Research Article

Effect of Group Training on Glycemic Control in Patients With Diabetes Type-I

Marjan Naseri,¹ Shahnaz Rostami,^{1,*} and Bahman Dashtebozorgi¹

¹Chronic Disease Care Research Center, Department of Nursing, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, IR Iran **Corresponding author*: Shahnaz Rostami, Chronic Disease Care Research Center, Department of Nursing, School of Nursing and Midwifery, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Golestan Road, Ahvaz, IR Iran. Tel: +98-9166167919, E-mail: rostami-sh@ajums.ac.ir

Received: May 5, 2015; Revised: June 10, 2015; Accepted: June 28, 2015

Background: The high prevalence of diabetes and its related disabilities caused by poor glycemic control is due to lack of awareness. **Objectives:** This study was aimed at examining the effect of group training on glycemic control in patients with type I diabetes. **Patients and Methods:** This randomized clinical trial of 74 individuals with type I diabetes aged 11 - 21 years was performed in the diabetes clinics for children (Golestan and Abuzar) in Ahvaz in 2014. Patients were randomly divided into two groups: intervention (37) and control (37). The data collection tools included a questionnaire to obtain demographic data and clinical status and laboratory tests to obtain fasting blood sugar and glycosylated hemoglobin levels. Both groups completed the questionnaires and underwent the laboratory tests at baseline. Group training was conducted for eight sessions of 2 hours each for the intervention group, and no training intervention was done for the control group. At 3 months from the beginning of the study, questionnaires were completed again and fasting blood sugar and glycosylated hemoglobin (HbAIc) tests were repeated for the two groups. Data were analyzed using SPSS version 22 to apply dependent and independent t-tests and χ^2 test.

Results: Statistically significant differences were observed between the two groups for average fasting blood sugar and HbAIc after (P < 0.05), but not before (P > 0.05), the intervention.

Conclusions: Group training and empowering individuals with diabetes aged 11 - 21 years to perform blood glucose measurements, observe insulin therapy principles and dietary behaviors, and engage in physical activities reduces blood glucose and HbAtc levels. Therefore, group training and involving diabetic individuals in their care and treatment can improve management of blood glucose.

Keywords: Adolescent; Fasting; HbA1c

1. Background

Type I diabetes is the most common chronic disease and can occur at any age, but the peak incidence is between 10 and 15 years old, and 75% of cases are diagnosed before age of 18 (1). The world health organization has indicated that in 2000, there were 171 million patients with diabetes mellitus (DM), of which 2.1 million cases were in Iran. Moreover, there will be 350 million cases by 2030, of which 6.4 million will be in Iran. In addition, based on recent studies in Iran, the total prevalence rate has been estimated at 6% - 8%, of which 2% occurs in children and adolescents (2). According to the latest studies, the highest rate of type I diabetes is in Caucasians with an incidence of 24 per 1 million people, and the lowest rate is in Cubans with an incidence of 2.6 per 1 million people (3).

The major problems caused by diabetes are due to microvascular and macrovascular complications. Microvascular complications occur within the first 30 years of diabetes. The incidence of complications has been reported as 30% - 40% for retinopathy, 20% - 30% for nephropathy, 26% - 14.2% for microalbuminuria, 26% - 12% for macroalbuminuria, and 30% - 40% for diabetic neuropathy (4, 5). There is no doubt that improved glycemic control in patients with diabetes reduces the incidence of chronic complications. The basic step in implementing optimal management of blood sugar control is measuring fasting blood sugar (FBS) and glycosylated hemoglobin (HbA1c) (6). By measuring blood sugar levels, the person with diabetes can control their blood sugar regularly three to four times a day, before meals and before bedtime. Measuring HbA1c is suitable for long-term control of blood sugar in diabetic patients. HbA1c is a form of hemoglobin that indicates the mean blood sugar level from the 8 - 12 weeks; it is an estimation of diabetes control during the last 3 months (5, 7). Every 1% reduction in HbA1c level is associated with a 40% reduction in the risk of complications in patients with type I diabetes (8). Given that type I diabetes is more common in teens, poor blood sugar control in individuals remains a challenge that must take into account various aspects of juvenile life (9, 10).

