

Effects of insect pollinators on onion seed production quality and quantity

Mortaza Rasekh Adel, Hussein Sadeghi Namaghi^{*} and Mojtaba Hosseini

Department of Plant Protection, College of Agriculture, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Iran.

Abstract: To evaluate the effects of presence of insect pollinators on quantity and quality of seeds of two common cultivars of onion (Allium cepa L.), namely Red Azar Shahr and Yellow Sweet Spanish, an experiment in a factorial randomized complete block design was carried out at two sites. Bulbs of equal size were planted with plant to plant 35 cm and row to row 50 cm spacing. At each site, experimental groups differed only in pollination method. About a week before flowering start, at each site 3 groups of 10 individual inflorescences as block replications of each treatment combination (pollination × cultivar) were selected randomly and marked. For each onion cultivar, half of the plants were left uncovered (free pollination), whereas the other ones were isolated from insect pollinators by using wooden cages covered with cloth net. When about 10 percent of black seeds were visibly exposed in the umbels, harvest was done. After drying, the number and weight of seeds produced per inflorescence as well as 1000 seed weight was measured for each treatment combination. Four weeks after the harvesting date the germination test of seeds produced in each treatment combination was evaluated. Non availability of insect pollinators during the flowering period of onion caused substantial reduction on seed number and seed weight per umbel. Also, seeds from free pollination flowers showed higher germination capacity than those obtained in the absence of insect visitors. However, varieties as well as experimental sites did not show significant influences on seed setting of onion.

Keywords: Onion, pollination, seed, Iran

Introduction

Animal pollinators are thought to contribute between 15% and 30% towards global food Production (Rubik, 1995). These products include many fruits, nuts, vegetables and oil seeds as well as meat and dairy product produced by animals raised on insect-pollinated forage. The total economic value of pollination worldwide was estimated to be 153 billion euros in 2005 (Abrol, 2012).

Handling Editor: Dr. Alireza Monfared

*Corresponding author, e-mail: sadeghin@um.ac.ir Received: 16 February 2013; Accepted: 27 July 2013 Among different pollinating agents, insects make a considerable contribution to crop production. Up to 90% of all flowering plants rely on pollination by insects such as bees (Buchmann and Nabhan, 1996). Several scientists have estimated the value of insectpollinated crops that are dependent on honey bees (Morse and Calderone, 2000), or the financial loss to society that could be expected if managed honey bees were removed from cropping systems (Southwick and Southwick, 1992). Losey and Vaughan (2006) found that native pollinators-almost exclusively bees- may be responsible for almost 3.07 billion dollars of fruits and vegetables produced in the United States. In Europe, the income from pollination by honey bees and other insect taxa has been estimated to be 4.25 and 0.75 billion Euros, respectively (Borneck and Merle, 1989). The dependency of fruit setting on insect pollination in many agricultural crops is well studied (Losey and Vaughan, 2006).

Onion is grown in almost every country of the World. To obtain optimum seed yield, growers face some problems. Onion flowers are not capable of self-pollinating. So, the out-crossing becomes more critical due to the protandrous nature of the onion plant (Muller, 1983). The amount of out-crossing may vary from 8 to 71% under different conditions (van Der Meer and van Bennken, 1972). Among the pollinator agents, insects are dominant. Rao and Lazar (1983) studying onion pollination, recorded 9.8% fruit setting without pollinators. Also, onion does not produce good quality seed in the absence of abundant pollinators (Chandel et al., 2004) and loss of bulb yield may be as high as 28%. after three consecutive generations inbreeding. In other words, the presence of pollinators increases quantity and quality of the seeds. Estimates of increased seed set due to pollinators have been made in different parts of the world. An increase of 3.5 to 98.8 times over the control in the seed yield has been reported due to assured pollination by bees in onion crop (Singh, 1997). In Poland, Woyke (1981) in an onion open field experiment found that plots caged without bees, caged with bees and not caged produced 2, 210 and 669 seeds per inflorescence, respectively. In India, Kumar et al., (1989) found greater onion seed set, seed yield and better seed germination from plots caged with bees, than from plots caged without bees and open plots and estimated seed yield of 73, 275, 97 kg/ha, respectively on plots caged without bees, caged with bees and not caged. According to Rao and Sunyanarayana (1989), non-availability of pollinators during the flowering period of onion caused only 17% fruit setting and free availability pollinators increased fruiting up to 73%.

