

Original Article (Pages: 7393-7404)

Association of Perceived Weight Status and Health-Related Quality of Life in Children and Adolescents: the Weight Disorder Survey of the CASPIAN- IV Study

Silva Hovsepian¹, *Mostafa Qorbani^{2,3}, Mohammad Esmaeil Motlagh⁴, Mojgan Asadi⁵, Armita Mahdavi Gorabi⁶, Sahar Alirezayi⁷, Hamid Asayesh⁸, Neda Hani-Tabaei Zavareh⁹, *Roya Kelishadi¹

¹Pediatrics Department, Child Growth and Development Research Center, Research Institute for Primordial Prevention of Non Communicable Disease, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran. ²Non-Communicable Diseases Research Center, Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Karaj, Iran. ³Endocrinology and Metabolism Research Center, Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinical Sciences Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ⁴Department of Pediatrics, Ahvaz Jundishapur University of Medical Sciences, Ahvaz, Iran. ⁵Osteoporosis Research Center, Endocrinology and Metabolism Clinical Sciences Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ⁶Department of Basic and Clinical Research, Tehran Heart Center, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran. ⁷Chronic Diseases Research Center, Endocrinology and Metabolism Population Sciences Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Qom, Iran. ⁹Department of Public Health, Massachusetts College of Pharmacy and Health Sciences, Boston, Massachusetts, USA.

Abstract

Background: This study investigates the association of perceived weight status and health-related quality of life (HRQOL) in a representative sample of Iranian children and adolescents. Materials and Methods: In this study, 6-18-year-old students were selected from 30 provinces of Iran. Weight status and perceived weight status of students were determined by physical examination and using the validated questionnaire of the World Health Organization-Global school-based student health survey, respectively. The students' HRQL was evaluated by the Persian version of the Pediatric Quality of Life inventory (PedsQLTM 4.0TM 4.0) Generic Core Scales. *Results:* The participants consisted of 23,043 students with mean (standard deviation [SD]) age of 12.55(3.31) years. Underweight was significantly less prevalent than perceived underweight (30.8% vs 10.0%, respectively, P<0.001), whereas normal weight was significantly more prevalent than perceived normal weight (70.8% vs. 52.5%, respectively P<0.001). The mean total PedsQLTM score and its subscales in different categories of perceived weight did not have significant difference (P>0.05). After adjustment for age, gender, region, socio-economic status, physical activity, screen time, and body mass index (BMI), significant negative association was documented between perceived overweight and total score of HRQOL. School functioning was negatively associated with perceived overweight. Positive significant association existed between perceived overweight and psychosocial functioning. **Conclusion:** Our findings indicated that weight underestimation is the most common form of weight misperception in Iranian children and adolescents, which could be a cause of concern especially for obesity treatment and prevention programs. Furthermore, considering the negative association between weight overestimation and total HRQOL and school functioning, it is suggested that social standards and norms impacts on weight perception and consequently HRQOL in children and adolescents.

Key Words: Health related quality of life, Children, Iran, Weight.

*Please cite this article as: Hovsepian S, Qorbani M, Motlagh ME, Asadi M, Mahdavi Gorabi A, Alirezayi S, et al. Association of Perceived Weight Status and Health-Related Life Quality in Children and Adolescents: the Weight Disorder Survey of the CASPIAN- IV Study. Int J Pediatr 2018; 6(3): 7393-7404. DOI: 10.22038/ijp.2017.27258.2345

Mostafa Qorbani, Non- Communicable Diseases Research Center, Alborz University of Medical Sciences, Karaj, Iran *AND* Roya Kelishadi, Child Growth And Development Research Center, Research Institute for Primordial Prevention of Non-communicable Disease, Isfahan University of Medical Sciences, Isfahan, Iran. E-mail: mqorbani1379@yahoo.com AND roya.kelishadi@gmail.com

Received date: Nov.12, 2017; Accepted date: Dec.22, 2017

^{*}Corresponding Author:

1- INTRODUCTION

Heath-Related **Ouality** of Life (HRQOL) is an important health issue that as a comprehensive multidimensional concept for assessment of the impact of disease and health conditions on individuals' physical and mental health and social functioning (1, 2). The association between different diseases and HRQOL has been investigated in several studies in adult populations (3, 4). The U.S Center for Disease and Control and Prevention recommend assessing the interacting relationship for different age groups including children and adolescents (5). The importance of this issue has also been emphasized by some pediatricians (6-8). However, HRQOL could impact on different aspects of diseases management including, patients' wellbeing, as well as treatment outcomes. Weight disorders are of the most common disorders with increasing trend in different populations (9). The association between body mass index (BMI), and HRQOL among children and adolescents has been studied and different results have been reported (10-12). Some studies demonstrated significant associations of overweight or underweight status with HRQOL (13, 14), whereas some others did not show such an association (10-12), and there are still controversies in this regard. Recently, the concept of weight perception misperception and its association with HRQOL among pediatric population has also been developed (15, 16).