Strategies for disease management (including medication regimen, diet, exercise, and training), prevention of disease complications, and coping with the disease are issues that can be addressed by the nurse through training and education (5).

Copyright © 2015, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 4.0 International License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/) which permits copy and redistribute the material just in noncommercial usages, provided the original work is properly cited.

Training is the most basic and least expensive solution for the patient (11) to obtain good control of blood sugar and, finally, improve the quality of life as one of the most important indicators of health and the main objective diabetes treatment. To educate diabetic patients, it is necessary to use methods in which patients have a greater involvement and to present information that is understandable (12).

Group training is one of the most common and wellknown organized training methods (13, 14). Seung-Hyun suggests group training as an ideal way to study the personal feelings of individuals and their beliefs about health and disease. Group members also have the opportunity to change and modify their views by reviewing the behaviors and values of the group and comparing their own views with those of others (15). The main advantages of group training include being able to share ideas, experiences, and knowledge; change attitudes; assess the patients group members; strengthen confidence; enhance appropriate management; and consider learning directly cited (16, 17).

Training is considered an effective element in diabetes management that, in addition to the many positive consequences of preventing complications and comorbidities, provides an opportunity to reduce the financial burden of diabetes on patients, families, and health systems. However, most training programs in Iran are directed toward people with type II diabetes and no Iranian study has examined the effect of group training on glycemic control in adolescents. Therefore, considering the importance and necessity of education to patients, this study aimed to evaluate whether group training intervention is effective for glycemic control in adolescents with type I diabetes.

2. Objectives

The purpose of the present study was to evaluate the effect of group training on glycemic control in adolescents with type I diabetes.

3. Patients and Methods

3.1. Research Environment and Patients

This randomized clinical trial was part of an active study of patients with type I diabetes who were referred to the Golestan and Abuzar outpatient clinic in Ahwaz, Iran. This part of the study was conducted from July 6, 2015, to October 22, 2015. Patients 11 - 21 years of age who were diagnosed with DM for at least 6 months and were registered at the clinic were included.

Researchers randomly selected the subjects from among the patients referred to the center. The researchers prepared a list of all candidates eligible to participate in the study, after assessing each patient's records according to the inclusion criteria. Samples were then selected based on a random number table. Finally, the subjects were randomly divided into intervention (n = 37) and control (n = 37) groups. Inclusion criteria included residing in Ahvaz, having DM for at least 6 months, and desiring to participate in the training programs. Exclusion criteria included participation in a group training program before starting our program, presence of a disease or condition that affects HbA1c levels (e.g., anemia, hemoglobinopathies, uremia, renal failure, and hemodialysis), failure to participate in the group training program for more than two sessions, and hospitalization during the study.

The sample size was calculated using the following formula (Equation 1):

(1)

$\frac{\left(Z_{1-\frac{\alpha}{2}}+Z_{1-\beta}\right)^{2}\left(S_{1}^{2}+S_{1}^{2}\right)}{\left(X-X\right)^{2}}$

3.2. Intervention

At the beginning of the study, after getting a referral and coordinating with diabetes clinics for children and obtaining the consent, patients referred to the clinic were sampled based on inclusion and exclusion criteria. How to implement the program, confidentiality and noninstrumental use of information, and the aim of the program was explained to all participants. Both groups completed the questionnaires containing demographic and medical information. A glucometer was used for FBS testing, and a colorimetric method was used for HbA1c testing. The intervention group was divided into three groups for training (two groups of 12 patients and one group of 13 patients), which included group discussions for 8 weeks (two 1-hour sessions per week). There was no training intervention for the control group. Training materials, including a training manual and CD, were available for both groups. The intervention group was given an opportunity, for 3 months from the beginning of the study, to apply the new skills in everyday life, during which time the researchers were in contact with them by telephone. After the trial period, FBS and HbA1c levels were again measured in both groups. The results of this study were analyzed using SPSS version 22. To compare both groups before and after the intervention, the independent t-test was used. Also, the γ 2 test was used for qualitative variables (P < 0.05).

