than laser preparation [45]. Furthermore, application of NaF 2% was reported to decrease micro-leakage on hypomineralised enamel [46].

## The effect of contamination on micro-leakage

In a number of studies, the effect of contamination was addressed. Kustarci *et al.* found no differences in micro-leakage value between chlorhexidine gluconate, Clearfil Protect Bond and KTP laser [47]. Micro-leakage caused by enamel erosion increased in the presence of drinks. This might imply that these drinks could cause loss of adhesive materials [48]. Effect of saliva contamination in deteriorating the micro-leakage value was reported to be more evident in enamel adhesive interface [49]. Thus, debonding of brackets was more likely than decay on enamel surface.

# The effect of light curing on micro-leakage

Having compared LED with Plasma arc units, Davari *et al.* observed that LED led to more micro-leakage value [23]. Ulker, however, found no differences in micro-leakage value of high and low- intensity curing units [50]. Micro-leakage beneath ceramic brackets was less with the protocol of curing with LEDs than with conventional curing unit [51].

# *Modules for evaluation of micro-leakage Sample preparation*

Almost all studies share a common method in preparation of samples for micro-leakage studies. After preparation of tooth surfaces and immersing them in a dye solution, the researcher began testing them. However, because of the ability of fluorescent dye to penetrate into the tubules, distorting results are inevitable [52,53]. In most studies conducted in this field, methylene blue is the optional choice [49,54]. The organic base of this molecule is combined with acid and its size is somehow smaller than the size of bacteria, helping the methylene blue to penetrate the tubules [6,54,55]. Unlike some other researchers, Ozturk *et al.* applied silver nitrate solution [44]. They could not detect

penetration of dye because the particle could not penetrate into mini gaps.

#### Microscopic evaluation methods

The efficiency of microscopic evaluations can be determined through assessing the penetration depth, the quality and thickness of the hybrid layer [31]. After adhesive marked with a fluorescent dye, the researchers evaluated the specimen microscopically with a scanning electron microscope (SEM), a fluorescence microscope, the light microscope [34] or a confocal laser scanning microscope (CLSM).

On the other hand, most researchers [49-51,56,57] adopted stereomicroscope as an aid to evaluate the micro-leakage beneath orthodontic brackets. Arhun *et al.* reported more leakage at adhesive-bracket interface of metal brackets [56]. In addition, most researchers evaluated both the gingival and incisal margins [51]. Generally speaking, the stereomicroscope has the advantage of greater depth perception and allowing viewers to see objects in three dimensions but with low magnification.

The light microscope and SEM can qualitatively measure micro-leakage but they are dependent on a software program [58]. Some researchers adopted dye penetration light microscope evaluation. Kim et al, for instance, evaluated micro-leakage beneath ceramic brackets [34]. Although not statistically significant, they found higher median micro-leakage in the Flash-Free group. In addition, Canbek et al. evaluated the cervical and incisal bracket surfaces for excess bonding material using this technique [7]. They also analyzed dye penetration and adhesive-bracket and adhesive-enamel micro-leakage. However, Chapra et al, by adding surface-penetrating sealants, reached different results [29]. They found better marginal integrity both in unsealed and sealed groups. Buyuk [33] and Vicente [40] also applied this method. Vicente evaluated micro-leakage with the image analysis equipment to interpret the data. Navarro used SEM to evaluate the micro-leakage value [48] but Ozturk adopted micro CT [44]. To reduce the variability in the subjective evaluation of micro-leakage value, it is strictly recommended to increase the reproducibility of the results with the help of one examiner. The intra-operator variability (2-8%) is significantly less than inter-operative one (10-20%) [31].

## Conclusions

Micro-leakage is one of the challenging topics in orthodontics. Scientific evidence has focused on the indispensable role of micro-leakage in bracket debonding and white spot lesions during orthodontic treatment. Generally, it seems that the type of adhesive or curing method does not have determinative role in the value of micro-leakage. Less micro-leakage is seen at the bracket-adhesive interface of the ceramic brackets. The most popular method to evaluate the micro-leakage is the analysis under a stereomicroscope.

