J. Crop Prot. 2015, 4 (1): 43-57_

Research Article

Pantoea agglomerans ENA1 as A Biocontrol Agent of Macrophomina phaseolina And Growth Enhancement of Soybean

Yalda Vasebi, Azizollah Alizadeh and Naser Safaie*

Department of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Islamic Republic of Iran.

Abstract: On the basis of preliminary *in vitro* screening tests, a competent strain of *Pantoea agglomerans* ENA1 (P. agg. ENA1) recovered from soybean nodule was evaluated for its antagonistic activity against *Macrophomina phaseolina* caused charcoal rot of soybean. The results of various *in vitro* assays showed that P. agg. ENA1 is capable of exerting strong antagonistic effect against *M. phaseolina* inhibiting its mycelial growth up to 89% as compared to control. The results showed a significant reduction of the disease as measured in host-plant weight increase, reduced microsclerotial coverage of the host tissues and decreased population of the pathogen in soil. Soils treated with the antagonist in presence of the pathogen resulted in 40% increase in aerial fresh weight and 63% decrease in root and stem surface covered by microsclerotia as compared with control. Furthermore, a significant decrease in the pathogen population ranging from 73 to 76% was observed in sterile and non-sterile soils, respectively. Providing excellent rhizosphere colonization and control against *M. phaseolina* by P. agg. ENA1 was proved it as a potent biocontrol agent.

Keywords: *Macrophomina phaseolina*, biocontrol, soybean, *Pantoea agglomerans*, antifungal metabolite

Introduction

Macrophomina phaseolina (Tassi) Goid is a soil- and seed-borne polyphagous pathogen with an exceptionally broad host range. This causes charcoal rot and various rots and blight of more than 500 crop species of monocots and dicots (Dhingra and Sinclair, 1977; Sinclair and Backman, 1989). This pathogen is a serious problem of soybean, *Glycine max* (L.) Merr, in Golestan province of Iran.

M. phaseolina causes damage by plugging or rotting of vascular issue in roots and lower stems or stalks (Frederiksen, 1986; Sinclair and Back-

man, 1989) and heavily infected plants die prematurely due to the production of fungi toxins e.g. phaseolinone (Bhattacharya et al., 1994; Ndiaye, 2007). Microsclerotia in soil, host roots and stems are the main surviving propagates. They can survive for 2-15 years depending on environmental conditions (Cook et al., 1973; Papavizas, 1977; Dhingra and Sinclair, 1978; Baird et al., 2003). There are few strategies for control of charcoal rot in soybean. The main aim of the described control methods is to reduce the number of inoculums in soil or to minimize the contact of inoculums with hosts (Ndiaye, 2007). Few resistant genotypes were found, although the rates of pathogen in colonization maybe different among soybean cultivars (Pearson et al., 1984; Smith and Carvil, 1997). Crop rotation has little effects as a control tactic for charcoal rot because this fungus has a wide host range (Mihail, 1992). Irrigation at any time during the

Handling Editor: Dr. Mohammad Reza Eslahi

^{*} Corresponding author, e-mail: nsafaie@modares.ac.ir Received: 11 June 2014, Accepted: 14 September 2014 Published online: 29 September 2014

cropping season reduces disease infection in soybean (Kendig et al., 2000) and one summer irrigation was sufficient to reduce the population of M. phaseolina by 25-42% (Lodha and Solanki, 1992; Lodha, 1995). In general, recommended chemicals and seed conversation are not efficient in controlling charcoal rot disease under field condition because the crop is vulnerable to pathogen attack at any growth stage (Pearson et al., 1984; Singh and Kaiser, 1995). Thus, several studies have considered using of biocontrol agent against of M. phaseolina. PGPR promote plant growth directly or indirectly via biological control of pathogens, production of phytohormones and antagonistic activity by antibiosis, hyperparasitism and competition for nutrients and space (Chet et al., 1990; Whipps, 1992; Handelsman and Stabb, 1996; Shoda, 2000). Several strains have suppressed M. phaseolina in other host under in vitro or field conditions. These include Bacillus subtilis (Siddique and Mahmood, 1993), Bacillus spp. (Omar et al., 2013), Rhizobium meliloti (Arora et al., 2001). Bradvrhizobium sp. (Deshwal et al., 2003) and Paenibacillus sp. HKA-15 (Senthilkumar et al., 2007).

In present work, we studied the plant growth promoting and antifungal activities of Pantoea Erwinia agglomerans (syn: herbicola, Enterobacter agglomerans) on soybean charcoal rot. Unfortunately, a little attention has been given to the potential value of these bacteria for controlling of soil-borne plant disease fungi such as M. phaseolina. Some of reports include antagonistic effects of P. agglomerans against Fusarium culmorum and Puccinia recondita f.sp. tritici (Kempf and Wolf, 1989), Rhizoctonia solani (Chernin et al., 1995), Botrytis cinerea and Penicillium expansum (Bryk et al., 1998; Nunes et al., 2001; Morales et al., 2008), Fusarium moniliforme (Hebbar et al., 1992a), Penicillium digitatum (Plaza et al., 2004), Monilinia laxa (Franc'es et al., 2006) and Aspergillus flavus (Kotan et al., 2009).

Materials and Methods

Isolation and Identification of M. phaseolina

During 2006, 11 isolates of *M. phaseolina* (M21, M16, M13, MK1, ML1, MA1, MS1,

MN1, MB1, MT1 and MG1) were isolated from diseased soybean plants of eight regions of Golestan province, Iran (Aghghala, Lemesk, Sarkalateh. Toskestan, Kafshgiry, Nasrabad, Khanbebin and Kordkoy). Isolates were maintained on Potato Dextrose Agar (PDA). All cultures were incubated at 28 °C in darkness and identified with morphological characters as M. phaseolina and confirmed by species specific primers MpKF1 (5'-CTCAAACAGGCATGCTC-3') and MpKR1 (5'-AGCAATAGTTGGTGGA-3') (Babu et al., 2007). The pathogenicity of M. phaseolina isolates was determined on William's soybean cultivar in greenhouse (Vasebi, 2008). Isolate of M. phaseolina M21 was determined as the most virulent.