3.3. Ethical Considerations

The initial plan of the study was approved by the ethics committee of Ahvaz Jundishapur university of medical sciences (code No. 117.1393).

4. Results

In this study, 48.7% of the intervention group and 46% of the control group were 15 - 18 years of age, and 64.8% of the intervention group and 54% of the control group

were girls. Regarding educational level, 37.9% and 46% of the intervention and control groups, respectively, had a secondary degree. The largest proportion of the research subjects in the intervention group (51.3%) and the control group (46%) were Arab. In the intervention and control groups, respectively, 35.2% and 32.4% had a history of diabetes for 6 - 7 years and 54% and 64.8% had a positive family history of diabetes. In addition, 75.7% of the intervention group and 70.3% of the control group earned more than 700,000 Tomans per month. The χ^2 tests showed no significant differences between the two groups with respect to age, sex, education, ethnicity, risk of diabetes, family history of diabetes, or monthly income (P > 0.05).

Table 1 shows the demographic data of both groups. Given that no significant differences were found between the two groups according to the χ^2 test after comparison of the demographic and underlying variables (P > 0.05), it can be concluded that randomization was successful in this study (Table 1).

Before the intervention, no significant differences were found between the groups with respect to the mean FBS and HbA1c levels, whereas significant differences were observed between the groups for both of these variables after the intervention (P < 0.001) (Table 2).

Table 1. Demographic Characteristics of the Intervention and Control Groups ^a					
Demographic Information of Patients	Group		DValue		
	Intervention	Control	rvalue		
Age, y			0.96		
11 - 14	10 (0.27)	10 (0.27)			
15 - 18	18 (48.7)	17(0.46)			
19 - 21	9 (24.3)	10 (0.27)			
Sex			0.34		
Male	13 (35.2)	17 (0.46)	*		
Female	24 (64.8)	20 (0.54)			
Education			0.77		
Primary	3 (8.1)	4 (10.8)			
Secondary	14 (37.9)	17 (0.46)			
High school	11 (29.7)	9 (24.3)			
Diploma	6 (16.2)	3 (8.1)			
University	3 (8.1)	4 (10.8)			
Ethnicity					
Lor	13 (35.2)	12 (32.4)	0.65		
Arab	19 (51.3)	17(0.46)			
Fars	5 (13.5)	8 (21.6)			
Duration of diabetes, y			0.83		
1-2	6 (16.2)	4 (10.8)			
3 - 4	9 (24.3)	12 (32.4)			
5 - 6	9 (24.3)	9 (24.3)			
6 - 7	13 (35.2)	12 (32.4)			
Family history of diabetes			0.89		
Yes	20 (54.0)	24 (64.8)			
No	17 (46.0)	13 (35.2)			

^a Data are presented as No. (%).

Table 2. Comparison of FBS and HbA1c Levels Before and After Intervention ^{a,b}				
Variable	Before Intervention	After Intervention	P Value	
FBS				
Intervention	181.59 ± 94.14	135.75 ± 65.50	0.017	
Control	43.203 ± 12.91	70.212 ± 67.87	0.49	
P value	0.31	< 0001		
HbA1c				
Intervention	10.68 ± 15.2	7.87 ± 2.01	< 0001	
Control	10.17 ± 2.09	11.4 ± 30.2	0.002	
P value	0.30	< 0001		

^a Abbreviations: FBS, fasting blood sugar; HbA1c, glycosylated hemoglobin.

^b Data are presented as mean \pm SD.

5. Discussion

Type 1 diabetes is one of the most common chronic metabolic disorders during childhood and adolescence and has potentially life-threatening outcomes. Currently, the increasing number of children and adolescents with diabetes necessitates rigorous treatment programs. Training is the most basic and least expensive program for patients.

Our results showed that the group training had improved the patients' blood sugar levels. DM is among the diseases for which the patients carry the responsibility for their treatment and care. Therefore, providing the patients with DM with opportunities for sharing experiences and receiving constructive feedback is of great importance to improve the patients' blood sugar levels. Our findings demonstrated that the blood sugar levels of the patients with type I diabetes improved after group training with constructive feedback.