## Conflict of Interest: None declared.

# References

- 1. Youssef MN, Youssef FA, Souza-Zaroni WC, et al. Effect of enamel preparation method on in vitro marginal microleakage of a flowable composite used as pit and fissure sealant. Int J Paediatr Dent. 2006;16:342-347.
- Oesterle LJ, Newman SM, Shellhart WC. Comparative bond strength of brackets cured using a pulsed xenon curing light with 2 different light-guide sizes. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2002;122:242-250.
- James JW, Miller BH, English JD, et al. Effects of high-speed curing devices on shear bond strength and microleakage of orthodontic brackets. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2003;123:555-561.
- Sabzevari B, Ramazanzadeh BA, Moazzami SM, et al. Microleakage under Orthodontic Metal Brackets Bonded with Three Different Bonding Techniques with/without Thermocycling. J Dent Mater Tech. 2013;2:21-28.
- Bishara SE, Ajlouni R, Laffoon JF. Effect of thermocycling on the shear bond strength of a cyanoacrylate orthodontic adhesive. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2003;123:21-24.
- Mahal RS. A Standardized Approach to Determine the Effect of Thermocycling and Long Term Storage on the Shear Bond Strength of Orthodontic Brackets Cemented to Bovine Enamel. National Library of Canada: Univer-

sity of Toronto; 2000.

- Canbek K, Karbach M, Gottschalk F, et al. Evaluation of bovine and human teeth exposed to thermocycling for microleakage under bonded metal brackets. J Orofac Orthop. 2013;74:102-112.
- 8. Bishara SE, Ostby AW, Laffoon JF, *et al.* Shear bond strength comparison of two adhesive systems following thermocycling. A new self-etch primer and a resin-modified glass ionomer. Angle Orthod. 2007;77:337-341.
- Arnold RW, Combe EC, Warford JH Jr. Bonding of stainless steel brackets to enamel with a new self-etching primer. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2002;122:274-276.
- Causton BE, Johnson NW. Changes in the dentine of human teeth following extraction and their implication for in-vitro studies of adhesion to tooth substance. Arch Oral Biol. 1979;24:229-232.
- Schauseil M, Blocher S, Hellak A, *et al.* Shear bond strength and debonding characteristics of a new premixed self-etching with a reference total-etch adhesive. Head Face Med. 2016;12:19.
- Ousehal L, El Aouame A, Rachdy Z, *et al.* Comparison of the efficacy of a conventional primer and a self-etching primer. Int Orthod. 2016;14:195-205.
- Atram H, Jakati SV, Aley M, *et al.* Clearfil Protect Bond(<sup>TM</sup>) versus Uni-Etch(<sup>TM</sup>) antibacterial self-etchant: A war of giants against shear bond strength. Indian J Dent Res. 2016;27:54-60.
- Elnafar AA, Alam MK, Hasan R. The impact of surface preparation on shear bond strength of metallic orthodontic brackets bonded with a resin-modified glass ionomer cement. J Orthod. 2014;41:201-207.
- Uysal T, Yagci A, Uysal B, *et al.* Are nano-composites and nano-ionomers suitable for orthodontic bracket bonding? Eur J Orthod. 2010;32:78-82.
- Pithon MM, Campos MS, Coqueiro Rda S. Effect of bromelain and papain gel on enamel deproteinisation before orthodontic bracket bonding. Aust Orthod J. 2016;32:23-30.
- 17. Costenoble A, Vennat E, Attal JP, *et al.* Bond strength and interfacial morphology of

orthodontic brackets bonded to eroded enamel treated with calcium silicate-sodium phosphate salts or resin infiltration. Angle Orthod. 2016.

