

## Comparison of Muscular Performance Induced by Maximum Voluntary Isometric Contractions in PNF Training

Mohammadi Sanavi, H;<sup>1</sup> Zafari, A;<sup>1</sup> Firouzi, M<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Department of Physical Education, Zanjan Branch, Islamic Azad University, Zanjan, Iran.

<sup>2</sup> Department of Medical, Zanjan Medical University, Zanjan, Iran

### Abstract

Proprioceptive Neuromuscular Facilitation (PNF) training (Contraction - Relaxation method), in compared to the other methods of static and dynamic stretching exercises; has higher and safer effects on enhancement of hamstring muscle's flexibility, strength and endurance. Achieving the optimal combination of contraction and stretching phases in PNF training that can increase these factors of physical fitness is controversial. Therefore, this quasi-experimental study was conducted to determine and compare of the effects of combined phases of 5, 10, and 15 seconds of maximum voluntary isometric contraction and 10 seconds of passive stretching (up to pain threshold) in PNF training (Contraction - Relaxation method) on flexibility, strength, and endurance of hamstring muscle in non-athlete men. 40 men were selected from 55 non-athlete volunteer men of 20-25 years old eligible. The participants were divided into 4 groups, each with 10 men. The training was performed three times a week for 6 weeks, and each session lasted one hour according to the progressive overload principle. Muscle strength was measured by using One Repetition Maximum (1RM) test. Muscle endurance was measured by using number of repetitions with 70% of

1RM (70%\*1RM) of hamstring muscle. Flexibility of hamstring muscle was measured with modified SRT (Sit & Reach Test). Within group's comparison were done with two tailed paired sample t-test. Between groups comparison were done with one-way ANOVA and Tukey Post Hock test. All tests significant level was set at  $P \leq 0.05$ . Between group's differences of muscle strength and endurance were significant in post-test ( $P \leq 0.001^*$ ). Within group's difference of muscle strength were significant in 5sec ( $P \leq 0.001^*$ ), 10sec ( $P \leq 0.001^*$ ), and 15sec groups ( $P \leq 0.001^*$ ). Within group's difference of muscle endurance was significant in 10sec ( $P \leq 0.001^*$ ) and 15sec groups ( $P \leq 0.001^*$ ). Between group's differences of flexibility was not significant in post-test ( $P = 0.155$ ). Within group's difference of flexibility were significant in 5sec ( $P \leq 0.001^*$ ), 10sec ( $P \leq 0.001^*$ ), and 15sec groups ( $P \leq 0.001^*$ ). Therefore, this training can increase the flexibility, strength, and endurance of hamstring muscle in non-athlete men.

**Keywords:** Strength, Endurance, Flexibility, PNF Training, Hamstring Muscle.

### Introduction

Proprioceptive Neuromuscular Facilitation (PNF) training can enhance muscular strength and endurance with developing flexibility of joints and range of motion

Zafari, A (✉)

ardeshir.zafari@yahoo.com

due to combining phases of Maximum Voluntary Isometric Contraction (MVIC) and Passive Stretching (PS). There are various methods of contracting and stretching exercises in PNF training with different sequences, frequency and times of stretching, contraction, and relax phases (Brooks, et al. 2005; Corbin, et al. 2010). Results of the previous studies have shown that performing PNF training (Contraction - Relaxation method), in compared to the other methods of static and dynamic stretching exercises; has higher and safer effects on enhancement of hamstring muscle's flexibility (Feland, et al. 2001;Feland, et al. 2004;Marek, et al. 2005; Schuback, et al. 2004;Spernoga, et al. 2001), strength and endurance (Kofotolis, et al. 2005; Kofotolis, et al. 2006; Kokkonen, et al. 1995). Results of the previous studies about introducing the best method of combination of contraction and stretching phases in PNF training that can increase different factors of physical fitness are scattered and controversial. Nelson and Cornelius (1991) showed that the effects of maximum voluntary isometric contraction for 3, 6, and 10 sec. in PNF training on range of motion were not different, although all the MVIC durations increased the range of motion significantly (Nelson & Cornelius., 1991). Schmitt et al. (1999) indicated that the effects of static maximum voluntary isometric contraction for 6 and 12 sec. on flexibility of hamstring muscle were not different, although all the MVIC durations increased the flexibility significantly (Schmitt et al., 1999). Roland et al. (2003) found that the effects of 6-week PNF training with 5 and 10 sec. of maximum voluntary isometric contraction on range of motion of hip joint were significantly different and the range of motion in the experimental group with 10 sec. of contraction was significantly more than 5 sec. of contraction (Rowlands et al., 2003). Results of Feland and Marin (2004) showed that all different intensities of voluntary isometric contraction in PNF

