J. Crop Prot. 2015, 4 (1):73-83\_\_\_\_\_



#### **Research Article**

# Effects of short-term heat shock of eggs on the development and fecundity of *Plutella xylostella* (L.) (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae)

#### Najmeh Ebrahimi, Ali Asghar Talebi<sup>\*</sup> and Yaghoub Fathipour

Department of Entomology, Faculty of Agriculture, Tarbiat Modares University, P. O. Box: 14115-336. Tehran, Iran.

Abstract: It has been hypothesized that the survival, development, fecundity and even population expansion of insects are all affected significantly by extremely high temperature. The diamondback moth, Plutella xylostell (L.) (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae), is a serious and economically important pest of cruciferous crops throughout the word. In this research, the adult longevity and fecundity of P. xylostella were studied. After effect of heat shock stress, (30, 35 and 40 °C) for 2, 4, 6 and 8h, the experiments were conducted at  $25\pm1$  °C,  $65\pm5\%$  RH, and a photoperiod of 16:8 (L: D)h on Brassica napus. The developmental time of immature stages were significantly affected after heat shock temperatures (30 to 40 °C) when compared to the 25 °C control, but the developmental time of larvae did not differ significantly at 40 °C. The pupal development time differed significantly at heat shock temperatures, which the longest (6.13±0.05 days) at 30 °C for 2h. Heat shock temperature also had significant impact on adult longevity and fecundity of diamondback moth. The longest adult longevity for females and males was determined to be 14.47±1.04 and 11.04±0.95 respectively at 35 °C for 2h. The fecundity of females fluctuated significantly with increasing temperature stress. Our findings can be used to develop a more profound understanding on the potential for this insect to evolve in response to environmental temperature changes.

Keywords: *Plutella xylostella*, fecundity, longevity, heat shock temperature

#### Introduction

Organisms are often exposed to various environmental stresses such as heat, cold, desiccation, CO<sub>2</sub>, heavy metals, and different chemical compounds (Lindquist, 1986; Hoffmann and Parsons, 1991; Krishna *et al.*,

1992; Ferrando et al., 1995). Temperature is a dominant factor affecting growth, reproduction, and distribution of organisms (Precht et al., 1973; Cossins and Bowler, 1987; Hochachka and Somero, 2002). High temperature is an adverse climatic factor that suppresses population expansion of insects in the field (Denlinger and Hallman, 1998; Bale et al., Temperature extremes limit 2002). the geographic range of insect populations, either directly by killing insects or indirectly by limiting the range of host plants (Speight et al.,

Handling Editor: Dr. Samira Farahani

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author, e-mail: talebia@modares.ac.ir Received: 28 September 2013, Accepted: 21 October 2014 Published online: 22 October 2014

1999). In nature, insects frequently experience fluctuating temperature regimes, which may result in exposure to high temperatures that may alter physiological tolerance to environmental stresses (Terblanche et al., 2010), reduce survival, and affect population dynamics (Mironidis and Savopoulou-Soultani, 2010) and alter exclusion rhythm, morphology, longevity, fecundity, fertility, and generally result in reduced fitness (Yocum et al., 1994; Mahroof et al., 2005a; Jørgensen et al., 2006; Cui et al., 2008; Xie et al., 2008; Mironidis and Savopoulou-Soultani, 2010, Niedermager et al., 2012). Insects are vulnerable to high temperatures because, as small poikilotherms, their body temperature is approximately the same as that of their environment and heat from the environment can quickly elevate insect's body temperature to lethal levels, while water balance at high temperatures complicates the situation (Rinehart et al., 2000). The influence of lethal temperature cannot be measured by the same direct methods that are appropriate for measuring the influence of temperature on the rate of development (Andrewartha, 1970). The effect of short-term high temperature stresses may be either beneficial or harmful depending on the level and duration of high temperature (Hoffmann et al., 2003). Many studies have proven that short-term exposure to high temperatures may increase the heat tolerance of an organism (heat hardening; see Hoffmann et al., 2003). For example, exposure of a population of Drosophila melanogaster to 29°C for up to several days helps them gain a higher thermotolerance (Levins, 1969). Treating 1day-old adults of pea leafminer, Liriomyza huidobrensis (Diptera: Agromyzidae) (which are reared at 25–26°C) at 32 or 35°C for 4h was significantly increased their heat resistance (Huang et al., 2007). Organisms have similar response mechanisms in response to some different low or modest level stresses. The short-term high temperature treatment often helps organisms to increase other stress tolerances known as cross protection, cross tolerance or cross resistance (Stebbing, 1981; Calabrese and Baldwin, 2003). Longevity, oviposition period, and fecundity of the cotton bollworm, *Helicoverpa armigera* (Hübner) (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae), decreased after being exposed to high temperatures (40, 42.5, 45 and 46.5 °C) (Mironidis and Savopoulou-Soultani, 2010). In two whiteflies, *Bemisia tabaci* (Gennadius) and *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* (Westwood) (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae), egg hatch declined after maternal females experienced heat shock, indicating that the impact of heat shock continued into the next generation (Cui *et al.*, 2008).

The diamondback moth, *P. xylostella* (L.) is the most destructive pest insect of cruciferous plants throughout the world. It has been recorded since 1746 and is believed, it has been originated in the Mediterranean region (Harcourt, 1954; 1962), which is also the place of origin of some of the important crucifer crops such as, *Brassica oleracea* var. *capitata*, *Brassica oleracea* var. *botrytis*, *Brassica oleracea* var. *gongylodes*, *Brassica oleracea* var. *italic* and *Raphanus sativus* (Tsunoda, 1980). The global importance of *P. xylostella* is reflected in its control costs that are estimated to be approximately US\$ billion annually (Talekar and Shelton, 1993).

