



Research Paper: The Evaluation of the Effect of Intravenous Magnesium Sulfate in Improvement of Acute Ischemic Stroke induced Disability: A Randomized Double Blinded Clinical Trial



Davood Kashipazha¹, Meisam Moezzi², Shahram Rafie¹, Asieh Mehramiri^{1*}, Adel Nejati¹

1. Department of Neurology, School of Medicine, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran

2. Department of Emergency Medicine, School of Medicine, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran



Citation Kashipazha D, Moezzi M, Rafie Sh, Mehramiri A, Nejati A. The Evaluation of the Effect of Intravenous Magnesium Sulfate in Improvement of Acute Ischemic Stroke induced Disability: A randomized double blinded clinical Trial. Caspian J Neurol Sci. 2019; 5(2):89-95. <https://doi.org/10.29252/CJNS.5.17.89>

Running Title Magnesium Sulfate in Acute Ischemic stroke

doi <https://doi.org/10.29252/CJNS.5.17.89>



© 2018 The Authors. This is an open access article under the CC-BY-NC license.

ABSTRACT

Background: Stroke is the third main cause of death and chronic disabilities in adults, which requires finding neuroprotective drugs to reduce its mortality and morbidity.

Objectives: To determine the efficacy of magnesium sulfate as an adjunctive neuroprotective agent in patients with stroke.

Materials & Methods: This randomized double-blind clinical trial recruited 120 patients with acute ischemic stroke in the middle cerebral artery territory presenting to Ahvaz Golestan Hospital from 2015 to 2016. The patients were randomly assigned into two groups. The first group received 4 grams bolus intravenous magnesium sulfate and then 16 grams/24 hours for 5 consecutive days. The second group received normal saline as placebo. Functional disability was assessed on admission and at the end of the first and third months after stroke by modified National Institutes of Health Stroke Scale (mNIHSS) and modified Rankin Scale Score (mRSS). Qualitative variables were compared using chi-square test in SPSS V. 16.

Results: The results showed that difference of the mean mNIHSS, before (P=0.596) and one (P=0.512) and three (P=0.664) months after the treatment was not statistically significant between magnesium and placebo groups. Also it was true for mRSS before (P=0.669) and three month (P=0.878) after the treatment.

Conclusion: IV magnesium sulfate probably did not have significant positive effects on the outcome of patients with acute middle cerebral artery infarction. Studies on larger populations are recommended to show its possible effects.

Keywords: Magnesium sulfate, Infarction, Middle cerebral artery, Neuropsychiatry agents

Article info:

Received: 04 Feb 2019

First Revision: 08 Mar 2019

Accepted: 15 Mar 2019

Published: 01 Apr 2019

* Corresponding Author:

Asieh Mehramiri

Address: Department of Neurology, School of Medicine, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran

Tel: +98 (916) 3805539, *Fax:* +98 (61) 3743012

E-mail: mehramiri.ac@gmail.com

Highlights

- Prescription of magnesium has not impact on outcome of patients with ischemic stroke

Introduction

Stroke is one of the most important health problems in the world and the third cause of death in general population [1]. Due to serious stroke-induced disabilities and its socio-economic burden, management in early stages is highly recommended. Currently, recombinant Tissue Plasminogen Activator (r-TPA) is a main treatment of ischemic stroke (80% of cerebrovascular accidents) during the first 3 to 4.5 hours, but it is contraindicated in some patients [2]. Therefore, other adjuvant therapies with neuroprotective agents have been recommended. Magnesium has two main effects: vascular enhancement of flow in cerebral blood vessels and neuronal inhibition of glutamate release during ischemic cascade protection [3, 4].

It is an antagonist of N-Methyl-D-Aspartate (NMDA) receptor and calcium channel which has role in neuronal ischemia-induced death cascade [5, 6]. Cerebral ischemia results in releasing high amounts of glutamate, and then stimulation of NMDA receptor and the final outcome is neuronal death by calcium-dependent signal process (i.e. excitotoxicity) mediated by glutamate. On the other hand blocking the NMDA receptor has many side effect, therefore for maximum safety and efficacy immediately before or after stroke it's blocking should be targeted by some special drugs [7].