training (Contraction - Relaxation method) were effective on the flexibility of hamstring muscle, but no differences observed between intensities (Feland & Marin., 2004). Bonnar et al. (2004) showed that maximum voluntary isometric contraction for 3, 6, and 10 sec. in PNF training had positive effect on flexibility of hamstring muscle; however, there was no difference between all the MVIC duration's (Bonnar et al., 2004). Therefore, different times of maximum voluntary isometric contraction in PNF training have increased flexibility of the experimental groups, although no differences have been observed between different MVIC durations. Moreover, most of the studies have examined flexibility and have paid less attention to the effect of different times of maximum voluntary isometric contraction in PNF training on strength and endurance of hamstring muscle. Regarding the results of the previous studies, introduction of the best method for combination of contraction and stretching phases that can efficiently increase flexibility, strength, and endurance will be required of more studies. Therefore, this study was conducted to determine and compare of the effects of combined phases of 5, 10, and 15 seconds of maximum voluntary isometric contraction and 10 seconds of passive stretching (up to pain threshold) on flexibility, strength, and endurance of hamstring muscle in non-athlete men.

### Method

This quasi-experimental study was conducted to determine and compare of the effects of combined phases of 5, 10, and 15 seconds of maximum voluntary isometric contraction and 10 seconds of passive stretching (up to pain threshold) in PNF training(Contraction - Relaxation method) on flexibility, strength, and endurance of hamstring muscle of non-athlete men. 40 men were selected from 55 non-athlete volunteer men of 20-25 years old eligible for the experiment using

simple random sampling. The participants were divided into 4 groups, each with 10 men. The independent variable was execution of 6-week PNF training using Contraction - Relaxation method according to the progressive overload principle. The training was performed three times a week for 6 weeks, and each session lasted one hour. In the first and second weeks, one session was run in three repetitions (1\*3) without relaxation; in the third and fourth weeks, two sessions were run in three repetitions (2\*3) with one min relaxation between sets; and in the fifth and sixth weeks, three sessions were run in three repetitions (3\*3) with one minute relaxation between sets. Muscle strength was measured by using One Repetition Maximum (1RM) test (Huger, et al. 2006). Muscle endurance was measured by using number of repetitions with 70% of 1RM (70%\*1RM) of hamstring muscle (Huger, et al. 2006). Flexibility of hamstring muscle was measured with modified Sit & Reach Test (Huger, et al. 2006). The normality of the distribution and homogeneity of variances in variables was examined using Kolmogorov - Smirnov and Levene statistical tests. Within group's comparison were done with two tailed paired sample t-test. Between groups comparison were done with one-way ANOVA and Tukey Post Hock test. All tests significant level was set at  $P \leq 0.05$ .

### Results

Between group's differences of age were not significant ( $F(3, 36) = 0.96, P=0.422$ ). Between group's differences of body mass index were not significant in pre-test ( $F(3,36)=2.48, P=0.077$ ) and post-test ( $F(3,36)=2.29, P=0.095$ ). Within group's difference of body mass index were not significant in 5sec ( $t(9) = 0.92, P=0.380$ ), 10sec ( $t(9) = 0.12, P=0.905$ ), 15sec ( $t(9) = -1.90, P=0.090$ ), and control groups ( $t(9) = 0.53, P=0.606$ ). Between group's differences of body mass were not significant in pre-test ( $F(36, 3) = 2.70, P=0.060$ ). However, between group's

differences of body mass were significant in post-test ( $F(36, 3) = 3.38, P=0.029^*$ ). Mean difference of body mass was significant between 5sec and control groups ( $P = 0.023^*$ ). Within group's difference of body mass were not significant in 5sec ( $t(9) = -0.76, P=0.462$ ), 10sec ( $t(9) = 0.840, P=0.20$ ), 15sec ( $t(9) = -1.81, P=0.104$ ), and control groups ( $t(9) = -0.32, P=0.758$ ). Between group's differences of body fat percent were not significant in pre-test ( $F(36, 3) = 2.90, P=0.051$ ). However, between group's differences of body fat percent were significant in post-test ( $F(36, 3) = 4.77, P=0.007^*$ ). Mean difference of body fat percent was significant between 5sec and control groups ( $P=0.012^*$ ) and 5sec and 15sec groups ( $P=0.018^*$ ). Within group's difference of body fat percent were not significant in 5sec ( $t(9) = -2.55, P=0.031$ ), 10sec ( $t(9) = -1.96, P=0.081$ ), 15sec ( $t(9) = -1.72, P=0.120$ ), and control groups ( $t(9) = 0.98, P=0.352$ ).