- Zhang ZC, Qian YF, Yang YM, et al. Bond strength of metal brackets bonded to a silica-based ceramic with light-cured adhesive : Influence of various surface treatment methods. J Orofac Orthop. 2016.
- Toodehzaeim MH, Yassaei S, Karandish M, et al. In vitro evaluation of microleakage around orthodontic brackets using laser etching and Acid etching methods. J Dent (Tehran). 2014;11:263-269.
- 20. Aglarci C, Demir N, Aksakalli S, *et al.* Bond strengths of brackets bonded to enamel surfaces conditioned with femtosecond and Er:YAG laser systems. Lasers Med Sci. 2016;31:1177-1183.
- 21. Karandish M. The efficiency of laser application on the enamel surface: a systematic review. J Lasers Med Sci. 2014;5:108-114.
- 22. Charles A, Senkutvan R, Ramya RS, *et al.* Evaluation of shear bond strength with different enamel pretreatments: an in vitro study. Indian J Dent Res. 2014;25:470-474.
- Davari A, Yassaei S, Karandish M, et al. In vitro evaluation of microleakage under ceramic and metal brackets bonded with LED and plasma arc curing. J Contemp Dent Pract. 2012;13:644-649.
- 24. Heravi F, Moazzami SM, Ghaffari N, *et al.* Evaluation of shear bond strength of orthodontic brackets using trans-illumination technique with different curing profiles of LED light-curing unit in posterior teeth. Prog Orthod. 2013;14:49.
- 25. Foersch M, Schuster C, Rahimi RK, *et al.* A new flash-free orthodontic adhesive system: A first clinical and stereomicroscopic study. Angle Orthod. 2016;86:260-264.
- 26. Cao B, Wang Y, Li N, *et al.* Preparation of an orthodontic bracket coated with an nitrogen-doped TiO(2-x)N(y) thin film and examination of its antimicrobial performance. Dent Mater J. 2013;32:311-316.
- 27. Shyagali TR, Bhayya DP, Urs CB, *et al.* Finite element study on modification of bracket base and its effects on bond strength. Dental Press J Orthod. 2015;20:76-82.

- Lopes MB, Consani S, Gonini-Junior A, *et al.* Comparison of microleakage in human and bovine substrates using confocal microscopy. Bull Tokyo Dent Coll. 2009;50:111-116.
- 29. Chapra A, White GE. Leakage reduction with a surface-penetrating sealant around stainless-steel orthodontic brackets bonded with a light cured composite resin. J Clin Pediatr Dent. 2003;27:271-276.
- Wilson RM, Donly KJ. Demineralization around orthodontic brackets bonded with resin-modified glass ionomer cement and fluoride-releasing resin composite. Pediatr Dent. 2001;23:255-259.
- 31. Heintze SD. Clinical relevance of tests on bond strength, microleakage and marginal adaptation. Dent Mater. 2013;29:59-84.
- FDA. Good laboratory practice (GLP); 1978 [PART 58 52 FR 33780, 1978, last revision 2004].
- 33. Buyuk SK, Cantekin K, Demirbuga S, *et al.* Are the low-shrinking composites suitable for orthodontic bracket bonding? Eur J Dent. 2013;7:284-288.
- Kim J, Kanavakis G, Finkelman MD, et al. Microleakage under ceramic flash-free orthodontic brackets after thermal cycling. Angle Orthod. 2016.
- 35. Abdelnaby YL, Al-Wakeel EE. Influence of modifying the resin coat application protocol on bond strength and microleakage of metal orthodontic brackets. Angle Orthod. 2010;80:378-384.
- Pakshir H, Ajami S. Effect of Enamel Preparation and Light Curing Methods on Microleakage under Orthodontic Brackets. J Dent (Tehran). 2015;12:436-446.
- Alkis H, Turkkahraman H, Adanir N. Microleakage under orthodontic brackets bonded with different adhesive systems. Eur J Dent. 2015;9:117-121.
- 38. Shahabi M, Ahrari F, Mohamadipour H, et al. Microleakage and shear bond strength of orthodontc brackets bonded to hypomineralized enamel following different surface preparations. J Clin Exp Dent. 2014;6:110-115.
- 39. Uysal T, Ulker M, Ramoglu SI, *et al.* Microleakage under metallic and ceramic brackets bonded with orthodontic self-etching primer

systems. Angle Orthod. 2008;78:1089-1094.