Based on these findings, we aimed to determine the efficacy of magnesium sulfate as a neuroprotective drug. The effect of magnesium upon stroke has been previously investigated, but we intend to evaluate the effect of intravenous magnesium sulfate on improving disabilities induced by acute Ischemic stroke.

Materials and Methods

This randomized, double-blind clinical trial (IRCT2015060712781N4) was conducted at Ahvaz Golestan Hospital from 2015 to 2016. We obtained the informed consent from all eligible patients (120 cases) aged 45 to 75, with door-to-needle time of not more than 24 hours for focal persistent neurological symptoms, mNIHSS between 5 to 22, and no bleeding evidence in the initial brain CT scan.

Exclusion criteria were previous or present history of renal failure (serum creatinine level >3mg/dL), heart and respiratory failure (<90% or respiratory rate < 12 breath/min or >24 breath/min), pregnancy, neurodegenerative and neuromuscular disorders, brain tumor, functionally dependent patients, and acute metabolic disorders. Patients were randomly assigned to two groups: the case group received magnesium sulfate and the control group received placebo (normal saline). In addition to the first visit, patients were examined at the end of the first month and 3 months after the administration of drug and the efficiency of treatment was evaluated based on the changes in mNIHSS and mRSS.

The sample size was calculated at the website <https://www.stat.ubc.ca/~rollin/stats/ssize/n2.html> using an online calculator (56 patients in each group). In this study, the patient and the interviewer were blinded to the applied drug, and all patients were treated routinely.

Patients were admitted to the emergency department, examined by an emergency medicine specialist and were treated by IV saline normal or magnesium sulfate. Then, they were examined by a neurologist who was blinded to the administered medication. All patients received oral antiplatelet agent aspirin 325mg and then 80mg daily. Eligible patients immediately received 4g of IV magnesium sulfate in 200mL saline normal over 20 minutes. Then, 16 g of magnesium sulfate (preparation 50%, Pastor Company) was administered in 800 mL saline normal by infusion pump over 24 hours and repeated for 5 consecutive days.

The placebo group received the same amount of IV normal saline normal. The magnesium level was measured before and after the administration of the drug on the fifth day. The severity of primary disability was recorded on the first day of admission and then at the end of the first month and the third month based on mNIHSS and mRSS in each group.

Quantitative and qualitative data were shown as Mean±SD, counts and percentages, respectively. The independent samples t-test was used to compare the mean of mNIHSS in two groups at each time point. Normal distribution of data was confirmed with Kolmogorov-Smirnov test and qualitative variables were compared with chi-square

test. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS V. 16. P-Value of <0.05 was considered statistically significant

Results

A total of 120 patients with ischemic stroke in middle cerebral artery territory completed the study. Six patients were excluded from the study (Figure 1).

Two patients in the magnesium group, three patients in the control group suffered from headache and one patient developed respiratory distress, which led to their exclusion from the study. Demographic data are shown in Table 1.

The drug was administered within 2 to 24 hours from the onset of symptoms, and the mean door-to-needle time was 11.25 ± 5.24 and 11.13 ± 5.61 hours in the case and control groups, respectively. The results indicated that there is no significant difference between the mean




Figure 1. The consort chart of patients



Table 1. Demographic characteristics of treatment groups

Variable	Group		P
	Mg-Sulfate	Placebo	
Age(mean)	64.10	64.62	0.768
Gender (M: F)	0.93	1	0.850
Time to treatment, H (median)	11.25	11.13	0.903
Baseline mNIHSS (mean)	8.82	9.19	0.536
Mg (mg/dl) (mean)	1.96	2.08	0.072
Positive stroke history	14.3	26.8	0.103
Atrial fibrillation	16.1	10.7	0.410
Hypertension	71.4	76.8	0.522



Table 2. Comparison of the functional disability of patients based on the mean mNIHSS and m-RSS

