

## Comparative study on the opinions of specialists and citizens to transform urban environments into social teaching spaces (Case study: Hamadan)

Salman Vahdat<sup>1\*</sup>, Mehrdad Karimi Moshaver<sup>2</sup>

1. Master of Urban Design, Department of Art and Architecture, Bu-Ali Sina University, Hamedan, Iran
2. Assistant Professor, Faculty of Art and Architecture, Bu-Ali Sina University, Hamedan, Iran

Received: 27 November 2015 Accepted: 22 February 2016

### Extended Abstract

#### Introduction

Urban environments, features and physical characteristics, and various symbolic forms can be used in many behavioral characteristics such as attitudes, tastes, habits and the way of insight of influence and many behaviors and norms. These are formed in the the community. In fact, the urban environment as a place where citizens are in touch every day, can be appropriate and have optimal opportunities for learning and exchange of learning and experiences of citizens. This can improve teaching and learning. Hence, the city gives education not only by means of formal and informal educational and cultural institutions, but also through urban planning, environmental planning, urban communication tools, local industry, public and private companies, and the social fabric.

Today, urban environments for social learning and citizenship education as the cultural goals of society are considered by urban planners and managers as a key issue. Given that learning is a social issue, you can use the physical and semantic factors in urban environments as a platform for teachers and education of the citizens. In order to improve the local urban environment for training and learning of citizenship, we have investigated the factors, criteria and indicators of education, environment and citizenship education based on the opinions of experts in the field reconnaissance. The factors associated with urban spaces of Hamadan for social teaching spaces are examined from three perspectives, by citizens, urban planners and education experts. In order to achieve the aims of the research, we used cross-practices review and document image in the context of a literature review and case study methods and practices observed in the context of field studies and research questionnaire. Due to the nature of this study, we tried to answer two issues: (1) assessment of the factors and components of the urban environment with a focus on citizenship education instructor, (2) The correlation effect and learning components of the urban environment and citizenship education for learning from the perspective of citizens, urban planners and experts in education.

---

\* Corresponding Author: salmanvahdat@yahoo.com

## Methodology

This is a descriptive and survey research with analytical and structural equation model based on solidarity. Data have been collected by sample questionnaire. The data have been analysed by using SPSS and Lisrel software to examine research questions. In this study, to investigate the research data, we have the help of four experts in urban planning, space users (citizens), education experts, and direct observation. To choose a listed population groups, we used different range of lightweight multi-stage cluster sampling. The number of respondents were based on a sample of 327 people, including 131 resident of Hamedan, 107 specialist in urban planning and 89 sociology of education.

## Results and Discussion

According to the model, there are 3 components and 18 indicators to measure the rate of urban spaces that should study Hamadan teacher features. On the other hand, according to research aims to calculate the factor loadings in urban areas of Hamadan, Hamadan indicators and criteria are evaluated to determine the effects achieved in the city. In this regard, a questionnaire with questions on the impact of the urban environment recalls the characteristics and values of citizenship education in a Likert scale of 1 to 5 (code that denotes very low to very high). With regard to the conceptual model in relation to the civil standard the questionnaire asked from citizens, experts and specialists in urban education about an opinion 6 indices in structural criteria, physical 6 indices and functional criteria, functional 6 indices by questionnaire. The supplemental questionnaire data through structural equation modeling were analyzed to determine the factor loadings and correlation criteria evaluated by software and Lisrel SPSS.

