

Research Article

Some species of *Paratylenchus* Micoletzky, 1922 (Nematoda: Tylenchulidae) from vineyards in Kermanshah province, western Iran

Mehrab Esmaeili and Ramin Heydari*

Department of Plant Protection, College of Agriculture and Natural resources, University of Tehran, Karaj, Iran.

Abstract: Eight species of *Paratylenchus* were collected and identified from vineyards in Kermanshah province, western Iran. Description, measurements, line drawings and microscopic photographs are provided for two new records namely *P. humilis* and *P. prunii. Paratylenchus humilis* have a lip region truncate-conoid with distinct small submedian lobes in lateral view of female head, stylet shorter than 40 μ m, three lateral lines and distinct vulval flaps. *Paratylenchus prunii* have a lip region rounded (slightly truncate in some specimens), without distinct submedian lobes in lateral view of female head, stylet shorter than 40 μ m, four lateral lines and distinct vulval flaps. Male of *P. straeleni* is reported for the first time.

Keywords: Grapevine, identification, morphology, morphometric, new record, pin nematode

Introduction

Plant-parasitic nematodes have been reported in the vineyards of the different provinces in Iran such as: Hamadan (Karegar et al., 1995), Markazi (Mohammad Deimi & Mitkowski, 2010) and Kurdistan (Ghaderi et al., 2014) including several species of pin nematodes (Paratylenchus spp.) P. peraticus (Raski, 1962) Siddiqi & Goodey, 1964 and P. arculatus Luc & de Guiran, 1962 (Karegar et al., 1995), P. coronatus Colbran, 1965 (Gharakhani et al., 2007), P. mexicanus Raski, 1975, P. projectus Wu & Hawn, 1975 and P. neoamblycephalus Geraert, 1965 (Ashrafi et al., 2012), P. conicephalus van den Berg, Eskandari, Teidt & Karegar, 2011 (Ghaderi et al., 2014), and P. audriellus Brown, 1959 and P. leptos Raski, 1975 (Esmaeili et al., 2015). Paratylenchus

Handling Editor: Vahe Minassian

* Corresponding author, e-mail: rheydari@ut.ac.ir Received: 24 October 2016, Accepted: 1 April 2017 Published online: 16 July 2017 Micoletzky, 1922 now includes 118 nominal species (Ghaderi et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2016) with wide ranges of stylet length from 10 to 120 µm and finely annulated cuticle which is rarely ornamented with rows of tubercles. Based on our current knowledge, the occurrence of pin nematodes in Kermanshah province is not known so far. In order to study the diversity of Paratylenchus spp. in Kermanshah province, we conducted several samplings in vineyards of the province during the summer of 2015. As a result, eight known species of the genus i. e. P. arculatus, P. audriellus, P. humilis Raski, 1975, nanus Cobb, leptos, Р. 1923, *P*. neoamblycephalus, P. prunii Sharma, Sharma & Khan, 1986 and P. straeleni (De Coninck, 1931) Oostenbrink, 1960 were identified. Two species P. humilis, P. prunii and male of P. straeleni as new records from Iran are described here.

Materials and Methods

Several soil samples were collected from the rhizosphere of grapevine in various localities of

Kermanshah province, western Iran. The nematodes were recovered from the soil samples using the rapid centrifugal-flotation method (Jenkins, 1964) and tray method (Whitehead and Hemming, 1965). Specimens observed under light microscope (LM) were heat-killed by adding hot 4% formaldehyde solution and processed to pure glycerin using De Grisse's (1969) method. Permanent slides were prepared and studied using a light Olympus BH-2 microscope. Measurements and drawings were made using a drawing tube attached to the same microscope. Photomicrographs of live nematodes were taken by a digital camera attached to a Nikon E200 (Japan). Species identification was done using the available references (Raski, 1975; 1991; Brzeski, 1998; Ghaderi et al., 2014).

Results and Discussion

Eight known species of the genus *Paratylenchus* were collected and identified: *P. arculatus* Luc & Guiran, 1962, *P. audriellus* Brown, 1959, *P. humilis* Raski, 1975a, *P. leptos* Raski, 1975, *P. nanus* Cobb, 1923, *P. neoamblycephalus* Geraert, 1965, *P. pruni* Sharma, Sharma & Khan, 1986 and *P. straeleni* (De Coninck, 1931) Oostenbrink, 1960. Among them, two species with short stylet namely *P. humilis* and *P. prunii* are new records for Iran nematode fauna. Furthermore, male of *P. straeleni* is reported and illustrated for the first time.