Wilkaniec *et al.*, (2004) found that the red mason bee (*Osmia rufa*) is an effective onion pollinator. According to the latter, the number of seeds per inflorescence, the weight of 1000 seeds and germination capacity were higher when onion flowers were pollinated by red mason bee compared with self pollinated flowers. Accordingly acute deficit of natural pollinators is one of the main reasons of low onion seed yields (Witter and Blochtein, 2003) and explains why attempts to increase the number of pollinating insects on plantations of this plant are still continuing (Mayer and Lunden, 2001).

Onion as a popular vegetable is grown in almost all 31 provinces of Iran, in an area of 50,000 ha. Of these, approximately 300 ha throughout the country are allocated to onion seed production. Depending on a number of factors (e.g., cultivar, date and swing space, etc.) the average seed yield may vary from 828 to 1446 kg/ha (Aminpour and Mortzavi Bak, 2004). However, according to local onion growers the average onion seed yield is estimated to be approximately 500 kg/hectare (Zamani, Pers. communication). This difference may be due to reduction in crop pollination services provided by insects. It is believed that factors such as continuous use of pesticides and decline in natural habitats to some extent are responsible for decreasing the availability of the natural insect pollinators (Saeed et al., 2008). So, to obtain optimum seed yield, seed producers not only should be aware of the effect of pollinating insects and their efficiency in any given area, but also, employ the efficient insect pollinators.

The primary objective of the present study was to throw light on the pollination potential of naturally occurring insects on onion seed production and to determine the most frequent onion flower insect visitors in the study sites.

Materials and Methods

During the season in 2010, the effect of insect pollinators on seed production of two common

cultivars (Red Azar Shahr and Yellow Sweet Spanish) of onion (Allium cepa L.) was evaluated at two sites in a factorial randomized complete block design. The distance between the two sites was 40 kilometers, one at 15 kilometers west of Mashhad (36° 27' N & 59° 57 E) and the other site at 5 kilometers east of Chenaran (36° 27′ N & 59° 07′ E). At each site. 6 groups of 10 bulbs were planted for each cultivar. Bulbs of equal size (about 200g) were planted on 7th April 2010 with 35 cm on rows cm and 50 between rows spacing. Experimental groups differed only pollination method. About a week before the beginning of flowering, three groups of 10 individual inflorescences at each site were selected randomly and marked as block replications of each treatment combination (pollination × cultivar). For each onion cultivar, half of the plants were left uncovered (free pollination), whereas the other ones were isolated from insect pollinators using wooden cages $(1 \times 2 \times 1m)$ covered with cloth net. When about 10 percent of black seeds were visibly exposed in the umbels, harvest was done. Five umbels with a 5- cm stalk were cut from each group, 15 umbels of each treatment combination, placed individually in plastic bags and transferred to the laboratory, and left in disposable dishes to dry. After drying, number and weight of seeds produced per inflorescence as well as 1000 seed weight were determined for each treatment combination. Four weeks after harvesting date, germination of seeds produced in each treatment combination was tested. germination capacity of seeds was evaluated according to the method described by Wilkaniec et al., (2004). To do this, samples of 100 seeds (3 replicates) from each treatment were taken four weeks after harvesting date and incubated in moist chamber at 21 ± 1 °C for 12 days. Sprouted seeds were then counted to determine their germination capacity.

To survey the insect pollinators in each experimental site, a sampling procedure was carried out in the morning (8.00-10.00) and afternoon (16.00-18.00) and five times during

the flowering period, at intervals of 3-5 days. Using a hand net all insects visiting flowers were collected. The collected insects were pinned, labeled with date and site and stored for identification.

Data analyses

Differences in quantity and quality of onion seed production were analyzed by three-way full factorial ANOVA (site × cultivar × pollination treatment). When ANOVA indicated a significant effect (P < 0.05), Fisher's Protected LSD test was used to determine significance between mean values. Prior to ANOVA analyses, the assumptions of normality and homogeneity of variances were evaluated. SAS statistical software, version 9.1, was used for all statistical analyses.

Results

At both sites, the mean numbers of seeds per inflorescence of uncovered onions of the two cultivars differed significantly from the covered ones (Table 1). However, the effects of cultivar and area on seed number per inflorescence were not significant. There were no significant interactive effects of pollination, cultivar and area on seed number per inflorescence (Table 1). For these variables, the effect of block was not significant ($F_{2, 14} = 0.34$, P = 0.71).