Between group's differences of flexibility were not significant in pre-test ( $F(36, 3) = 0.19, P=0.900$ ) and post-test ( $F(36, 3) = 1.85, P=0.155$ ). Within group's difference of flexibility were significant in 5sec ( $t(9) = 6.48, P \leq 0.001^*$ ), 10sec ( $t(9) = 6.04, P \leq 0.001^*$ ), and 15sec groups ( $t(9) = 8.60, P \leq 0.001^*$ ). However, within group's difference of flexibility was not significant in control group ( $t(9) = 0.51, P=0.619$ ).

Between group's differences of muscle strength were not significant in pre-test ( $F(36, 3) = 1.61, P=0.203$ ). However, between group's differences of muscle strength were significant in post-test ( $F(36, 3) = 9.35, P \leq 0.001^*$ ). Mean difference of muscle strength was significant between 5sec and control groups ( $P=0.001^*$ ), 10sec group and control groups ( $P=0.001^*$ ), and 15sec and control groups ( $P=0.005^*$ ). Within group's difference of muscle strength were significant in 5sec ( $t(9) = 8.20, P \leq 0.001^*$ ), 10sec ( $t(9) = 6.70, P \leq 0.001^*$ ), and 15sec groups ( $t(9) = 7.74, P \leq 0.001^*$ ). However, within group's difference of muscle strength was not

significant in control group ( $t(9) = 0.65$ ,  $P = 0.531$ ).

Between group's differences of muscle endurance were not significant in pre-test ( $F(36, 3) = 1.30$ ,  $P = 0.290$ ). However, between group's differences of muscle endurance were significant in post-test ( $F(36, 3) = 7.22$ ,  $P = 0.001^*$ ). Mean difference of muscle endurance was significant between 5sec and control groups ( $P = 0.035^*$ ), 10sec and control groups ( $P = 0.006^*$ ), and 15sec and control groups ( $P = 0.001^*$ ). Within group's difference of muscle endurance was not significant in 5sec ( $t(9) = 1.88$ ,  $P = 0.092$ ), and control groups ( $t(9) = -1.26$ ,  $P = 0.239$ ). However, within group's difference of muscle endurance was significant in 10sec ( $t(9) = 7.11$ ,  $P \leq 0.001^*$ ) and 15sec groups ( $t(9) = 12.66$ ,  $P \leq 0.001^*$ ).

### Discussions and Conclusion

Six week PNF training (Contraction - Relaxation method) combined phases of 5, 10, and 15 seconds of maximum voluntary isometric contraction and 10 seconds of passive stretching (up to pain threshold) according to the progressive overload principle did not make a differences in values of body mass, body fat percent, and body mass index in non-athlete men of experimental groups. This training increased flexibility of hamstring muscle in non-athlete men of experimental groups. Mean of muscle flexibility in 5sec, 10sec, and 15sec groups were increased 22.45%, 23.85%, and 24.50%, respectively; but no differences was observed between groups. Furthermore, a muscle flexibility change in control group was 1.85%. It is obvious that, these results are due to the performance of the 10 seconds passive stretching up to pain threshold in PNF training in all experimental groups. These results support the results of Schmidt, et al. (1999); Feland, et al. (2001); Spernoga, et al. (2001); Roland, et al. (2003); Schuback, et al. (2004); Bonnar, et al. (2004); Feland, et al. (2004); and Marek, et al. (2005).

This training increase strength of hamstring muscle in non-athlete men of experimental groups. Mean of muscle strength in 5sec, 10sec, and 15sec groups increased 25.00%, 30.30%, and 25.00%, respectively; but no differences was observed between groups. Furthermore, a muscle strength change in control group was 4.90%. It is obvious that, these results are due to the performance of the maximum voluntary isometric contraction on the basis of progressive overload principle in PNF training. These results support the results of Nelson, et al. (1991); Kokkonen, et al. (1995); Schmitt, et al. (1999); Feland, et al. (2004); Bonnar, et al. (2004); Kofotolis, et al. (2006); and Corbin, et al. (2010).