Isolation of Bacteria

Two healthy soybean plants were collected from Aghghala fields in Golestan province, Iran in 2006. The root nodules of soybean were sterilized with 2% NaOCI for 20 secand, rinsed in sterile distilled water (4 times, 3 min). Nodules were crashed and streaked on Nutrient Agar Medium (NA). The dishes were incubated at 26 °C for 48 h. All bacterial colonies had the same morphology. Five colonies were selected and introduced as ENA1, ENA2, ENA3, ENA4 and ENA5. These colonies were purified and maintained on NA at 4 °C. The isolates were characterized following morphological, physiological and biochemical parameters (Schaad *et al.*, 2001).

Selection of Antagonists

Antagonistic activity of bacterial strain was tested against *M. phaseolina* by using dual culture technique. A suspension of each bacterial strain (10^9 cfu/ml) was placed in a circular culture around the Petri dishes (9 cm) containing fresh PDA. After 24 and 72 hours of bacterial growth, a 3-day-old PDA plug of *M. phaseolina* with mycelium and microsclerotia were placed at the center of Petri dishes. Distilled water was placed in the circular culture of control dishes. The dishes were incubated at 28 °C until growth of *M. phaseolina* reached the circular culture of the control dishes. The percent of *M.*

Vasebi et al. _____

phaseolina inhibition growth by bacterial strains was calculated using the formula (1) during three days.

(1) $IG = ((C-T)/C) \times 100$

Where IG was percentage of growth inhibition, and C and T were radial growth in control and treatment respectively.

Antibiotic Production

Production of antibiotic was determined by Kraus and Lopper (1990) method. The Petri dishes were incubated at 28 °C for three days. The examination was done with three replications in completely randomized design. The percent inhibition of mycelial growth was calculated by formula (1).

Volatile Production

Production of volatile metabolites was estimated by the method of Fernando *et al.* (2005). Petri dishes were incubated at 28 °C for three days. The *M. phaseolina* growth inhibition was compared with control using mentioned formula after three days.

Extra Cellular Metabolite Production

Another set of inhibition assay was performed with cell-free culture filtrate (CFCF) of the bacteria (Singh and Deverella, 1984). Log phase culture of bacteria strain were produced in TSB medium (Triptych Soy Broth) incubated for 24 h. Spent medium was collected by centrifugation at 6000 g for 20 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was collected and passed through 0.22 um Millipore filter. 15 ml of sterile PDA 45-50 °C was mixed with 5ml of cell-free culture filtrate antagonist. One 3-old mycelium disc (5 mm dia) of M. phaseolina was placed at the center of dishes and incubated at 28 °C for three days. A similar experiment was done for nonantagonistic bacteria CFCF as control. After three days the pathogen growth inhibition was compared with control.

Siderophore Production

Siderophore production was estimated by the modified method of Alexander and Zubrer

(1991) using CAS-agar medium (Chrome Azural Agar). A loop of bacterial suspension (10^9 cfu/ml) was placed at the center of Petri dishes. The dishes were incubated at 26 °C for four days. After these time the production of orange halo around the bacteria colonies were evaluated.

IAA Production

Two drops of *o*-phosphoric acid were added to 2 ml of cell-free culture filtrate of antagonist isolate. An antagonist isolate with ability of IAA production was used as positive control. Appearance of pink color was indicative of IAA production (Gupta *et al.*, 2002).

Prepare of Mutant Isolate

To determine the population dynamics of antagonist during greenhouse experiments, antibiotic resistant mutant was obtained. A Rifampicin and Nalidixic acid-resistant (200 μ g ml⁻¹) strain was selected by passing the antagonist isolate from the lowest to highest concentrations (5-10-20-50-100-135-150-175 and 200 μ gml⁻¹) of Rifampicin and Nalidixic acid on NA medium. Resistance of mutant to antibiotics was confirmed by culturing in NB without antibiotics (10 times) and transferred it to the NA medium containing antibiotics.

Select of Fungicide

Maneb (wp 80%), tiabendazol (wp 60%) and captan (wp 75%) fungicides were used against *M. phaseolina in vitro*. Four concentrations of these fungicides (0.5, 1, 1.5 and 2 g l⁻¹) were prepared in PDA medium and a 3-day-old plug of pathogen culture placed at center of Petri dishes. PDA without fungicide served as control for each of fungicides. The Petri dishes were incubated at 28 °C for five days and evaluated for growth of *M. phaseolina*.

Evaluation of Antibiotic Encoding Genes in *P. agglomerans*

For detecting pyrrolnitrin-encoding genes in wild type and mutant isolates of antagonist, specific primers PrnAR (5TGCCGGTTCGCGAGCCAGA-3) and PrnAF (5' -GTGTTCTTCGACTTCCT- 3) were used in polymerase change reaction (PCR) (Zhang, 2004).

Greenhouse Tests

The plastic pots $(17 \times 20 \times 20)$ were filled with sterile or non-sterile sand soils, prelate and peat moss (1:1:1). Inoculums of M. phaseolina were prepared by growing the pathogen on rice grains. The grains were soaked in distilled water, autoclaved twice (121 °C for 45 min) and inoculated with three agar discs (5 mm dia) of 5-old-day pathogen culture. Flasks (250 ml) were incubated at 28 ± 1 °C in dark for 15 days. Then the inoculums were mixed with soil (10 g kg⁻¹ soil) completely. Four soybean seedlings with three leaves grown on peat moss were placed at each pot. The pots were kept at 25-33 °C and allowed to grow up to 100 days. Bacterial strains were applied in soil as suspension. Antagonists were grown in 250 ml NB (Nutrient Broth) at 26 °C for 48 h with shaking at 150 rpm. The cells were harvested and adjusted to 10^9 cfu ml⁻¹ (125 ml, 10^9 cfu ml⁻¹). 125ml of both strain and fungicide were added to pots every 14 days after planting.