Paratylenchus humilis Raski, 1975 (Figs 1 and 2; Table 1)

Female. Heat-relaxed body posture slightly curved ventrad, an open letter C. Cuticle annuli about 1.3-1.5 μm wide at mid-body. Lateral field with three incisures. Lip region truncate-conoid, slightly off set; small submedian lobes distinct in lateral view. Stylet moderately slender, conus distinctly larger than the shaft; stylet knobs well developed, directed laterally to slightly posteriorly. Dorsal gland orifice opens at 3-4 μm posterior to stylet knobs. Pharynx criconematoid, with pyriform basal bulb. Excretory pore usually at level of the basal bulb. Ovary outstretched; spermatheca

spherical, with rounded sperm cells. Lips of vulva slightly protruded. Vulval flaps present. Anus obscure. Vulva-anus distance slightly longer than the tail length. Tail conoid, narrows gradually, almost tapering to an acute terminus, sometimes slightly digitate.

Male. Similar to the female in general characteristics. Lip region truncate-conoid or slightly rounded, not off set. Stylet absent, pharynx degenerate. Cloacal lips projected, forming a penial tube. Spicules slightly arcuate ventrally. Tail narrows abruptly posterior to cloaca, almost acute terminus.

Remarks. Paratylenchus humilis has been documented as a synonym of P. aquaticus Merny, 1966 in some literature (Geraert & Ali, 1978; Raski & Luc, 1987; Ebsary, 1991; Siddiqi, 2000), but Raski (1975, 1991), Esser (1992), Brzeski (1995, 1998), van den Berg et al. (2014) and Ghaderi et al. (2014) have regarded it as a valid taxon. Brzeski (1995) stated that the c value of the male needs more study, moreover the male tail of P. humilis is shorter than that of P. aquaticus ($c' = 1 \ vs$ 2.6-3.4). Also, bursa is present in the population of P. aquaticus collected from Mexico (Brzeski, 1995), but was absent in the illustration of P. humilis by Raski (1975). The male spicule length of *P. aquaticus* is given as 21-22 µm and 16-18 µm in the populations from Côte d' Ivoire (Merny, 1966) and Mexico (Brzeski, 1995), respectively, but this is 12-14 μ m for P. humilis from **Brazil** (Raski, 1975). Considering stable differences in body length and position of excretory pore in females, as well as length of tail and spicules in males in different populations of P. aquaticus and P. humilis, Brzeski (1995) and van den Berg et al. (2014) regarded P. humilis as a valid species. Females of our population are completely fit with those of P. humilis (Raski, 1975). However, females in our population are smaller than P. aquaticus (183-225 vs 256-409 µm) and the distance of the excretory pore from the anterior end is much shorter (44-59 vs 58-80 µm). Also, males of the Iranian population have shorter spicules (13-14 vs 16-22 μ m) and longer tail (c' = 2.2 vs 1) than those of the reported populations of P. aquaticus (Merny, 1966; Brzeski, 1995). Paratylenchus humilis can be easily distinguished from the other closely related species, P. leptos, P. perminimus

Siddiqi, 1996 and *P. thysanolus* Pramodini & Mohilal, 2009 by having female tail with acute terminus *vs* bluntly rounded terminus. This new record for Iran was collected from vineyards in Ghasr-e Shirin county, Kermanshah province, western Iran.




Figure 1 *Paratylenchus humilis*. A: Female entire body; B: Male entire body; C: Lateral field; D: Female reproductive system; E: Female pharyngeal region; F: Female posterior end; G: Male posterior end.




Figure 2 Paratylenchus humilis. A: Male pharyngeal region; B-D: Female anterior end; E: Spermatheca in detail; F: Vulva region showing vulval flap; G: Cross section of female; H: Female pharyngeal region and showing excretory pore; I, J: Female posterior end; K; Spicules in detail; L: Male posterior end. (All scalebars $5 \mu m$).