In agreement with our results, Shamsi et al. showed that implementation of a training program for patients with type II diabetes improved their control of their blood sugar levels (17, 18).

Cheraghi et al. showed that after training intervention, the average glucose recorded for children with diabetes declined during 1 week (19). In addition, Kashfi et al. found that implementing educational intervention reduced FBS levels from 207.08 mg/dL before intervention to 124.2 mg/dL after the training intervention in patients with type II diabetes, which is in line with the results of our study (20). Thus, group training programs play an important role in reducing the average blood glucose level in patients. In this study, the reduction was observed for 3 months after the intervention (21).

Our study showed that after training intervention, the mean HbA1c level in juveniles with diabetes was reduced, which is in line with the results of Abolfotouh et al. (22), Tan et al. (23), and Abolfotouh et al. found statistically significant differences in HbA1c levels in their experimental group after the training sessions (22). Tan et al. also found statistically significant differences in HbA1c levels after training (23). Rachsandehroo et al. found that training is effective in patients with diabetes in improving their diabetes control index (13). The results of the present study were consistent with the results of Ooi et al. who showed that group training significantly increased the knowledge of diabetic patients regarding diabetes and prevention of complications, including mental and psychological problems (24).

Sharing positive experiences through group training is an effective method for empowering patients to cope with their diseases; however, this method has been rarely used in patients with type I diabetes. The present study is one of the few studies that used group training in patients with type I diabetes; however, some limitations might affect the generalization of the results. First, this study was conducted on children and adolescents with type I diabetes, and therefore, the results cannot be generalized to adults. Moreover, the small sample size might limit the generalizability of the results. Therefore, further studies with larger sample sizes are suggested.

The results of this study showed that group training and involving individuals with diabetes in their own care and treatment improves the control of blood sugar. Because of the importance of education, especially group training, it is recommended to perform similar studies for individuals with diabetes and other chronic diseases. It is also recommended to provide the possibility of continuing training for vulnerable members of society, especially adolescents, with the support and equipment of diabetes centers.

Acknowledgements

This study was a Master's thesis. The authors thank the respected research deputy of Ahvaz Jundishapur university of medical sciences for financial support and also the adolescents who participated in this research. This study was registered in the Iranian registry of clinical trials (IRCT No. IRCT2014092419284N1) of the ministry of health and medical education.

Authors' Contributions

Shahnaz Rostami, Marjan Naseri, Bahman Dasht-bozorg devised the concept for the study, developed the study design, collected data, performed the study intervention, were involved in the conception of study, and performed the analyses and final preparation of the manuscript. Bahman Dasht-bozorg supervised data collection and analysis and contributed to the study design and intervention. Shahnaz Rostami and Marjan Naseri assisted in data collection and were involved in study coordination and manuscript revision.

References

- Perez-Marin M, Gomez-Rico I, Montoya-Castilla I. Type 1 diabetes mellitus: psychosocial factors and adjustment of pediatric patient and his/her family. Review. Arch Argent Pediatr. 2015;113(2):158–62.
- 2. World Health Organization. WHO Eastern Mediterranean Region: prevalence of diabetes in the Who Eastern Mediterranean Region. 2015.
- Prasanna Kumar K. Incidence trends for childhood type 1 diabetes in India. Indian J Endocrinol Metabol. 2015;19(7):34.
- Rostami S, Parsa-Yekta Z, Najafi Ghezeljeh T, Vanaki Z. Supporting adolescents with type 1 diabetes mellitus: A qualitative study. Nurs Health Sci. 2014;16(1):84–90.
- Niazi AK, Kalra S. Diabetes and tuberculosis: a review of the role of optimal glycemic control. J Diabetes Metab Disord. 2012;11(1):28.
- Santos FR, Sigulem D, Areco KC, Gabbay MA, Dib SA, Bernardo V. Hope matters to the glycemic control of adolescents and young adults with type 1 diabetes. J Health Psychol. 2015;20(5):681–9.
- Smeltzer S, Bara B, Hink J, Cheever K. Brunner & Suddarth's Textbook of Medical-surgical Nursing. 12th ed. New York: Williams & Wilkins; 2010.
- 8. Dalewitz J, Khan N, Hershey CO. Barriers to Control of Blood Glucose in Diabetes Mellitus. *Am J Med Qual*. 2000;**15**(1):16–25.
- Carroll AE, Marrero DG. The role of significant others in adolescent diabetes: a qualitative study. *Diabetes Educ*. 2006;**32**(2):243-52.