There were 16 treatments in each experiment with three replications, which included: a) control; b) pathogen; c) wild type antagonist; d) mutant antagonist; e) pathogen with wild type antagonist; f) pathogen with mutant antagonist; g) fungicide; h) pathogen with fungicide in sterile and non-sterile soils. Variables such as: root and aerial fresh and dry weight (gr); and percentage of microsclerotial coverage percent on roots and stems were estimated. The experiment was conducted twice during 2007-2008 in randomized complete block design. Data were analyzed by MSTATC to evaluate the efficiency of biocontrol treatments.

Monitoring of Introduced Antagonist and Pathogen

Evaluation of population dynamics of biocontrol agent and pathogen was done via sampling of soybean rhizosphere containing root hairs every seven days after applying of antagonist suspension and fungicide. Antagonist population was counted using serial dilution method on NA medium containing Rifampicin and Nalidixic Acid. Nutrient agar medium without antibiotics was used as control. The plates were kept at 26 °C for 72 h. For monitoring of pathogen Rose Bengal medium containing 200ppm Chloramphenicol was prepered. Petri dishes were incubated at 28-30 °C for 48 h. The population of antagonist and pathogen (cfu/gr soil) were counted after these times.

Results

Characterization of Bacteria Strain

All isolates that have been introduced from ENA1 to 5, were identified as *Pantoea agglomerans* (= *Erwinia herbicola*) based on standard tests according to Schaad *et al.* 2001 (Table 1). The ENA1 isolate was selected for *in vitro* and *in vivo* experiments.

In Vitro Experiments

In dual culture test ENA1 reduced growth of the pathogen more than 43 and 62% in 24 and 72 hours tests, respectively 3 days after experiment. In antibiotic production test on solid media, ENA1 inhibited the mycelial growth of M. phaseolina more than 89%. P. agglimerans ENA1cell-free culture filtrate reduced the pathogen growth 12%. Volatile metabolites produced by ENA1 and inhibited the growth of *M. phaseolina* more than 34.5%. Siderophore production by the antagonistic strain was detected by observing orange zone around the bacterial colonies on CAS-agar medium. The 24-hour-old culture of ENA1 showed an orange halo with 23.8 mm diameters after 4 days (Table 2). Results showed that P. agglomerans ENA1 didn't able to produce IAA in presence of α phosphoric acid in compared to control.

Evaluation and detection of pyrrolnitrin antibiotic encoding genes in wild type and mutant (Rifampicin and Nalidixic acid-resistant) strains of *P. agglomerans* ENA1 showed that both of them had the desired genes and a fragment of 1050 bp was amplified in wild type and mutant isolate by PrnAR/PrnAF specific primers (Fig. 1).

Vasebi et al. _

Table 1 Morphological, physiological and biochemical characteristics of *Pantoea agglomerans* ENA1, ENA2, ENA3, ENA4 and ENA5 isolated from soybean nodules.

Characteristics	ENA	Characteristics	ENA
Gram positive	-	Nitrate reduction	+
Grows anaerobi- cally	+	Gelatin liquification	+
Fluorescent pigment on KB	-	Motility	+
Spores formed	-	Urease	-
Aerial mycelium	-	Oxidase	-
Tobacco hypersen- sitivity	-	Utilization of Citrate	+
Yellow pigment	+	Acid production from:	
Yellow pigment on YDC	-	Arabinose	+
Taupe pigment on YDC	-	Lactose	+
Growth at 37 °C	+	Maltose	+
H ₂ S from cysteine	+	Raffinose	+
Indole production	-	Sorbitol	

+: positive reaction; -: negative reaction.

In vitro selection of an effective fungicide against *M. phaseolina* and control of soybean charcoal rot shown that maneb in all applied concentrations completely inhibited the pathogen mycelial growth (100%) but tiabendazol and captan didn't reduce mycelia growth of pathogen in all of their concentration. Thus 1 g Γ^1 concentration of maneb was used in greenhouse experiments.

In Vivo Studies

The treatment of wild type strain in the presence of *M. phaseolina* resulted in increasing 40% of soybean aerial fresh weight in sterile soil compared with control after 100 days of planting (Fig. 2). The effects of wild type and mutant strains on root and stem microsclerotial coverage in sterile and non-sterile soils were similar to the maneb fungicide treatment. The wild type strain in sterile and non-sterile soils decreased microsclerotial coverage of *M. phaseolina* 62.5 and 73%, respectively. The mutant strain decreased root and stem microsclerotial coverage 50 and 82% in sterile and non-sterile soils, respectively.

High percent decreasing of microsclerotial coverage on soybean root and stem in nonsterile soil in presence of P. agglomerans ENA1 showed that the other soil microorganisms had positive antagonistic effects in combination with P. agglomerans ENA1 against M. phaseolina. On the other hand, maneb decreased the microsclerotial coverage of M. phaseolina in sterile (87.5%) and non-sterile (73%) soils compared to control (Table 3). In the presence of antagonist, the population of M. phaseolina within 49 days decreased 73% and 76% in sterile and non-sterile soils, respectively. Maneb reduced the population of pathogen by 57 and 60% in sterile and non-sterile soils, respectively. The statistical analysis showed non-significant difference between antagonist and maneb effects on population dynamics of M. phaseolina (Tables 4 and 5; Fig. 3). Bacterial effects on plant growth factors were similar to theirs on population dynamic and microsclerotial formation of pathogen in rhizosphere and on soybean roots.

Table 2 Inhibition of mycelial growth of Macrophomina phaseolina in vitro assays by Pantoea agglomerans

 ENA1 and production of siderophore.

	Dual culture		Dual culture		Dual culture		Dual culture		Dual culture Antibiotic Volat		Extra-cellular	Siderophore	
	24h	72h	production	metabolite	metabolite	production							
ENA1	43%* 62%**		89%**	34.5%**	12%**	23.8 (mm)							
Control	0%		0%	0%	0%	0 (mm)							

Data are the means of three replicates. **: p < 0.01



Figure 1 Agarose gel electerophoresis of PCR-amplified gene coding pyrrolnitrin antibiotic in wild type of Pantoea agglomerans ENA1 and its derivative mutant. L: 1 kb DNA lader; ENw: wild type isolate; ENm: mutant isolate; C: non-antagonist bacteria isolate (control).