There was a significant difference between pollination treatments in terms of seed weight ($F_{1, 14} = 17.9$, P < 0.01), but the effects of site and cultivar on seed weight were not significant ($F_{1, 14} = 0.94$, P = 0.35 & $F_{1, 14} = 1.55$, P = 0.23, respectively). In addition, the interactions between pollination and site ($F_{1, 14} = 0.08$, P = 0.76), pollination and cultivar ($F_{1, 14} = 0.57$, P = 0.48), site and cultivar ($F_{1, 14} = 1.47$, P = 0.25) and pollination and site and cultivar ($F_{1, 14} = 0.02$, P = 0.93) on seed weight were not significant. There was no significant effect of block on seed weight ($F_{2, 14} = 0.55$, P = 0.58).

Table 1 Comparison of the effect of presence/absence of insects as pollinators on quantity and quality of onion seeds. The results of Analysis of Variance on three factors: pollination treatments (Uncovered, Covered), cultivar (Red Azarshahr, Yellow sweet Spanish) and site (Mashhad, Chenaran). Razavi Khorasan province, 2010.

Source of Variation	d. f.	Seed number		1000 seed weight		Seed germination	
Block	2	2	0.71	0.48	0.58	0.56	0.58
Area (A)	1	0.66	0.42	0.38	0.33	3.19	0.09
Variety (V)	1	1.97	0.18	0.18	0.23	1.24	0.27
Pollination (P)	1	15.87	0.007	16.12	0.008	4.2	0.07
$\mathbf{A} * \mathbf{V}$	1	0.15	0.7	1.72	0.97	0.28	0.6
A * T	1	0.61	0.44	1.24	0.48	0.28	0.6
V * T	1	1.42	0.25	2.1	0.24	0.16	0.69
A * V * T	1	0.02	0.95	0.09	0.87	1.58	0.22
Error							14

All comparisons were made using a factorial ANOVA followed by Fisher's Protected LSD, P < 0.05. Significant effects (P < 0.05) are presented in bold.


Open pollinated treatments produced the highest number and weight of seeds as compared with treatments without any insect visitors (Fig. 1a & b). The mean numbers of seeds and their weight per inflorescence of free pollinated plants were 636 ± 42 and 2.21 ± 0.15 g., respectively, while insect exclusive pollination plants produced 173 ± 19 seeds per inflorescence which their mean weight of seeds per inflorescence was 0.57 ± 0.04 g.

The weight of 1,000 seeds obtained from insect inclusive (3.68 ± 0.14) and exclusive (2.71 ± 0.17) flowers was significantly different (Table 1). However, there were no significant influences of either site (Mashhad vs. Chenaran $(3.13 \pm 0.14 \text{ vs. } 3.27 \pm 0.26)$) or cultivar (Red vs. Yellow $(3.24 \pm 0.24 \text{ vs. } 3.17 \pm 0.16)$) on weight of 1000 seeds (Table 1). The interactive effects of pollination, cultivar and area on weight of 1,000 seeds were not significant (Table 1). For this variable, the effect of block was not significant either $(F_2, 14 = 0.48, P = 0.62)$.

ANOVA on seed germination revealed that the seeds of free pollination flowers had higher germination capacity $(79.4 \pm 1.8\%)$

compared with the seeds of flowers pollinated without insects (74 \pm 2.4%), with no significant difference (Table 1). Moreover, the effects of area (Mashhad vs. Chenaran (75 \pm 2% vs. 79 \pm 3%) and cultivar (Red vs. Yellow $(75.7 \pm 2.7\% \text{ vs. } 78.7 \pm 1.65)$ on seed germination capacity were not significant (Table 1). The interactions treatments on seeds weight were (Table 1). There was significant significant effect of block on germination capacity (F $_{2.14} = 0.56$, P = 0.58).

In the study sites a variety of insects were found to visit onion flowers. Among the community of pollinators, hymenopterans (Andrena sp. (Andrenidae), Halictus patellatus 1873), Halictus quadricinctus (Morawitz (Fabricius 1777), (Halictidae), Xylocopa valga (Gerstaecker1872), Amegilla sp. (Apidae), and dipterans (Episyrphus balteatus deGeer. Eupeodes corollae, Sphaerophoria scripta, (Syrphidae), Eristalinus aeneus Musca domestica L. (Muscidae) were the most frequent visitors. Part of the community of pollinators of onion has already been published (Rasekh Adel et al., 2012).