Brassica vegetables are important vegetable crops that are cultivated in most parts of Tehran province of Iran. *Plutella xylostella* occurs annually throughout this province wherever brassicaceous crops are grown and causes substantial crop losses during outbreak years. This study provides new information on the effect of heat shock temperatures on the biology of *P. xylostella* on *B. napus* with the main objective to develop knowledge on the effects of heat shock temperatures on development, reproduction and longevity of *P. xylostella*.

#### **Materials and Methods**

#### **Plant rearing**

This study was carried out during 2013 in the Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, Tarbiat Modares University, Iran. Canola cultivar was used in this study, *B. napus* (cultivar 'Opera'), was obtained from the Karaj

#### Ebrahimi et al. \_\_

Seed and Plant Research Institute and was sown in field soil and compost mixture (3: 1 soil: peat) in 20 cm diameter plastic pots in greenhouse and growth chamber. Plants were used for experiments at 10 to 12 leaf stage. The stock culture of *P. xylostella* was maintained in a growth chamber set at temperature of  $25 \pm 1$ °C,  $60 \pm 5\%$  RH and a photoperiod of 16: 8 (L:D) hours.

#### **Diamondback moth colony**

A stock culture of *P. xylostella* originally collected from *Brassica* fields of Shahr-e-Ray, adjacent to Tehran, during June 2013. Thirty pairs of pupae were put into a plastic container with lots of small round holes (1 mm diameter) on the lower side and 3 bigger round holes (10 mm diameter) in the lateral side of the wall. After pupation, the female and male adults mated and the eggs were laid on the inside wall. The first instar larvae dropped through the holes onto the leaves of rapeseed plant.

The insectary temperature was set at  $25 \pm 1$  °C,  $60 \pm 5\%$  RH and a photoperiod of 16:8 (L: D) h. Three small cottons wick soaked in 10% honey solution were placed in the large holes in the lateral side of the plastic container to provide a source of carbohydrate for adult feeding and the cotton was changed twice daily.

# Effect of heat shock on the development and reproduction

Development time of *P. xylostella* was studied at temperature regimes (30, 35 and 40 °C) for 2, 4, 6 and 8 h, respectively. In all treatments, growth chamber was set at  $60 \pm 5\%$  RH and a photoperiod of 16:8 (L: D) h. Among these treatments, the treatment of 25 °C was considered as control. After 12 h, the newly laid eggs of *P. xylostella* were taken from the surface of the host plant leaves using a fine camel's hair brush and were individually transferred into excised canola leaf discs placed on wet cotton wool in plastic container (9×5×4 cm) and then transferred to growth chambers. To facilitate ventilation, lids of plastic containers were covered with fine nylon mesh.

At least 150 eggs were monitored at each heat shock temperatures. After the heat shock temperatures, the eggs of *P. xylostella* were checked daily, and the number of emerged larvae was recorded daily. The development of newly emerged larvae was monitored on fresh host plant foliage, which renewed every day until they dead or reached to the prepupal stage. Head capsule width or exuviae from moultings were used to discriminate the larval instars. When larvae became short and c-shaped, they were considered as having entered the prepupal stage. The emerged pupae were checked daily until all adults emerged or pupae died. The survival rate and development time were recorded for immature stages. For each temperature and each duration stress at least 15 male-female pairs of moth (replicate) that had emerged at the same stress temperature duration were used for mating and egg laying. This cage was a cubic Plexiglas container of  $15 \times 8 \times 5$ cm dimensions. The top of the containers were cut off and covered with micromesh screen for ventilation. There was an opening on one side of the each container for inserting and removing plant foliage. The host plant foliage was replaced with new ones every day and the numbers of eggs laid per each female were recorded daily.

#### Statistical analysis

Effect of heat shock temperatures on biological parameters of diamondback moth were analyzed using one-way ANOVA. If significant differences were detected, multiple comparisons were made using the Tukey test (P < 0.05). Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS statistical software. Data were checked for normality prior to analysis using Anderson-Darling method.

#### Results

# Effect of heat shock on developmental time of *P. xylostella*

Data on the effect of heat shock temperatures on the development of *P. xylostella* is presented in Table 1. Heat shock Effects of heat shock on Plutella xylostella\_

temperatures significantly affected the incubation period of eggs at 30 °C (F = 20.84; df = 4, 745; P < 0.05), 35 °C (F = 28.16; df = 4, 745; P < 0.05) and 40 °C (F = 11.01; df = 4, 745; P < 0.05). The shortest and longest incubation periods of eggs were observed at 30 °C for 4h (3.00  $\pm$  0.02 days) and 35 °C for 2h (3.65  $\pm$  0.04 days), respectively. The developmental time of larvae was not significantly differed at 40 °C. While heat shock temperatures significantly affected the developmental time of larvae at 30 °C (F = 13.71; df = 4, 719, P < 0.05) and 35 °C (F = 15.34; df = 4, 719; P<0.05). The pupal development time differed significantly after heat shock temperatures at 30 °C (F = 53.01; df = 4, 488; P < 0.05) and 40  $^{\circ}$ C (F = 15.70; df = 4, 439; P < 0.05) and the longest time (6.13±0.05 days) was observed at 30 °C for 2h.