Figure 2 Effects of Pantoea agglomerans ENA1 on aerial parts and roots of soybean alone and in presence of Macrophomina phaseolina in greenhouse experiment. ENA1: P. agglomerans ENA1, P: M. phaseolina.

Table 3 Effects of wild type and mutant strains of Pantoea agglomerans ENA1 and maneb fungicide alone and in combination with Macrophomina phaseolina on soybean growth factors in sterile and non-sterile soils in greenhouse assays after 100 days.

Treatment	FRW	FAW	DRW	DAW	МС
C/S	38.5 a	168 ab	6.3 ab	60 a	-
C/NS	27.15 bc	131 bc	5.7 bc	44 ab	-
P/S	15.92 defg	112 c	3.2 g	37 b	53.3% ab
P/NS	19.43 cdefg	132 bc	4.3 defg	42 ab	73.3% a
Nw/S	22.95 cdef	181 a	5.3 bcd	49 ab	-
Nw/NS	24.47 bcde	156 ab	5.4 bcd	43 ab	-
Nw/P/S	12.43 g	156 ab	3.3 fg	51 ab	20% bc
Nw/P/NS	15.2 efg	136 bc	3.6 fg	39 b	20% bc
Nm/S	21 cdefg	157 ab	4.4 def	49 ab	-
Nm/NS	21.12 cdefg	167 ab	4.3 defg	52 ab	-
Nm/P/S	14 fg	142 abc	4 efg	42 ab	26.6% bc
Nm/P/NS	13.83 fg	146 abc	3.7 fg	36 b	13.3% bc
F/S	27.43 bc	144 abc	5.9 abc	43 ab	-
F/NS	33.2 ab	140 bc	7 a	41 b	-
F/P/S	26.28 bc	128 bc	5.9 abc	38 b	6.6% c
F/P/NS	25.27 bcd	134 bc	5 cde	38 b	20% bc

Different letters in the same column indicate significant differences between means using Fisher's LSD test (p < 0.05). Data are the means of three replicates. FRW: Fresh Root Weight; DRW: Dry Root Weight; FAW: Fresh Aerial part Weight; DAW: Dry Aerial part Weight; MC: Microsclerotial Coverage; Nw: wild type strain; Nm: mutant strain; P: pathogen; S: sterile soil; NS: non sterile soil; C: control.

Vasebi et al. _____

Table 4 Population of *Pantoea agglomerans* ENA1 in soybean rhizosphere compared with detectable total bacterial population alone and in combination with *Macrophomina phaseolina* in sterile and non sterile soil during 49 days.

	Population of bacteria in rhizosphere of soybean in sterile soil (CFU g ⁻¹ soil)									
Treatment	1		2		3		4			
	А	Т	Α	Т	Α	Т	Α	Т		
P. agglomerans	$7.2 \times 10^{7*}$	9.4×10^7	$1 \times 10^{7**}$	10.2×10^{7}	$0.35 imes 10^{7*}$	13×10^{7}	$0.86 \times 10^{7**}$	$7.9 imes 10^7$		
P. agglomerans + M. phaseolina	$1.7 \times 10^{7**}$	18.2×10^7	$0.5 \times 10^{7**}$	11.7×10^{7}	$0.41 \times 10^{7**}$	16×10^{7}	$0.45 \times 10^{7**}$	$8.4 imes 10^7$		
Population of bacteria in rhizosphere of soybean in non sterile soil (CFU g ⁻¹ soil)										

P. agglomerans	$0.71\times 10^{7*}$	8.6×10^7	$0.46 imes 10^{7**}$	8.7×10^7	$0.37 \times 10^{7**}$	7.7×10^{7}	$0.54 \times 10^{7**}$	5.9×10^7
P. agglomerans + M. phaseolina	$2.5 \times 10^{7**}$	12.12×10^{7}	$0.86 \times 10^{7**}$	$9.8 imes 10^7$	$0.23 \times 10^{7**}$	7.37×10^{7}	$0.3 imes 10^{7**}$	5×10^7

Data are the means of three replicates. *CFU*: Colony-forming unit. A: antagonist (*P.agglomerans* ENA1); T: total bacteria; 1, 2, 3, 4: Detachment periods (every 14 days). *: p < 0.05; **: p < 0.01.

Table 5 Population dynamics of *Macrophomina phaseolina* in soybean rhizosphere alone and in presence of antagonist (*Pantoea agglomerans* ENA1) and fungicide (maneb) in sterile and non sterile soils (CFU g^{-1} soil).

Population of <i>Macrophomina phaseolina</i> (CFU g ⁻¹ soil)								
Treatment	ıt 1		2		3		4	
	S ^{ns}	NS ^{ns}	\mathbf{S}^*	\mathbf{NS}^{*}	\mathbf{S}^{*}	\mathbf{NS}^{*}	\mathbf{S}^{*}	\mathbf{NS}^{*}
M.phaseolina	13.3×10^{305}	6.3×10^{3} ms	$26.6 \times 10^{3**}$	$8.6 \times 10^{3^{**}}$	$8.3 \times 10^{3**}$	$5 \times 10^{3**}$	$12 \times 10^{3^{**}}$	$6.3 \times 10^{3^{**}}$
M.phaseolina + P.agglomerans	$15 \times 10^{3 \mathrm{ns}}$	$13.5 \times 10^{3\text{ns}}$	$6.6 \times 10^{3^{**}}$	$5 \times 10^{3^{**}}$	3.5 × 10 ^{3**}	$2 \times 10^{3**}$	$4 \times 10^{3**}$	$3.5 \times 10^{3**}$
<i>M.phaseolina</i> + Maneb	$5.3 imes 10^{3ns}$	5×10^{3ns}	$7.3 \times 10^{3^{**}}$	$4.8 \times 10^{3^{**}}$	$3.5 \times 10^{3^{**}}$	$1.6 \times 10^{3^{**}}$	$2.3 \times 10^{3^{**}}$	$2 \times 10^{3^{**}}$

Data are the means of three replicates. *CFU*: Colony-forming unit. S: sterile soil; NS: non sterile soil; 1, 2, 3, 4: Detachment periods (every 14 days). **: p < 0.01, ns: non-significant difference.



population dynamics

Figure 3 Population dynamics of *Pantoea agglomerans* ENA1 alone (A) and in presence of *Macrophomina phaseolina* (A in A + P), *M. phaseolina* alone (P) and in presence of *P. aglomerance* ENA1 (P in A + P), maneb fungicide in presence of *Macrophomina phaseolina* (P in F+P) in rhizosphere of soybean in sterile soil (1) and in non-sterile soil (2) within 49 days. A: *P. agglomerans* ENA1; P: *M. phaseolina*; F: maneb.

