Available online at http://ijdea.srbiau.ac.ir

GDEA

Int. J. Data Envelopment Analysis (ISSN 2345-458X) Vol.3, No.3, Year 2015 Article ID IJDEA-00336, 6 pages

Research Article



International Journal of Data Envelopment Analysis

Science and Research Branch (IAU)

# Finding Target by Using Value Efficiency (A Case Study of Human Resources Division in Parsian Gas Refinery Company)

Mohsen Hemmat<sup>a</sup>, M.R. Mozaffary<sup>b\*</sup>

 (a) M.A student, Department of Management, Marvdasht Branch, Islamic Azad University, Marvdasht, Iran
 (b) Department of Mathematics, Shiraz Branch, Islamic Azad University, Shiraz, Iran

Received 15 November 2015, Revised 1 January 2016, Accepted 11 February 2016

## Abstract

In this paper, using the Value Efficiency in DEA pattern by taking decisive obtain. Generally, nonradial model of VE and use proper weight to reduce input and output gain are the appropriate pattern. This paper introduces a multi-objective linear programming problem and efficiency determines the value of inefficient units. Use interactive methods to solve MOLP proposed and applied. In conclusion, to study the scope of human resources Parsian gas refinery runs and the pattern of the proposed models It has been found inefficient units.

Keywords: DEA, Value Efficiency, Parsian Gas Refinery Company.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding Author: mozaffari854@yahoo.com

#### 1. Introduction

DEA is method to evaluate the performance of decision making units which was first presented by Charnes et al. through CCR model. Korhonen proposed Value Efficiency to evaluate the inefficient units. In the same way, the present article propose utilizing Value Efficiency and considering managers' opinions for finding Target of linear programming model. Generally, finding Target is first achieved by comparing the efficiency decision-making without of considering personal opinions. Second, in determining the appropriate model manager's opinions is considered and prioritized accordingly. Banker and Other in 1984 with the introduction of variable returns to scale technology BCC models offer and remove the principle of extreme radiation in the collection was the possibility of making this change. Andersen and petersen in 1993 by eliminating one efficient method for ranking efficient units under evaluation suggest that creates new models were used to determine the number of decision makers. (1). Then Cook and colleagues in 2004 for benchmarking the Bank of DEA was used. (2) With the introduction of value efficiency by Halme and korhonen in 2013 VE benchmarking used to determine the value and proposed new models. (3) Halme and partners in 2014 as well as the application of the value efficiency for Target modeled on bank branches. (4) Understanding and planning for the introduction of multiobjective structure and set of answers optimal

Parato well as the pattern of decision making units and become familiar with the methods of weight Lexicographic objective function can be used English books Steuer (1986). (6) Interactive multi-objective linear programming methods for applications development DEA introduced in1988 by Golany. (5).The present article is organized as follows: In the second part the basic concepts of Value Efficiency are stated and in part three the proposed model for finding a suitable model is presented using Value Efficiency. In part four VE is recommended on base of MOLP. Finally, a case study and conclusions are provided.

#### 2. Basic Concepts of Value Efficiency

Suppose there are n decision making units which use m inputs  $X_j = (x_{ij}, ..., x_{mj})$  to produce outputs Format  $Y_j = (y_{ij}, ..., y_{sj})$ .

The decision-making unit P is denoted by DMUp. For evaluating the inefficiency unit of P in the involvement form under variable returns to scale technology VE model was presented by Korhonen as follows:

$$Max \ \delta + \varepsilon \left( \sum_{i=1}^{m} S_{i} + \sum_{r=1}^{s} S_{r} \right)$$
  
St
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} x_{ij} + \delta x_{ip} + s_{i} = x_{ip} \qquad i = 1,...,m \qquad (1)$$
$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} y_{rj} - \delta y_{rp} - s_{r} = y_{rp} \qquad i = 1,...,s$$
$$\lambda_{j} = \begin{cases} free & if \ \lambda_{j}^{*} > \circ \\ \geq \circ & if \ \lambda_{j}^{*} = \circ \end{cases} \qquad (2)$$

It should be mentioned that in model (1)  $\mathcal{E}$  in

808

the objective function is a non-Archimedean number,  $S_i$  is the slack variable of the input constrain and  $S_r$  is the surplus variable of the output constraint. Also,  $\lambda^*$  in the convex combination of efficient units which are of utmost importance from viewpoint of the manager is specified.

