Biological Journal of Microorganism 5th Year, Vol. 5, No. 20, Winter 2017 **Received:** July 11, 2015/ Accepted: July 3, 2016. Page: 43- 59

The occurrence of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in soil and root of medicinal plants in Bu-Ali Sina garden in Hamadan, Iran

Ali Akbar Safari Sinegani * Professor of Soil Science, Bu-Ali Sina University, Hamadan, Iran. aa-safari@basu.ac.ir Masomeh Elyasi Yeganeh MSc. of Soil Science, Bu-Ali Sina University, Hamadan, Iran, eliasi_11357@yahoo.com

Abstract

Introduction: The study of symbiotic relationship between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) and medicinal plants is very important. Information about the symbiosis of medicinal plant species with AMF in the semi-arid regions of Iran is rare. This information allows increasing knowledge of the biology and ecology of these plant species.

Materials and methods: The existence of AM symbiosis in 48 medicinal plant species (belonging to 9 families) was studied by root staining. Soil around the root of each species was sampled and analyzed for all soil properties which may be interrelated to AM symbiosis. The importance of different soil properties in AMF and plant biological relationship and the dependency of root colonization and spore formation by AMF on soil properties were statistically analyzed.

Results: Among them *Lepidium sativum*, *Brassica oleracea*, *Cheiranthus cheiri*, *Beta vulgaris*, *Spinacia oleracea*, *Malva sylvestris*, *Zygophyllum fabago*, *Arctium Lappa* have not been colonized by AM fungi. Colonization and spore density of perennial plants were slightly higher than those of annual plants and were varied among different plant families. Soil texture and available phosphorous were the most important soil properties affecting fungal root colonization and spore numbers.

Discussion and conclusion: Although in accordance with other researches, most of the medicinal plants from Brassicaceae family had no mycorrhizal symbiosis, a few of them had this type of symbiosis. Dependency of spore formation by AM fungi on soil properties was higher than dependency of root colonization percentage on soil properties. Increasing root colonization and spore numbers with increasing the percentage of sand and decreasing the percentage of clay and available phosphorous in soils show that plants are more depended on mycorrhizal symbiosis in hard environments and less productive soils.

Key words: Arbuscular mycorrhiza, Medicinal plants, Soil properties, Root colonization

^{*}Corresponding Author

Copyright © 2017, University of Isfahan. This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/BY-NC-ND/4.0/), which permits others to download this work and share it with others as long as they credit it, but they cannot change it in any way or use it commercially.

Introduction

Most medicinal plant taxa in the world have been studied for their medicinal values and botanical properties. However, the occurrence of symbiotic fungi in these group of plants was not well studied (1, 2). The cultivation of medicinal herbs will need to learn about soil and plant biology, environment the and agricultural technology. In order to develop an effective method to obtain high quality medicinal materials, the study of symbiotic relationship between arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) and medicinal plants is very important. The production and application of AMF biological fertilizers may be effective in the improvement of an actual method in plant production. It may be the most effective method to improve the quality and quantity of the medicinal materials obtained in the non-fertile soils. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi can increase plant growth, photosynthesis, nutrients storage, metabolites and beneficial chemical compounds and decrease soil borne plant diseases by inhibition of fungal pathogen (3, 4).

The study of the status of mycorrhizal symbiosis and soil monitoring has a specific value in the medicinal plant cultivation and production in dryland area. Symbiosis of medicinal herbs with AMF can be an effective alternative for improvement of soil-water efficiency by increasing plant tolerance and growth in dry land farming (5-8). Dry and osmotic stresses on plants can be alleviated by various morphological, anatomical, physiological and specially osmoregulation occurring better in plant with mycorrhizal symbiosis (5).

Due to these facts, a preliminary field survey was conducted to examine the mycorrhizal status of medicinal plants collected from the Garden of Medicinal Plants of Bu-Ali Sina in Hamadan in Iran with semiarid climate. The garden has an area of 3.7 h, located in southern part of the city of Hamadan. Where a collection of 213 plant species belong to herbaceous plants (25%), shrubs (41%), trees (27%) and onion plants (7%) from 64 families. In this category, two botanical families, Lamiaceae (39%), and Asteraceae (23%) have more species. Information about the symbiosis of medicinal plant species with AMF in the region, semi-arid allows increasing knowledge of the biology and ecology of these plant species. Our findings on the AMF symbiosis with medicinal plant species would be useful for preparation of AMF bio-fertilizer and application for different plant species in semi-arid regions.

Materials and methods

Study area, soil and plant sampling: This study was down in Bu-Ali Sina Garden of Medicinal Plants in Hamadan city, in northwest of Iran, with semi-arid climate. The minimum and the maximum annual mean temperatures are -8.33 and 40 °C, respectively. The average of annual rainfall and the average of annual temperature are 300 mm and 8.10 °C, respectively. The area of this garden is 2.3 hectares. It lies 1870 m higher than see level (48°32'30″ E and 34°47'12″N).

The garden has a rich collection of approximately 250 plant taxa from 48 native and foreign cultured plant families. Selection of annual and perennial herbaceous plant species was mainly on the basis of their growth stage. The sampled and studied plants were 48 species which belong to 9 families (i.e. Lamiaceae with 17 species, Asteraceae 12, Brassicaceae 5, Chenopodiaceae 2. Boraginaceae 2. Malvaceae Zygophyllaceae 2. 1. Plantaginaceae 1, Polygonaceae 1. Euphorbiace 1, Solanaceae 1, Apiaceae 1, Portulacaceae 1 and Fabaceae with 1 species). They were listed in Table 2. Plant roots and root surrounding soils were collected mainly at the flowering stage and early seed formation period in June, 2012. Root systems with soil were excavated intact and transported to the laboratory for analysis. In the case of each taxa, three repetition samples were collected.

Study of root colonization: The roots were prepared and stained according to the modified method described by Giovannetti and Mosse (1980) and were assessed for colonization according to the grid-line intersect method (McGonigle et al., 1990). Briefly, after soil separation and washing in tap water, the roots were putted in 7% KOH for 24 h. Then they were rinsed in water for clearing. The material was acidified in 3.5% HCl (2 h), then stained with 0.05% trypan blue, and finally stored in 50% glycerol. Root fragments (1 cm) were mounted on slides in glycerol. Under light microscope, each intersection for AM fungal structures was evaluated. All of the figures in this paper were presented under light microscope with 400× magnification. There are seven possible, and mutually exclusive categories of intersections: n- no fungal structures, a-arbuscules, v- vesicles, av- arbuscules and vesicles, c- coils, hmmycorrhizal hyphae (near but not at arbuscules or vesicles), h- hyphae not seen to be connected to arbuscules or vesicles (they may (h1) or may not (h2) belong to AM fungi). Mycorrhizal hyphae are always intersected in a, v, av, c, and hm are known to be mycorrhizal because they are seen to be attached to arbuscules, vesicles, or both. Here 150 intersections were examined for each root sample, where a total of T (n+a+v+av+c+hm+h) intersections were inspected, the percentage of root length colonized by fungi was calculated as:

The percentage of root length colonized by mycorrhizal hyphae = 100[(a+v+av+c+hm+h1)/T]

Fungal endophytes that accompanied AM fungi in roots, namely dark septate endophytes (DSE) were studied through the assessment of AMF colonization. DSE colonization was identified on the basis of regularly dark pigmented septate hyphae, with occasionally occurring sclerotia (9).