Discussion

Despite several reports on suppression of *M*. *phaseolina* charcoal rot by different rhizobacteria

like *Pseudomonas fluorescens* (Gupta *et al.*, 2002), *Bacillus subtilis* BN1 (Singh *et al.*, 2008) and *Rhizobium meliloti* (Anis *et al.*, 2010), any studies have not been performed on the biological control

Vasebi et al. __

of this pathogen with *P. agglomerans. P. agglomerans* is a common epiphytic bacteria (Cook and Baker, 1983) that has been reported as a biocontrol agent against plant pathogens (Montesinos *et al.*, 1996; Zhang and Birch, 1997; Stockwell *et al.*, 1998) and postharvest diseases of fruit (Bonaterra *et al.*, 2003; Trotel-Aziz *et al.*, 2008).

A large number of soil microorganisms are capable of producing siderophores. Moreover siderophores may not be produced in sufficient quantities in the soil microcosms to have any significant biocontrol effect (Misaghi et al., 1988), while antibiotics, antifungal volatiles and other metabolites are involved in suppression of M. phaseolina (Hebbar et al., 1992b; Gupta et al., 2002). The high ability of P. agglomerans ENA1 in siderophore production in CAS-agar medium has been confirming that this group of bacteria has evolved high-affinity iron uptake systems to shuttle iron into the cell. It has been shown earlier some enterobacter genera, i.e. Erwinia, Pantoea, Enterobacter, Hafnia and Ewingella also synthesize ferrioxamines E, D and G under iron limitation (Berner et al., 1988; Reissbrodt et al., 1990). That indicate a great number of naturally occurring enterobacter genera are equipped with ferrioxamine biosynthesis and uptake systems (Deiss et al., 1998). Pantoea sp. strain 48b/90 isolated from soybean leaf produced two different siderophores (the know ferrioxamine E and a non-identified catechol siderophore) and a stabile antibiotic in chemically defined medium (Völksch and Sammer, 2008).

The primary biocontrol mechanism by PGPR involves the production of antibiotics. Several rhizobacteria and bacterial epiphytes of plants, such as *Pseudomonas flourescens* and *P. agglomerans* produce multiple antibiotics against plant pathogen fungi and bacteria, and have been used as biocontrol agents of some disease in the phyllosphere and the rhizosphere (Montesinos *et al.*, 1996). Reducing of *M. phaseolina* mycelial growth 89% by antibiotic production could be considered that antibiosis was one of the main mechanisms of this biocontrol agent. Application of specific primers PrnAF/PrnAR in wild type and mutant strains of *P. agglomerans* ENA1 indicated that both of strains carried pyrrolnitrin encoding gene. Chernin *et al.* (1996) showed that the purified pyrrolnitrin antibiotic produced by *Enterobacter agglomerans* IC1270 (*Serratia plymuthica*) was efficient against many phytopathogenic bacteria and fungi *in vitro*. Pyrrolnitrin (PRN) is a chlorinated phenylpyrrole antibiotic produced by several fluorescent and non-fluorescent Pseudomonads.

The mechanism by which *P. agglomerans* reduces decay is not clear. It has been postulated *P. agglomerans* inhibits plant pathogens by colonization of them and competition for nutrients (Kempf and Wolf, 1989; Amellal *et al.*, 1998), parasitism (Bryk *et al.*, 1998) and production of antibiotics and siderophore (Kearns and Mahanty, 1998; Stockwell *et al.*, 2002).

In evaluation of antagonistic effect on soybean growth factors in presence and absence of pathogen, in sterile and non-sterile soils, there is a little statistically significant difference between wild type and mutant strain treatments. The wild type strain in sterile soil was considered the most effect on aerial fresh and dry weight of soybean with 40 and 39% respectively, in compared to control. The mutant strain in presence of pathogen in sterile soils had been increased the aerial fresh and dry weight 27 and 14.5 % respectively, compared to control. Evaluation of population dynamics of antagonist showed that P. agglomerans ENA1 had been able to colonize the rhizosphere of soybean and increased its population well. During the experiment, ENA1strain decreased the population dynamics of pathogen with colonizing of soybean rhizosphere and increased its population. Suppressed the effects of M. phaseolina on soybean growth factors compared to control. Available literature revealed that the symbiotic bacteria enhance the host growth over other bacteria and show synergism, if they are able to reduce root disease (Deshwal et al., 2003). Therefore, it could be better if legumes are inoculated with host-specific rhizobia species, which provide not only nitrogen but also some degree of protection against seed-borne and soil-borne phytopathogens.

Fungicide application had a significant effect on increasing plant growth factors in comparison with control in sterile and non-sterile soils. Maneb reduced *M. phaseilina* population in soil and microsclerotia formation on root and stem of soybean. But application of benomyl and captan, to the seeds as watering were ineffective against *M. phaseolina* (Valiente *et al.*, 2008). Nunes *et al.* (2001) indicating that biocontrol agent *P. agglomerans* CPA-2 could be used as a substitute for chemicals such as imazalil to control *Penicillium expansum* and *Botrytis cinerea*.

PGPR must grow on, in or around the roots for the colonization of plant roots, which is primary important for an effective plantmicrobe interaction (Kleopper and Beauchamp, 1992). It was observed that rhizobacteria isolate could colonize successfully the rhizosphere at *In vivo* experiments. Evaluation of population dynamic of biocontrol agent using antibiotic markers revaluates the proper colonization of the *P. agglomerans* ENA1 in the rhizosphere.