Effect of heat shock on oviposition period, adult longevity and fecundity of *P. xylostella* As shown in Table 2, the mean adult longevity (both for males and females) fluctuated significantly with increasing the time of exposure in all heat shock temperatures tested (30, 35 and 40 °C) and ranged from 11.04 days (at 35 °C for 2h) to 7.02 days (at 40 °C for 2h) for males and from 14.47 days (at 35 °C for 2h) to 7.60 days (at 40 °C for 2h) for females. There was no significant difference among females

longevity after heat shock at 40 °C at different exposure times (F = 1.29; df = 4, 127; P = 0.275) (Table 2).

The pre-ovipositional periods of *P*. xylostella were not significantly different after heat shock at 30 °C (F = 0.87; df = 4, 204; P = 0.478) and 40 °C (F= 2.56; df = 4, 173; P= 0.040) and the pre-oviposition period ranged from 1.00 to 1.72 days but heat shock had influence on post-oviposition periods at 30 to 40 °C, and the longest was estimated to be 1.27  $\pm$  0.32 at 35 °C for 2h.

The mean oviposition period of *P. xylostella* after heat shock temperatures differed significantly. The shortest and longest

oviposition periods were indicated  $6.00 \pm 0.73$ and  $11.61 \pm 0.86$  days at 40 °C for 2h and 35 °C for 2h, respectively. The fecundity per female per day fluctuated significantly with the increasing heat shock temperature (Table 2). The fecundity per female indicted significant differences after heat shock at 30 °C (F = 34.38; df = 4, 182; P < 0.05), 35 °C (F = 21.85; df = 4, 196; P<0.05) and 40 °C (F = 3.73; df = 4, 96; P < 0.05) and the highest were indicated at 30 °C for 4h, 35 °C for 6h and 40 °C for 6h (Table 2).

#### Discussions

Ambient temperature is a key environmental factor influencing variety aspects of insect ecology and development (Deutsch et al., 2008). When temperatures exceed an insect's optimum temperature range, there are two mutually exclusive results: survival or death. Even if a species could survive after exposure to heat stress, fitness is probably affected (Scott et al., 1997: Rinehart et al., 2000; Cui et al., 2008; Zhou et al., 2010). Results obtained in this study revealed obvious effects of heat shock temperatures on development and fecundity of P. xylostella. Our results showed that the incubation periods of egg, larval, pupal developmental times and adult longevity of P. xylostella were not significantly affected with increasing heat shock temperatures. In addition adult longevity and fecundity of diamondback moth fluctuated with increasing heat shock temperatures. There was no significant differences among larva duration and female adult longevity at 40 °C. Our findings differs from the previous findings, that may be due to difference between insect species, temperature, exposure time and developmental stage of insect, (Ohgushi and Sawada, 1997; Scott et al., 1997; Harrington et al., 1999; Rinehart et al., 2000; Cui et al., 2008; Zhao et al., 2009). However, both adult longevity and fecundity Agasicles hygrophila of significantly decreased with increasing temperature (Zhao et al., 2009).

### Archive of SID

Ebrahimi et al. \_\_\_\_\_\_ J. Crop Prot. (2015) Vol. 4 (1)