Table 1 Morphometric characters of *Paratylenchus humilis* collected from vineyards and its comparison with original description.

| Character | Present study | | Raski, 1975 | Raski, 1975 | | |
|--------------------|---|-----------|---------------|---------------|--|--|
| | 10 ♀ | 2 👌 | 6 ♀ | 14 👌 | | |
| L | 204 ± 15.3 (183-225) 7.5 | 190,200 | 180 (170-190) | 200 (180-210) | | |
| a | $16.5 \pm 1.5 (15.0 - 18.8) 9.0$ | 15.4,15.8 | 18 (16-20) | 24 (21-28) | | |
| b | $3.1 \pm 0.2 \ (3.0 - 3.3) \ 4.9$ | - | 3.4 (3.2-3.5) | - | | |
| c | $14.7 \pm 1.8 (13.1 \text{-} 18.8) 12.2$ | 10.6,13.3 | 17 (15-18) | 26 (20-30) | | |
| c' | $1.9 \pm 0.2 \ (1.6 \text{-} 2.3) \ 11.1$ | 2.0,2.5 | - | - | | |
| V | $81.9 \pm 1.5 (80.0 - 85.0) 1.9$ | - | 83 (81-84) | - | | |
| Stylet | $17.4 \pm 0.8 (16.0 \text{-} 19.0) 4.8$ | - | 18 (16-19) | | | |
| Conus | $11.5 \pm 1.0 (10.0 \text{-} 13.0) 8.5$ | - | - | - | | |
| m (conus/stylet %) | $66.2 \pm 5.7 (58.8-76.5) 8.7$ | - | - | - | | |
| Pharynx | $65.9 \pm 5.0 (60.0-75.0) 7.7$ | - | | - | | |
| Excretory pore | $49.5 \pm 5.6 (44.0-59.0) 11.2$ | 40,43 | 47 (43-50) | 48 (41-45) | | |
| Head - Vulva | $167.3 \pm 11.3 \ (152-184) \ 6.7$ | - | - 67 | - | | |
| Head - anus | $190.3 \pm 15.1 \ (169-213) \ 7.9$ | 172,185 | - ,) | - | | |
| Tail length | $14.0 \pm 1.4 (12.0 \text{-} 17.0) 10.1$ | 15,18 | Y - | - | | |
| Body width | $12.4 \pm 0.8 \ (11.0 \text{-} 14.0) \ 6.8$ | 12,13 | | - | | |
| S.E. / L (%) | $24.2 \pm 2.0 (20.5 - 26.2) 8.1$ | - | - | - | | |
| St / L (%) | $8.6 \pm 0.7 \ (8.0 \text{-} 10.4) \ 8.6$ | - | | - | | |
| Spicules | - | 13,14 | - | 13 (12-14) | | |

Measurements are in μm.

Paratylenchus prunii Sharma, Sharma & Khan, 1986

(Figs 3 and 4; Table 2)

Female. Heat-relaxed body posture ranging from slightly curved ventrad, an open letter C to a figure "6". Cuticle annuli about 1.0-1.2 µm wide at mid-body. Lateral field with four incisures. Lip region rounded (slightly truncate in some specimens), continuous with body contour, submedian lobes indistinct in lateral view. Stylet moderately slender, conus distinctly larger than the shaft; stylet knobs well developed, directed laterally to slightly posteriorly. Dorsal gland orifice opens at 3.0-4.5 µm posterior to stylet knobs. Pharynx criconematoid, with pyriform basal bulb. Excretory pore located in the region of basal pharyngeal bulb. Hemizonid present, situated in the region of excretory pore. Ovary outstretched; spermatheca rounded, with rounded sperm cells. Posterior uterus branch reduced, obscure. Lips of vulva not protruding. Vulval flaps present. Anus obscure. Vulva-anus distance slightly longer than the tail length. Tail ventrally arcuate with distinct striations ending with a bluntly rounded terminus.

Male. Similar to the female in general characteristics. Lip region truncate-conoid or slightly rounded, not off set. Stylet completely degenerate, pharynx barely distinguishable. Cloacal lips projected, forming a distinct penial tube. Spicules slightly arcuate ventrally. Tail conoid with rounded terminus.