Figure 1 The effects of presence/ absence of insects on onion seed number (a) and weight (b) per inflorescence (Mean \pm SE) in different treatment combinations. Two treatments (Uncovered and Covered); Two sites (Mashhad and Chenaran); two cultivars (Red Azarshar and Yellow sweet Spanish), in Razavi Khorasan province, 2010. Factorial ANOVA, followed by Fisher's Protected LSD, P < 0.05. * P < 0.05; ns, non-significant difference.

Discussion

This experiment highlighted the value of insects in seed production of onion in the study area. According to the obtained results, the average increased seed production in the open pollinated treatment was 74%. In other words, in the absence of insect pollinators, the expected onion seed yield per hectare would be around 130 kg as compared to 500 kg/ ha contributed by insects. The results of this study are in agreement with other works (e.g., Jablonski *et al.*, 1982; Tolon and Duman, 2003; Ahmad *et*

al., 2003; Kumar et al., 1989; Rao and Lazar, 1983), and confirmed that the presence of insects during flowering period has substantial impact on onion seed setting. In this study, the number of seeds and weights of 1000 seeds in treatment without pollinating insects were significantly less than those of the free pollinated treatment. Similarly, Ahmad et al., (2003) found that reduced or limited visiting of pollinators caused 50-61% reduction in seed production of onion. Also, Rao and Lazar (1983) observed only 9.8% seed setting in onion in cages. Moreover, Kumar et al., (1989) recorded three times more yield in open pollinated treatment than the closed one.

Several factors have been suggested to influence onion pollination by insects. For example, as attractive flowering plants (including crops and weeds) in neighboring areas may pull away insect pollinators from plantations of flowering onion, it is suggested that part of the problem of the reduction in seed setting can be compensated by increasing the number of pollinators and decreasing the side effect of grown crops and number of sprays during flowering. Ahmad et al., (2003) claim that proper visiting of pollinators could increase seed production up to 50% by minimizing the side grown attractive crops. Also, completing the spraying schedule before onset of flowering or application of insecticides in the evening could minimize the hazardous effect of insecticides on pollinators. In addition, spraying onion plants with some insect attractants may result in a higher rate of insects visiting flowers and consequently increase the percentage of flower set and lower the number of aborted flowers. In an experiment, Al-Sahaf (2002) found that spraying of rose water on the inflorescence, vegetative part, or whole plant increased the number of visiting insects to the flowers and the insects spent longer periods foraging on or grooming the umbel, so the flower set percent was increased.

In this study, free pollination flowers showed higher seed germination capacity than those isolated from insect visitors. This result is in agreement with earlier finding by Tolon and Duman (2003), who reported that germination capacity and energy are higher when onion flowers are pollinated by honey bees. However, in our study difference of germination capacity between pollination treatments was not so much as those reported by other workers. For example, Chandel *et al.*, (2004) reported that the difference in the onion seed germination capacity derived from plantations where pollinating insects were introduced compared with plantations where they were absent was over 20%.

In the present study, varieties as well as experimental sites did not show significant influence on seed setting of onion. Our results are supported by Ahmad *et al.*, (2003) who found no significant difference in attraction of pollinators to the four varieties of onion. However, varieties possessing higher concentration of nectar in flowers are more attractive to insect pollinators which in turn could result in a better seed setting.

Several studies have reported honeybees as the most frequent visitors of onion bloom (e.g., Bohart *et al.*, 1970; Priti, 1998). However, in this study the abundance of honey bees was not high when compared with leaf cutting bees (Megachilidae) and yellow jacket wasps (Vespidae). This may be explained by the fact that onions are not favorites of honey bees because onion nectar is high in potassium, and the nectar tends to increase in viscosity as temperature rises, so bees prefer to forage on alternative nectar sources if available (Voss *et al.*, 1999).

In conclusion, our results confirmed the positive role of insect visitors to onion flowers on seed production. Judging from our results, increased investment in the conservation of the insects providing such a service is justified. Moreover, detailed studies on the efficiency of each of the main insect pollinators of onion, and how to enhance the onion pollination are needed.