The person's subjective appraisal about their weight status is defined as weight perception whichcould be affected by social and ethnic factors (17). Weight status misperception is defined as the difference between individuals' perception and their actual weight status based on their height and weight measurement, which could be presented as both weight underestimation and overestimation (18). The reported prevalence rate ofweight

perception has a wide range of 20-50% in different communities. This rate varied in different societies according to their sociodemographic, ethnicity, and cultural characteristics (19-21). The rate of weight misperception was 50.8% among Iranian children and adolescents (22). It is documented that weight misperception is related to psychosocial health problems and weight control practice in children and adolescents with weight disorders (23, 24). More recent studies suggested that compared with measured weight status, weight perception is a stronger factor associated with children's wellbeing (25.26). Moreover, evidences indicated that weight misperception is associated with health risk behaviors in children and adolescents and targeting weight misperception in pediatric population could improve the achievement of healthy behaviors (27, 28).

The most important interventional field in this regard is weight disorders in children because it is a preventable disorder and effective interventional policies providing fundamental in healthier lifestyle which consequently result in better prevention of weight disorders specially obesity (29, 30); while many studies have evaluated the relationship of weight status with HRQOL (10, 12, 31), but few studies are available worldwide about the association between perceived weight status and HRQOL in children and adolescents (26, 32). Thus, this nationwide study aimed to investigate the association of perceived weight status and health related quality of life in Iranian children and adolescents. Our results would be helpful for providing more effective preventative strategies for pediatric weight disorders.

2- MATERIALS AND METHODS

2-1. Study design and population

This nationwide school-based study was designed as a cross sectional study

and conducted as a part of the Weight Disorder Survey of a surveillance program entitled the Childhood Adolescence Surveillance and Prevention of Adult Noncommunicable disease (CASPIAN- IV) study; details are published previously (33, 34).

2-2. Methods

Herein, the methods of current study are explained briefly. School students, aged 6-18 years, were selected randomly from rural and urban areas of 30 provinces of Iran. First, the list of schools was provided by the information bank of the Ministry of Education, and then by random sampling method, schools were selected from this list. The protocol of the study was designed based on the declaration of Helsinki (Seoul, 2008), and confirmed by relevant national and provincial regulatory organizations and regional committees. Sampling in each province was performed based on student's place of residence (urban or rural) and level of education (primary and secondary) using the proportional to size methodand with equal sex ratio. This means that the number of boys and girls was the same in each province, and their ratio in urban and rural areas was proportional to the number of students in urban and rural areas. Similarly, the number of samples between different grades in urban and rural areas was divided according to the number of students in each grade. Achieving the desired number of samples was obtained using cluster sampling in each province with equal cluster sizes (34).

The objectives of the study and methods were described for all participants. They assured that participation in this study was voluntary and they had the right to withdraw from the survey. They also assured that all of their information and responses would remain confidential. After that, oral assent and written informed consent were obtained from selected students and their parents who accepted to

participate in the study. Demographic and familybased characteristics of the students were recorded by trained researchers using validated the questionnaires of the CASPIAN IV study. Two sets of questionnaires were completed by students and their parents. The students' questionnaire was obtained from the translated and validated questionnaire of the World Health Organization- Global school-based student health survey (WHO-GSHS) (35). The validity and reliability of the translated version of the WHO GSHS questionnaire was approved by an expert panel. They approved the face validity and in the phase of content validity assessment, the questions getting a score of more than 0.75 were affirmed to have appropriate validity. Cronbach's content coefficient of the whole questionnaires 0.97and Pearson's correlation coefficient of the test-retest phase was 0.94, which confirmed the reliability of questionnaires (36).

Anthropometric measurements including weight and height of the students were conducted by trained healthcare professionals with light clothes and shoes by using without instruments. Weight was measured to the nearest 0.1 kg on a scale placed on a flat ground and subjects wearing light clothing and standing motionless and height were measured without shoes to the nearest 0.1 BMI of each participant calculated as weight (Kg) divided by height squared (m²)(34). Weight status and perceived weight status of the students were determined by BMI categories and the abovementioned validated questionnaire, respectively (34). Physical activity level was evaluated using the questionnaire physical activity adolescents (PAO-A). which is validated, modified version of the physical activity questionnaire for children (PAQ-C).It is a self-administrated questionnaire that records the subjects' exercise or activities during leisure time, physical education period, after school, in evening and on weekends during a 7- day period. It is classified as low and high physical activity level by considering PAO-A score of 1-1.9 and 2-5, respectively. The validity and reliability of the Persian version of the questionnaire were approved through a comprehensive national study among Iranian population (34, 37). Screen time (ST) was determined by asking the average time (hours per day) in weekdays and weekends that students spent watching TV, electronic games or leisure time computer use. Level of ST was classified as low (< 2hours per day) and high (>2 hours per day) (38). Socio-economic status of the families was estimated using the method of the Progress in the International Reading Literacy Study (PIRLS) (39,40).Socioeconomic status (SES) variables including parents' education and occupation, type of home (private/rental), school type (private/public), possessing private car, and having personal computer in home were used in the principal component analysis. Health-related OoL (HRQL) of the students was evaluated by using the Persian version of the Pediatric Quality of Life inventory (PedsQLTM 4.0_{TM} 4.0) Generic Core Scales (41). Mean of HRQL in different categories of weight status and perceived weight status, as well as the association between students' perceived weight status and HRQL and its subscales