#### 3. Propose Model

In this section, through considering the manager's viewpoints in the model (1) and prioritizing input and output, the value efficiency model is proposed in the non-radial form under the variable returns to scale technology as follows:

$$Max\left(\frac{1}{t}\sum_{i=1}^{t}\sigma_{i} + \frac{1}{f}\sum_{r=1}^{f}\delta_{r}\right)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n}\lambda_{j}x_{ij} + \sigma_{i}x_{ip} + s_{i} = x_{ip} \qquad i \in I_{1}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n}\lambda_{j}x_{ij} \leq x_{ip} \qquad i \in I_{2} \quad (3)$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n}\lambda_{j}y_{rj} - \delta_{r}y_{rp} - s_{r} = y_{rp} \qquad r \in o_{1}$$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n}\lambda_{j}y_{rj} \geq y_{rp} \qquad r \in o_{2}$$

In model (3),  $S_i, S_i \ge \circ$  and conditions of  $\lambda$  is hold for (2). Generally, in model (3), according to the viewpoint of the manger,  $I_1$  inputs are in the priority of reducing and  $o_1$  outputs are in the priority of increasing in the non-radial form. Also, in the objective function the number of members in  $I_{\lambda}$  set and the number of  $o_{\lambda}$ members are considered t and f, respectively. Of course, the objective function (3) can be replaced with the following equation:

$$\left(\frac{1}{t}\sum_{i=1}^{t}w_i\delta_i + \frac{1}{f}\sum_{r=1}^{f}u_r\sigma_r\right)$$

In other words,  $w_i$  and  $u_r$  weights are utilized for taking into accounts the manager's viewpoint in reducing or increasing input and outputs of  $I_1$  and  $o_1$  sets, respectively.

### 4. Suggested MOLP

It is clear that the definition of multi-objective linear programming problem arises optimal Pareto solutions that may be considered superior to or defect. But it is obvious that it is necessary to find a suitable model between model questions and answers should be exchanged decision optimal Pareto questions to be referred to as a model. In general, finding the right pattern of optimal Pareto solutions using multi-linear structure planning (MOLP) is possible. In DEA finding a suitable model can only be achieved on the basis of all efficient units but in the VE with the highest efficiency with regard to the performance of MPS is determined and efficient model for inefficient units specified for the linear multiobjective planning model for inefficient unit (P) is as follows:

$$Max \{ \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_t, \delta_1, \dots, \delta_f \}$$
  
s.t  
$$\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j x_{ij} + \sigma_i x_{ip} + s_i = x_{ip} \qquad i \in I,$$
$$\sum_{j=1}^n \lambda_j x_{ij} \le x_{ip} \qquad i \in I_2$$

www.SID.ir

$$\begin{split} &\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} y_{rj} - \delta_{r} y_{rp} - s_{r} = y_{rp} \qquad r \in o_{1} \\ &\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda_{j} y_{rj} \ge y_{rp} \qquad r \in o_{2} \\ &\lambda_{j} = \begin{cases} free & if \ \lambda_{j}^{*} > \circ \\ \ge \circ & if \ \lambda_{j}^{*} = \circ \end{cases} \qquad S_{i}, S_{r} \ge 0 \\ &i = 1 \dots m \ , \ r = 1 \dots s \end{split}$$

To solve the model (4) of Lexicographic X-ray method can be used. But extreme in solving one of the objectives may be problematic. Total weighted using an objective function leads to a model (3). In general, the weight of the structure and function MOLP helps us as a model to be introduced first optimal Parato solutions. Second, by giving appropriate weight priority to reduce input and increase output can be done. Thirdly, with regard to MPS administrator productivity compared with the units that are most appropriate model to apply and efficient units will be determined at the end. Interactive methods Z-W, STEM to solve the model (4) is recommended. Generally, the multi-objective programming model (4) the following advantages are considered:

a) Find a suitable model for inefficient unit  $DMU_{p}$  from the solutions of the optimal Pareto.

b) To handle input I<sub>1</sub> and output O<sub>1</sub>, reducing Input and increasing Output gain is included.
c) Do Manager viewpoint and prioritize actions to get the right model.

d) find an appropriate model using non-radial structure.

e) The use of weight control for the dual model (4) and limit the inputs and outputs To find the right model in the proposed models of optimal solutions we use  $\lambda_{j}^{*}$  are placed in the following equation:

$$\{\sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda^{*}_{j} x_{ij}, \sum_{j=1}^{n} \lambda^{*}_{j} y_{rj}\}$$

5. The Case Study In this section the following results were obtained through considering eleven units in Parsian Gas Refinery Company with four inputs and six outputs in the human resources division:

DMU	I1	I2	I3	I4
1	4	21337223	47	1
2	6	16896266	42	6
3	2	24630600	43	4
4	25	9140888	37	11
5	4	9791675	37	5
6	22	13341436	41	10
7	16	13118186	39	5
8	2	15064400	41	0.5
9	205	12071948	38	9
10	36	12853325	37	7
11	53	13247116	42	8

Table1. data of inputs:

DMU	01	O2	O3	04	05	06
1	70	60	80	80	4.5	19
2	75	73	75	75	7	14
3	50	55	70	80	2.5	17
4	80	50	65	60	21	11
5	70	70	83	80	4	9
6	60	60	75	55	19.5	15
7	65	50	60	66	19.5	13
8	60	70	77	75	2.5	13
9	70	75	72	70	208.5	12
10	60	78	78	65	51.25	12
11	65	50	74	73	49.5	15

Table 2. data of Outputs:

Considering CCR and additive models, all decision making units are efficient. Meanwhile, the efficiency scale is determined by using Russell's non-radial model and weight constrain for the first and fourth inputs and the sixth output as follows:

DMU	Efficient Scale	DMU	Efficient Scale	DMU	Efficient Scale
1	0.78	5	1	9	1
2	0.93	6	0.82	10	1
3	0.86	7	0.85	11	0.85
4	1	8	0.94	_	_

Table 3

Also, with weight restrictions on the first and fourth input without placing weights restrictions on the outputs all efficient units except  $DMU_7$  and

 $DMU_8$  are determined with the scale efficiency of 0.96 and 0.97, respectively.

