

Evaluation of Performance of Support Vector Machine Algorithm in Landslide Susceptibility Zoning in Ahar-chai Basin

Fariba Karami^{a*}, Maryam Bayati Khatibi^b, Mansour Khirizhadeh^c, Aboulfazl Mokhtari Asl^d

^a Department of Geomorphology, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran

^b Department of Remot Sensing, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran

^c Graduated in Geomorphology, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran

^d General Directorate of Natural Resources and Watershed Management, East Azerbaijan Province, Iran

Received: 29 September 2019

Accepted: 24 December 2019

1. Introduction

Landslides are one of the most important geological hazards worldwide (Chen et al., 2018). Despite advances in science and technology, these events continue to result in economic, human, and environmental losses worldwide (Alimohammadlou, Najafi, & Yalcin, 2013). Globally, landslides cause about 1200 deaths and 3.5 billion dollars of loss each year (Zhang, Han, Han, Li, Zhang, & Wang, 2019). About 66 million people live in landslide-prone areas (Chen et al., 2018). Landslide susceptibility (LS) mapping is essential in delineating landslide prone areas in mountainous regions. Landslide susceptibility is the propensity of soil or rock to produce various types of landslides (Chalkias Ferentinou, & Polykretis, 2014). From the beginning of the 1970s, the interest of both geoscientists and engineering professionals in LS zonation and the increasing emphasis on the use of Geographic Information Systems (GIS) technology led to the development of many methods such as weights-of-evidence model (Karami, 2012; Wang, Guo, Li, He, & Wu, 2019) logistic regression (Pham, Pradhan, Bui, Prakash, & Dholakia, 2016; Raja, Çiçek, Türkoğlu, Aydın, & Kawasaki, 2017), artificial neural networks (Chauhan, Sharma, Arora, Gupta, 2010; Tsangaratos & Benardos, 2014), neuro-fuzzy (Aghdam, Varzandeh, & Pradhan, 2016; Lee, Hong, & Jung, 2017; Chen et al., 2019). Support vector machines model (SVM) is currently a new pattern recognition method based on statistical learning theory, which shows unique advantages in solving small sample, nonlinear and high dimensional pattern recognition problem (Yao, Tham, & Dai, 2008).

Iran has vast mountainous areas that make up more than half of the country due to geological characteristics, seismicity, rainfall and climate change and topographic conditions are among the countries that have experienced numerous landslides. In the meantime, Aharchai basin is located in northwest of Iran. This basin is prone to landslide due to topographic and geological conditions, slopes and other factors. Such conditions reveal the necessity of zoning sensitivity and assessing the potential for landslides in planning and implementing development plans. In this study, SVM model and GIS

*. Corresponding author: Fariba Karami.

Tel: +989144159419

E-mail: fkarami@tabrizu.ac.ir

technology are applied to the evaluation of the susceptibility of landslides for the Ahar-Chai Basin. In addition, the purpose of this study is to evaluate the performance of support vector machine algorithm functions.

2. Materials and Methods

Support Vector Machine (SVM) is currently a new pattern recognition method based on statistical learning theory, which shows unique advantages in solving small sample, nonlinear and high dimensional pattern recognition problems (Yao et al, 2008). SVM was first proposed by Vapnik (1995). Landslide inventory mapping is an important step in landslide susceptibility assessment. In this study, historical records, satellite images, field surveys, and Google Earth® were used to analyze landslide locations. Landslide conditioning factors used in the current study are slope angle, slope aspect, altitude, valley depth, NDVI, rainfall, distance to rivers, lithology, distance to faults, land use, topographic wetness index (TWI), stream power index (SPI), plan curvature, and profile curvature. Using this DEM, slope degree, slope aspect, altitude, plan curvature, profile curvature, were produced. In this study, a Landsat/ETM+ satellite image and sentinel were used for the year 2017. The plan curvature map was produced using a system for automated geoscientific analyses (SAGA) GIS. In this research, a support vector machine with four types of kernel classifiers such as linear, polynomial, radial basis function (RBF) and sigmoid were used in GIS for landslide susceptibility mapping in the study area. A total of 200 landslides were mapped using satellite image and subsequently were verified through field checking. Validation is an essential part of landslide susceptibility and landslide susceptibility maps are meaningless without validation. At present, the area under curve (AUC) method is used by most scholars in the prediction capability of a landslide susceptibility model. The AUC displays the success rate and prediction rate percentage of the model and is obtained for both the training data and the validation data. In general, the larger the AUC value, the better is the model (Intarawichian & Dasananda 2011; Lee and Dan, 2005).