	Variable	Mg. Sulfate	Placebo	P
Total	mRSS at onset	3.75±0.815	3.82±0.897	0.669
	mRSS after 3 months	2.70±1.525	2.73±1.753	0.878
	mNIHSS at onset	8.82±3.075	9.14±3.311	0.596
	mNIHSS after 1 month	4.38±3.182	4.80±3.435	0.512
	mNIHSS after 3 months	3.04±2.144	3.24±2.570	0.664
Subgroup of cortical stroke	mNIHSS at onset	8.88±3.327	10.48±3.602	0.094
	mNIHSS after 1 month	4.22±2.713	6.31±3.496	0.025
	mNIHSS after 3 months	2.86±1.726	4.25±2.575	0.039
Subgroup of subcortical stroke	mNIHSS at onset	8.77±2.897	7.70±2.250	0.131
	mNIHSS after 1 month	4.50±3.540	3.24±2.619	0.146
	mNIHSS after 3 months	3.17±2.436	2.28±2.208	0.167
Subgroup of lacunar stroke	mNIHSS at onset	8.47±2.611	8.10±1.609	0.580
	mNIHSS after 1 month	3.21±2.699	3.70±2.755	0.579
	mNIHSS after 3 months	2.21±1.873	2.70±2.203	0.461
Subgroup of non-lacunar stroke	mNIHSS at onset	9.00±3.308	9.77±3.889	0.367
	mNIHSS after 1 month	5.03±3.280	5.52±3.678	0.575
	mNIHSS after 3 months	3.53±2.170	3.62±2.770	0.888



of mNIHSS in the two groups. Then, the rate of disability was compared with mNIHSS in both groups over time. Based on Table 2, the mean of mNIHSS in patients with cortical stroke after first and third months of treatment was lower in the magnesium sulfate group than that in the placebo group.

The difference between the mean of mNIHSS before treatment was not statistically significant, but two groups showed significant differences one and three months after treatment. Therefore, magnesium sulfate significantly improve disability in the cortical stroke cases; but in subcortical stroke subgroups, there was no statistically significant difference between mean of pre- and post-treatment mNIHSS during 1st and 3rd month (p-value 0.131, 0.141 and

0.167, respectively). The patients were classified into two subgroups: lacunar and non-lacunar stroke. In these subgroups also there was not any difference between magnesium and placebo effect after one and three months after stroke. Mortality rate was 8.9% vs. 5.3% in the control group vs. case group (p-value 0.463).

The results showed that difference of the mean mNIHSS, one (P=0.512) and three (P=0.664) months after the treatment was not statistically significant between magnesium and placebo groups. Also it was true for mRSS before (P=0.669) and three month (P=0.878) after the treatment.

The mean serum magnesium level was 1.96±0.308 mg/dl and 2.08±0.335 mg/dl at baseline in the case and

control groups, respectively. At the end of the fifth day, serum magnesium level was 1.94 ± 0.292 mg/dl in the case group and 2.26 ± 0.398 mg/dl in the control group. Despite high serum Mg level in the case group, there was no significant difference observed. Functional disability based on mRSS had not significant difference between two groups in assessment time in this study (Table 2).

Discussion

The present results showed that IV infusion of magnesium sulfate as a neuroprotective agent in patients with acute ischemic stroke in MCA territory did not significantly improved clinical functioning of patients based on mNIHSS criteria after one and three months. In the subgroups of lacunar and non-lacunar infarcts, as well as subcortical subgroups, there was no statistically significant difference between magnesium sulfate group and the placebo group. Only in cortical stroke the mean of mNIHSS showed significant difference between placebo and magnesium groups. In other word the magnesium has impact only on cortical stroke.

Although magnesium therapy in animal models has improved function and healing of tissue ischemia, this fact was not approved in our study in parallel with good patient tolerance and significant increased Mg serum levels at the end of treatment course. Currently, neuroprotection has a remarkable role, but it primarily acts based on the enhancing ischemic tissue tolerance until other defense mechanisms occur.

Calcium has a remarkable role in the degradation and cell death processes by releasing stimulant acids such as glutamate [8]. Many animal studies have supported the beneficial effects of magnesium on the cell body of neurons. Neuroprotection by magnesium probably occurs by both vascular (e.g. blocked voltage gated calcium, released nitric oxide, increased blood flow and inhibition of vasoconstriction) [9] and glial (e.g. blockade of glutaminergic NMDA receptors and inhibition of sodium-calcium ion exchanger) mechanisms [10, 11].

It seems that the magnesium is biologically effective in acute phase of brain infarction [4]. Many animal studies have been conducted on positive neuroprotective process of magnesium. The best neuroprotective dose for magnesium was calculated 2 to 2.5 mmol/L [12]. Some of the neuroprotective drugs which are effective in animal models are not effective in human models. Therefore, early treatment or multi-treatment are suggested [13].