- 40. Vicente A, Ortiz AJ, Bravo LA. Microleakage beneath brackets bonded with flowable materials: effect of thermocycling. Eur J Orthod. 2009;31:390-396.
- Ramoglu SI, Uysal T, Ulker M, *et al.* Microleakage under ceramic and metallic brackets bonded with resin-modified glass ionomer. Angle Orthod. 2009;79:183-143.
- 42. Tudehzaeim MH, Yassaei S, Taherimoghadam S. Comparison of Microleakage under Rebonded Stainless Steel Orthodontic Brackets Using Two Methods of Adhesive Removal: Sandblast and Laser. J Dent (Tehran). 2015;12:118-124.
- Yagci A, Uysal T, Ulker M, *et al.* Microleakage under orthodontic brackets bonded with the custom base indirect bonding technique. Eur J Orthod. 2010;32:259-263.
- Ozturk F, Ersoz M, Ozturk SA, *et al.* Micro-CT evaluation of microleakage under orthodontic ceramic brackets bonded with different bonding techniques and adhesives. Eur J Orthod. 2016;38:163-169.
- 45. Hamamci N, Akkurt A, Basaran G. In vitro evaluation of microleakage under orthodontic brackets using two different laser etching, self etching and acid etching methods. Lasers Med Sci. 2010;25:811-816.
- 46. Moosavi H, Ahrari F, Mohamadipour H. The effect of different surface treatments of demineralised enamel on microleakage under metal orthodontic brackets. Prog Orthod. 2013;14:2.
- Kustarci A, Sokucu O. Effect of chlorhexidine gluconate, Clearfil Protect Bond, and KTP laser on microleakage under metal orthodontic brackets with thermocycling. Photomed Laser Surg. 2010;28:57-62.
- 48. Navarro R, Vicente A, Ortiz AJ, et al. The effects of two soft drinks on bond strength, bracket microleakage, and adhesive remnant on intact and sealed enamel. Eur J Orthod. 2011;33:60-65.
- Toodehzaeim MH, Rezaie N. Effect of Saliva Contamination on Microleakage Beneath Bonded Brackets: A Comparison Between Two Moisture-Tolerant Bonding Systems. J Dent (Tehran). 2015;12:747-755.
- 50. Ulker M, Uysal T, Ramoglu SI, et al. Microleakage under orthodontic brackets using

high-intensity curing lights. Angle Orthod. 2009;79:144-149.

- Arikan S, Arhun N, Arman A, et al. Microleakage beneath ceramic and metal brackets photopolymerized with LED or conventional light curing units. Angle Orthod. 2006;76:1035-1040.
- 52. Watson TF. Fact and artefact in confocal microscopy. Adv Dent Res. 1997;11:433-441.
- 53. Van Meerbeek B, Vargas M, Inoue S, *et al.* Microscopy investigations. Techniques, results, limitations. Am J Dent. 2000;13:3-18.
- 54. Yavuz I, Aydin H, Ulku R, *et al.* A new method: measurement of microleakage volume using human, dog and bovine permanent teeth. Elec J Biotech. 2006;9:8-17.

- 55. Lopes MB, Sinhoreti MA, Gonini Junior A,*et al.* Comparative study of tubular diameter and quantity for human and bovine dentin at different depths. Braz Dental J. 2009;20:279-283.
- 56. Arhun N, Arman A, Cehreli SB, *et al.* Microleakage beneath ceramic and metal brackets bonded with a conventional and an antibacterial adhesive system. Angle Orthod. 2006;76:1028-1034.
- 57. Uysal T, Ramoglu SI, Ulker M, *et al.* Effects of high-intensity curing lights on microleakage under orthodontic bands. Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop. 2010;138:201-207
- Heintze SD, Ruffieux C, Rousson V. Clinical performance of cervical restorations--a meta-analysis. Dent Mater. 2010;26:993-1000.