Temperature (°C)	Duration of exposure (hour)	Incubation period	Larval development time	Pupal period	Female adult longevity	Male adult longevity
30	0	$3.25\pm0.04^{b(\text{CDE})}$	$7.21 \pm 0.22b^{c(CD)}$	$4.15\pm0.09^{\text{d}(\text{E})}$	$7.80 \pm 0.31^{b(C)}$	$5.79 \pm 0.29^{b(D)}$
		(n = 150)	(n = 143)	(n = 101)	(n = 50)	(n = 51)
	2	$3.01\pm0.02^{c(F)}$	$7.94\pm0.26^{b(BCD)}$	$6.13\pm0.05^{a\left(A\right)}$	$8.08 \pm 0.59^{b(C)}$	$7.29\pm0.42^{ab(BCD)}$
		(n = 150)	(n = 145)	(n = 111)	(n = 51)	(n = 51)
	4	$3.00\pm0.02^{\mathrm{c(F)}}$	$7.03 \pm 0.34^{b(D)}$	$5.73\pm0.15^{ab(AB)}$	$8.60\pm0.74^{b(BC)}$	$9.44\pm0.60^{a(BCD)}$
		(n = 150)	(n = 145)	(n = 71)	(n = 25)	(n = 25)
	6	$3.25\pm0.05^{b(CDE)}$	$5.51\pm0.29^{c(ABC)}$	$5.26\pm0.12^{c(BC)}$	$7.90\pm0.48^{b(C)}$	$8.17\pm0.48^{ab(ABCD)}$
		(n = 150)	(n = 142)	(n = 95)	(n = 30)	(n = 30)
	8	$3.42\pm0.05^{a(BC)}$	$9.42\pm0.22^{a(AB)}$	$5.39\pm0.08^{bc(BC)}$	$12.28\pm1.14^{a(AB)}$	$9.61\pm0.89^{a(ABC)}$
		(n = 150)	(n = 148)	(n = 115)	(n = 53)	(n = 53)
35	0	$3.25\pm0.04^{b(CDE)}$	$7.21 \pm 0.22^{c(CD)}$	$4.15 \pm 0.09^{b(E)}$	$7.80 \pm 0.31^{b(C)}$	$5.78\pm0.29^{c(D)}$
		(n = 150)	(n = 143)	(n = 101)	(n = 50)	(n = 51)
	2	$3.65 \pm 0.04^{a(A)}$	$9.44\pm0.25^{a(A)}$	$5.41\pm0.09^{a(BC)}$	$14.47 \pm 1.04^{a(A)}$	$11.04 \pm 0.95^{a(A)}$
		(n = 150)	(n = 146)	(n = 109)	(n = 49)	(n = 49)
	4	$3.13\pm0.04^{\text{b(EF)}}$	$8.25\pm0.28^{b(ABCD)}$	$5.36\pm0.09^{a(BC)}$	$9.07\pm0.73^{b(BC)}$	$10.74\pm0.76^{ab(AB)}$
		(n = 150)	(n = 146)	(n = 89)	(n = 27)	(n = 27)
	6	$3.13\pm0.04^{b(EF)}$	$9.01\pm0.21^{ab(AB)}$	$5.31\pm0.10^{a(BC)}$	$8.85\pm0.79^{b(BC)}$	$8.13\pm0.54^{bc(ABCD}$
		(n = 150)	(n = 142)	(n = 101)	(n = 39)	(n = 39)
	8	$3.19\pm0.04^{b~(DEF)}$	$9.15 \pm 0.18^{a(AB)}$	$5.43\pm0.09^{a(BC)}$	$8.70\pm0.51^{b(BC)}$	$9.40\pm0.61^{ab(ABC)}$
		(n = 150)	(n = 146)	(n = 112)	(n = 47)	(n = 47)
40	0	$3.25\pm0.04^{bc(CDE)}$	$7.21 \pm 0.22^{a(CD)}$	$4.15\pm0.09^{\text{c(E)}}$	$7.80\pm0.31^{a(C)}$	$5.78\pm0.29^{b(D)}$
		(n = 150)	(n = 143)	(n = 101)	(n = 50)	(n = 51)
	2	$3.11\pm0.03^{(EF)}$	$7.48\pm0.25^{a(CD)}$	$5.04\pm0.10^{ab(CD)}$	$7.60\pm0.73^{a(C)}$	$7.02\pm0.64^{ab(CD)}$
		(n = 150)	(n = 141)	(n = 105)	(n = 48)	(n = 47)
	4	$3.47\pm0.05^{a(AB)}$	$7.49\pm0.33^{a(CD)}$	$5.19\pm0.15^{a(CD)}$	$9.82\pm1.02^{a(BC)}$	$8.64\pm0.99^{a(ABCD)}$
		(n = 150)	(n = 132)	(n = 70)	(n = 22)	(n = 22)
	6	$3.36\pm0.05^{ab(BCD)}$	$8.14\pm0.31^{a(ABCD)}$	$4.77\pm0.09^{b(D)}$	$8.69\pm0.80^{a(BC)}$	$9.38\pm0.87^{a(ABC)}$
		(n = 150)	(n = 125)	(n = 84)	(n = 29)	(n = 29)
	8	$3.28\pm0.04^{b(\text{CDE})}$	$7.48 \pm 0.66^{a(CD)}$	$4.95\pm0.01^{ab(CD)}$	$7.79\pm0.84^{a(C)}$	$7.25\pm0.63^{ab(CD)}$
		(n = 150)	(n = 132)	(n = 84)	(n = 28)	(n = 28)

Table 1 Developmental time and adult longevity (days) (Mean±SE) of P. xylostella after their exposure to heat shock temperatures.

The means followed by same small letters in column at each temperature are not significantly different at (P < 0.05; Tukey-test).

The means followed by same capital letters in each column are not significantly different at (P < 0.05; Tukey-test).