A combined treatment approach of thrombolytic and neuroprotective drugs is a promising strategy in acute ischemic stroke [14]. A safe profile for magnesium sulfate in eclampsia suggest its neuroprotective effect [15]. Some previous studies have clinically shown significant improvements by magnesium sulfate [16-18].

A study in Taiwan evaluated the causes of death among patients with stroke from 1989 to 1993 and reported that higher magnesium levels were associated with a lower incidence of stroke [19]. McKee found that Mg in ischemic area of brain was significantly lower than that in normal control brain [20]. In the IMAGES trial by Meloni, patients received 4 g of magnesium within 15 minutes and 16 g within 24 hours, but there was no significant difference in patients' functioning based on Barthel's and Rankin Scale criteria between case and control groups [17].

Mortality rate was 8.9% in placebo group vs. 5.3% in magnesium group without significant difference. But in one study the. The mortality rate after 3 months was higher in the magnesium group than that in the placebo group [21]. The accurate time of assuming neuroprotective effects of drugs is not clear. Saver's cohort study on 1700 patients with acute stroke in the first 2 hours evaluated the efficacy of magnesium sulfate as a neuroprotective agent in patients before they reached the hospital. No particular benefit was observed after a 90-day follow-up [22]. Brain response to neuroprotective agents may occur within 5 days of stroke [16]. However, neuroprotective agents may cause delayed improvement in healing brain tissue in the later stages of infarction [17]. The extensive, combined and simultaneous evaluations of two or more neuroprotective agents usually recommend administration of drugs at an early stage of infarction.

Conclusion

The present study suggests that IV magnesium sulfate does not have a significant positive effect on the outcome of patients with acute middle cerebral artery infarction. Future studies on a larger population of patients and other adjuvant neuroprotective medications might better show its possible effects. The main limitation of this clinical trial was the sample size. Another multi-center study on a large group of patients will be required to extend the result to other cases.

Ethical Considerations

Compliance with ethical guidelines

The study protocol was approved by the ethics committee of Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sci-

ences (No. IR.AJUMS.REC.1394.16). All the study procedures were in compliance with the ethical guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki 1957.

Funding

The fund of this study was provided by the research department of Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences

Authors contributions

Davod Kashipazha: Substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work; or the acquisition, analysis, or interpretation of data for the work; Meisam Moezzi: Drafting the work or revising it critically for important intellectual content; Shahram Rafie: Substantial contributions to the conception or design of the work; Asieh Mehramiri: Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved, Final approval of the version to be published; Adel Nejati: Agreement to be accountable for all aspects of the work in ensuring that questions related to the accuracy or integrity of any part of the work are appropriately investigated and resolved.

Conflict of interest

The authors declared no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported by Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences. We are thankful to our colleagues, Dr.GholamReza Shamsaei, Dr.Seyed Ehsan Mohammadiani Nejad and Dr.Nastaran Majdinasab, Dr.Nilsaz and other colleagues in the department of clinical research development of Golestan Hospital.