2-3. Ethical consideration

This study was approved by ethical committee of Tehran and Isfahan university of Medical Sciences. All of the subjects received an explanation of the study and signed the informed consent. There were no obligation for participation in this study and all of the subjects were volunteers (ID number: 188092).

2-4. Measuring tools

2-4-1. Assessment of weight status and perceived weight status

Weight and height of the participants were measured according to the standard protocol of the study to the nearest 0.1 kg and 0.1 cm, respectively. Body Mass Index (BMI) was calculated as the ratio of weight (kg) to height squared (m2). All mentioned anthropometric measurement conducted by trained health care professionals.

categorized Weight status was underweight, normal weight, overweight and obese according to the World Health Organization (WHO) standard growth curves. According to the curves BMI less than 5th percentile for age and gender defined as underweight and BMI between 85th and 95th percentiles and greater than the 95th percentile defined as overweight and obesity, respectively (34). Perceived weight status of the students was categorized as underweight, appropriate weight (about right) and overweight based on their perception of their weight status using a reliable and validated questionnaire which could be used for disorders in a nationally representative sample of schoolchildren in MENA (34, 42).

2-4-2. Assessment of HRQL

The PedsOLTM 4.0 scale is a wellestablished tool with multidimensional construct which contains 23 items in 4 subscales including physical, emotional, social, and school functions. Physical function contains 8 items and the other three items were defined as psychosocial score have 5 items. The scale is scored from 0-100; higher scores reflected better HRQL (41). The Persian version of the Pediatric Quality of Life inventory (PedsQLTM 4.0_{TM} 4.0) Generic Core Scales which validity and reliability have been confirmed previously (41) was used for assessment of the students' HRQL. The internal consistency reliability of the Persian version of the questionnaire was 0.95 and 0.91 for parent-proxy and child-self reports, respectively. The Cronbach's alpha for the total scale score was 0.73 and 0.9 for child-self and parent-proxy reports, respectively (41).

2-5. Inclusion and exclusion criteria

School students, aged 6-18 years, were selected randomly from rural and urban areas of 30 provinces of Iran. Schoolchildren with a medical history of any chronic disorder or prolonged use of any medication were not included in this study.

2-6. Data Analyses

The data were analyzed by the STATA software version 10.0 (STATA Corp, College Station, Tex.). The categorical variables are presented as number (%) and continuous variables as mean (standard deviation [SD]). The Pearson Chi-square test was used to analyze categorical variables. Comparison of means of total PedsOLTM and its subscales across perceived weight status were investigated by analysis of variance (ANOVA). Linear regression was performed to examine the association of perceived weight status with total PedsQLTM and its subscales in different models applied for adjusting potential confounders. Model I was a crude model; Model II was adjusted for age, gender and living area, and in Model III, additional adjustment was done for SES, PA, ST, and BMI. Sampling method (cluster sampling) was considered in all statistical analyses. P-value<0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

3- RESULTS

In this study 23,043 students were evaluated. The participation rate was 92.2%. Their mean (SD) age was 12.55 (3.31) years; 73.4% were from urban areas

49.2% were General and girls. characteristics, total PedsOLTM and its subscales, as well as perceived weight status of participants according to gender are presented in Table.1. The total prevalence rate of underweight was significantly lower than the prevalence of perceived underweight (10.0% vs. 30.8%, P<0.001). The total prevalence rate of normal weight was significantly higher than the prevalence of perceived normal weight (70.0% vs. 52.5%, P<0.001). Mean (SD) of total PedsQLTM in total population was 81.7(13.6). Mean (SD) of total PedsQLTM of girls was significantly lower than boys [83.59(12.37) vs. 79.87(14.51), P<0.001]. Mean (SD) of total PedsOLTM and its subscales according to perceived weight status are presented in **Table.2**.

Mean of total PedsQLTM score and in subscales in different categories of perceived weight did not have significant difference in boys (P>0.05). Mean of total PedsQLTM score and psychosocial score were significantly higher in girls who had perceived normal weight (P=0.029 for total PedsQLTM score and P=0.023 for psychosocial score). Mean of school functioning score was significantly lower in girls with perceived overweight and obesity (P=0.014).

Association of body weight status and perceived weight status with total PedsQLTM and its subscales are presented in Table.3. After adjustment for age, gender, living area, SES, physical activity, BMI, significant inverse STand association was documented between perceived overweight and total score of HRQOL. School functioning negatively associated with perceived overweight (B= -0.82, P<0.01). Positive significant association existed between perceived overweight and psychosocial functioning (B= -0.6, P=0.03).