Effects of heat shock on Plutella xylostella\_\_\_\_\_

Temperature (°C)	Duration of exposure (hour)	Pre-oviposition period	Oviposition period	Post-oviposition period	Fecundity per female per day
30	0	$1.54\pm0.14^{a(A)}$	$4.73 \pm 0.39^{b(C)}$	$1.43\pm0.22^{a(A)}$	$10.60 \pm 0.70^{\rm c(CD)}$
		(n = 51)	(n = 51)	(n = 51)	(n = 47)
	2	$1.25\pm0.15^{a(A)}$	$6.37 \pm 0.59^{b(C)}$	$0.66\pm0.11^{ab(ABC)}$	$15.55 \pm 0.88^{ab(AB)}$
		(n = 51)	(n = 51)	(n = 51)	(n = 47)
	4	$1.72\pm0.16^{a(A)}$	$6.44 \pm 0.70^{b(C)}$	$0.24 \pm 0.13^{b(C)}$	$16.34 \pm 1.17^{a(AB)}$
		(n = 25)	(n = 25)	(n = 25)	(n = 19)
	6	$1.50\pm0.16^{a(A)}$	$5.86\pm0.43^{b(C)}$	$0.60\pm0.21^{b(ABC)}$	$12.86\pm0.95^{bc(BCD)}$
		(n = 30)	(n = 30)	(n = 30)	(n = 26)
	8	$1.44\pm0.13^{a(A)}$	$10.43 \pm 1.08^{a(AB)}$	$0.49\pm0.15^{b(ABC)}$	$10.52 \pm 0.52^{c(CD)}$
		(n = 53)	(n = 53)	(n = 53)	(n = 50)
35	0	$1.55 \pm 0.15^{a(A)}$	$4.73 \pm 0.39^{b(C)}$	$1.43 \pm 0.22^{a(A)}$	$10.60 \pm 0.70^{cd(D)}$
		(n = 51)	(n = 51)	(n = 51)	(n = 47)
	2	$1.51 \pm 0.17^{ab(A)}$	$11.61\pm0.86^{a(A)}$	$1.27\pm0.32^{a(AB)}$	$9.19\pm0.61^{d(AB)}$
		(n = 49)	(n = 49)	(n = 49)	(n = 49)
	4	$1.19 \pm 0.19^{ab(A)}$	$7.85\pm0.75^{b(ABC)}$	$0.07 \pm 0.05^{b(C)}$	$15.06 \pm 0.75^{ab(AB)}$
		(n = 27)	(n = 27)	(n = 27)	(n = 24)
	6	$1.18\pm0.12^{ab(A)}$	$7.54\pm0.76^{b(BC)}$	$0.15\pm0.08^{b(C)}$	$16.49 \pm 0.88^{a(AB)}$
		(n = 39)	(n = 39)	(n = 39)	(n = 36)
	8	$1.00\pm0.00^{b(\mathrm{A})}$	$7.38\pm0.53^{bc(BC)}$	$0.21 \pm 0.13^{b(BC)}$	$12.75\pm0.77^{bc(BCD)}$
		(n = 47)	(n = 47)	(n = 47)	(n = 46)
40	0	$1.55 \pm 0.15^{a(A)}$	$4.73 \pm 0.39^{b(C)}$	$1.43\pm0.22^{\text{a}(\text{A})}$	$10.60 \pm 0.70^{c(CD)}$
		(n = 51)	(n = 51)	(n = 51)	(n = 47)
	2	$1.25 \pm 0.11^{a(A)}$	$6.00\pm0.73^{ab(C)}$	$0.33\pm0.12^{b(BC)}$	$12.66\pm0.61^{bc(BCD)}$
		(n = 48)	(n = 48)	(n = 48)	(n = 44)
	4	$1.00\pm0.06^{a(\mathrm{A})}$	$8.09 \pm 1.01^{a(AB)}$	$0.68\pm0.32^{ab(ABC)}$	$14.26\pm1.08^{ab(ABC)}$
		(n = 22)	(n = 22)	(n = 22)	(n = 19)
	6	$1.45 \pm 0.22^{a(A)}$	$7.18\pm0.78^{ab(BC)}$	$0.21 \pm 0.15^{b(C)}$	$17.44 \pm 1.07^{a(A)}$
		(n = 22)	(n = 22)	(n = 22)	(n = 30)
	8	$1.00\pm0.00^{a(\mathrm{A})}$	$6.64\pm1.06^{ab(BC)}$	$0.29 \pm 0.13^{b(C)}$	$15.11 \pm 1.12^{ab(AB)}$
		(n = 22)	(n = 22)	(n = 22)	(n = 26)

Table 2 Oviposition period and fecundity (Mean ± SE) of *P. xylostella* after their exposure to heat shock temperatures.

The means followed by same small letters in column at each temperature are not significantly different at (P<0.05; Tukey-test)

The means followed by same capital letters in each column are not significantly different at (P<0.05; Tukey-test)

Zhou *et al.* (2010) reported that the adult longevity and fecundity of *Opherella Communa* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae) significantly decreased with increasing short-term stress temperature. In addition results of Zhou *et al.* (2010) are partially agreed with the previous studies (Scott *et al.*, 1997; Rinehart *et al.*, 2000; Cui *et al.*, 2008; Zhao *et al.*, 2009, Zhou *et al.*,

#### Ebrahimi et al. \_

2010). The incubation period of eggs and larval developmental time of O. communa increased with increasing short-term stress temperature (Zhou et al., 2010). Cui et al. (2008) found that female fecundity of *B. tabaci* was not significantly different when adults were heat shocked at different temperatures but the high temperatures significantly reduced the number of eggs laid by the females of T. vaporariorum while no nymphs were hatched at 43 °C. Mironidis and Savopoulou-soultani (2010), also reported that the mean adult longevity of Helicovrpa armigera (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) significantly declined with increasing exposure time at all heat shock treatments tested and the values of fecundity were found to be inversely related to the exposure time of adults to high temperatures, no eggs were laid by females of *H. armigera* at 40, 42.5 and 45 °C for 360, 120, and 15 min, respectively. Rinehart et al. (2000) revealed that the heat shock of adults of both sexes of Sarcophaga crassipalpis Macquart (Diptera: Sarcophagidae) at 45 °C for 60 min severely affected fecundity specifically, the number of eggs produced was significantly reduced to 90% in comparison to untreated flies (25 °C) and none of the eggs were fertilized, in contrast to finding at 45 °C for 25 min or at 40 °C for 120 min. Denlinger et al. (1991) found that for the same species the exposure of pupae or adults to 50 °C for 120 min caused their immediate death. Saxena et al. (1992) also reported that the exposure of three storedproduct insect pests (e.g. Callosobruchus chinensis L. (Coleoptera: Bruchidae), Trogoderma granarium Everts (Coleoptera: Dermestidae) and Tribolium castaneum (Herbst) (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae)) in pupal stage to 45 °C for 48 or 72 h significantly affected the egg laying and hatching. No eggs were produced when pupae were exposed to 45 °C for 48 h.

Our findings showed that fitness of *P*. *xylostella* significantly increased with increasing temperature from 30 to 35 °C, but the fitness significantly decreased at 40 °C. There are two modes of killing by heat stress: one mod is characterized by rapid death and the

other mode is characterized by delayed death caused by heat injury, whose effects could accumulate slowly and be displayed at later stages of development (Xie *et al.*, 2008). There was no study on the effect of short-term high temperature stress on biological characteristics of *P. xylostella* in Iran. Therefore, the result of this study may be used for predicting population dynamics, distribution and dispersal of this insect.