Juvenile. One fourth-stage juvenile was found in its population. Similar to female. Stylet apparently absent. Tail tip more broadly rounded.

Remarks. This species originally has been described from soil around roots of peach trees (Prunus persica Batsch.) from Solan, India (Sharma et al., 1986). All morphological and morphometric characters of our population conform closely to those given by Sharma et al. (1986). Paratylenchus prunii resembles P. arculatus Luc & de Guiran, 1962, P. dianthus Jenkins & Taylor, 1956 and P. mexicanus Raski, 1975. It is distinguished from P. arculatus by having lip region without submedian lobes vs

with prominent submedian lobes and also in absence of stylet in juveniles (J4) vs presence. It can be distinguished from *P. dianthus* by having a spherical vs oval spermatheca, as well as having shorter spicules (14-15 vs 18-29 µm).

The other species, P. mexicanus, has an oval spermatheca and larger spicules (21 μ m). This species was collected from vineyards in Gilan-e Gharb county, Kermanshah province, and is reported for the first time from Iran.




Figure 3 *Paratylenchus prunii*. A: Male entire body; B: Female entire body; C: Lateral field; D: Female reproductive system; E: Female pharyngeal region; F: Female posterior end; G: Male posterior end.




Figure 4 Paratylenchus prunii. A-C: Female anterior end; D: Male pharyngeal region; E; Vulva region; F, G: Female posterior end; H: Spicule in detail; I: Male posterior end. (All scale-bars $10~\mu m$).

Table 2 Morphometric characters of *Paratylenchus prunii* collected from vineyards and comparison with other populations.

| Character | Present study | | Sharma, Sharma & K | Sharma, Sharma & Khan, 1986 | | |
|----------------------|--|----------------|---------------------|-----------------------------|--|--|
| | 10 ♀ | 2 ♂ | 50 ♀ | 5 ♂ | | |
| L | 324 ± 18 (290-343) 5.6 | 275,290 | 28 (230-320) | 270 (250-310) | | |
| a | $21.8 \pm 0.9 \ (20.3\text{-}23.2) \ 4.2$ | 19.3,21.2 | 20.3 (18.0-22.6) | 26.9 (25.4-28.4) | | |
| b | $3.1 \pm 0.1 \ (3.0 \text{-} 3.5) \ 4.4$ | - | 3.8 (3.5-4.4) | 3.4 (2.9-4.1) | | |
| c | $12.8 \pm 2.0 \ (10.7\text{-}17.5) \ 15.8$ | 12.6,13.8 | 12.3 (10.2-16.7) | 13.5 (12.7-14.6) | | |
| V | $81.0 \pm 1.1 \ (78.7 \text{-} 82.5) \ 1.4$ | - | 82.5 (79.2-84.1) | - | | |
| Stylet | $26.1 \pm 1.4 \ (24.0 - 28.0) \ 5.3$ | - | 24.8 (22-28) | - | | |
| Conus | $17.4 \pm 1.3 \ (16.0 - 20.0) \ 7.8$ | - | - | - | | |
| m (conus / stylet %) | $66.6 \pm 2.6 \ (64.0 \text{-} 71.4) \ 3.9$ | - | - | - | | |
| Pharynx | $99.7 \pm 5.5 \ (93.0 \text{-} 110.0) \ 5.5$ | - | - C | - | | |
| Excretory pore | $84.8 \pm 4.5 \ (79.0 - 90.0) \ 5.4$ | 78,83 | _ 5 | - | | |
| Head - Vulva | $253 \pm 13.2 \ (239-270) \ 5.2$ | - | (. | - | | |
| Head - anus | 288 ± 19 (263-316) 6.6 | 255,267 | | - | | |
| Tail length | $24.9 \pm 2.7 \ (19.0 - 27.0) \ 11$ | 20,23 | - | - | | |
| Body width | $14.4 \pm 0.5 \ (14.0 \text{-} 15.0) \ 3.6$ | 13,15 | 1 - 3 | - | | |
| S.E. / L (%) | $27.1 \pm 0.7 \ (25.8 - 28.4) \ 2.7$ | | _ | - | | |
| St / L (%) | $8.3 \pm 0.5 \ (8.0 - 9.5) \ 5.8$ | \mathbf{V}_1 | - | - | | |
| Spicules | - | 14,15 | - | 15.2 (14-16) | | |

Measurements are in µm.