Table-1: General characteristics, perceived weight status, total PedsQLTM and its subscales according

to gender: the Weight disorders survey of the CASPIAN -IV Study.

Variables	Total	Boy	Girls	P-value	
Age (year)*	12.5(3.4)	12.36 (3.36)	12.67 (3.29)	< 0.001	
$BMI (kg/m^2)^*$	18.786 (4.42)	18.64 (4.36)	18.92 (4.48)	< 0.001	
Living area**					
Urban	14218(73.0)	6952(71.0)	7266(74.9)	< 0.001	
Rural	5267(27.0)	2431(25.1)	2836(29.0)		
SES					
Quintile 1	3392(20.5)	1746(21.0)	1646(20.0)		
Quintile 2	3328(20.1)	1690(20.3)	1638(19.9)		
Quintile 3	3263(19.7)	1615(19.4)	1648(20.0)	0.36	
Quintile 4	3245(19.6)	1600(19.2)	1645(20.0)		
Quintile 5	3312(20.0)	1666(20.0)	1646(20.0)		
Physical activity ²					
Active	14994(76.4)	8508(86.2)			
Inactive	4632(23.6)	1361(13.8)	3271(33.5)	< 0.001	
Screen time(hr/day)					
High	7836(41.3)	3811(39.8)	4025(42.8)	< 0.001	
Low	11147(58.7)	5769(60.2)	5378(57.2)		
Body weight status**					
Underweight	1958(10.0)	1037(10.5)	921(9.4)		
Normal weight	13890(70.8)	6786(68.8)	7104(7208)	< 0.001	
Overweight/ obese	1732(17.8)	2046(20.7)	1732(17.8)		
Perceived weight status**					
Under weight	6016(30.8)	3081(31.3)	2935(30.2)		
Normal weight	10271(52.5)	5133(52.2)	5138(52.8)	0.20	
Overweight/ obese	3276(16.7)	1623(16.5)	1653(17.0)		
QoL components*					
Physical	84.25 (14.7)	84.5(14.4)	83.9(14.9)	0.002	
School	78.65 (14.59)	82.2(13.1)	75.0(15.1)	< 0.001	
Emotional	78.25 (19.40)	81.0(18.2)	75.4(20.2)	< 0.001	
Social	90.04 (14.25)	89.9 (14.4)	90.1(14.1)	0.64	
Psychosocial	81.24 (14.15)	83.6(12.9)	78.8(14.8)	< 0.001	
Total	81.7(13.6)	83.59(12.37)	79.87(14.51)	< 0.001	

PedsQLTM: Pediatric Quality of Life InventoryTM generic core scales; BMI; Body mass index, SES; Socioeconomic status, QOL; Quality of life. *are presented as mean (SD); ** are presented as number (%).

Table-2: Mean (SD) of total PedsQLTM and its subscales according to perceived weight status: the Weight disorders survey of the CASPIAN –IV Study.

Variables	Physical	School	Emotional	Social	Psychosocial	Total			
Boys									
Perceived weight status									
Normal weight	84.5(14.6)	82.7(12.8)	81.2(18.3)	90.2(14.2)	83.5(13.2)	83.9(12.1)			
Underweight	84.5(14.4)	82.3(12.8)	81.0(17.9)	89.9(14.2)	83.5(12.7)	83.5(12.2)			
Overweight/	84.8(14.0)	81.6(13.6)	80.4(18.7)	89.8(15.0)	83.8(12.9)	83.5(12.7)			
obese	04.0(14.0)	61.0(13.0)	00.4(10.7)	09.0(13.0)	03.0(12.9)	05.5(12.7)			
p-value	0.719	0.084	0.371	0.603	0.778	0.424			
Girls									
Perceived weight status									
Normal weight	84.0(14.9)	79.9(15.2)	75.4(20.2)	89.8(14.4)	79.7(14.0)	80.6(13.5)			
Underweight	83.9(14.8)	76.0(14.4)	75.5(20.3)	90.2(13.8)	78.5(15.2)	79.9(14.4)			
Overweight/	83.5(15.1)	74.7(15.2)	75.2(20.2)	90.0(14.1)	78.8(14.7)	79.5(14.8)			
obese	03.3(13.1)	74.7(13.2)	13.2(20.2)	30.0(14.1)	70.0(14.7)	13.3(14.6)			
P-value	0.481	0.014	0.865	0.487	0.023	0.029			

SD: Standard deviation; PedsQLTM: Pediatric Quality of Life InventoryTM generic core scales.

Table-3: Association of body weight status and perceived weight status with total PedsQLTM and its subscales: the Weight disorders survey of the CASPIAN- IV Study.