- Dhavale HS, Panikkar V, Jadhav BS, Ghulghule M, Agari AD. Depression and diabetes: impact of antidepressant medications on glycaemic control. J Assoc Physicians India. 2013;61(12):896–9.
- Al Hayek A, Asirvatham AR, Al Dawish MA, Zamzami MM, Sam AE, Alzaid AA. Impact of an education program on patient anxiety, depression, glycemic control, and adherence to selfcare and medication in Type 2 diabetes. J Fam Commun Med. 2013;20(2):77.
- Mufson L, Gallagher T, Dorta KP, Young JF. A group adaptation of Interpersonal Psychotherapy for depressed adolescents. *Am J Psychother*. 2004;**58**(2):220–37.
- Rachsandehroo S, Ghaffari M. Effectiveness of an educational intervention on metabolic control of diabetic patients attending Iranian Diabetes Association. *Iran J Diabetes*. 2008;2(2):57–64.
- Lepard MG, Joseph AL, Agne AA, Cherrington AL. Diabetes selfmanagement interventions for adults with type 2 diabetes living in rural areas: a systematic literature review. *Curr Diab Rep.* 2015;15(6):608.
- Ko SH, Park SA, Cho JH, Ko SH, Shin KM, Lee SH, et al. Influence of the Duration of Diabetes on the Outcome of a Diabetes Self-Management Education Program. *Diabetes Metabol J.* 2012;36(3):222.
- Rhee H, Wenzel J, Steeves RH. Adolescents' psychosocial experiences living with asthma: a focus group study. J Pediatr Health Care. 2007;21(2):99–107.
- 17. Shirazi M, Anoosheh M, Rajab A. The effect of self care program education by group discussion method on self concept in dia-

betic adolescent girls reffered to Iranian Diabetes Society[in persian]. *Iran J Nurs Res.* 2011;6(22):40–52.

- Shamsi M, Sharifirad G, Kachoyee A, Hassanzadeh A. Influence of walking training on haemoglobin glucosile and fasting blood sugar levels in women with type 2 diabetes. *Koomesh*. 2010;11(2):Pe99–Pe105.
- Cheraghi F, Mortazavi S, Moghimbeigi A. Effect of education on management of blood glucose in children with diabetes[in persian]. *Hamadan Univ Med Sci.* 2013;3(1):47-52.
- Kashfi S, Khani JA, Bahadori KR, Hatami M. Evaluation of the effects of educating about nutrition and jogging on the blood sugar of type II diabetic patients of a clinic in shiraz, Iran. *Hakim Res J.* 2009;**12**(3):54–60.
- 21. Toloei M. The nursing motivational factor relating patient's education. *Tehran Univ Med Sci.* 2005:43–51.
- 22. Abolfotouh MA, Kamal MM, El-Bourgy MD, Mohamed SG. Quality of life and glycemic control in adolescents with type 1 diabetes and the impact of an education intervention. *Int J Gen Med.* 2011;4:141–52.
- Tan MY, Magarey JM, Chee SS, Lee LF, Tan MH. A brief structured education programme enhances self-care practices and improves glycaemic control in Malaysians with poorly controlled diabetes. *Health Educ Res*, 2011;26(5):896–907.
- 24. Ooi GS, Rodrigo C, Cheong WK, Mehta RL, Bowen G, Shearman CP. An evaluation of the value of group education in recently diagnosed diabetes mellitus. *Int J Low Extrem Wounds*. 2007;**6**(1):28–33.