References

- [1] Lakhan SE, Kirchgessner A, Hofer M. Inflammatory mechanisms in ischemic stroke: therapeutic approaches. *J Transl Med* 2009;7(1):97. [DOI:10.1186/1479-5876-7-97] [PMID] [PMCID]
- [2] Matzo M. Early management of ischemic stroke in adults. *Am J Nurs* 2008;108(8):74. [DOI:10.1097/01.NAJ.0000330274.04045.c4]
- [3] Euser AG, Cipolla MJ. Magnesium sulfate for the treatment of eclampsia. *Stroke* 2009;40(4):1169-75. [DOI:10.1161/STROKEAHA.108.527788] [PMID] [PMCID]
- [4] Furukawa Y, Kasai N, Torimitsu K. Effect of Mg²⁺ on neural activity of rat cortical and hippocampal neurons in vitro. *Magn Res* 2009;22(3):174-81.
- [5] Clarke RJ, Johnson JW. Voltage-dependent gating of NR1/2B NMDA receptors. *J Physiol* 2008;586(23):5727-41. 2 [DOI:10.1113/jphysiol.2008.160622] [PMID] [PMCID]
- [6] Santo-Domingo J, Demareux N. Calcium uptake mechanisms of mitochondria. *Biochim Biophys Acta* 2010; 1797(6):907-12. [DOI:10.1016/j.bbabi.2010.01.005] [PMID]
- [7] Jovin DG, Katlaps KG, Ellis BK, Dharmaraj B. Neuroprotection against stroke and encephalopathy after cardiac surgery. *Interv Med Appl Sci* 2019;1-11. [DOI:10.1556/1646.11.2019.01]
- [8] Chen H, Luo J, Kintner DB, Shull GE, Sun D. Na⁺-dependent chloride transporter (NKCC1)-null mice exhibit less gray and white matter damage after focal cerebral ischemia. *J Cereb Blood Flow Metab* 2005;25(1):54-66. [DOI:10.1038/sj.jcbfm.9600006] [PMID]
- [9] Gok B, Okutan O, Beskonakli E, Kilinc K. Effects of magnesium sulphate following spinal cord injury in rats. *Chin J Physiol* 2007; 50(2):93.
- [10] Karadottir R, Cavelier P, Bergersen LH, Attwell D. NMDA receptors are expressed in oligodendrocytes and activated in ischaemia. *Nature* 2005;438:1162-6. [DOI:10.1038/nature04302] [PMID] [PMCID]
- [11] Salter MG, Fern R. NMDA receptors are expressed in developing oligodendrocyte processes and mediate injury. *Nature* 2005;438:1167-71. [DOI:10.1038/nature04301] [PMID]
- [12] Westermaier T, Zausinger S, Baethmann A, Schmid-Elsaesser R. Dose finding study of intravenous magnesium sulphate in transient focal cerebral ischemia in rats. *Acta Neurochir (Wien)* 2005;147(5):525-32. [DOI:10.1007/s00701-005-0496-4] [PMID]
- [13] Tymianski M. Novel approaches to neuroprotection trials in acute ischemic stroke. *Stroke* 2013; 44(10):2942-50. [DOI:10.1161/STROKEAHA.113.000731] [PMID]
- [14] Lapchak PA, Araujo DM. Advances in ischemic stroke treatment: neuroprotective and combination therapies. *Expert Opin Emerg Drugs* 2007;12(1):97-112. [DOI:10.1517/14728214.12.1.97] [PMID]
- [15] Ueshima K. Magnesium and ischemic heart disease: a review of epidemiological, experimental, and clinical evidences. *Magn Res* 2005;18(4):275-84.
- [16] Afshari D, Moradian N, Rezaei M. Evaluation of the intravenous magnesium sulfate effect in clinical improvement of patients with acute ischemic stroke. *Clin Neurol Neurosurg* 2013;115(4):400-4. [DOI:10.1016/j.clineuro.2012.06.001] [PMID]
- [17] Meloni BP, Zhu H, Knuckey NW. Is magnesium neuroprotective following global and focal cerebral ischaemia? A review of published studies. *Magn Res* 2006;19(2):123-37.
- [18] Majid A. Neuroprotection in stroke: past, present, and future. *ISRN Neurol* 2014; 515716. [DOI:10.1155/2014/515716] [PMID] [PMCID]
- [19] Kaluza J, Orsini N, Levitan EB, Brzozowska A, Roszkowski W, Wolk A. Dietary Calcium and Magnesium Intake and

Mortality: A Prospective Study of Men. *Am J Epidemiol* 2010; 171(7):801-7. [DOI:10.1093/aje/kwp467] [PMID]

[20] McKee JA, Brewer RP, Macy GE, Phillips-Bute B, Campbell KA, Borel CO, et al. Analysis of the brain bioavailability of peripherally administered magnesium sulfate: A study in humans with acute brain injury undergoing prolonged induced hypermagnesemia. *Crit Care Med* 2005;33(3):661-6. [DOI:10.1097/01.CCM.0000156293.35868.B2] [PMID]

[21] Millán M, Dávalos A. The Need for New Therapies for Acute Ischaemic Stroke. *Cerebrovasc Dis* 2006;22(1):3-9. [DOI:10.1159/000092327]

[22] Saver JL, Starkman S, Eckstein M, Stratton SJ, Pratt FD, Hamilton S, et al. Prehospital use of magnesium sulfate as neuroprotection in acute stroke. *N Engl J Med* 2015;372(6):528-36. [DOI:10.1056/NEJMoa1408827] [PMID] [PMCID]

Archive of SID