Additionally, the frequency of the occurrence of resting spores of the fungi was assessed in soil around the plant roots.

AMF spores enumeration: Spores were extracted from 50 g soil of each sample by wet sieving followed by floatation-centrifugation in 453.5 g Γ^1 sucrose solution (10, 11). The spores were collected from sucrose suspension in beaker on a 25 μ sieve and washed with distilled water to spread spores evenly over the entire of grid

pattern filter paper. They were numbered under a stereoscopic microscope ($40\times$). The number of spores was expressed as the mean of three replicates in 10 g soil.

Chemical and physical analyses of soil sampled from around the roots: The chemical and physical analyses of soil were done on air dried and ground (2 mm) samples. Selected soil properties were determined according to standard methods (12, 13). The biological analyses of soil were done on fresh and ground (2 mm) samples stored at 4 °C in lab refrigerator. Selected soil biological properties were determined according to standard methods (14). Table 1 shows the studied soil properties and the method applied for each one.

Table 1- The method applied for analysis of son properties				
Soil Properties	The applied method			
Particle-size analysis	hydrometer method (15)			
Calcium carbonate equivalent (CCE)	back titration procedure (16)			
pH measured in a 1: 5 soil: water extract				
Electrical conductivity (EC)	measured in a 1: 5 soil: water extract (18)			
Organic carbon (OC)	dichromate oxidation (19)			
Total nitrogen (TN)	Kjeldahl method (20)			
Available potassium (AvK)	(21)			
Available phosphorus (AvP)	extracted in 0.5 M NaHCO3, pH 8.5 (22)			
Basal respiration (BR)	measured in closed jars (14)			
Substrate induced respiration (SIR)	(23)			
Acid phosphatase activity	(24)			
Alkaline phosphatase activity (24)				

Table 1- The method applied for analysis of soil properties

Statistical analyses: Correlation analyses were performed to evaluate the relationships between different properties of the soil sampled from around the roots, spore number and plant root colonization. All data analyses were performed by excel and correlation analyses were done by SAS, 9.2.

Results

Arbuscules and vesicles in *Foeniculum vulgare* from Apiaceae family were observed and the percentage of root colonization was calculated 70.51. Arbuscular mycorrhizae with paris type arbuscules were found in this plant species (Table 2).

Arbuscules are the structural and functional criterion of the symbiosis. All of

investigated plant species the from Asteraceae had arbuscules and vesicles. They had paris type arbuscular mycorrhizae symbiosis, except Achillea millefolium, Tanacetum parthenium and Arctium lappa mycorrhizae which had arum type symbiosis. Achillea millefolium like other plant species in Asteraceae family had mycorrhiza symbiosis (61.02%) but without vesicle. Although Tanacetum parthenium had no arbuscule and vesicle, the root colonization of this species was also relatively high by fungi (62.96%). But Arctium lappa in this family did not have any arbuscule, vesicle and maycorrhiza symbiosis (fig. 1). The highest root colonization was observed in Chrysanthemum salicornia equal to 77.26%.

			D CD#	x .7 #	. 1 #
Family	Species Mycorrhizal type RCP"		Ves	Arb	
Apiaceae	Foeniculum vulgare Paris		/0.51	+	+
Asteraceae	Achillea millefolium	Paris	61.02	-	+
Asteraceae	Achillea santoline	Paris	40.22	+	+
Asteraceae	Arctium lappa	ND	ND	-	-
Asteraceae	Aster trapolium	Paris	55.87	+	+
Asteraceae		Paris	61.68	+	+
Asteraceae	Chrysanthemum salicornia	Paris	77.26	+	+
Asteraceae	Cichorium intybus	Paris	56.85	+	+
Asteraceae	Cnicus benedictus	Paris	47.22	+	+
Asteraceae	Grindelia camporum	Paris	61.47	+	+
Asteraceae	Inula helenium	Paris	60.84	+	+
Asteraceae	Tanacetum parthenium	ND	62.96	-	-
Asteraceae	Tussilago farfara	ND	42.64	+	-
Boraginaceae	Borago officinalis	Paris	38.87	+	+
Boraginaceae	Echium amoenum	Paris	43.65	-	+
Brassicaceae	Brassica oleracea	ND	ND	-	-
Brassicaceae	Cheiranthus cheiri	ND	ND	-	-
Brassicaceae	Eruca sativa Lam	Paris ,arum	62.13	+	+
Brassicaceae	Hyoscyamus nicotiana	ND	ND	-	-
Brassicaceae	Lepidium sativum	ND	ND	-	-
Chenopodiaceae	Beta vulgaris	ND	ND	-	-
Chenopodiaceae	Spinacia oleracea	ND	ND	-	-
Euphorbiace	Euphorbia helioscopis	Paris	56.32	+	+
Fabaceae	Securigera securidaca	Paris	63.4	+	+
Lamiaceae	Hyssopus officinalis	Paris ,arum	47.76	+	+
Lamiaceae	Lavendula officinalis	Paris	73.33	+	+
Lamiaceae	Melissa officinalis	Paris	52.12	+	+
Lamiaceae	Mentha longifolia ND		51.45	+	-
Lamiaceae	Mentha piperita	ND	55.81	+	-
Lamiaceae	Mentha spicata ND		55.88	+	-
Lamiaceae	Nepeta crispa Paris		46.61	+	+
Lamiaceae	Ocimum basilicum	Paris	77	+	+
Lamiaceae	Origanum vulgare	Paris	64.43	+	+
Lamiaceae	Rosmarinus officinalis	ND	63.89	+	-
Lamiaceae	Salvia aethiopis	Paris ,arum	63.25	+	+
Lamiaceae	Salvia hyderangea	Paris ,arum	57.41	+	+
Lamiaceae	Satureja hortensis	Paris	32.47	+	+
Lamiaceae	Stachys lavandulifolia Vahl	Paris	33.58	+	-
Lamiaceae	Teucrium polium	Paris	81.99	+	+
Lamiaceae	Thymus kotschyany Arum		68.49	+	+
Lamiaceae	Zataria multiflora boiss	Paris arum	60.7	+	+
Malvaceae	Althaea officinalis Paris 63.05		+	+	
Malvaceae	Malva sylvarstris ND ND		-	_	
Plantaginaceae	Plantago major	Paris	78.13	+	+
Polygonaceae	Rumex asetosella	ND	58.63	+	
Portulacaceae	Portulaça olaraçãa Daria 22.27		-	+	
Solanaceae	Physalis alkokonai	Paris arum	67.02	_	, +
Zygonhyllaceae	Zvoophyllum fabaao	ND	ND	_	_
LIBOPHIMUCOUC	Lysophynum Juougo			1	-