In many insect species, high temperatures disrupt the normal functioning of the reproductive system in both sexes (Arbogast, 1981; Saxena *et al.*, 1992; Mahroof *et al.*, 2005b; Cui *et al.*, 2008). It has been reported that heat shock can cause injury to oocytes and ovarian development in females that could lead to a decrease in egg production. Furthermore, heat shock usually affects male more than female reproductive functions due to direct injury to the testes and sperm (Chihrane and Lauge, 1994, 1997; Krebs and Loeschcke, 1994; Scott *et al.*, 1997; Rinehart *et al.*, 2000; Giojalas and Catala, 1993; David *et al.*, 2005).

Biochemical changes like the inhibition of biosynthesis of a lot of physiological proteins or the synthesis of heat shock proteins can be detected in response to mild thermal stresses. The intensity of the expression of heat shock proteins depends on the temperature and the duration of the exposure as well as on the developmental stage and diapause status of the organism (Mahroof et al., 2005b; Teixeira and Polavarapu, 2005; Kalosaka et al., 2009). For most organisms, heat shock proteins are synthesized when ambient temperatures exceed the normal temperature optimum of the organism (Parsell and Lindquist, 1993) and the difference in tolerance between organisms may be related to differences in their optimal temperature for the heat shock proteininduction response (Lindquist, 1986; Goto et al., 1998).

In conclusion, knowledge of how heat shock temperatures influences the biological characteristics of the *P. xylostella* can help us to understand the population dynamics and management of this insect. Furthermore, several Effects of heat shock on Plutella xylostella\_\_\_\_\_

*P. xylostella* life history parameters (i. e., survival, developmental time, adult longevity, reproduction and population growth parameters especially intrinsic rate of increase) on experimental heat shock temperatures, can be used for potential of *P. xylostella* to evolve in response to environmental changes. After laboratory studies, more attention should be devoted to semi-field and field experiments to obtain more applicable results in field condition.

#### Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the Department of Entomology, Tarbiat Modares University for supporting this research. We cordially thank to two anonymous reviewers for their valuable comments on the earlier version of this paper.

#### References

- Andrewartha, H. G. 1970. Introduction to the study of animal populations. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL.
- Arbogast, R. T. 1981. Mortality and reproduction of *Ephestia cautella* and *Plodia interpunctella* exposed as pupae to high temperature. Environmental Entomology, 10: 708-711.
- Bale, J. S., Masters, G. J., Hodkinson, I. D., Awmack, C. S., Bezemer, T. M., Brown, V.
  K., Butterfield, J., Buse, A., Coulson, J. C., Farrar, J., Good, J. E. G., Harrington, R., Hartley, S., Jones, T. H., Lindroth, R. L., Press, M. C., Symrnioudis, I., Watt, A. D. and Whittaker, J. B. 2002. Herbivory in global climate change research: direct effects of rising temperature on insect herbivores. Global Change Biology, 8: 1-16.
- Calabrese, E. J. and Baldwin, L. A. 2003. The hormetic dose response model is more common than the threshold model in toxicology. Toxicological Sciences, 71 (2): 246-250.
- Chihrane, J. and Lauge, G. 1994. Effects of hightemperature shocks on male germinal cells of

J. Crop Prot.

*Trichogramma brassicae* (Hymenoptera, Trichogrammatidae). Entomophaga, 39: 11-20.

- 1997. Chihrane, J. and Lauge. G. Thermosensitivity of germlines of Trichogramma brassicae Bezdenko (Hymenoptera). Implications for efficacy of the parasitoid. Canadian Journal of Zoology, 75: 484-489.
- Cossins, A. R. and Bowler, K. 1987. Temperature biology of animals. Chapman and Hall. New York, pp. 125-157.
- Cui, X., Wan, F., Xie, M. and Liu, T. 2008. Effects of heat shock on survival and reproduction of two white fly species, *Trialeurodes vaporariorum* and *Bemisia tabaci* biotype B. Journal of Insect Science, 8: 24.
- David, J. R., Araripe, L. O., Chakir, M., Legout, H., Lemos, B., Pétavy, G., Rohmer, C., Joly, D. and Moreteau, B. 2005. Male sterility at extreme temperatures: a significant but neglected phenomenon for understanding *Drosophila* climatic adaptations. Journal of Evolutionary Biology, 18: 838-846.
- Denlinger, D. L. and Hallman, G. J. 1998.
  Physiology of heat sensitivity, In: Hallman,
  G. J. and Denlinger, D. L. (Eds.),
  Temperature sensitivity in insects and application in integrated pest management,
  Westview Press, Boulder, CO, pp. 7-57.
- Denlinger, D. L., Joplin, K. H., Chen, C. P. and Lee, R. E. 1991. Cold shock and heat shock, In: Lee, R. E. and Denlinger, D. L. (Eds.), Insects at low temperature. Chapman and Hall, New York, pp. 131-148.
- Deutsch, C. A., Tewksbury, J. J., Huey, R. B., Sheldon, K. S., Ghalambor, C. K., Haak, D. C. and Martin, P. R. 2008. Impacts of climate warming on terrestrial ectotherms across latitude. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, 105: 6668-6672.
- Ferrando, R. E., Schuschereba S. T., Quong, J. A. and Bowman, P. D. 1995. Carbon dioxide laser induction of heat shock protein 70 synthesis: comparison with high temperature

treatment. Lasers in Medical Science, 10: 207-212.