This training increased endurance of hamstring muscle in non-athlete men of experimental groups. Mean of muscle endurance in 5sec, 10sec, and 15sec groups increased 27.50%, 57.70%, and 95.60%, respectively; but no differences was observed between groups. Furthermore, a muscle endurance change in control group was -12.55%. It is obvious that, these results are due to the performance of the maximum voluntary isometric contraction on the basis of progressive overload principle in PNF training. These results support the results of Kokkonen, et al. (1995); Kofotolis, et al. (2006); and Corbin, et al. (2010).

It seems that the maximum voluntary isometric contraction must be done in a longer time (15sec in this study) in order to achieve greater muscular endurance, and it is better to perform the maximum voluntary isometric contraction for 10sec (based on the results of the present study) if the purpose is to increase muscular strength. In this respect, the maximum voluntary isometric contraction must be performed for 15sec to increase muscular strength and endurance simultaneously.

Therefore, according to this study, PNF training (Contraction - Relaxation method) are recommended when the training programs designed to increase flexibility,

strength, and endurance of muscles; but more studies are required to clarify the optimal effects of PNF training and the best method in PNF training with different times of maximum voluntary isometric contraction, different times of passive stretching, and different combinations of these phases.

### References

- Bonnar, B. P., Deirrt, R. G., Gould, T. E. 2004. The relationship between isometric durations during hold-relax stretching and improvement of hamstring flexibility. *J Sport Med Phys Fitness*. Sep; 44(3): 258-61.
- Brooks, G. A., Fahey, T. D., Baldwin, K. M. 2005. *Exercise Physiology*, MC Grow-Hill.
- Corbin, C., Lindsey, R., Welk, G. 2010. *Concepts of fitness and wellness*. MC Grow-Hill Companies.
- Feland, J. B., Marin, H. N. 2004. Effect of sub maximal contraction intensity in contract-relax proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation stretching, *Br J Sports Med*; 38: e18
- Feland, J. B., Mirer J. W., Schlthies, S. S., Felling ham, G. W., Measom, G. W. 2001. The effect of duration of stretching of the hamstring muscle group for increasing range of motion in people aged 65 years or older. *Phys Ther*: 81: 1100-1117.
- Huger, W., Huger, S. 2006. *Principles and labs for fitness and wellness*, Thomson Wads Worth; 8<sup>th</sup> Edition.
- Kofotolis N, Kellies E. 2006. Effects of two 4-week proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation program on muscle endurance, flexibility, and functional performance in women with chronic low back pain. *Phys Ther*; 86:1001.
- Kofotolis, N., Vrobas, I. S., Vamvakidis, E., Papanikolaou, A., Mandroukas, k. 2005. Proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation training induced alterations in muscle fiber type and cross sectional area. *Br J Sport Med*; 39: ell.
- Kokkonen, G., Lauritzen, S. 1995. Isometric and endurance gains through PNF stretching, *Med Sci Sports Exerc*; No 11.
- Marek, S. M., Cramer, J. T., Fincher, A. I., Massey, L. L., Dangelmaier, S. M., Pukayastha, S., et al. 2005. Acute effects of static and proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation stretch on muscle strength and power output. *J Athl Train*; 40:94-103.
- Nelson, K. C., Cornelius, W. L. 1991. The relationship between isometric contraction duration and improvement in shoulder joint range of motion, *J Sports Med Phys Fitness*; 31: 385-8.
- Rowlands AV., Marginson, V.F., Lee, J. 2003. Chronic flexibility Gains: effect stretching of isometric contraction duration during proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation techniques. *Res Qua Exe sport*; 47-51.
- Schmitt, G.D., Pelham, T.W., Holt, L.E. 1999. A comparison of selected protocols during proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation stretching, *Clin kinesiology*; 53:16-21.
- Schuback, B., Hooper, J., Salisbury, L. 2004. A comparison of self-stretch incorporating proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation components and a therapist-applied PNF technique on hamstring flexibility, *J physiotherapy*; 90:151-7.
- Spernoga, S.G., Uhl, T.L., Arnold, B.L., Gansneder, B.M. 2001. Duration of maintained hamstring flexibility after a one-time modified hold-relax stretching protocol. *J Athl Train*; 36: 44-8.