Models	Sub-group	Physical	School	Emotional	Social function	Psychosocial	Total	
Perceive	Perceived weight status							
Model	Normal weight	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	
1	Underweight	0.02(0.25)	-0.07(0.26)	0.09(0.33)	0.03(0.25)	0.19(0.25)	0.23(0.24)	
	Overweight/obese	0.08(0.31)	0.80(0.31)*	0.05(0.42)	0.10(0.31)	0.68(0.30)*	- 0.74(0.29)*	
Model	Normal weight	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	
2	Underweight	0.00(0.25)	-0.13(0.25)	0.01(0.33)	0.05(0.25)	0.14(0.25)	0.19(0.24)	
	Overweight/obese	0.07(0.31)	0.81(0.29)*	0.49(0.41)	0.09(0.31)	0.68(0.29)*	0.75(0.28)*	
Model	Normal weight	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	Reference	
3	Underweight	0.06(0.27)	-0.16(0.26)	0.04(0.36)	0.02(0.27)	0.08(0.25)	0.14(0.24)	
	Overweight/obese	0.05(0.34)	0.82(0.31)*	0.45(0.44)	0.09(0.33)	0.60(0.30)*	0.64(0.29)*	

^{*}Statistically significant; PedsQLTM: Pediatric Quality of Life InventoryTM generic core scales.

Data are presented as β coefficient (standard error).

Model 1: crude model,

Model 2: adjusted for age, gender, region,

Model 3: Additionally adjusted for socioeconomic status, physical activity and screen time, body mass index.

4- DISCUSSION

This nationwide study investigated the association between perceived weight status and quality of life in Iranian children and adolescents. Our findings indicated that total score of HRQOL was not different in various categories of perceived weight status among boys, whereas girls with perceived normal weight had higher total score of HRQOL than their other counterparts. Mean of school and physical functioning was lower in boys and girls with perceived overweight status, whereas girls with perceived normal weight status had better psychosocial function. Those with perceived underweight status had better emotional function but lower social function. Recently the concept of weight misperception has gained great popularity in association with different health aspects of children (27, 28). Some recent studies showed that the impact of weight perception on HRQOL is more significant than actual weight measurement categories (24, 25). A study on a national sample of

American girls evaluated the associations between HROOL and weight indices, including weight status and perceived weight status, indicated that HRQOL is more influenced by perceived weight status than by the actual weight status (24). Thus, in current study we investigated the association between perceived weight status and HROOL in children and adolescents. The association between weight status and HRQOL has been reported recently in this population (43). misperception Weight could underestimated and overestimated and the reported rate for weight misperception and its features have great variability in previous researches. A growing body of evidence suggested that the rate could influenced by gender, growth status, social norms and standards of each community as well as norms of ideal body size and physical attractiveness of the dominant population (19-21). Results of a cohort study among 8th grade adolescents in the USA showed that weight misperception is reported in 42.1% of total population from which 35.3% and 6.8% presented as underestimation and overestimation respectively (44). The rate among Iranian children and adolescents has been reported to be 58% (22). In this study, the commonest form of weight misperception was underestimation of actual weight status and the prevalence of misperception was not different between boys and girls. Regarding gender differences, epidemiological data indicate overestimation of weight is more frequent among girls, whereas its underestimation is more prevalent in boys (45, 46). There are also evidences that underestimation of weight status is more common in families and communities with low socioeconomic conditions (47). However, there are also opposing reports, as a study in England that showed most of the normal weight and overweight mainly adolescents underestimated their weight status (48).

In a study in Bahrain, most of the obese overweight adolescents and underestimated their actual weight status most of the (49). In our study, underestimation was reported by normalweight students. It is suggested that it may be due to the social and ideal body size norms of our community. Few studies exist on the association between weight misperception and HRQOL. A recent study investigated the relation between weigh misperception and HRQOL in American adolescents. It showed that weight underestimation was associated with higher total HRQOL and its related physical, emotional and social functioning, overestimation whereas weigh associated with lower social functioning (32). Studies in Denmark (50), and Italy (51)reported that overweight misperception is related with lower level of psychological wellbeing than actual overweight and obesity. In a study in Australia, a complex relationship existed between perceived weight status and

HRQOL in adolescents. It indicated that weight underestimation was associated with lower levels of physical, psychosocial and total HROOL. Moreover, normal weight adolescents who overestimated their weight status had lower psychosocial functioning and total HRQOL, but underweight individuals who overestimated their weight had higher total level of HRQOL (26). In current study, mean of total HRQOL and its subscales were not significantly different among boys. Girls with perceived healthy weight had higher total HRQOL, school and psychosocial functioning than their other counterparts. Significant inverse association existed between perceived overweight and obesity and total HRQOL and school functioning. Psychosocial functioning was positively associated with perceived overweight and obesity. Our findings regarding the lower level of school functioning and total HRQOL in students with perceived overestimation is consistent with some previous studies (32, 49-51).