- Giojalas, L. C. and Catala, S. 1993. Changes in male *Triatoma infestans* reproductive efficiency caused by a suboptimal temperature. Journal of Insect Physiology, 39: 297-302.
- Goto, S. G., Yoshida, K. M. and Kimura, M. T. 1998. Accumulation of Hsp70 mRNA under environmental stresses in diapausing and nondiapausing adults of *Drosophila triauraria*. Journal of Insect Physiology, 44: 1009-1015.
- Harcourt, D. G. 1954. The biology and ecology of the diamondback moth, *Plutella maculipennis*, Curtis, in Eastern Ontario, Ph.D. Dissertation, Cornell University, Ithaca, N. Y. 107 pp.
- Harcourt, D. G. 1962. Biology of cabbage caterpillars in Eastern Ontario. Proceedings of the Entomological Society of Ontario, 93: 67-75.
- Harrington, R., Woiwod, I. and Sparks, T. 1999. Climate change and trophic interactions. Trends in Ecology and Evolution, 14: 146-150.
- Hochachka, P. W. and Somero, G. N. 2002. Biochemical adaptation. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Hoffmann, A. A. and Parsons, P. A. 1991. Evolutionary genetics and environmental stress. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Hoffmann, A. A., Sørensen, J. G. and Loeschcke, V. 2003. Adaptation of *Drosophila* to temperature threshold model in toxicology. Toxicological Sciences, 71 (2): 246-250.
- Huang, L., Chen, B. and Kang, L. 2007. Impact of mild temperature hardening on thermotolerance, fecundity, and Hsp gene expression in *Liriomyza huidobrensis*. Journal of Insect Physiology, 53: 1199-1205.
- Jørgensen, K. T., Sørensen, J. G. and Bundgaard, J. 2006. Heat tolerance and the effect of mild heat stress on reproductive characters in *Drosophila buzzatii* males. Journal of Thermal Biology, 31: 280-286.

- Kalosaka, K., Soumaka, E., Politis, N. and Mintzas, A. C. 2009. Thermotolerance and HSP70 expression in the mediterranean fruit fly *Ceratitis capitata*. Journal of Insect Physiology, 55: 568-573.
- Krebs, R. A. and Loeschcke, V. 1994. Effects of exposure to short-term heat stress on fitness componentsin *Drosophila melanogaster*. Journal of Evolutionary Biology, 7: 39-49.
- Krishna, P., Felsherim, R. F., Larkin, J. C. and Das, A. 1992. Structure and light-induced expression of a small heat-shock protein gene of *Pharbitis nil*. Plant Physiology, 100: 1772-1779.
- Levins, R. 1969. Thermal acclimation and heat resistance in *Drosophila* species. American Naturalist, 103: 483–499.
- Lindquist, L. 1986. The heat-shock response. Annual Review of Biochemistry, 55: 1151-1191.
- Mahroof, R., Subramanyam, B. and Flinn, P. 2005a. Reproductive performance of *Tribolium castaneum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) exposed to the minimum heat temperature as pupae and adults. Journal of Economic Entomology, 98: 626-633.
- Mahroof, R., Zhu, K. Y. and Subramanyam, B. 2005b. Changes in expression of heat shock proteins in *Tribolium castaneum* (Coleoptera: Tenebrionidae) in relation to developmental stage, exposure time, and temperature. Annual of the Entomological Society of America, 98: 100-107.
- Mironidis., G. K. and Savopoulou-Soultani, M. 2010. Effect of heat shock on survival and reproduction of *Helicoverpa armigera* (Lepidoptera: Noctuidae) adults. Journal of Thermal Biology, 35: 59-69.
- Niedermayer, S., Obermaier, E. and Steidle, J. L. M. 2012. Some like it hot, some not: influence of extreme temperatures on *Lariophagus distinguendus* and *Anisopteromalus calandrae*. Journal of Applied Entomology, 137: 146-152.
- Ohgushi, T. and Sawada, H. 1997. A Shift toward Early Reproduction in an Introduced

Herbivorous Ladybird. Ecological Entomology, 22: 90-96.

- Parsell, D. A. and Lindquist, S. 1993. The function of heat-shock proteins in stress tolerance: degradation and reactivation of damaged proteins. Annual Review of Genetics, 27: 437-496.
- Precht, H., Christopherson, J., Hensel, H. and Larcher, W. 1973. Temperature and life. Springer, New York.
- Rinehart, J. P., Yocum, G. D. and Denlinger, D. L. 2000. Thermotolerance and rapid cold hardening ameliorate the negative effects of brief exposures to high or low temperatures on fecundity in the flesh fly, *Sarcophaga crassipalpis*. Physiological Entomology, 25: 330-336.
- Saxena, B. P., Sharma, P. R., Thappa, R. K. and Tikku, K. 1992. Temperature induced sterilization for control of three stored grain beetles. Journal of Stored Products Research, 28: 67-70.
- Scott, M., Berrigan, D. and Hoffmann, A. A. 1997. Costs and Benefits of Acclimation to Elevated Temperature in *Trichogramma carverae*. Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata. 85: 211-219.
- Speight, M. R., Hunter, M. D. and Watt, A. D. 1999. Ecology of insects: concepts and applications. Blackwell, Oxford, UK.
- Stebbing, A. R. D. 1981. The kinetics of growth control in a colonial hydroid. Journal of the Marine Biological Association of the UY, 61: 35-63.
- Talekar, N. and Shelton, M. 1993. Biology, ecology and management of the diamondback moth. Annual of Review Entomology, 38: 275-301.
- Teixeira, L. A. F. and Polavarapu, S. 2005. Expression of heat shock protein 70 after heat stress during pupal diapause in *Rhagoletis mendax* (Diptera: Tephritidae).