Table 2- The sampled and studied plant species from Bu-Ali Sina Garden of Medicinal Plants

RCP) root colonization percentage, Ves.) vesicle, Arb.) arbuscule, ND) Not detectable, +) visible –) invisible organs

Both sampled plant species from Boraginaceae family had mycorhiza symbiosis (Table 2). The root colonization percentage in *Echium amoenum* and in *Borago officinalis* were 38.87 and 43.65



Fig.1- Root of *Arctium lappa* without any mycorrhiza symbiosis

Harley and Harley previously reported that from these family roots of *Echium vulgare* L. can be colonized by VAM. However, *Borago officinalis* being reported earlier as non-mycorrhizal plant (25, 26). In our study same as Zubec and Blaszkowski (2009) we recognized *Borago officinalis* as mycorrhizal plant.

Brassica oleracea, Lepidium sativum, Hyoscyamus nicotiana, and Cheiranthus respectively. The type of mycorrhiza symbiosis was paris in these plants. Arbuscules was found in both but vesicles were found only in *Borago officinalis* root tissues (fig. 2).



Fig.2- AMF hyphae and vesicules in *Borago* officinalis root

cheiri root tissues did not have any arbuscule, vesicle and mycorrhiza symbiosis. But in root tissues of Eruca sativa from Brassicaceae family we found arbuscule. vesicle and mycorrhiza symbiosis (figs. 3-4). Root colonization percentage in this plant species reached 62.13. Both types of mycorrhiza symbiosis, paris and arum were observed in this plant species.



Fig.3- Root of *Hyoscyamus nicotiana* without any mycorrhiza symbiosis

Fig.4- Root of *Eruca sativa* with vesicles and hyphae of AM fungi.

Beta vulgaris and *Spinacia oleracea* were sampled and studied from Chenopodiacea family. Harley and Harley reported that *Chenopodium album* L. can be colonized by AM Fungi. But in many studies this family was named non-



Fig.5- Root of *Spinacia oleracea* without any mycorrhiza symbiosis

Euphorbia helioscopis from Euphorbiaceae and *Securigera securidaca* from Fabaceae families both had arbuscule, vesicle and paris type mycorrhiza symbiosis. Root colonization percentage in these plant species were 58.64 and 63.40 respectively.

All of the 17 investigated plant species from Lamiaceae had arbuscular mycorrhizae symbiosis and all of them had vesicles except Stachys lavandulifolia (Table 2). Although arbuscule was not observed clearly in some species, the type of observed fungal hypha was often paris. However, the arum type was observed in root tissues of Thymus kotschyanu. In root tissues of Hyssopus officinalis, Zataria multiflora, Salvia hyderangea and Salvia aethiopis both types of paris and arum mycorrhiza were observed (Fig. 7). The highest root colonization in this family belonged to Teucrium polium equal to 81.99% and the lowest root colonization in this family belonged to Satureja hortensis

mycorrhizal plants. Although they did not have any arbuscule, vesicle and mycorrhiza symbiosis, but dark septate fungi were observed in root tissues of Beta vulgaris frequently (figs. 5-6).



Fig.6- Root of *Beta vulgaris* with sclerotia of dark septate fungi.

equal to 32.47%.

In root tissue of *Malva sylverstris* from Malvaceae family there was not any evidence of mycorrhiza symbiosis (fig. 8), but *Althaea officinalis* had arbuscule, vesicle and mycorrhiza symbiosis. Root colonization percentage in this plant species reached 63.05.

Plantago major from Plantaginaceae had both arbuscule and vesicle with 78.13% root colonization and paris type symbiosis. Although in root of Rumex asetosella from Poligonaceae was not observed anv arbuscule, it had vesicles with 58.64% fungal colonization. Mycorrhiza type in Portulaca oleracea from Portulacaceae was paris. It had 32.33% root colonization without any observable vesicle. Physalis alkekengi from Solanaceae family had both paris and arum type mycorrhiza with 67.02 root colonization without any observable Zygophyllum vesicle. fabago from Zygophyllaceae had no vesicule, arbuscule and mycorrhiza symbiosis (figs. 9-10).



Fig.7- Root of *Hyssopus officinalis* with arum type of arbuscules in mycorrhiza symbiosis



Fig.8- Root of *Malva sylverstris* without any mycorrhiza symbiosis



Fig.9- Root of *Zygophyllum fabago* without any mycorrhiza symbiosis

Table 3 shows some important soil properties in mycorrhiza symbiosis. Generally, soil organic carbon was relatively high around the roots of plants with lower mycorrhiza symbiosis. It was higher than 1 g 100 g⁻¹ soil around the roots of Cnicus benedictus, Lepidium sativum, Beta vulgaris, Spinacia oleracea, and Mentha longifolia. Electrical conductivity was high in soil (>1 dSm^{-1}) around the roots of Chrysanthemum salicornia, Cnicus benedictus and Securigera securidaca.



Fig.10- Paris type of hyphae of AM fungi in *Physalis alkekengi* root cell.

The pH of soil sampled from around the roots of plants was not so different. It was between 7.12 and 7.81. Available P in the studied soils was sufficient for plant growth and it was higher than 28.8 mg kg⁻¹. Available P was higher than 80 mg kg⁻¹ in soil around the roots of *Hyoscyamus nicotiana, Lepidium sativum, Spinacia oleracea,* and *Zygophyllum fabago*. Most of these plants did not have high mycorrhiza symbiosis. This may be related to lower depletion zone around the roots of these plants.