However, our finding on the positive association between perceived overweight status and psychosocial function might be because of cultural values and rituals of our community and the differences in ethnic composition. Likewise Eastern nations overweight and obesity not being underweight and thin, is more acceptable for our population (52, 53). Moreover as reported previously in countries with higher prevalence rate of overweight weight and obesity, misperception the form in overestimation is more prevalent than other communities, and it is associated HRQOL poor status Considering that weight misperceptions impact the process on management of weight disorders especially overweight and obesity, it is useful to comprehensive develop educational programs for both health professionals and

school children to improve their knowledge about healthy attitude towards weight status and the role of weight misperception physical on psychological health. Furthermore, given the role of cultural standards and social of each community norms understanding of weight perception which could also effect on individual perception, it would be more favorable to also develop population- based programs in this field in order to establish accurate weight perception. However such a multidimensional educational program will provide better changes across the community in this regard.

The main limitation of current study was its cross sectional design, therefore our findings cannot properly determine the causal pathway between perceived weight status and HRQOL, but considering that our studied population was a nationally representative sample of Iranian adolescents, our current finding could be used for investigation of the causal mechanism between weight stigmatization and adolescents well-being and quality of life. The strength of current study was that it was the first study of its kind not only in Iran, but also in the Eastern Mediterranean region.

5- CONCLUSION

The findings of this nationwide study indicated that weight underestimation is commonest form of weight the misperception in Iranian children and adolescents. This could be a cause of concern especially for obesity treatment and prevention programs. Further, the association inverse between weight overestimation and total HRQOL and school functioning is emphasized on proper education of both students and their parents about weigh disorders and healthy attitude towards weight status. However targeting misperception in children and adolescents facilitate could

development of healthy lifestyle mainly regarding their weight statues. Adoption of healthy lifestyle results in better HRQOL. It is recommended to design prospective studies in order to investigate the related risk factors of both underestimation and overestimation in children and adolescents.

6- CONFLICT OF INTEREST

7- ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors are thankful of the large team working on this study and all participants in different provinces.

8- REFERENCES

- 1. Nelson TD, Kidwell KM, Hoffman S, Trout AL, Epstein MH, Thompson RW. Health-related quality of life among adolescents in residential care: description and correlates. The American journal of orthopsychiatry. 2014;84(3):226-33.
- 2. Homaee Shandiz F, Karimi FZ, Khosravi Anbaran Z, Abdollahi M, Rahimi N, Ghasemi M. Investigating the Quality of Life and the Related Factors in Iranian Women with Breast Cancer. Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention. 2017; 18(8): 2089-92.
- 3. Tsiros MD, Olds T, Buckley JD, Grimshaw P, Brennan L, Walkley J, et al. Health-related quality of life in obese children and adolescents. International journal of obesity (2005). 2009;33(4):387-400.
- 4. Karimi FZ, Dadgar S, Abdollahi M, Yousefi, S, Tolyat M, Khosravi Anbaran Z. The relationship between Minor Ailments of Pregnancy and Quality of life in pregnant women. The Iranian Journal of Obstetrics, Gynecology and Infertility. 2017; 20(6): 8-21.
- 5. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Health-related quality of life (HQROL) 2014 [updated 27 October. Available at: http://www.cdc.gov/hrqol/index.htm.
- 6. Ferrans CE, Zerwic JJ, Wilbur JE, Larson JL. Conceptual model of health-related quality of life. Journal of nursing scholarship: an official publication of Sigma Theta Tau International Honor Society of Nursing. 2005;37(4):336-42.

- 7. Eiser C, Morse R. The measurement of quality of life in children: past and future perspectives. Journal of Developmental & Behavioral Pediatrics. 2001;22(4):248-56.
- 8. Palermo TM, Long AC, Lewandowski AS, Drotar D, Quittner AL, Walker LS. Evidence-based assessment of health-related quality of life and functional impairment in pediatric psychology. Journal of pediatric psychology. 2008;33(9):983-96; discussion 97-8.
- 9. Cook S, Auinger P, Li C, Ford ES. Metabolic syndrome rates in united states adolescents, from the national health and nutrition examination survey, 1999–2002. The Journal of pediatrics. 2008;152(2):165-70. e2.
- 10. Keating CL, Moodie ML, Swinburn BA. The health-related quality of life of overweight and obese adolescents—a study measuring body mass index and adolescent-reported perceptions. Pediatric Obesity. 2011;6(5-6):434-41.
- 11. Ul-Haq Z, Mackay DF, Fenwick E, Pell JP. Meta-analysis of the association between body mass index and health-related quality of life among children and adolescents, assessed using the pediatric quality of life inventory index. J Pediatr. 2013;162(2):280-6.e1.
- 12. Dalton AG, Smith C, Dalton WT, III, Slawson DL. Examining General Versus Condition-Specific Health-Related Quality of Life Across Weight Categories in an Adolescent Sample. Journal of pediatric health care: official publication of National Association of Pediatric Nurse Associates and Practitioners. 2015;29(5):453-62.
- 13. Swallen KC, Reither EN, Haas SA, Meier AM. Overweight, obesity, and health-related quality of life among adolescents: the National Longitudinal Study of Adolescent Health. Pediatrics. 2005;115(2):340-7.
- 14. Søltoft F, Hammer M, Kragh N. The association of body mass index and health-related quality of life in the general population: data from the 2003 Health Survey of England. Quality of life research. 2009;18(10):1293.
- 15. Sutin AR, Terracciano A. Body weight misperception in adolescence and incident