Paratylenchus straeleni (De Coninck, 1931) Oostenbrink, 1960 (Figs 5 and 6; Table 3)

Paratylenchus straeleni was described based on a population from the soil of moss of the sewage gutter in Belgium (De Coninck, 1931). Females of this species have already been reported and described from oak trees in Saravan forest at Gilan province, Iran (Ghaderi and Karegar, 2013), and from the rhizosphere of apple trees in Gilan-e Gharb, Kermanshah province (Esmaeili et al., 2015). Present population of this species was collected from the rhizosphere of grapevine in Gilan-e Gharb and fits well with other populations, but this population is bisexual. Males are similar to the females in general characteristics. Lip region truncate-conoid or slightly rounded, not off set. absent, pharynx more or degenerated. Cloacal lips forming a distinct penial tube. Spicules slightly arcuate ventrally. Tail conoid, narrows abruptly posterior to cloaca, almost with acute terminus.

Remarks. Paratylenchus straeleni is close to P. audriellus. In 1965, Geraert discussed that P. straeleni is not so different from P. audriellus and noted that P. audriellus has a more sharply pointed tail and shorter stylet. Raski (1976) inspecting the paratypes of P. audriellus, stated that they are similar to several collections of P. straeleni and therefore, synonymized these two species. He also noted that the paratypes of P. audriellus have conoid, sharply-pointed tails in some females, but typical claw-like process on others. Most authors accepted and followed this synonymy (e. g. Brzeski, 1998; Brzeski & Háněl, 1999; Siddiqi, 2000; Andrássy, 2007; Ghaderi et al., 2014). In our previous work (Esmaeili et al., 2016), the Iranian population of P. audriellus was separated morphologically and morphometrically from populations of *P. straeleni* (Ghaderi & Karegar, 2013; Van den Berg *et al.*, 2014) in having a distinct claw-like tail process in all individuals *vs* tail tip not claw-shaped, and large *vs* short vulval flaps. Furthermore, the molecular characterisation of

these two very similar nematode species, using the D2-D3 of 28S rRNA and the partial 18S rRNA gene sequences, revealed that *P. straeleni* species is clearly separated from *P. audriellus* and has been considered as a valid taxon (Esmaeili *et al.*, 2016).




Figure 5 *Paratylenchus straeleni*. A: Female entire body; B: Female pharyngeal region; C: Female posterior end; D: Male entire body; E: Male posterior end; F: Female posterior end; G: Female reproductive system; H: Lateral field. (All scale-bars 25 μ m, except D & E which are 30 μ m).




Figure 6 Paratylenchus straeleni. A: Female pharyngeal region and showing excretory pore; B: Female posterior part of pharyngeal region; C: Female posterior end; D: Female anterior end; E, F, H, J; Female posterior end; G: Lateral field; H; Vulva region and showing vulval flaps; I: Male posterior end. (All scalebars $20~\mu m$).

Table 3 Morphometric characters of *Paratylenchus straeleni* collected from vineyards and comparison with other populations.