3. Results and Discussion

In this study, using the 70% of the landslides as training dataset, the success rate curve was drawn. Using the remaining 30% of the landslides as testing dataset, the prediction rate curve was also drawn. Susceptibility maps were verified and compared using the area under the curve (AUC) method. The success rate curve demonstrated that the AUC for the radial basis, sigmoid, polynomial and linear functions was 0.988, 0.938, 0.652 and 0.506, respectively, and the prediction rate curve showed that the AUC was 0.958, 0.928, 0.642 and 0.543, respectively. Furthermore, results showed that RBF function had the highest accuracy in comparison with other methods. Generally, the three methods showed reasonable accuracy in landslide susceptibility mapping. Results of this study can serve as guidelines to managers and policy makers regarding the prevention and mitigation of landslide hazards. Finally, the landslide susceptibility maps were reclassified into five susceptibility classes: very high, high, moderate, low and very low.

4. Conclusion

The validation results showed that success rates for four types of function models varied from 98% to 50%. Similarly, results of prediction rates showed that RBF (98%) and sigmoid (93%) functions performed better than other types of functions (polynomial = 65%, and linear = 50%). The result of zonation show that 26.61 % of study area were located in high and very high susceptibility classes.

Keywords: Landslide, Susceptibility Zoning, Support Vector Machine (SVM) Algorithm, Radial Basis Function, Ahar-Chai Basin, NW Iran.

References (In Persian)

- Abedini, M., Gasemyan, B., & Shirzadi, A. (2015). لغزش با استفاده از مدل مدل‌سازی خطر وقوع زمین (مطالعه موردی: استان کردستان، شهرستان بیجار) [Modelling the hazard of landslides using statistical method of logistic regression]. *Journal of Geography and Development*, 12(37), 85-102.
- Abedini, M., Roostaei, Sh., & Fathi, M.H. (2019). شناسایی و طبقه بندی انواع زمین لغزش ها با استفاده از (ویژگی های طیفی و مکانی با رویکرد روش شیء گرا) نصیرآباد تا سد ستارخان اهر [Identification and classification of landslides type using spatial and spectral features procedures by object-oriented approach (Nasirabad to Sattarkhan dam of Ahar Chay)]. *Journal of Geography and Planning*, 66(22), 178-205.
- Ahmadabadi, A., & Rahmati, M. (2016). های مستعد های کمی ژئومورفومتريک در شناسایی پهنه کاربرد شاخص (مطالعه موردی: آزاد راه خرم آباد- پل زال) SVM لغزش با استفاده از مدل زمین [Application of quantitative geomorphometric indicators in the identification of potential landslide areas using SVM model (Case study: Khorramabad freeway - Zal bridge)]. *Quantitative Geomorphological Studies*, 4(3), 197-213.
- Ajal Luian, R., MirSanaei, R., & Fatehi, L. (2013). شناخت و تحلیل کاربردی زمین لغزش [Applied cognition and analysis of landslides]. Esfahan, Iran: Jahad Daneshgahi Isfahan Industrial University.
- Bakhtiyari, M., Komeh, Z., & Memarian, H. (2018). مقایسه سه روش فرایند تحلیل سلسه مراتبی فازی، شبکه (مطالعه موردی: حوزه آبخیز سیمره) GIS لغزش در محیط بندی حساسیت زمین عصبی و تراکم سطح در ارزیابی کمی و پهنه [A comparison of fuzzy analytic hierarchy process, artificial neural network and area density in quantitative evaluation and landslide susceptibility mapping within GIS framework (Case Study: Simereh Homiyian watershed)]. *Geography and Environmental Hazards*, 7(27), 19-40.
- Faridi, M., & Hag Farshi, A. (2006). نقشه زمینشناسی ورقه خوجا، مقیاس 1:100000. [Ahar geological map, 1: 100000 Scale]. *Geological Survey & Mineral Exploration of Iran, Tehran*.
- Gasemyan, B. Abedini., Rostaei, Sh., & Shirzadi, A. (2018). ارزیابی حساسیت زمین لغزش با استفاده از الگوریتم ماشین پشتیبان بردار (مطالعه موردی: شهرستان کامیاران: استان کردستان) [Landslide susceptibility assessment using support vector machine algorithm (Case Study: Kamyaran county, Kurdistan province)]. *Quantitative Geomorphological Researches*, 6(3), 15-36.

- Karami, F. (2012). لغزش در حوضه های کوهستانی نیمه خشک، با استفاده از روشهای آماری و ارزیابی حساسیت زمین. مدل شاهد وزنی (مطالعه موردی: حوضه زهکشی سعیدآبادچای، شمال غرب ایران) [Landslide susceptibility assessment in semiarid mountain watershed using weights-of-evidence and statistical method (Saeedabad-chai Watershed- North of Sahand Mountain)]. *Geographical Researches Journal*, 27(3), 21-44.
- Mahdavi, M.A., & Aminifal, J. (1988). نقشه زمینشناسی ورقه اهر، مقیاس ۱:۱۰۰۰۰۰ [Ahar geological map, 1: 100000 Scale]. *Geological Survey & Mineral Exploration of Iran, Tehran*.
- Mehrpardo, M. Aminifal, A., & Radfar, J. (1992). نقشه زمینشناسی ورقه ورزقان، مقیاس ۱:۱۰۰۰۰۰ [Varzeghan geological map, 1: 100000 Scale]. *Geological Survey & Mineral Exploration of Iran, Tehran*.
- Yamani, M., Ahmadabadi, A., & Zare, Gh.R. (2012). به کارگیری الگوریتم ماشین های پشتیبان بردار در پهنه بندی خطر وقوع زمین لغزش (مطالعه موردی: حوضه آبریز درکه) [The zonation of landslide occurrence using support vector machines algorithm (Case Study: Darakeh Basin)]. *Geography and Environmental Hazards*, 1(3), 125-142.