Plant species	organic carbon	Electrical conductivity	pН	Available P
	g 100 g ⁻¹ soil	dS m ⁻¹		mg kg ⁻¹ soil
Foeniculum vulgare	0.5	0.52	7.49	50.5
Achillea millefolium	0.49	0.68	7.2	47.4
Achillea santoline	0.62	0.66	7.28	51.7
Arctium lappa	0.19	0.37	7.29	69.6
Aster trapolium	0.53	0.52	7.27	46.4
Calandula officinalis	0.32	0.74	7.62	48.2
Chrysanthemum salicornia	0.92	1.28	7.25	33.3
Cichorium intybus	0.31	0.81	7.31	43.7
Cnicus benedictus	1.09	1.65	7.18	69.6
Grindelia camporum	0.21	0.67	7.52	44.9
Inula helenium	0.65	0.48	7.34	68.6
Tanacetum parthenium	0.41	0.63	7.3	34.2
Tussilago farfara	0.34	0.45	7.63	53
Borago officinalis	0.17	0.48	7.22	54.2
Echium amoenum	0.34	0.9	7.31	51.5
Brassica oleracea	0.91	0.49	7.38	64
Cheiranthus cheiri	0.34	0.5	7.24	64
Eruca sativa Lam	0.77	0.55	7.17	33.9
Hyoscyamus nicotiana	0.2	0.35	7.13	81.3
Lepidium sativum	1.3	0.65	7.6	87.4
Beta vulgaris	1.08	0.56	7.81	65.8
Spinacia oleracea	1.4	0.56	7.24	93.4
Euphorbia helioscopis	0.51	0.73	7.42	46.6
Securigera securidaca	0.6	1.05	7.41	28.8
Hyssopus officinalis	0.52	0.67	7.62	46
Lavendula officinalis	0.57	0.67	7.35	39.9
Melissa officinalis	0.95	0.68	7.53	48.4
Mentha longifolia	1.23	0.37	7.43	42.5
Mentha piperita	0.51	0.74	7.25	45.1
Mentha spicata	0.58	0.54	7.15	43.9
Nepeta crispa	0.8	0.5	7.23	54.4
Ocimum basilicum	0.46	0.87	7.13	35.9
Origanum vulgare	0.33	0.52	7.32	48.5
Rosmarinus officinalis	0.33	0.32	7.2	31.6
Salvia aethiopis	0.8	0.89	7.22	63.8
Salvia hyderangea	0.69	0.74	7.36	62.5
Satureja hortensis	0.22	0.59	7.23	63.2
Stachys lavandulifolia Vahl	0.36	0.72	7.26	56
Teucrium polium	0.45	0.38	7.3	27
Thymus kotschyanu	0.39	0.83	7.21	56.9
Zataria multiflora boiss	0.48	0.63	7.19	32.8
Althaea officinalis	0.39	0.55	7.2	39.5
Malva sylverstris	0.92	0.41	7.27	41.2
Plantago major	0.48	0.73	7.33	26.5
Rumex asetosella	0.64	0.47	7.19	49.4
Portulaca oleracea	0.92	0.61	7.28	67.2
Physalis alkekengi	0.76	0.79	7.41	66.1
Zygophyllum fabago	0.91	0.83	7.23	85.2

Table 3- The studied Chemical soil properties sampled from around roots of the plant species

The important soil properties in mycorrhiza symbiosis were measured. Table 4 shows the studied biological properties of soil sampled from around the roots of the plant species. Basal respiration in soil sampled from around the roots of Arctium lappa and Borago officinalis was lower than 0.10 mg CO_2 g⁻¹ soil day⁻¹. In contrast, it was higher than 0.20 mg CO_2 g⁻¹ soil day⁻¹ in soil sampled from around the roots of *Cnicus benedictus*, Brassica oleracea, Lepidium sativum, Beta vulgaris, Spinacia oleracea, Mentha longifolia, Malva sylverstris, Portulaca oleracea, *Physalis* alkekengi and Zygophyllum fabago. Basal respiration (BR) basically shows simple and active organic carbon but substrate induced respiration (SIR) shows active biomass carbon in soil around the roots. Substrate induced respiration was lower than 1.5 mg CO_2 g⁻¹ soil day⁻¹ in soil around the roots of Arctium lappa, Tanacetum parthenium, Borago officinalis, Echium amoenum, Cheiranthus cheiri, Salvia aethiopis and Physalis alkekengi but it was higher than 1.7 mg CO_2 g⁻¹ soil day⁻¹ in soil around the roots of Brassica oleracea, Lepidium sativum, Beta vulgaris, Spinacia oleracea, Securigera securidaca and Mentha longifolia. Where BR would be high and SIR would be low, it is a hard condition for soil microbiota. So the BR/SIR ratio was calculated for this respect. The highest BR/SIR ratio (>0.15) was obtained in soils around the roots of Cnicus benedictus, Beta vulgaris, Spinacia oleracea and Mentha longifolia. This ratio

shows hard physiological condition for soil microorganisms around the root of these plants. However, most of *Beta vulgaris, Spinacia oleracea* are not good host for AM fungi.

The glumerale spore numbers in soil around the roots of the unpleasant plants for AM fungi were considerably low compared to those numbered in soil around the roots of other plant species. It was lower than 15 spores in 10 g of soil around the roots of Chrysanthemum salicornia, Cnicus benedictus, Brassica oleracea, Lepidium sativum, Beta vulgaris, Salvia hyderangea and Zygophyllum fabago. Most of these plants did not have a high root colonization percentage. Some of them like Brassica oleracea, Lepidium sativum, Beta vulgaris, and Spinacia oleracea were not good photosymbiont for mycorrhiza symbiosis. A large part of the differences between AM fungi spore numbers in soils might be related to their different plant species. Land use and plant diversity can change soil properties, controlling soil microbial population and activities (27). It was showed that abundance and composition of fungi community are AM strongly influenced by the host species and land use through differential effects on hyphal growth and sporulation (28-30). In our pervious study, the highest AMF spore numbers counted in soils sampled from dry farmlands mainly covered with Poaceae. These lands had significantly low fertility and moisture contents.