| Characters | Present study | | Brzeski & Háněl, 1999 | | Ghaderi & Karegar, Esmaeili <i>et al.</i> , 2013 2015 | |
|-----------------|---|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|---|--------------------------------------|
| | 10♀ | 3 ♂ | 56♀ | 14 ♂ | 15 ♀ | 12♀ |
| L | 324 ± 32.1 (276-360) 9.9 | 253 ± 316.8 (243-273) | 339 (284-386) | 336 (307-371) | 340 ± 18.6 (312-387) | 325 ± 23.4 (280-365) |
| a | $22.5 \pm 1.7 (19.7-25.1) 7.5$ | $18.7 \pm 2.4 (16.2 \text{-} 21.0)$ | 24 (20-27) | 29.3 (27-32) | $24.7 \pm 1.1 \ (22.8 \text{-} 27.4)$ | $22.5 \pm 1.7 (20.0-25.1)$ |
| b | 3.0 ± 0.2 (2.7-3.3) 6.2 | - | 3.4 (3.0-3.8) | 3.7 (3.2-4.1) | 3.2 ± 0.2 (2.9-3.7) | 3.0 ± 0.2 (2.7-3.3) |
| c | $11.1 \pm 1.7 (8.7\text{-}14.4) 15$ | $12.5 \pm 1.9 (10.2 \text{-} 13.7)$ | 13.1 (11-15) | 12.2 (11-13) | $13.0 \pm 0.8 (10.8\text{-}13.9)$ | $11.0 \pm 1.5 \ (8.7\text{-}14.0)$ |
| V | $82.1 \pm 2.9 (78.3 - 88.0) 3.6$ | - | 82 (80-84) | - | $81.9 \pm 0.6 (80.6 \text{-} 82.9)$ | $81.6 \pm 2.5 (78.3-85.7)$ |
| Stylet | $55.2 \pm 3.2 (52.0 - 60.0) 5.9$ | - | 54 (48-58) | - | $53.3 \pm 2.2 (47.6 \text{-} 56.5)$ | $54.8 \pm 3.7 (50.0 \text{-} 60.0)$ |
| Conus | $41.4 \pm 2.9 (36.0 - 46.0) 6.9$ | - | 42 (38-47) | - | $40.8 \pm 1.9 (34.5 43.4)$ | $41.4 \pm 2.6 (37.0 - 45.0)$ |
| m (%) | 75.1 ± 2.6 (69.2-78.2) 3.4 | - | 78 (76-81) | - | $76.4 \pm 2.0 (72.5 \text{-} 79.8)$ | $75.7 \pm 2.1 \ (72.7-80.0)$ |
| Excretory | $85.1 \pm 3.2 (81.0 \text{-} 89.0) 3.7$ | $7.7 \pm 2.5 (75-80)$ | 79 (69-92) | 74 (64-81) | $86.8 \pm 4.5 \; (78.0 \text{-} 93.8)$ | $84.9 \pm 3.6 (80.0 \text{-} 90.0)$ |
| pore Pharynx | $108 \pm 6.3 (100-117) 5.8$ | - | 101 (92-111) | - | 106 ± 3.2 (102-114) | 108 ± 6.8 (98-118) |
| Head-Vulva | 265.5 ± 19.1 (243-288) 7.2 | - | - | - | 279 ± 14.8 (255-317) | $265 \pm 20.2 \ (240-289)$ |
| Body width | $14.4 \pm 0.6 (14.0 - 15.5) 3.9$ | $13.7 \pm 1.2 (13-15)$ | - | - | $13.8 \pm 1.0 (12.5 \text{-} 17.0)$ | $14.4 \pm 0.6 (14.0 \text{-} 15.5)$ |
| Vulva-Anus | $34.2 \pm 9.5 (20-46) 27.8$ | - | - | - | $35.1 \pm 3.3 \ (28.0 - 40.7)$ | $33.9 \pm 3.7 (24.8-43.0)$ |
| Tail | $29.4 \pm 2.7 (25.0-34.0) 9.2$ | $20.7 \pm 3.1 (18-24)$ | 26 (20-31) | 28 (24-30) | 26.3 ± 2.6 (24.0-32.0) | 29.8 ± 2.5 (26.0-34.0) |
| St/L (%) | $17.1 \pm 1.5 (14.8 - 19.9) 8.5$ | - | - | - | $15.7 \pm 0.8 (13.6 \text{-} 16.9)$ | $16.9 \pm 1.4 (14.8 \text{-} 19.6)$ |
| EP/L (%) | 26.4 ± 1.8 (24.4-29.7) 6.9 | 29.6 ± 2.8 (26.9-32.5) | 23 (21-27) | - | $25.5 \pm 1.1 \ (23.9 \text{-} 27.3)$ | $26.2 \pm 1.7 (24.2-29.3)$ |
| Spicules | - | $19 \pm 1.0 (18\text{-}20)$ | - | 20 (19-21) | - | - |

Measurements are in µm.

References

Andrássy, I. 2007. Free-living nematodes of Hungary, II (Nematoda errantia). Hungarian Natural History Museum, Budapest. 496 pp.