References (in English)

- Aghdam, I.N., Varzandeh, M.H.M., & Pradhan, B. (2016). Landslide susceptibility mapping using an ensemble statistical index (wi) and adaptive neuro-fuzzy inference system (anfis) model at alborz mountains (Iran). *Environmental Earth Science* 75, 1–20.
- Alimohammadlou, Y., Najafi, A., & Yalcin, A. (2013). Landslide process and impacts: A proposed classification method. *Catena*, 104, 219-232.
- Bui, T.D., Parahan, B., Lofman, O., & Revhaug, I. (2012). Landslide susceptibility assessment in Vietnam using support vector machines, decision tree and naïve bayes models. *Mathematical Problems in Engineering*, 18, 1-26.
- Chalkias, C., Ferentinou, M., & Polykretis, Ch. (2014). GIS-Based landslide susceptibility mapping on the Peloponnese Peninsula, Greece. *Geosciences* 4(3), 176-190.
- Chauhan, S., Sharma, M., Arora, M.K., & Gupta, N. K. (2010.) Landslide susceptibility zonation through ratings derived from artificial neural network. *International Journal of Applied Earth observation and Geo information*, 12, 340-350.
- Chen, W., Panahi, M., Tsangaratos, P., Shahabi, H., Ilia, I., ..., & Ahmad, B.B. (2019). Applying population-based evolutionary algorithms and a neuro-fuzzy system for modeling landslide susceptibility. *Catena*, 172, 212–231.
- Chen, W., Shahabi, H., Zhang, Sh., Khosraveri, Kh., Shirzadi, A., ..., Ahmad, B.B. (2018). Landslide susceptibility modeling based on GIS and novel bagging- based kernel logistic regression. *Applied sciences*, 8, 2540: 1-21.
- Gomez, H., & Kavzoglu, T. (2005). Assessment of shallow landslide susceptibility using artificial neural networks in Jabonosa River Basin, Venezuela. *Engineering Geology*, 78(1–2), 11–27.
- Lee, S., Hong, S.M., & Jung, H.S. (2017). A support vector machine for landslide susceptibility mapping in Gangwon provine, Korea. *Susceptibility*, 9(48), 1-15.
- Pavel, M., Nelson, J.D., & Fannin, R.J. (2011). An analysis of landslide susceptibility zonation using a subjective geomorphic mapping and existing landslides. *Computers and Geosciences*, 37(4), 554-566.
- Pham, B.T., Pradhan, B., Bui, D.T., Prakash, I., & Dholakia, M.B. (2016). A comparative study of different machine learning methods for landslide susceptibility assessment: A case study of Uttarakhand area (India). *Environmental Modelling and Software*, 84, 240–50.

- Pourghasemi, H.R., Goli Jirandeh, A., Pradhan, B., Xu, C.H., & Gokceoglu, C. (2013). Landslide susceptibility mapping using support vector machine and GIS at the Golestan Province, Iran. *Journal of Earth System Science*, 122(2), 349-369.
- Pradhan, B. (2013). A comparative study on the predictive ability of the decision tree, support vector machine and neuro-fuzzy models in landslide susceptibility mapping using GIS. *Computer Geoscience*, 51, 350–365.
- Raja, N.B., Çiçek, I., Türkoğlu, N., Aydın, O., & Kawasaki, A. (2017). Landslide susceptibility mapping of the Sera River Basin using logistic regression model. *Natural Hazards*, 85(3), 1323–1346.
- Shirzadi, A., Tien Bui, D., Pham, B. T. H., Solaimani, K., Chapi, K., ... & Shahabi, H. (2017). Shallow landslide susceptibility assessment using a novel hybrid intelligence approach. *Environmental Earth Sciences*, 76(60), 1-18.
- Tsangaratos, P., & Benardos, A. (2014). Estimating landslide susceptibility through an artificial neural network classifier. *Natural Hazards*, 74, 1489-1516.
- Wang, Q., Guo, Y., Li, W., He, J., & Wu, Zh. (2019). Predictive modeling of landslide hazards in Wen county, Northwestern China: Based on information value, weight of evidence and certainty factors, Geomatics. *Natural Hazards and Risk*, 10(1), 820-835.
- Yao, X., Tham, L.G., & Dai, F.C. (2008). Landslide susceptibility mapping based on support vector machine: A case study on natural slopes of Hong Kong, China. *Geomorphology*, 101, 572–582.
- Yesilnacar, E., & Topla, T. (2005). Landslide susceptibility mapping a comparison of logistic regression and neural networks methods in a medium scale (Turkey). *Engineering Geology*, 79, 251–266.