- obesity in young adulthood. Psychological science. 2015;26(4):507-11.
- 16. Kurth B-M, Ellert U. Perceived or true obesity: which causes more suffering in adolescents?: findings of the German Health Interview and Examination Survey for children and adolescents (KiGGS). Deutsches Ärzteblatt International. 2008;105(23):406.
- 17. Gillen MM, Lefkowitz ES. Body Size Perceptions in Racially/Ethnically Diverse Men and Women: Implications for Body Image and Self-Esteem. North American Journal of Psychology. 2011;13(3): Available at:

http://www.freepatentsonline.com/article/North-American-Journal-Psychology/274955409.html.

- 18. Chang VW, Christakis NA. Self-perception of weight appropriateness in the United States. American journal of preventive medicine. 2003;24(4):332-9.
- 19. Xie B, Chou C-P, Spruijt-Metz D, Reynolds K, Clark F, Palmer PH, et al. Weight perception and weight-related sociocultural and behavioral factors in Chinese adolescents. Preventive medicine. 2006;42(3):229-34.
- 20. Choi J, Bender MS, Arai S, Fukuoka Y. Factors associated with underestimation of weight status among Caucasian, Latino, Filipino, and Korean Americans—DiLH Survey. Ethnicity & disease. 2015;25(2):200.
- 21. Lim H, Wang Y. Body weight misperception patterns and their association with health-related factors among adolescents in South Korea. Obesity. 2013;21(12):2596-603.
- 22. Angoorani P, Heshmat R, Ejtahed HS, Qorbani M, Motlagh ME, Ziaodini H, et al. Body weight misperception andhealth-related factors among Iranian children and adolescents: the CASPIAN-Vstudy. J PediatrEndocrinolMetab. 2017; 30(10):1033-40.
- 23. Lim H, Lee H-J, Park S, Kim C-i, Joh H-K, Oh SW. Weight misperception and its association with dieting methods and eating behaviors in South Korean adolescents. Nutrition research and practice. 2014;8(2): 213-9.

- 24. Duncan DT, Wolin KY, Scharoun-Lee M, Ding EL, Warner ET, Bennett GG. Does perception equal reality? Weight misperception in relation to weight-related attitudes and behaviors among overweight and obese US adults. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity. 2011;8(1):20.
- 25. Farhat T, Iannotti RJ, Summersett-Ringgold F. Weight, weight perceptions and health-related quality of life among a national sample of US girls. Journal of developmental and behavioral pediatrics: JDBP. 2015;36(5):313.
- 26. Hayward J, Millar L, Petersen S, Swinburn B, Lewis AJ. When ignorance is bliss: weight perception, body mass index and quality of life in adolescents. International journal of obesity (2005). 2014;38(10):1328.
- 27. Powell TM, de Lemos JA, Banks K, Colby R. Ayers, Anand Rohatgi, et al. Body size misperception: a novel determinant in the obesity epidemic. Arch Intern Med. 2010;170(18):1695–97.
- 28. Jiang Y, Kempner M, Loucks EB. Weight misperception and health risk behaviors in youth: the 2011 US YRBS. Am J Health Behav. 2014; 38(5):765-80.
- 29. KhadaeeGh, Saeidi M. Increases of Obesity and Overweight in Children: an Alarm for Parents and Policymakers. Int J Pediatr 2016; 4(4): 1591-1601.
- 30. Noorbakhsh A, Mostafavi F, Shahnazi H. Effects of the Educational Intervention on some Health Belief Model Constructs regarding the Prevention of Obesity in Students. Int J Pediatr 2017; 5(8): 5561-70.
- 31. Helseth S, Haraldstad K, Christophersen KA. A cross-sectional study of HealthRelated Quality of Life and body mass index in a Norwegian school sample (8-18years): a comparison of child and parent perspectives. Health Qual Life Outcomes.2015; 13:47.
- 32. Southerland JL, Wang L, Slawson DL. Weight Misperception and Health-Related Quality of Life in Appalachian Adolescents in the United States. Maternal and child health journal. 2017;21(1):168-76.