Annual of the Entomological Society of America, 98: 966-972.

- Terblanche, J. S., Nyamukondiwa, C. and Kleynhans, E. 2010. Thermal variability alters climatic stress resistance and plastic responses in a globally invasive pest, the mediterranean fruit fly (*Ceratitis capitata*). Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata, 137: 304-315.
- Tsunoda, S. 1980. Eco-physiology of wild and cultivated forms in Brassica and allied genera, In: Tsunoda, S., Hinata, K. and Gomez-Campo, C. (Eds.), Brassica crops and wild allies. Biology and breeding, Scientific Societies Press, 20. Tokyo, Japan, pp. 109.
- Xie, Q., Hou, B. and Zhand, R. 2008. Thermal responses of oriental fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae) late third instars: mortality, puparial morphology, and adult emergence. Journal of Economic Entomology, 101: 736-741.
- Yocum, G. D., Zdarek, J., Joplin, K. H., Lee, R. E. and Smith, D. C. 1994. Alteration of the exclusion rhythm and exclusion behavior in the flesh fly, *Sarcophaga crassipalpis*, by low and high temperature stress. Journal of Insect Physiology, 40: 13-21.
- Zhao, X., Fu, J. W., Wan, F. H., Guo, J. Y. and Wang, J. J. 2009. Effects of brief high temperature exposure on reproductive characteristics of *Agasicles hygrophila* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae). Acta Entomologica Sinica, 52: 1110-1114.
- Zhou, Z. S., Guo, J. Y., Chen, H. S. and Wan,
  F. H. 2010. Effects of temperature on survival, development, longevity and fecundity of *Ophraella communa* (Coleoptera: Chrysomelidae), a biological control agent against invasive ragweed, *Ambrosia artemisiifolia* L. (Asterales: Asteraceae). Environmental Entomology, 39: 1021-1027.

\_ J. Crop Prot. (2015) Vol. 4 (1)

Ebrahimi et al. \_

### اثر شوک گرمایی کوتاهمدت تخم بر رشد و نمو و باروری شب پره پشت الماسی *Plutella xylostella* (Lepidoptera: Plutellidae)

نجمه ابراهیمی، علیاصغر طالبی\* و یعقوب فتحی پور

گروه حشرهشناسی، دانشکده کشاورزی، دانشگاه تربیت مدرس، صندوق پستی ۳۳۶–۱۴۱۱۵، تهران، ایران. \* پست الکترونیکی نویسنده مسئول مکاتبه: talebia@modares.ac.ir دریافت: ۶ مهر ۱۳۹۳؛ پذیرش: ۲۹ مهر ۱۳۹۳

چکیده: این فرضیه وجود دارد که بقاء، رشد و نمو، باروری و افزایش جمعیت حشرات به طور معنی داری تحت تأثیر دما قرار می گیرد. شب پره پشت الماسی (Lepidoptera: در این تحقیق، اثر (a) Plutellida مهم ترین و مخرب ترین آفت خانواده چلیپائیان در سرتاسر دنیا می باشد. در این تحقیق، اثر شوک گرمایی بر طول عمر مراحل مختلف رشدی، حشرات کامل و باروری *P. xylostella مو*د مطالعه قرار گرفت. آزمایش ها بعد از شوک گرمایی در شرایط دمایی ۱ ± ۲۵ درجه سلسیوس، رطوبت نسبی ۵  $\pm 68$  درصد و دوره نوری ۱۶ ساعت روشنایی و ۸ ساعت تاریکی روی گیاه کلزا *Brassica napus* انجام شد. دوره رشد و نمو مراحل قبل از بلوغ بعد از شوک گرمایی (°°°، ۳۵ ۲۵ و ۴۰) در مقایسه با دمای شد. دوره رشد و نمو مراحل قبل از بلوغ بعد از شوک گرمایی (°°°، ۳۵ ۲۵ و ۴۰) در مقایسه با دمای ما ۲۰۰۵ ترجه سلسیوس، به طور معنی داری تحت تأثیر قرار گرفتند. طول دوره لاروی در شوک گرمایی معنی داری مؤثر بود. بیشترین طول دوره شفیرگی (۴۰/۰ ± ۲۱/۶ روز) در استرس گرمایی ۳۰ درجه سلسیوس به مدت ۲ ساعت به دست آمد. شوک گرمایی بر باروری و طول عمر حشرات کامل شیرگی به طور پشت الماسی تأثیر معنی دار داشت. بیشترین طول عمر حشرات کامل ماده (۲۰/۱ ± ۲۶/۱۰ روز) و نر پشت الماسی تأثیر معنی دار داشت. بیشترین طول عمر حشرات کامل ماده (۲۰/۱ ± ۲۰/۱۰ روز) و نر پروزی ماده ها با افزایش شوکهای گرمایی به طور معنی داری نوسان داشت. یافتههای این تحقیق ماروری ماده ها با افزایش شوکهای گرمایی به طور معنی داری نوسان داشت. یافته های این تحقیق میتواند به درک عمیق تر از پتانسیل حشرات نسبت به تغییرات محیطی مورد استفاده قرار بگیرد.

واژگان کلیدی: Plutella xylostella ، باروری، طول عمر، شوک گرمایی