If the weight restrictions are placed on the third input all units except  $DMU_2$  will be efficient with the scale efficiency of 0.93.

At the end, the weight restriction is considered just for the sixth input and units of  $DMU_2$ ,  $DMU_6$ ,  $DMU_7$  and  $DMU_{11}$  become inefficient with scale of 0.99, 0.85, 0.96 and 0.87, respectively, and other units are efficient. Finding VE is not possible by using model (1) as all units are efficient.

With the non-radial mode for the inputs and outputs and also considering  $DMU_9$  and  $DMU_{10}$  as units which enjoy highest productivity (M.P.S) the inefficient unit of  $DMU_3$  is considered the unit under evaluation.

The optimal solution for model (3) equals to 1.23 and this means that for  $DMU_3$  which is inefficient the model existed on the hyperplanes which passes through MPS, i.e. units 9 and 10.

In other case, with considering the manager's viewpoint regarding units, it is observed that satisfaction towards units of 8 and 7are 60 percent and 65 percent, respectively, so with imposing the weight restriction on the first and

fourth restrictions and also by placing priority on the first output of model (3) and using units 9, 4 and 5 on a scale of with the hyperefficient scale of 4.33, 1.27 and 1.59 as efficient units, the optimal value of objective function (3) is equal to 1.83, which means there is a possibility of finding a suitable model for units 7 and 8 on the hyperplane which passes through units 4, 5 and 9. Overall, the proper model for decision making units can be determined by considering the manger's viewpoint and also using weight restrictions on inputs and outputs of the model (3) under constant returns to scale technology.

#### 6. Conclusion

In this paper, under constant returns to scale technology a non-radial model is suggested through considering the manager's viewpoint and the separation of inputs and outputs based on the VE. The proposed model is used for inefficient units and it is necessary the corresponding variables of efficient units which are on the frontier be considered free. For future research finding hyper-efficient scale and returns to scale As well as use interactive methods Z-W and STEM to solve MOLP is recommended.

#### References

Anderson P,Peterson NC(1993) A
 Procedure for Ranking Efficient units in data
 Envelopment Analysis .Manage Sci 39(10):1261-1264.

[2] Cook WD, Seiford LM, Zhu J (2004) Models for performance benchmarking: measuring the effect of e-business activities on banking performance. Omega 32(4):313-322.

[3] Halme M, Korhonen P(2013) Using value efficiency analysis to benchmark Non-homogeneous units. Int J Inform Technol Decision Making.

[4] Halme M, Korhonen P, Eskelinen J (2014)Non-convex value efficiency analysis and its application to bank branch salesevaluation.Omega 48:10-18.

[5] Golany B (1988) An interactive MOLP procedure for the extension of DEA to effectiveness analysis. J Oper Res Soc 39:725-734.

[6] Steuer RE (1986) Multiple criteria Optimization: theory, computation and application. Wiley, New York 26:326-344 Int. J. of Data Envelopment Analysis Vol.3, No.3 (2015)

# Finding Target by Using Value Efficiency (A Case Study of Human Resources Division in Parsian Gas Refinery Company)

Mohsen Hemmat, M.R. Mozaffary

یافتن الگوی مناسب با استفاده از کارایی ارزش

(مطالعه موردی حوزه منابع انسانی شرکت پالایش گاز پارسیان)

چکیده: در این مقاله با استفاده از کارایی ارزش در تحلیل پوششی دادهها الگوی مناسب را با در نظر گرفتن نظر تصمیم گیرنده بدست می آوریم. به طور کلی با ارائه مدل غیر شعاعی در کارایی ارزش و استفاده از وزنهای مناسب برای کاهش ورودی و افزایش خروجی الگوی مناسب را مشخص می کنیم. در این مقاله با معرفی مساله برنامه ریزی خطی چند هدفه و کارایی ارزش الگوی واحدهای ناکارا تعیین میشود. استفاده از روشهای تعامل در حل MOLP پیشنهادی و کاربردی می باشد. در خاتمه برای مطالعه موردی حوزه منابع انسانی پالایشگاه گاز پارسیان مدلهای پیشنهادی را اجرا و الگوی واحدهای ناکارا مشخص شده است.

كلمات كليدي: تحليل پوشش دادهها، كارايي ارزش