- 33. Kelishadi R, Ardalan G, Qorbani M, Ataie-Jafari A, Bahreynian M, Taslimi M, et al. Methodology and early findings of the fourth survey of childhood and adolescence surveillance and prevention of adult non-communicable disease in Iran: The CASPIAN-IV study. International journal of preventive medicine. 2013;4(12):1451.
- 34. Kelishadi R, Motlagh ME, Bahreynian M, Gharavi MJ, Kabir K, Ardalan G, et al. Methodology and early findings of the assessment of determinants of weight Iranian children disorders among adolescents: The childhood and adolescence surveillance prevention and adult Noncommunicable Disease-IV study. International Journal of Preventive Medicine. 2015:6: 77.
- 35. Global school-based student health survey(GSHS) World Health Organization. World Health Organization 2012. Available at: http://www.who.int/chp/gshs/en/.
- 36. Kelishadi R, Majdzadeh R, Motlagh M-E, Heshmat R, Aminaee T, Ardalan G, et al. Development and evaluation of a questionnaire for assessment of determinants of weight disorders among children and adolescents: The Caspian-IV study. International journal of preventive medicine. 2012;3(10):699.
- 37. Kelishadi R, Qorbani M, Djalalinia S, Sheidaei A, Rezaei F, Arefirad T, et al. Physical inactivity and associated factors in Iranian children and adolescents: the Weight Disorders Survey of the CASPIAN-IV study. J Cardiovasc Thorac Res. 2017; 9(1):41-48.
- 38. American Academy of Pediatrics: Children, adolescents, and television. Pediatrics. 2001; 107(2):423-6.
- 39. Ogle LT, Sen A, Pahlke E, Jocelyn L, Kastberg D, Roey, S, et al. International Comparisons in Fourth-Grade Reading Literacy: Findings from the Progress in International Reading Literacy Study (PIRLS) of 2001. Washington: ERIC, 2003:1–42.
- 40. Kelishadi R, Ardalan G, Gheiratmand R, Majdzadeh R, Hosseini M, Gouya MM. et al. Thinness, overweight and obesity in a national sample of Iranian children and

- adolescentsCASPIAN Study. Child Care Health Dev. 2008;34(1):44–54.
- 41. Gheissari A, Farajzadegan Z, Heidary M, Salehi F, Masaeli A, Mazrooei A, et al. Validation of persian version of PedsQLTM 4.0TM generic core scales in toddlers andchildren. International Journal Of Preventive Medicine. 2012;3(5):341.
- 42. Heshmat R, Kelishadi R, Motamed-Gorji N, Motlagh M-E, Ardalan G, Arifirad T, et al. Association between body mass index and perceived weight status with self-rated health and life satisfaction in Iranian children and adolescents: the CASPIAN-III study. Quality of Life Research. 2015;24(1): 263-72.
- 43. Hovsepian S, Qorbani M, Motlagh ME, Madady A, Mansourian M, Gorabi AM, Et al. Association of obesity and health related quality of life in Iranian children and adolescents: the Weight Disorders Survey of the CASPIAN-IV study. J Pediatr Endocrinol Metab. 2017; 30(9):923-929.
- 44. Datar A, Chung PJ. Accuracy of weight perceptions in a nationally representative cohort of US 8th grade adolescents. Academic pediatrics. 2016;16(3):267-74.
- 45. Ter Bogt TF, van Dorsselaer SA, Monshouwer K, Verdurmen JE, Engels RC, Vollebergh WA. Body mass index and body weight perception as risk factors for internalizing and externalizing problem behavior among adolescents. Journal of Adolescent Health. 2006;39(1):27-34.
- 46. Strauss RS. Self-reported weight status and dieting in a cross-sectional sample of young adolescents: National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey III. Archives of pediatrics and adolescent medicine. 1999;153(7):741-7.

- 47. Park E. Overestimation and underestimation: Adolescents' weight perception in comparison to BMI-based weight status and how it varies across socio-demographic factors. Journal of School Health. 2011;81(2):57-64.
- 48. Jackson SE, Johnson F, Croker H, Wardle J. Weight perceptions in a population sample of English adolescents: cause for celebration or concern? International journal of obesity (2005). 2015;39(10):1488.
- 49. Al-Sendi A, Shetty P, Musaiger A. Body weight perception among Bahraini adolescents. Child: care, health and development. 2004;30(4):369-76.
- 50. Jansen W, van de Looij-Jansen PM, de Wilde EJ, Brug J. Feeling fat rather than being fat may be associated with psychological wellbeing in young Dutch adolescents. Journal of Adolescent Health. 2008;42(2):128-36.
- 51. Petracci E, Cavrini G. The effect of weight status, lifestyle, and body image perception on health-related quality of life in children: a quantile approach. Quality of Life Research. 2013;22(9):2607-15.
- 52. Musaiger AO. Overweight and obesity in eastern mediterranean region: prevalence and possible causes. Journal of obesity. 2011; 2011. http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2011/407237
- 53. Caprio S, Daniels SR, Drewnowski A, Kaufman FR, Palinkas LA, Rosenbloom AL, et al. Influence of race, ethnicity, and culture on childhood obesity: implications for prevention and treatment. Obesity. 2008;16(12):2566-77.
- 54. Shin A, Nam CM. Weight perception and its association with socio-demographic and health-related factors among Korean adolescents. BMC public health. 2015;15(1):1292.