Plant species	Basal Respiration (BR)	Substrate Induced Respiration (SIR)	BR/SIR	Glumeral Spore Number	Alkaline Phosphatase	Acid Phosphatase
	mg g ⁻¹ soil	mg g ⁻¹ soil day		N 10 g ⁻¹ soil	umol PNP g ⁻¹	umol PNP g ⁻¹
	day	1		it iog bon	soil h ⁻¹	soil h-1
Foeniculum vulgare	0.15	1.56	0.09	28.97	19.4	8.34
Achillea millefolium	0.13	1.56	0.08	27.44	22.3	10.81
Achillea santoline	0.19	1.58	0.12	26.92	12	6.558
Arctium lappa	0.06	1.48	0.04	31.02	11.8	4.491
Aster trapolium	0.17	1.66	0.1	27.44	12.7	10.08
Calandula officinalis	0.15	1.64	0.09	27.69	21.5	9.164
Chrysanthemum salicornia	0.21	1.67	0.13	14.1	15	8.248
Cichorium intybus	0.12	1.53	0.08	32.31	12.5	7.79
Cnicus benedictus	0.24	1.65	0.15	14.87	10.9	5.45
Grindelia camporum	0.13	1.61	0.08	27.44	15.8	5.499
Inula helenium	0.16	1.56	0.1	26.92	13.7	7.606
Tanacetum parthenium	0.15	1.47	0.1	20.77	13.2	7.125
Tussilago farfara	0.1	1.53	0.07	34.36	11.8	5.04
Borago officinalis	0.06	1.32	0.05	35.38	13.8	7.076
Echium amoenum	0.12	1.37	0.09	35.31	12.4	6.913
Brassica oleracea	0.21	1.71	0.12	9.74	15.6	6.203
Cheiranthus cheiri	0.11	1.48	0.07	26.67	10.9	5.957
Eruca sativa Lam	0.18	1.63	0.11	27.95	26	6.507
Hyoscyamus nicotiana	0.15	1.55	0.09	17.95	14.9	6.944
Lepidium sativum	0.22	1.7	0.13	12.05	27.9	7.87
Beta vulgaris	0.27	1.75	0.16	3.33	11.2	3.836
Spinacia oleracea	0.32	1.75	0.18	16.41	10.8	5.956
Euphorbia helioscopis	0.18	1.59	0.11	26.92	11.3	7.698
Securigera securidaca	0.18	1.7	0.1	23.33	14.8	9.256
Hyssopus officinalis	0.15	1.59	0.1	25.38	15.7	7.606
Lavendula officinalis	0.19	1.54	0.12	27.18	17.5	8.094
Melissa officinalis	0.13	1.62	0.08	31.79	11.2	5.224
Mentha longifolia	0.3	1.77	0.17	15.38	12.1	5.52
Mentha piperita	0.16	1.6	0.1	24.87	11.5	5.59
Mentha spicata	0.18	1.57	0.11	24.87	17	5.499
Nepeta crispa	0.14	1.55	0.09	24.36	11.1	5.499
Ocimum basilicum	0.15	1.58	0.09	26.92	10.8	5.957
Origanum vulgare	0.15	1.53	0.1	24.87	14.6	7.765
Rosmarinus officinalis	0.15	1.58	0.1	25.64	27.4	7.148
Salvia aethiopis	0.15	1.41	0.11	21.28	14.3	7.083
Salvia hyderangea	0.19	1.68	0.11	13.59	14.1	6.535
Satureja hortensis	0.12	1.52	0.08	25.13	20	7.698
Stachys lavandulifolia Vahl	0.17	1.51	0.11	18.21	9.62	4.216
Teucrium polium	0.14	1.54	0.09	26.92	17.7	5.59
Thymus kotschyanu	0.15	1.6	0.1	22.05	14.7	9.073
Zataria multiflora boiss	0.18	1.58	0.11	24.62	22.3	6.598
Althaea officinalis	0.13	1.57	0.08	24.87	18.6	8.431
Malva sylverstris	0.23	1.66	0.14	31.28	15.1	8.265
Plantago major	0.15	1.62	0.09	24.87	18.1	9.073
Rumex asetosella	0.18	1.56	0.11	27.18	11.8	6.323
Portulaca oleracea	0.24	1.69	0.14	33.3	12.1	6.175
Physalis alkekengi	0.2	1.43	0.14	27.18	16.4	7.777
Zygophyllum fabago	0.23	1.63	0.14	12.82	10.2	4.953

Table 4- The studied biological soil properties sampled from around roots of the plant species

In contrast, the lowest AMF spore numbered in soils sampled from coniferous woodlands. These differences strongly related to specific plant effects on AM fungi in soil. Root exudates of different species are different, influencing the germination and growth of specific AM fungi species (31, 32). Although AM fungi are non-host-specific and they have ability to infect a wide range of hosts, degree of benefit to each associate in any fungous and plant association can depend on the specific species involved (28, 33).

Totally in the studied soils the activity of alkaline phosphatase was higher than the activity of acid phosphatase. The activity of alkaline phosphatase was considerably high (>20 umol PNP g⁻¹ soil h⁻¹) in soil around the roots of Achillea millefolium, Calandula officinalis, Eruca sativa Lam, Lepidium sativum, Rosmarinus officinalis, Satureja hortensis and Zataria multiflora boiss. Except Lepidium sativum, these plant species had relatively high root colonization percentage. Acid phosphatase activity was relatively high in soil around the roots of Achillea millefolium, Aster trapolium, Calandula officinalis, Securigera securidaca, Thymus kotschyanu and Plantago major. It was higher than 9.0 umol PNP g⁻¹ soil h⁻¹. These plants were also good photosymbiont for AMF (Table. 1)

Correlation analysis showed that there were positive and significant relationship between basal respiration, substrate induced respiration, organic carbon (OC) and clay contents in the sampled soils. In contrast to those properties, there were positive and significant relationships between soil alkaline and acid phosphatase activities. Acid phosphatase and alkaline phosphatase activities had negative and significant correlation with soil available phosphorus and clay contents respectively. Root colonization and spore numbers were correlated to each other and both had negative and significant correlation with soil available phosphorus (Table. 5). The correlation coefficients between root colonization and soil pH, EC, BR, SIR, BR/SIR ratio, OC, available P, silt, and clay contents were negative. In contrast to those soil properties, acid and alkaline phosphatase activities and also sand content of soil had positive correlation with root colonization and spore numbers in soil. Anyway the correlations of root colonization with soil clay content, available P and acid phosphatase activity was statistically significant. In contrast, the significant of the correlations between spore numbers in soil around the roots of plant and the studied soil properties were more obvious. Same as root colonization, spore number was positively related to soil acid and alkaline phosphatase activities and sand content and negatively related to the soil pH, EC, BR, SIR, BR/SIR ratio, OC, available P, silt, and clay contents. All of the soil properties except acid and alkaline phosphatase activities had significant correlation with soil spore numbers (Table 5). Thus, spore numbers were more related to soil properties and root colonization was more related to plant species.

Here in this garden ecosystem, the studied plant species would be highly dependent on AM associations for survival in the infertile and sandy soils compared to more fertile and clay soil. Several reports have shown that increasing soil fertility especially concentrations of soluble phosphate in soils can decrease fungal colonization (Graham et al., 1981; Asimi et al., 1980; Plenchette et al., 1983; Schwab et al1983; Guillemin et al., 1995). In addition, it was reported that abundance of AMF and their spores depend on physical characteristics of soil (Ortega-Larrocca et al., 2001). In this study, the correlations between spore numbers and soil sand, silt and clay contents were significant and the root colonization was related positively to soil sand content and negatively to soil silt, clay, OC and available P. Higher root colonization may be a cause of higher acid and alkaline phosphatases in soil around the roots of these medicine plant (Table 5).