Increasing the plant growth factors (i.e. root and aerial fresh and dry weight) and decreasing the percent of microsclerotial coverage on root and stem were observed 100 days after inoculation. In M. phaseolina-infested soils, the plants showed charcoal rot symptoms clearly when harvested after 100 days. Profuse mycelial growth and sclerotia were clearly visible beneath the epidermis of the root and collar region of the infected plants. Narula et al. (2007) observed an overall increase increase in plant growth parameters under greenhouse conditions when inoculated wheat with Azotobacter chroococcum and Pantoea agglomerans D5/23 strain. The endophytic strain of Pantoea agglomerans YS19 was promoted host rice plant growth and affected allocation of host phytosynthates (Feng et al., 2006). Study of antagonistic activity of PGPR against M. phaseolina on soybean in pot and field experiments indicate that all tested PGPR were significantly decreased damping-off, rotted and wilted plants and increased healthy

plants compared with the control (El-Barougy et al., 2009). Root colonization is one of the most important steps in the interaction of bacteria and host plants. (Weller, 1988). The marketed strain \hat{P} . agglomerans rif + nal + ENA1 showed excellent ability in colonization of soybean root and rhizosphere and this resulted in enhancing vegetative parameters and suppressing charcoal root rot disease of soybean and decline the M. phaseolina population (Gupta et al., 2002; Deshwal et al., 2003; Singh et al., 2008). In vitro and in vivo attributes of P. agglomerans ENA1 verifies it as a potent biocontrol agent against M. phaseolina. Further researches will involve studies on the mechanisms of P. agglomerans ENA1on charcoal rot agent, especially microsclerotia formation in field.

References

- Alexander, D. B. and Zuberer, D. A. 1991. Use of Chrome Azurol S reagents to evaluate siderophore production by rhizosphere bacteria. Biology and Fertility of Soil, 12: 39-45.
- Amellal, N., Burtin, G., Bartoli, F. and Heulin, T. 1998. Colonization of wheat roots by an exopolysaccharide-producing *Pantoea agglomerans* strain and its effect on rhizosphere soil aggregation. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 64: 3740-3747.
- Anis, M., Abbasi, M. W. and Zaki, M. J. 2010.Bioefficacy of microbial anta-gonists againstMacrophomina phaseolina on sunflower.Pakistan Journal of Bo-tany, 42: 2935-2940.
- Arora, N. K., Kang, S. C. and Maheshwari, D. K. 2001. Isolation of siderophore-producing strains of *Rhizobium meliloti* and their biocontrol potential against *Macrophomina phaseolina* that causes charcoal rot of groundnut. Current Science, 81: 673-677.
- Babu, B. K, Saxena, A. K., Srivastava, A. K. and Arora, D. K. 2007. Identification and detection of Macrophomina phaseolina by using species- specific oligonucleotide primers and probe. Mycologia, 99: 797-803.

Vasebi et al. _

- Baird, R. E., Waston, C. E. and Scruggs, M. 2003. Relative longevity of *Macrophomina phaseolina* and associated mycobiota on residual soybean roots in soil. Plant Disease, 87: 563-566.
- Berner, I., Konestschny-Rapp, S., Jung, G. and Winkelmann, G. 1988. Characterization of ferrioxamine E as the principal siderophore of *Erwinia herbicola* (*Enterobacter agglomerans*). Biometals, 1: 51-56.
- Bhattacharya, D., Dhara, T. K., Siddiqui, K. A. I. and Ali, E. 1994. Inhibation of germination by *Macrophomina phaseolina* related to phaseolinone production. Journal of Applied Bacteriology, 77: 129-133.
- Bonaterra, A., Mari, M., Casalini, L. and Montesinos, E. 2003. Biological control of *Monilinia laxa* and *Rhizopus stolonifer* in posthaevest of stone fruit by *Pantoea agglomerans* EPS125 and putative mechanisms of antagonism. International Journal of Miccrobiology, 84: 93-104.
- Bryk, H., Dyki, B. and Sobiczewski, P. 1998. Antagonictic effect of *Erwinia herbicola* on *in vitro* spore germination and germ tube elongation of *Botrytis cinerea* and *Penicillium expansum*. Biological Control, 43: 97-106.
- Chernin, L., Brandis, A., Ismailov, Z. and Chet, I. 1996. Pyrrolnitrin production by an *Enterobacter agglomerans* strain with a broad spectrum of antagonistic activity towards fungal and bacterial phytopathogens. Current Microbiology, 32: 208-212.
- Chernin, L., Ismailov, Z., Haran, S. H. and Chet, I. 1995. Chitinolytic *Enterobacter agglomerans* to fungal plant pathogens. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 61: 1720-1726.
- Chet, I., Ordentligh, R., Shapira, R. and Oppenheim, A. 1990. Mechanisms of biocontrol of soil-borne plant pathogens by rhizobacteria. Plant Soil, 129: 85-92.
- Cook, G. E., Boosalis, M. G., Dunkle, L. D. and Odvody, G. N. 1973. Survival of *Macrophomina phaseolina* in corn and sorghum stalk residue. Plant Disease, 57: 873-875.

- Cook, R. J. and Baker, K. F. 1983. The nature and practice of biological control of plant pathogens. APS, St. Paul, MN, p. 539.
- Deiss, K., Hantke, K. and Winkelmann, G. 1998. Mollecular recognition of siderophores: A study with cloned ferrioxamine receptors (FoxA) from *Erwinia herbicola* and *Yersinia enterocolitica*. Biometals, 11: 131-137.
- Deshwal, V. K, Dubey, R. C. and Maheshwari, D. K. 2003. Isolation of plant growth-promoting strains of *Bradyrhizobium* (Arachis) sp. with biocontrol potential against *Macrophomina phaseolina* causing charcoal rot of peanut. Current Science, 84: 443-448.
- Dhingra, O. D. and Sinclair, J. B. 1977. An annotated bibliography of *Macrophomina phaseolina*, 1905-1975. Universided Federal, Viscosa, Brazil, p. 244.
- Dhingra, O. D. and Sinclair, J. B. 1978. Biology and pathology of *Macrophomina phaseolina*. Universidede Federal de Vicosa, Brasil, p. 166.
- El-Barougy, E., Awad, N. M. M., Turky, A. S. H. and Hamed, H. A. 2009. Antagonistic activity of selected strains of rhizobacteria against *Macrophomina phaseolina* of soybean plants. American-Eurasian Journal of Agricultural & Environmental Science, 5: 337-347.
- Feng, Y., Shen, D. and Song, W. 2006. Rice endophyte *Pantoea agglomerans* YS19 Promotes host plant growth and affects allocations of host phytosynthates. Journal of Applied Microbiology, 100: 938-945.
- Fernando, D. W. G., Ramarathnam, R., Krishnamoorthy, A. and Svchuk, S. C. 2005. Identification and use of potential bacterial organic antifungal volatiles in biocontrol. Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 37: 955-964.
- Franc'es, J., Bonaterra, A., Moreno, M. C., Cabrefiga, J., Badosa, E. and Montesinos, E. 2006. Pathogen aggressiveness and postharvest biocontrol efficiency in *Pantoea agglomerans*. Postharvest Biology and Technology 39: 299-307.