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Dr. Michener (University of Kansas, Natural History Museum) for his help with

identification of some insect pollinators collected in this study. The authors are also grateful to Radjabali Ghadrdan at Mashhad and Asghar Dokhaton at Chenaran who provided field facilities to carry out the experiments. This research was part of M. Sc. thesis of the first author which was carried out at Ferdowsi University of Mashhad.

References

- Abrol, D. P. 2012. Pollination Biology: Biodiversity Conservation and Agricultural Production. Springer Dordrecht Heidelberg London New York.
- Ahmad, S., Muhammad, T., Karim, A., Jabbar, A., and Ahmad, Gh. 2003. Effect of pollinators and insecticides on seed setting of onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Journal of Biological Science, 3 (3): 305-308.
- Al-Sahaf, F. H. 2002. Effect of planting method and rose water spray on seed production in onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Emirate Journal of Agricultural Science, 14: 14-23.
- Aminpour, R. and Mortzavi Bak, A. 2004. Effect of planting date and intra-row spacing on seed yield and germination of onion (*Allium cepa* L. cv.Texas Early Grano 502. Pajouhesh & Sazandegi, 62: 64-69.
- Bohart, G. E., Nye, W. P. and Hawthorn, L. R. 1970. Onion pollination as affected by different levels of pollinator activity. Utah Agricultural Experiment Station Bulletin. p. 482.
- Borneck, R. and Merle, B. 1989. Essaie d'une evaluation de l'incidence economique de l'abeillie pollinisatrice dans l'agriculture europenne. Apicata 24: 33-38.
- Buchmann, S. L. and Nabhan, G. P. 1996. The forgotten pollinators. Island Press, Washington D C.
- Chandel, R. S., Thakur, R. K, Bhardwaj, N. R., and Pathania, N. 2004. Onion seed crop pollination: a missing dimension in mountain horticulture. Acta Horticulturae, 631: 79-86.
- Helmholtz Association of German Research Centres. 2008. Economic Value of insect pollination. Science Daily.

- Jablonski, B., Skowronek, J., Woyke, H. W., and Doruchowski, R. W. 1982. Biologia kwitnienia, nektarowanie, zapylanie i owocowanie meskosterylnych linii cebuli (*Allim cepa* L.). Pszczelnicze-Zeszyty-Naukowe, 26: 57-104.
- Kumar, J.R., Mishra, C., and Gupta, J. K. 1989. Effect of honey bee pollination on onion (*Allium cepa* L.) seed production. Indian Bee Journal, 51 (1): 3-5.
- Losey, J. and Vaughan, M. 2006. The economic value of ecological services provided by Insects. Bioscience, 56 (4): 311-323.
- Mayer D. F. and Lunden, J. D. 2001. Honey bee management and wild bees for pollination of hybrid onion seed. Acta Horticulturae, 561: 275-278.
- Morse R.A. and Calderone, NW. 2000. The value of honey bees as pollinators of U. S. crops. Bee Culture, 128:1–15.
- Muller, H. 1983. The fertilization of flowers. Macmillian, London.
- Priti, C. 1998. Abundance and Pollination efficiency of insect visitors of onion bloom. Indian Bee Journal, 60 (2): 75-78.
- Rao, G. M. and Lazar, M. 1983. Studies on bee behavior and pollination in onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Apiculture in Tropical Climates, New Delhi, 3: 580-589.
- Rao, G. M. and Suryanarayana, M. C. 1989. Effect of honeybee pollination on seed yield in onion (*Allium cepa* L.). Indian Bee Journal, 50: 9-11.
- Rasekh Adel, M., Sadeghi Namaghi, H., and Hosseini, M. 2012. Biodiversity of Apoidea (Insecta: Hymenoptera) associated with onion and alfalfa fields in Mashhad and Chenaran Regions. Iranian Journal of Plant Protection Science, 43 (1): 191-199 (in Persian with abstract in English).
- Roubik, D. W. 1995. Pollination of cultivated plants in the tropics. FAO, Rome.
- Saeed, S., Saggad, A., Kwon, O. and Kwon, Y. J. 2008. Fidelity of Hymenoptera and