	Root colonization	Spore number
Sand	y = 0.3078x + 26.95	y = 0.2884x + 5.4911
Sand	$R^2 = 0.0335$	$R^2 = 0.4415 **$
S:14	y = -0.0065x + 46.978	y = -0.2419x + 29.278
Sili	$R^2 = 1E-05$	$R^2 = 0.2614 *$
Clay	y = -1.6756x + 70.717	y = -0.4748x + 30.892
Clay	$R^2 = 0.1741 *$	$R^2 = 0.21 *$
Electrical conductivity	y = 28.569x + 28.202	y = -7.5073x + 29.024
Electrical conductivity	$R^2 = 0.0717$	$R^2 = 0.0744$
лЦ	y = -18.67x + 183.57	y = -2.6288x + 43.378
рн	$R^2 = 0.0124$	$R^2 = 0.0037$
Organia asthon	y = -2.4428x + 61.521	y = -1.3065x + 31.979
Organic carbon	$R^2 = 0.0864$	R ² = 0.3713 *
Available D	y = -1.1487x + 106.8	y = -0.1768x + 33.356
Available F	R ² = 0.5188 **	R ² = 0.1846 *
Substrate induced respiration (SIR)	y = -50.292x + 126.45	y = -39.778x + 87.098
Substrate induced respiration (SIK)	$R^2 = 0.035$	$R^2 = 0.3288 *$
Pagel respiration (PP)	y = -119.34x + 66.982	y = -88.318x + 39.032
Basar respiration (BR)	$R^2 = 0.0597$	R ² = 0.4913 **
DD/CID	y = -185.96x + 66.472	y = -157.44x + 40.749
DR/SIR	$R^2 = 0.042$	$R^2 = 0.4524 **$
Agid Phoenhotese	y = 6.4735x + 2.0493	y = 0.6527x + 19.61
Acid Filosphatase	R ² = 0.1535 *	$R^2 = 0.0235$
Alkalina phosphatasa	y = 1.2317x + 28.208	y = 0.07x + 23.067
Aikanne phosphatase	$R^2 = 0.0475$	$R^2 = 0.0023$
Spore number	y = 1.2x + 17.888	$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{x}$
Spore number	$R^2 = 0.0958$	$R^2 = 1$

Table 5- Liner relation between root colonization percentage and spore numbers with the studied soil properties

Discussion and conclusion

In our study, 39 of the studied plant species formed AM associations. No coils/arbuscules or vesicles was found in the root systems of 9 plants specified as Arctium lappa from Asteraceae family, Brassica oleracea. Cheiranthus cheiri. Hvoscvamus nicotiana and *Lepidium* sativum from Berassicaceae family, Beta vulgaris and Spinacia oleracea from Chenopodiaceae family, Malva sylvestris from Malvaceae family and Zygophyllum fabago from Zygophyllaceae family (Table 2). Most of these non-mycorrhizal species are accepted as conventionally AMF nonhost families (2, 34-37). The presence of AM in most of the studied plants is consistent with the literature data. However, some of the plant species reported here were not found in literature data. Borago officinalis being reported earlier as non-mycorrhizal plant (25, 26). In this study in agreement with Zubec and Blaszkowski Borago officinalis was recognized as a mycorrhizal plant. Eruca sativa Lam from Brassicaceae family being reported earlier as non-mycorrhizal, was recognized in our studies as colonized by AMF (62.13 %).

Although, Arctium lappa from

Asteraceae family had not AM symbiosis, this may be due to lack of good soil properties for root colonization but not basically to AMF propagules in the sites of these plants. Nobis et al. reported that non mycorrhizal symbiosis in Leymus arenarius, Equisetum ramosissimum and Limosella aquatica may be related to absence of AMF propagules (37). They found in the trap cultures established with soils collected from under the root systems of these plants no AMF spores. In our the glumerale study, although spore numbers in soil around the roots of the unpleasant plants for AM fungi was considerably low compared to those numbered in soil around the roots of the other plant species; we cannot relate absence of symbiosis to lack of AMF propagules. Nobis et al reported that no root colonization in the case of Alisma lanceolatum and Myosotis sparsiflora may relate to lack of AMF propagules in soil, because of the observed spores in the trap cultures (37). This might indicate that absence of AMF propagules in the soil was not the reason for the lack of mycorrhizae. This may be related to the particular edaphic conditions, e.g. due to sufficient soil nutrient contents as we report in the previous discussion in correlation analysis. However, Nobis et al reported that the statistical analysis performed indicated no correlation significant between root colonization of RCP species by AMF and particular soil parameters (37). Therefore, if some AMF species are required for particular plants, the lack of compatible fungal symbionts in the soil may also be a reason for the absence of root colonization (35, 38). However, the study on AMF species revealed the importance of soil properties and natural ecosystem condition

on root colonization by AMF (1, 2, 39-43).

The sclerotia of dark septate endophytes (DSE) were only found in 1 plant. It was Beta vulgaris a non-mycorrhizal plant. This plant had normal growth without any symptoms of parasitic relation. Dark septate endophytes include a various group of conidial or sterile ascomycetous fungi that colonize living plant roots without causing apparent negative effects such as tissue disorganization (44). They comprise an overabundance of fungi whose functions and taxonomic affinities remain unknown. In summary, DSE are a diverse group of fungi and may include a number of fungi forming ectendomycorrhizas. Because of the greater variety of hosts which DSE are capable of colonizing, they probably overlap only partially with the ectendomycorrhizal fungal symbionts (9). Zubek and Błaszkowski in study of medicinal plants found DSE in 21 plant species with brownish hyphae or stained with aniline blue. Although the frequency of DSE occurrence in roots of medicinal plants was high (FDSE > 60%), the percentage of root colonization was low. The single hyphae, accompanied sporadically by sclerotia, were found in the outer cortex and rhizoderm (1).DSE are frequently encountered root-inhabiting fungi of many plant species (45, 46). However the effects of DSE on plants are very different. In many studies, the negative or positive effects depend on the plant species, fungal taxa, soil properties and environmental conditions same as mycorrhizal associations (44, 45, 47, 48). Similar to AMF, DSE isolates can stimulate plant and phosphorus growth increase concentration in mycorrhizal and nonmycorrhizal plant species (49). However, there are several reports on negative effect

of this group of fungi on plant growth. In some experiments pathogenic association between DSE and the host plant was observed. In some studies soil or plant inoculation with DSE increased plant mortality (50, 51). Here in our survey DSE colonized *Beta vulgaris* was completely healthy and green. However, to reveal the stimulatory effects of DSE associations with the investigated plants, further research is necessary under experimental conditions.

Borago officinalis from Boraginaceae family and *Eruca sativa Lam* from Brassicaceae family being reported earlier as non-mycorrhizal plants, were recognized as mycorrhizal plants. But *Arctium lappa* from Asteraceae family had not AM symbiosis. These observations may be due to the effect of soil properties on plant root colonization by AM fungi.

The percentage of root colonization by AM fungi and spore numbers had positive relationships with the percentage of sand negative relationships and with the percentage of clay and available phosphorous in soil. These relationships showed that plants are more depended on mycorrhizal symbiosis in hard environments and less productive soils. So the beneficial effects of the application of biofertilizer prepared from AM fungi will be remarkably higher in hard condition for plant growth.

This study showed that spore formation by AM fungi in soil compared to root colonization percentage was more depended on soil properties.

Although the frequency of DSE occurrence in roots of medicinal plants has been reported earlier high, in our study the sclerotia of DSE were only found in 1 non-mycorrhizal plant (*Beta vulgaris*). This

plant was completely healthy and green. However, further research on the accordance and the effects of DSE associations with the investigated plants is suggested.