Pantoea agglomerans as Biocontrol agent of Macrophomina phaseolina J. Crop Prot.

- Frederiksen, R. A. (Ed.), 1986. Compendium of Sorghum Diseases. American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul, MN, p. 29-30.
- Gupta, C. P, Dubey, R. C. and Maheshwari, D. K. 2002. Plant growth enhancement and suppression of Macrophomina phaseolina causing charcoal rot of peanut by fluorescent Pseudomonas. Biology and Fertility of Soil, 35: 399-405.
- Handelsman, J. and Stabb, E. V. 1996. Biocontrol of soilborne plant disease. Plant Cell, 8: 1855-1869.
- Hebbar, K. P., Davey, A. G and Dart, P. J. 1992b. Rhizobacteria of maize antagonistic to Fusarium moniliforme, a soil-borne fungal pathogen, isolation and identification. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 24: 979-987.
- Hebbar, K. P., Davey, A. G., Merrin, J., and Dart, P. J. 1992a. Rhizobacteria of maize antagonistic to Fusarium moniliforme, a soilborne fungal pathogen: colonization of rhizosphere and roots. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 24: 989-997.
- Kearns, L. P. and Mahanty, H. K. 1998. Antibiotic production by Erwinia herbicola EH1087: Its role in inhibition of Erwinia amylovora and partial characterization of antibiotic biosynthesis genes. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 64: 1837-1844.
- Kempf, H. J. and Wolf, G. 1989. Erwinia herbicola as a biocontrol agent of Fusarium culmorum and Puccinia recondita f.sp. tritici on wheat. Phytopathology, 79: 990-994.
- Kendig, S. R., Rupe, J. C. and Scott, H. D. 2000. Effect of irrigation and soil water stress on densities of Macrophomina phaseolina in soil and roots of two soybean cultivars. Plant Disease, 84: 895-900.
- Kleopper, J. W. and Beauchamp, C. J. 1992. A review of issues related to measuring colonization of roots by bacteria. Canadian Journal of Microbiology, 38: 1219-1232.
- Kotan, R., Dikbas, N. and Bostan, H. 2009. Biological control of post harvest disease caused by Aspergillus flavus on stored lemon fruits. African Journal of Biotechnology, 8 (2): 209-214.

- Kraus, J. and Lopper, J. E. 1990. Biocontrol of Pythium damping-off of cucumber by Pseudomonas fluorescens pf-5: mechanistic studies', in: Keel, C., Koller, B. and Defago, G. (Eds.), Plant Growth Promoting Rhizobacter. The Second International Work Shop on Plant Growth-Peomoting Rhizobacteria. Interlaken, Switzerland, p. 172-175.
- Lodha, S. 1995. Soil solarization, summer irrigation and amendments for the control of Fusarium oxysporum f.sp. cumini and Macrophomina phaseolina in arid soils. Crop Protection, 14: 215-219.
- Lodha, S. and Solanki, K. R. 1992. Influence of solar heating on control of dry root-rot (Macrophomina phaseolina) and weeds in arid environmental. Indian Journal of Agriculture Science, 62: 838-843.
- Mihail, J. D. 1992. Macrophomina, in: Singleton LS, Mihail JD, Rush CM. (Eds.), Methods for Research on Soil-borne Phytopathogenic Fungi. American Phytopathological Society St. Paul, MN, p. 134-136.
- Misaghi, I. J., Olsen, M. W., Cotty, P. J. and Donndelinger, C. R. 1988. Fluorescent siderophore mediated iron deprivation a contingent biological control mechanism. Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 20: 573-574.
- Montesinos, E., Bonaterra, A., Ophir, Y. and Beer, S. V. 1996. Antagonism of selected bacterial strains to Stemphylium vesicarium and biological control of brown spot of pear under controlled environment conditions. Phytopathology, 86: 856-863.
- Morales, H., Sanchis, V., Usall, J., Ramos, A. J. and Marin, S. 2008. Effect of biocontrol agents Candida sake and Pantoea agglomerans on Penicillium expansum growth and patulin accumulation in apple. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 122: 61-67.
- Narula, N., Remus, R., Deubel, A., Granse, A., Dudeja, S. S., Behl, R. K. and Merbach, W. 2007. Comparison of the effectiveness of wheat roots colonization by Azotobacter chroococcum and Pantoea agglomerans using serological techniques. Plant, Soil and Environment, 53: 167-176.