## Conclusion

Based on the findings, components learning environments with a focus on citizenship education can be seen in three dimensions of attitude-civil factor, physical structural factors, functional factor and presented 18 indicators. The principles along with the criteria derived from the analysis of theories of different scholars on the urban environment training and citizenship education is as a conceptual model for evaluation of the impact of the urban environment. Among the measures proposed in the research, indices related to the environment, walkability, healthy functions and activities from the perspective of citizens' sense of environmental indicators, educational and color multi-functional spaces belong to the opinions of experts in urban planning. Indicators of questions and curiosity in the environment, workshops and educational forums opinion the most obvious time to learn in the urban areas of Hamadan. The factors stated in the research attitude are the physical with load intensity (0.55) in the opinions of citizens, structural factors as a function of the load intensity (1.19) in the opinions of urban planning experts and attitude as a function of the load intensity (1.39) from the opinions of education professionals. These have the highest correlation coefficient between the other components of the urban spaces in Hamadan. Based on the analysis of the results, insight for different social groups to learn urban space is obvious. Citizens can be instructor on the basis of experience and insight of daily life in urban environments based on the needs and desires and in accordance with the facts about the opportunities and limitations of urban environments. The opinions of experts in urban planning and education is to determine the amount of times more indicators are based on their skills and specialized training. The experts are dealing with the issue of a scientific and systematic mode.

**Keywords:** citizens, social teaching spaces, urban environments, urban planning, Hamedan.

## References

1. Aghazadeh, A. (2006). Principles and rules governing the process of citizenship education and the evolution and characteristics of this type of training in Japan. *Journal of Educational Innovation*, 17, 45-66.
2. Aive- Iaec (2004). Charter of Educating Cities. Barcelona: International Association of Educating cities (IAEC). Retrieved November 17, 2012, From IAEC documents databank.
3. Alamaamari, S.N.A. (2009). Citizenship Education in Initial Teacher Education in the sultanat of Oman: An exploratory study of the preceptions of student teachers of social studies and their tutors. Phd Thesis in curriculum studies, faculty of University of Glasgow, Scotland. UK.
4. Aryanpur, A.H. (2004). Egg Bern and a half floors, translation and adaptation of the field of sociology, the twentieth edition, in town book.
5. Ashtiani, M.; Fathi Vajargah, K. and Yamani Sorkhabi, M. (2006). In terms of the values of citizenship in the curriculum to teach at the elementary level. *Journal of Educational Innovations*, 17, 67-92.
6. Barati, N.; Sameni, A. (2011). City of training, necessity and solutions, Study Center and planning in Tehran.
7. Boyd, D.; Goldhaber, D.; Lankford, H. and Wyck off, J. (2008). The Effect of Certification and Preparation on Teacher Quality. *Journal of Future Child*; 17(3), 45-68.
8. Cecchini, M. (2004). Education for Democratic Citizenship in Erope: concepts and challenges for action. NECE Conferenc. Final version, 27, 19.
9. Combs, C. (2001). A Conceptual Framework toward a Democrati Citizenship Curriculum, and Its Implication for Education Drama. Unpublished Master of Education Thesis. University of Monitoba.
10. Dee Thomas, S. (2004). Are there Civic Return to Education? *International Journal Educational Research*, 88(9-10).
11. Dibavajary, T. (2003). Check characteristics of a good citizen for society and the adaptation of school curricula with this feature. Tehran, Islamic Azad University, Sciences researches.
12. Figueras Bellot, P. (2008). Educating Cities: A Commitment to the Future. In Eulàlia Bosch (Eds), *Education and Urban Life: 20 Years of Educating Cities*.
13. Fathi Vagargah, K. (2001). Citizenship Education. Australia: Paper Presented at the ACSA Conference.
14. Fathi Vajargah, K. and Vahed Chokdeh, S. (2006). Identification of citizenship bit more damage hidden curriculum: theoretical high school system from the perspective of teachers in Tehran and offer solutions for improving the *Journal of Educational Innovations*, 17.
15. Faulks, K. (2000). *Citizenship*. New York: published by Routledge.
16. Gejel, Jan. (2011). Norman Longworth on Learning Cities. A collection of papers for the Xploit Girona sessions. Retrieved September 28, 2013, from [www.longlearn.org.uk](http://www.longlearn.org.uk)
17. Ghaedi, Y. (2006). Education of future citizens. *Journal of Educational Innovations*, 17.
18. Gowazi, A. (2008). Comparative study - analytical methods and the social studies curriculum and teaching citizenship in elementary education Iran with Sweden, 25, 11-48.
19. Hashemifar, S.A. and Ganji, M. (2009). Analysis of the culture of citizenship in the city. *Journal of Applied Sociology*, 20(1), 25-44.
20. Hudson, W. (2001). *Religious Citizenship*. Gfu.ac.edu.ac.