References

- Zubek S., Błaszkowski J. Medicinal plants as hosts of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and dark septate endophytes. *Phytochemistry Reviews* 2009; 8(3): 571-80.
- (2) Zubek S., Błaszkowski J., Mleczko P. Arbuscular mycorrhizal and dark septate endophyte associations of medicinal plants. *Acta Societatis Botanicorum Poloniae* 2011; 80(4): 285-92.
- (3) Ratti N., Verma HN., Gautam SP. Effect of Glomus species on physiology and biochemistry of Catharantus roseus. *Indian Journal of Microbiology* 2010; 50: 355- 60.
- (4) Oliveira MS., Albuqerque UP., Campos MAS., Silva FSB. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) affects biomolecules content in Myracrodruon urundeuva seedlings. *Industrial Crops and Products* 2013; 50: 244-7.
- (5) Ruiz-Lozano JM. Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis and alleviation of osmotic stress. New perspectives for molecular studies. *Mycorrhiza* 2003; 13(6): 309-17.
- (6) Ruiz-Lozano JM., Collados C., Barea JM., Azcon R. Arbuscular mycorrhizal symbiosis can alleviate drought-in-duced nodule senescence in soybean plants. *New Phytologist* 2001; 151: 493–502.
- (7) Ruiz-Lozano JM., Azcon R., Gomez M. Effects of arbuscular mycorrhizal Glomus species on drought tolerance: physiolog-ical and nutritional plant responses. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology* 1995; 61: 456–60.
- (8) Auge RM., Stodola AJW., Tims JE., Saxton AM. Moisture retention properties of a mycorrhizal soil. *Plant and Soil* 2001; 230: 87– 97.
- (9) Jumpponen A. Dark septate endophytes are they mycorrhizal?. *Mycorrhiza* 2001; 11: 207– 11.

- (10) Gerdemann J., Nicolson T. Spores of mycorrhizal endogone species extracted from soil by wet sieving and decanting. *Transactions* of the British Mycological Society 1963; 46: 235-44.
- (11) Sylvia DM. Vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. Methods of Soil Analysis Part 2: Microbiological and Biochemical Properties SSSA Book series. Madison., WI., USA.: American Society of Agronomy and Soil Science Society of America; 1994. p. 351-78.
- (12) Klute A. Methods of Soil Analysis. Part 1: Physical and Mineralogical Methods. Madison Wiscosin USA.: Soil Science Society of America 1986.
- (13) Sparks DL. *Methods of Soil Analysis.* 3 P., editor. Madison, WI, USA: American Society of Agronomy and Soil Science Society of America; 1996.
- (14) Alef K., Nannipieri P. Methods in Applied Soil Microbiology and Biochemistry. London: Academic Press Harcourt Brace & Company Publishers; 1995.
- (15) Gee GW., Bauder JW. Particle size analysis. In: Klute A, editor. *Method of soil analysis part* 1: *Physical and Mineralogical Methods*. Madison Wisconsin USA: Soil Science Society of America; 1986. p. 383-411.
- (16) Loeppert RH., Suarez GL. Carbonates and Gypsum. In: Sparks DL., editor. *Methods of Soil Analysis Part 3: Chemical Methods*. Madison Wisconsin USA: Soil Science Society of America 1996. p. 437–74.
- (17) 17. Roades JD. Salinity electrical conductivity and total dissolved solids. In: DL S., editor. *Methods of Soil Analysis Part 3: Chemical Methods*. Madison Wisconsin USA.: Soil Science Society of America 1990. p. 417 36.
- (18) Thomas GW. Soil pH and soil activity. In: klute., editor. *Methods of Soil Analysis Part 3: Chemical Methods*. Madision Wislonsin USA: American Society of Agronomy and Soil Science Society of America; 1996. p. 475 – 90.
- (19) Walkley A., Black IA. An examination of the Degtareff method for determining soil organic matter., and a proposed modification of the chromic acid titration method. *Soil Science* 1934; 37: 29–38.
- (20) Bremner JM., Mulvaney CS. Nitrogen-total.

In: Page AL., Miller RH., Keeney DR., editors. *Methods of Soil Analysis., part 2: Chemical and Microbiological Properties.* Madison, WI, USA: American Society of Agronomy; 1982. p. 595-624.

- (21) Bower CA., Reitmeir RF., Fireman M. Exchangeable cation analysis of saline and alkali soils. *Soil Science* 1952; 73: 251-61.
- (22) Olsen SR., Dean LA. Phosphorus. In: Black CA., editor. *Methods of soil analysis*. Madison., Wisconsin USA: American Society of Agronomy; 1965. p 1035-49.
- (23) Anderson JPE., Domsch KH. A physiological method for the quantitative measurement of microbial biomass in soils. *Soil Biology Biochemistrry* 1978; 10: 215–21.
- (24) Eivazi F., Tabatabai MA. Phosphatase in soils. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 1977; 9: 167-72.
- (25) Harley JL., Harley EL. A check-list of mycorrhiza in the British flora. *New Phytologist* 1987; 105(suppl): 1-102.
- (26) Harley JL., Harley EL. A check-list of mycorrhiza in the British Flora—addena., errata and index. *New Phytologist* 1987; 107: 741–9.
- (27) Subba Rao NS. Soil microbiology (Forth edition of soil microorganisms and plant growth) Enfield (NH) USA: SciencePublishers, Inc; 2001.
- (28) Bever JD., Morton JB., Antonovics J., Schultz PA. Host-dependent sporulation and species diversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in a mown grassland. *Journal of Ecology* 1996; 84: 71–82.
- (29) Eom AH., Hartnett DC., Wilson GWT. Host plant effects on arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities in tallgrass prairie. *Mycologia* 2000; 122: 435–44.
- (30) Safari Sinegani AA. Relationships Between land Use and Arbuscular Mycorrhizal (AM) Spore Abundance in Calcareous Soils. *Caspian Journal of Environmental Science* 2006; 4: 59-65
- (31) Douds DD., Millner PD. Biodiversity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in agroecosystems. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 1999; 74: 77–93.
- (32) Tsai SM., Phillips DA. Flavonoids released

naturally from alfalfa promote development of symbiotic Glomus spores in vitro. *Applied Environmental Microbiology* 1991; 57: 1485–8.