Vasebi et al. _

- Ndiaye, M. 2007. Ecology and management of charcoal rot (*Macrophomina phaseolina*) on cowpea in the Sahel. PhD Thesis Wageningen University, the Netherlands, p. 114.
- Nunes, C., Usall, N., Teixido, N. and Vinas, I. 2001. Biological control of postharvest pear disease using a bacterium, *Pantoea agglomerans* CPA-2. International Journal of Food Microbiology, 70: 53-61.
- Omar, M. R., Gomaa, E. Z., Aly, A. A. and El-Samawaty, A. M. A. 2013. Differential antagonism of Bacillus spp. against isolates of *Macrophomina phaseolina*. Romanian Biotechnological Letters, 18 (5): 8703-8714.
- Papavizas, G. C. 1977. Some factors affecting survival of sclerotia of *Macrophomina phaseolina* in soil. Soil Biology & Biochemistry, 9: 337-341.
- Pearson, C. A. S., Schwenk, F. W., Crowe, F.J. and Kelley, K. 1984. Colonization of soybean roots by *Macrophomina phaseolina*. Plant Disease, 68: 1086-1088.
- Plaza, P., Usall, J., Smilanick, J. L. and Lamarca, N. 2004. Combining *Pantoea agglomerans* (CPA-2) and curing treatments to control established infections of *Penicillium digitatum* on lemons. Journal of Food Protection, 67: 781-786.
- Reissbrodt, R., Rabsch, W., Chapeaurouge, A., Jung, G. and Winkelmann, G. 1990. Isolation and identification of ferrioxamines G and E in *Hafnia alvei*. Biometals, 3: 54-60.
- Schaad, N. W., Jones, J. B. and Chum, W. 2001. Laboratory guid for identification of plant pathogenic bacteria. Third eds. American Phytopathological Society, St. Paul Minnesota, USA, p. 373.
- Senthilkumar, M., Govindasamy, V. and Annapurna, K. 2007. Role of antibiosis in suppression of charcoal rot disease by soybean endophyte *Paenibacillus* sp. HKA-15. Current Microbiology, 55: 25-29.
- Shoda, M. 2000. Bacterial control of plant disease. Journal of Bioscience and Bioengineering, 89: 515-521.
- Siddique, Z. A. and Mahmood, I. 1993. Biological control of *Meloidogyne incognita* race-3 and *Macrophomina phaseolina* by

Paecilomyces lilacinus and *Bacillus subtlis* alone and in combination of chickpea. Fundamental & Applied Nematology, 16: 215-218.

- Sinclair, J. B. and Backman, P. A. (Eds.), 1989. Compendium of Soybean Diseases. Third ed. American Phytopathological Society, St, Paul, MN, p. 106.
- Singh, N., Pandey, P., Dubey, R. C. and Maheshwari, D. K. 2008. Bological control of root rot fungus *Macrophomina phaseolina* and growth enhancement of *Pinus roxburgii* (Sarg.) by rhizosphere competent *Bacillus subtilis* BN1. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnoligy, 24: 1669-1679.
- Singh, R. D. N. and Kaiser, S. A. K. M. 1995. Evaluation of some systemic and non systemic fungicides against the charcoal rots pathogen *Macrophomina phaseolina* of maize. Journal of Tropical Agriculture, 33: 54-58.
- Singh, V. and Deverella, B. J. 1984. *Bacillus subtilis*, as a control agent against fungal pathogens of citrus fruit. Transactions of British Mycological Society, 83: 487-490.
- Smith, G. S. and Carvil, O. N. 1997. Field screening of commercial and experimental soybean cultivars for their reaction to *Macrophomina phaseolina*. Plant Disease, 81: 363-368.
- Stockwell, V. O., Johnson, K. B. and Loper, J. E. 1998. Establishment of bacterial antagonists of *Erwinia amylovora* on pear and apple blossoms as enhanced by inoculum preparation. Phytopathology, 88: 506-516.
- Stockwell, V. O., Johnson, K. B., Sugar, D. and Loper, J. D. 2002. Antibiosis contributes to biological control of fire blight by *Pantoea* agglomerans strain EH252 in orchards. Phytopathology, 92: 1202-1209.
- Trotel-Aziz, P., Couderchet, M., Biagianti, S. and Aziz, A. 2008. Characterization of new bacterial biocontrol agents Acinetobacter, Bacillus, Pantoea and Pseudomonas spp. mediating grapevine resistance against Botrytis cinerea. Environmental and Experimental Botany, 64: 21-32.

- Valiente, C., Diaz, k., Gacitua, S. and Martinez, M. 2008. Control of charcoal root rot in *Pinus radiate* nurseries with antagonistic bacteria. World Journal of Microbiology and Microbiology, 24: 557-568.
- Vasebi, Y. 2008. Biological control of soybean charcoal rot caused by *Macrophomina phaseolina* using antagonistic bacteria. Master's Dissertation, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran. 132 p.
- Völksch, B. and Sammer, U. 2008. Characterization of the inhibitory strain *Pantoea* sp. 48b/90 with potential as a biocontrol agent for bacterial plant pathogens', in: Fatmi, M., Collmer, A., Iacobellis, N. S., Mansfield, J. W., Murillo, J., Schaad, W. and Ullrich, M. (Eds.), *Pseudomonas syringae* Pathovares and Related Pathogens- Identification, Epidemiology and Genomics. Springer Netherlands. 111-116.
- Weller, D. M. 1988. Biological control of soil borne pathogens in the rhizosphere with bacteria. Annual Review of Phytopathoogy, 26: 379-407.
- Whipps, J. M. 1992. Status of biocontrol disease control in horticulture. Biocontrol Science and Technology, 2: 3-24.
- Zhang, L. and Birch, R. G. 1997. Mechanism of biocontrol by *Pantoea dispersa* of sugar cane leaf scald disease caused by *Xanthomonas albilineans*. Journal of Applied Microbioogyl, 82: 448-454.
- Zhang, Y. 2004. Biocontrol of *Sclerotinia* stem rot of canola by bacterial antagonists and study of biocontrol mechanisms involved.
 Msc Thesis, Department of Plant Science, University of Manitoba, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada.

J. Crop Prot. (2015) Vol. 4 (1)

Vasebi et al. ____

Pantoea agglomerans ENA1 عامل بيوكنترل Macrophomina phaseolina و محرك رشد سويا

یلدا واصبی، عزیزالله علیزاده و ناصر صفایی*

گروه بیماریشناسی گیاهی دانشکده کشاورزی دانشگاه تربیت مدرس، تهران، ایران. * پست الکترونیکی نویسنده مسئول مکاتبه: nsafaie@modares.ac.ir دریافت: ۲۱ خرداد ۱۳۹۳؛ پذیرش: ۲۳ شهریور ۱۳۹۳

واژگان کلیدی: Macrophomina phaseolina بیوکنترل، سویا، Pantoea agglomerans، متابولیتهای ضدقارچی