Ashrafi, M., Niknam, G. and Kheiri, A. 2012. Identification of plant parasitic nematodes fauna in rhizosphere soil of vineyards in Urmia. Proceedings of 20th Iranian Plant Protection Congress. Volume II: Plant diseases and weeds, Shiraz, Iran, p. 666.

Brzeski, M. W. 1995. Paratylenchinae: morphology of some known species and descriptions of *Gracilacus bilineata* sp. n. and *G. vera* sp. n. (Nematoda: Tylenchulidae). Nematologica, 41 (4): 535-565.

Brzeski, M. W. 1998. Nematodes of Tylenchina in Poland and Temperate Europe. Warszawa, Poland, Muzeum I Instytut Zoologii PAN, 397 pp.

Brzeski, M. W. and Hanel, L. 1999. Paratylenchinae: postembryonic developmental stages of *Paratylenchus straeleni* (De Coninck, 1931) and *P. steineri* Golden, 1961 (Nematoda: Tylenchulidae). Nematology, 1: 673-680.

De Coninck, L. A. P. 1931. Sur trois espèces nouvelles de nématodes libres trouvés en Belgique. Bulletin du Musée royal d'histoire naturelle de Belgique, 7 (11): 1-5.

De Grisse, A. T. 1969. Redescription ou modification de quelques techniques utilisées dans l'étude des nématodes phytoparasitaires. Mededelingen van de Rijksfaculteit landbouwwetenschappen Gent, 34: 351-369.

Ebsary, B. A. 1991. Catalog of the order Tylenchida (Nematoda). Ottawa, ON, Canada, Agriculture Canada, Research Branch, Publication 1869/B.

Esmaeili, M., Heydari, R. and Ghaderi, R. 2015. Three species of Paratylenchus Micoletzky, 1922 (Nematoda: Tylenchulidae) from Kermanshah province, western Iran. Journal of Crop Protection, 5 (1): 99-113.

Esmaeili, M., Heydari, R., Castillo, P., Ziaie, M. and Palomares-Rius, J. E. 2016. Molecular characterisation of two known

- species of *Paratylenchus* Micoletzky, 1922 from Iran with notes on the validity of *Paratylenchus audriellus* Brown, 1959. Nematology, 18: 591-604.
- Esser, R. P. 1992. A diagnostic compendium to species included in Paratylenchinae Thorne, 1949 and Tylenchocriconematinae Raski & Siddiqui, 1975 (Nematode: Criconematoidea). Nematologica, 38: 146-163.
- Geraert, E. 1965. The genus *Paratylenchus*. Nematologica, 11 (3): 301-334.
- Geraert, E. and Ali, S. S. 1978. Observations on *Paratylenchus aquaticus* (syn. *P. humilis*) and *P. obtusicaudatus* from Africa (Tylenchida: Nematoda). Mededelingen van de Faculteit Landbouwwetenschappen, Rijksuniversiteit Gent, 43: 813-816.
- Ghaderi, R. and Karegar, A. 2013. Some species of *Paratylenchus* (Nematoda: Tylenchulidae) from Iran. Iranian Journal of Plant Pathology, 49: 49-52 [137-156]. [In Persian with English summary].
- Ghaderi, R., Kashi, L. and Karegar, A. 2014. Contribution to the study of the genus *Paratylenchus* Micoletzky, 1922 *sensu lato* (Nematoda: Tylenchulidae). Zootaxa, 3841: 151-187.
- Gharakhani, A., Pourjam, E. & Karegar, A. 2007. Some species of plant parasitic nematodes of the superfamily Criconematoidea and family Longidoridae from orchards in Kerman province. Iranian Journal of Plant Pathology, 4: 372-397. [In Persian with English summary].
- Jenkins, W. R. 1964. A rapid centrifugal flotation technique for separating nematodes from soil. Plant Disease Reporter 48: 692.
- Karegar, A., Geraert, E. and Kheiri, A. 1995. Tylenchus associated with grapevine in the province of Hamadan, Iran. Mededelingen van de Rijksfaculteit landbouwwetenschappen Gent, 60: 1063-1086.
- d'Afrique Merny, G. 1966. Nématodes tropicale: un nouveau **Paratylenchus** (Criconematidae), deux nouveaux Longidorus et observations sur Longidorus laevicapitatus Williams, (Dorylaimidae). Nematologica, 12: 385-395.