- (33) Simon L., Bousquet J., Levesque RC., Lalonde M. Origin and diversification of endomycorrhizal fungi and coincidence with vascular land plants. *Nature* 1993; 363: 67-9.
- (34) Dickson S., Smith FA., Smith SE. Structural differences in arbuscular mycorrhizal symbioses: more than 100 years after Gallaud, where next? *Mycorrhiza* 2007; 17(5): 375-93.
- (35) Smith SE., Read DJ. *Mycorrhizal symbiosis*. 3rd ed. Amster-dam: Academic Press; 2008.
- (36) Wang B., Qiu YL. Phylogenetic distribution and evolution of mycorrhizas in land plants. *Mycorrhiza* 2006; 16: 299-363.
- (37) Nobis A., Blaszkowski J., Zubek S. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi associations of vascular plants confined to river valleys: towards understanding the river corridor plant distribution. *Journal of plant research* 2015; 128(1): 127-37.
- (38) Wubet T., Weiß M., Kottke I., Oberwinkler F. Two threat-ened coexisting indigenous conifer species in the dry Af-romontane forests of Ethiopia are associated with distinct arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal communities. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 2006; 84: 1617-27.
- (39) Zubek S., Błaszkowski J., Seidler-Łoz ykowska K., Baba W., Mleczko P. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi abundance, species richness and composition under the monocultures of five medicinal plants. *Acta Scientiarum Polonorum Hortorum Cultus* 2013; 12: 127–41.
- (40) Zubek S., Turnau K., Błaszkowski J. Arbuscular mycorrhiza of endemic and endangered plants from the Tatra Mts. Acta Societatis Botanicorum Poloniae 2008; 77: 149– 56.
- (41) Błaszkowski J. Glomeromycota. Polish Academy of Sciences, Kraków: W. Szafer Institute of Botany; 2012.
- (42) Błaszkowski J., Kovács GM., Gáspár BK., Balázs TK., Buscot F., Ryszka P. The arbuscular mycorrhizal Paraglomus majewskii sp. nov. represents a distinct basal lineage in Glomeromycota Mycologia 2012; 104: 148–56.
- (43) Błaszkowski J., Tadych M., Madej T.

Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (Glomales, Zygomycota) of the Błe dowska Desert, Poland. *Acta Societatis Botanicorum Poloniae* 2002; 71: 71–85.

- (44) Jumpponen A., Trappe JM. Dark septate endophytes: a review of facultative biotrophic root –colonizing fungi. *New Phytologist* 1998; 140: 295–310.
- (45) Newsham KK. A meta-analysis of plant responses to dark septate root endophytes. *New Phytologist* 2011; 190: 783–93.
- (46) Weishampel PA., Bedfor BL. Wetland dicots and monocots differ in colonization by arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi and dark septate endophytes. *Mycorrhiza* 2006; 16: 495–502.
- (47) Wu L., Lv Y., Meng Z., Chen J., Guo S. The promoting role of an isolate of dark-septate fungus on its host plant Saussurea involucrata Kar. et Kir. *Mycorrhiza* 2010; 20: 127–35.
- (48) Andrade-Linares DR., Grosch R., Restrepo S., Krumbein A., Franken P. Effects of dark septate endophytes on tomato plant performance. *Mycorrhiza* 2011; 21: 413–22.
- (49) Jumpponen A., Trappe JM. Performance of Pinus contorta inoculated with two strains of root endophytic fungus Phialocephala fortinii: effects of resynthesis system and glu-cose concentration. *Canadian Journal of Botany* 1998; 76: 1205–13.
- (50) Stoyke G., Currah RS. Resynthesis in pure culture of a common subalpine fungus-root association using Phialocephala fortinii and Menziesia ferruginea (Ericaceae). Arctic, Antarctic, and Alpine Research 1993; 25: 89–19.
- (51) Wilcox HE., Wang CJK. Mycorrhizal and pathological associations of dematiaceous fungi in roots of 7-month-old tree seedlings. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 1987; 17: 884–9.

بررسی فراوانی قارچهای آربوسکولار میکوریزا در خاک و ریشه گیاهان دارویی باغ بوعلی سینا در همدان، ایران

علی اکبر صفری سنجانی : اســــتاد خاکشناســـی، دانشـــگاه بــــوعلی ســــینا، همـــدان، ایـــران، aa-safari@basu.ac.ir معصـــومه الیاســی یگانــه: کارشـناس ارشـد خاکشناسـی، دانشـگاه بــوعلی ســینا، همــدان، ایـران، liasi_11357@yahoo.com

چکیدہ

مقدمه: بررسی همزیستی میان قارچهای میکوریزی آربوسکولار و گیاهان دارویی بسیار مهم است. آگاهی درباره همزیستی گونههای گیاهان دارویی با قارچهای میکوریزی آربوسکولار در سرزمینهای نیمه خشک ایران بسیار اندک است. این آگاهی میتواند دانش ما را درباره زیست شناسی و بومشناسی این گونه گیاهان افزایش دهد.

مواد و روشها: برای بررسی همزیستی آریوسکولار میکوریزا در ۴۸ گونه گیاهی دارویی (از ۹ خانواده گیاهی) از رنگ آمیزی ریشه بهره گیری شد. خاک پیرامون ریشه هر یک از آنها جداگانه برداشت شد و ویژگیهایی از آن که می تواند بر همزیستی میکوریزی آربوسکولار نقش داشته باشد، آزمایش شد. همچنین همبستگی ویژگیهای گوناگون خاک که بر همزیستی میان گیاهان و قارچهای میکوریزی آربوسکولار و اسپورزایی آنها نقش دارند، بررسی شد.

نتایج: در میان گیاهان بررسی شده گیاهان شاهی، کلم، شببو، خاکشیر، چغندر، اسپناج، پنیرک، قیچ لوبیایی و باباآدم بدون همزیستی میکوریز آربوسکولی در ریشه خود بودند. کلونیزاسیون ریشه و فراوانی اسپور در خاک پیرامون ریشه گیاهان خانوادههای گوناگون ناهمانند بود، به گونهای که در گیاهان چند ساله کمی بیشتر از گیاهان یک ساله بود. از میان ویژگیهای گوناگون خاک، دانه بندی و فسفر فراهم آن بر پیدایش همزیستی و همچنین، بر فراوانی اسپورها در خاک پیامد نمایان تری داشتند.

بحث و نتیجه گیری: اگرچه همانند دیگر پژوهش ها، در بسیاری از گیاهان دارویی خانواده شببو همزیستی میکوریزی دیده نشد، ولی در میان گیاهان این خانواده گونههایی یافت شد که دارای این همزیستی بودند. در گیاهان دارویی بررسی شده وابستگی فراوانی اسپور قارچهای میکوریزی به ویژگیهای خاک بسیار بیشتر از وابستگی درصد میکوریزی شدن ریشه گیاه به ویژگیهای خاک بود. افزایش درصد میکوریزی شدن ریشه گیاهان دارویی و فراوانی اسپور قارچ های میکوریزی با کاهش درصد رس و فسفر فراهم و با افزایش درصد شن خاک نشان می دهد که گیاهان برای رشد کردن در خاک های کم بارور و زیستگاه های دشوار بیشتر به این همزیستی وابسته هستند.

واژدهای کلیدی: آربوسکولار میکوریزا، کلونیزاسیون ریشه، گیاهان دارویی، ویژگیهای خاک

تاریخ دریافت: ۱۳۹۴/۰۴/۲۰ – تاریخ پذیرش: ۱۳۹۵/۰۴/۱۳

^{*} نويسنده مسؤول مكاتبات