- Diptera pollinators in onion (*Allium cepa* L.) pollination. Entomological Research, 38: 276-280.
- SAS. Version 9.1. 2003. SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC.
- Singh, Y. 1997. Role of honeybees in farm production, agricultural growth and rural reconstruction in India. Indian Bee Journal, 59 (1): 24-30.
- Southwick E. E., and Southwick, L. 1992. Estimating the economic value of honey bees (Hymenoptera: Apidae) as agricultural pollinators in the United States. Journal of Economic Entomology, 85: 621-633.
- Tolon, B. and Duman, Y. 2003. The effect of pollination by honeybee (*Apis mellifera*) on onion (*Allim cepa*) seed production and quality. XXXVIII Apimondia Ineternational Apicultural Congres, 554.
- Zamani, S. Sale manager of Anbari Agricultural seeds Company. N0. 477, Tohid sq. Mashhad, Iran.
- Van Der Meer, Q. P. and van Bennekom, J. L. 1972. Influence of the environment on the percentage of self-fertilization in onion and some consequences for breeding. Euphytica, 21: 45-453.
- Voss R. E., Murray M., Bradford K., Mayberry K. S. and Miller, I. 1999. Onion Seed Production in California. University of California Division of Agriculture and Natural Resources Publication, 8008: 1-10.
- Wilkaniec, Z., Giejdasz, K. and Proszynski, G. 2004. Effect of pollination of onion seeds under isolation by the red mason bee (*Osmia rufa* L.) (Apoidea, Megachilidae) on the setting and quality of obtained seeds. Journal of Apicultural Science, 48 (2): 35-41.
- Witter, S. and Blochtein, B. 2003. Effect of pollination by bees and other insects on the production of onion seeds. Pesquisa Agropecuaria Brasileria, 38 (12): 1399-1407.
- Woyke, H. W. 1981. Some aspects of the role of the honeybee in onion seed production in Poland. Acta Horticulture, 111: 91-98.

تأثیر حشرات گرده افشان بر کمیت و کیفیت تولید بذر پیاز

مرتضى راسخ عادل، حسين صادقى * و مجتبى حسينى

گروه گیاه پزشکی دانشکده کشاورزی دانشگاه فردوسی مشهد، ایران. * پست الکترونیکی نویسنده مسئول مکاتبه: sadeghin@um.ac.ir دریافت: ۲۸ بهمن ۱۳۹۱؛ پذیرش: ۵ مرداد ۱۳۹۲

چکیده: بهمنظور ارزیابی تأثیر وجود حشرات گردهفشان بر کمیت و کیفیت بذر تولیدی دو رقم پیاز رایج به نامهای قرمز آذرشهر و زرد شیرین اسپانیا، آزمایشی در قالب طرح بلوکهای کامل تصادفی در دو مکان اجرا گردید. غدههای پیاز هموزن بهفواصل ۳۵ سانتیمتر بین بوتهها و ۵۰ سانتیمتر بین ردیفها کاشته شدند. تیمارهای این آزمایش در هر مکان فقط از لحاظ شیوه گردهافشانی متفاوت بودند. حدود یک هفته قبل از شروع گلدهی، در هر مکان ۳ گروه ۱۰تایی گل آذین بهعنوان تکرارهای هر تیمار ترکیبی (گردهافشانی در رقم) بهطور تصادفی انتخاب و علامتگذاری شدند. برای هر رقم پیاز، نصف بوتهها توسط پارچه توری ظریف و با استفاده از قفسهای چوبی پوشیده شده و بقیه بدون پوشش و در معرض بازدید آزاد حشرات قرار داده شدند. هنگامی که در هر گل آذین حدود ۱۰ درصد بذور سیاه دیده شدند برداشت انجام گرفت. پس از خشک شدن بذور، تعداد و وزن بذر تولیدی به ازاء هر گل آذین اجرا گردید. عدم حضور حشرات گردهافشان در دوره گلدهی تأثیر چشمگیری در کاهش تعداد و وزن بذر تولیدی در هر گل آذین داشت. علاوه بر این، بذر حاصل از گلهایی که آزادانه مورد بازدید حشرات گردهافشان قرار گرفتند در مقایسه با گلهایی که از بازدید حشرات محروم بودند درصد جوانهزنی بالاتری داشتند. در این آزمایش مکان و واریته تأثیر معنیداری بر بذردهی پیاز نداشتند.

واژگان کلیدی: پیاز، گردهافشانی، بذر، ایران