- Mohammad Deimi, A. and Mitkowski, N. 2010. Nematodes associated with vineyards throughout Markazi province (Arak), Iran. Australasian Plant Pathology, 39: 571-577.
- Oostenbrink, M. 1960. The family Criconematidae. In: Sasser, J. N. & Jenkins, W. R. (Eds.), Nematology. Chapel Hill. University of North Carolina Press, pp. 196-205.
- Raski, D. J. 1975. Revision of the genus *Paratylenchus* Micoletzky, 1922, and descriptions of new species. Part I of 3 parts. Journal of Nematology, 7: 15-34.
- Raski, D. J. 1991. Tylenchulidae in agricultural soils. In: Nickle, W. R. (Ed.), Manual of agricultural nematology. New York, NY, USA, Marcel Dekker Inc., pp. 761-794.
- Raski, D. J. and Luc, M. 1987. A reappraisal of Tylenchina (Nemata) 10. The superfamily Criconematoidea Taylor, 1936. Revue de Nématologie, 10: 409-444.
- Sharma, G. C., Sharma, N. K. and Khan, E. 1986. Two new species of genus, *Paratylenchus* Micoletzky, 1922 (Nematoda: Paratylenchinae) from Himachal Pradesh, India. Indian Journal of Nematology, 16 (2): 231-235.
- Siddiqi, M. R. 2000. Tylenchida: Parasites of Plants and Insects, 2nd edition. Wallingford, UK, CABI Publishing, 833 pp.
- Van den Berg, E., Tiedt, L. R. and Subbotin, S. A. 2014. Morphological and molecular characterization of several *Paratylenchus* Micoletzky, 1922 (Tylenchida: Paratylenchidae) species from South Africa and USA, together with some taxonomic notes. Nematology, 16: 323-358.
- Wang, K., Li, Y., Xie, H., Xu, C. and Wu, W. 2016. Morphology and molecular analysis of *Paratylenchus guangzhouensis* n. sp. (Nematoda: Paratylenchinae) from the soil associated with *Bambusa multiplex* in China. European Journal of Plant pathology, 145: 255-264.
- Whitehead, A. G. and Hemming, J. R. 1965. A comparison of some quantitative methods for extracting small vermiform nematodes from soil. Annals of Applied Biology, 55: 25-38.

چند گونه از جنس (Nematoda: Tylenchulidae) کونه از جنس (Paratylenchus Micoletzky, 1922 و التانهای استان کرمانشاه، غرب ایران

محراب اسمعیلی و رامین حیدری*

گروه گیاهپزشکی، پردیس کشاورزی و منابع طبیعی، دانشگاه تهران، کرج، ایران. * پست الکترونیکی نویسنده مسئول مکاتبه: rheydari@ut.ac.ir دریافت: ۳ آبان ۱۳۹۵؛ پذیرش: ۱۲ فروردین ۱۳۹۶

چکیده: هشت گونه متعلق به جنس Paratylenchus از تاکستانهای استان کرمانشاه، غرب ایران جمعآوری و هناسایی شد. توصیف کامل، دادههای ریختسنجی، ترسیمها و عکسهای میکروسکوپ نوری برای دو گونه P. humilis و P. humilis به عنوان گزارش جدید برای فون نماتدهای ایران ارایه شده است. گونه P. humilis داری سر همطراز با بدن، اغلب مخروطی در جلو تخت و دارای برجستگیهای کنار میانی ریز، استایلت کوتاهتر از ۴۰ میکرومتر، سه شیار طولی در سطوح جانبی بدن و پرده کوتیکولی مشخص اطراف فرج است. گونه P. prunii دارای سر همطراز با بدن، اغلب گرد و فاقد برجستگیهای کنار میانی ریز، استایلت کوتاهتر از ۴۰ میکرومتر، چهار شیار طولی در سطوح جانبی بدن و پرده و پرده کوتیکولی مشخص اطراف فرج است. نر نماتد P. straeleni نیز برای اولین بار از ایران گزارش می شود.

واژگان کلیدی: انگور، شناسایی، شکلشناسی، ریختسنجی، اولین گزارش، نماتد سنجاقی