21. IAEC/AIVE. (2004). Charter of Educating Cities. Barcelona: International Association of Educating cities (IAEC). Retrieved November 17, 2012, From IAEC documents databank.
22. ILO (2000). Learning and Training for High Performance in Asia and the Pacific. In-focus Programme on Skills, Knowledge and Employability Working Paper. Geneva: International Labour Office.
23. Kelleher, K. (1993). Educating City. Tehran, Center for Studies and Planning in Tehran.
24. Kukathas, C. (2001). Education and Citizenship in Diverse Societies. International Journal of Research. 35(3).
25. Lucio, J. (2010). The Educating City: An intercultural approach through social-educational mediation processes. Austria: Center for Systematic Musicology University of Graz.
26. Liu, M. (2001). the Development of Civic Values: Case Study of Taiwan. International Journal of Educational research, 35.
27. Lotfabadi, H. (2006). National and global citizen education and strengthening the identity and value system of students, Journal of Educational Innovations, 17, 11-43.
28. Mahdavejad, M.J.; Bemanian, M.R. and Amini, M. (2013). Provide optimum patterns and methods of conversion of Tehran city training (with emphasis on the experiences of universal), Journal of urban management, 13, 106-83.
29. Mehrmohammadi, M. (1998). Education and civil society. Journal of Educational Research, 3.
30. Minkler, J. (1998). Active Citizenship. Empowering America's Youth. In [www.fresno.edu/sps/minkler/index.html](http://www.fresno.edu/sps/minkler/index.html).
31. Moin, M. (2006). Persian culture pocket, collecting A. Alizadeh, Tehran, Grow Way 3rd Edition, 52.
32. Naderi, E.A. and Saifnaraqi, M. (1992). Research methods and how to evaluate it in human sciences, Tehran, Office of Research and Publications Bader, 4th edition, 150.
33. Parker, G. (2000). Citizenship, Contingency and Countryside. Rights, Culture, Land and the Environment. London and New York: Routledge.
34. Sayed Javadein, S.R. (2002). Principles and applications of human resources and personnel management. Tehran, Journal Look. 1st edition, 434 pp.
35. Schwartzberg, Y. and Dvir, R. (2011). The Education city concept. The Institute for Democratic Education.
36. Sharafi, M. Taheripour, M.S. (2008). The role of educational institutions in citizenship education. Monthly Engineering, 16.
37. Sharepour, M. (2004). The population of Education, Tehran.
38. Statistical Center of Iran (2011). Population and housing census, Tehran, Iran Statistic Center.
39. Strike, K. (1988). Democracy, Civic Education and the Problem of Naturality. Theory into Practice. 27(4).
40. Summers, Jacqueline Y. (2002). A proposal Model for Anchorage Adult Civic Education. Unpublished Master of Education Thesis.
41. Tazehkand Jamali, M.; Nobaryan Talebzadeh, M. and Abolqasemi, M. (2013). Analysis of the status of citizenship education components in secondary school social sciences curriculum content. Journal of the curriculum, 2(10), 1-19.
42. Tofler, A. (2004). Education for Tomorrow, John wiley and sons, INC, Newyork.

43. Vandenberg, R.J. and Lance, Ch.E. (2000). A Review and Synthesis of the Measurement Invariance Literature: Suggestions, Practices, and Recommendations for Organizational Reserch. *Journal Organizational Research Methods*; 3(1), 4-70.
44. Yigitcanlar, T.; Oconnor, K. and Westerman, C. (2008). The making of knowledge cities: Melbourne's knowledge-based urban development experience. *Cities*, 25(2), 63-72.
45. Zarei, M.I. (2011). Physical-spatial structure of Hamadan from the beginning of the Islamic period to the end of the Qajar period, based on the evidence available. *Letters Journal of Archaeology*, 1(1), 57-82.

Archive of SID