

Classification and Change Detection of Urban Built-up Lands Using Remote Sensing Images

Keyvan Ezimand¹, Ataallah Abdollahi Kakroodi^{2*}, Majid Kiavarz Moghaddam³

1. MSc Student in Remote Sensing and GIS, University of Tehran, Faculty of Geography, Department of Remote Sensing and GIS, Iran
2. Assistant Professor of Remote Sensing and GIS, University of Tehran, Faculty of Geography, Department of Remote Sensing and GIS, Iran
3. Assistant Professor of Remote Sensing and GIS, University of Tehran, Faculty of Geography, Department of Remote Sensing and GIS, Iran

Received: 11 March 2017 Accepted: 12 October 2017

Extended abstract

Introduction

Urbanization and use of urban lands is the result of social and economic development. Urbanization is a major concern in many parts of the world. By 2050, the world's urban population is expected to double from about 3.3 billion in 2007 to 6.4 billion in 2050. Today, changes in land use occur without clear planning and little attention to their environmental impacts. At present, the built-up lands cover 400,000 square kilometers of the Earth's surface and it is expected to increase to 120,000 square kilometers by 2030. Recently, urban studies, classification of built-up lands and land-use change detection in urban areas using remote sensing data have been highlighted on an unprecedented manner. Various spectral indices have been proposed for rapid detection and accurate classification of built-up lands using satellite images. The purpose of this study is to compare the performance of the indices and the introduction of a new index for classification of the built-lands using satellite images to determine spatial and temporal differences of land-use in the city of Tehran.

Methodology

The data used in this study is Landsat 7 ETM + and Landsat 8 OLI / TIRS satellite images for Tehran. In this research, we have initially used the MNDWI index and the Otsu thresholding method to separate water surfaces from the waterless surfaces. Then, for the purpose of masking the water in the image, water mask was created. Finally, using indices such as Urban Index (UI), Normalized Difference Built-up Index (NDBI), Index-based Built-up Index (IBI), Normalized Difference Impervious Surface Index (NDISI), visible red/green-based built-up indices (VrNIR-BI and VgNIR-BI), visible blue based built-up index (VbSWIR1-BI) and Otsu, the built-up lands are separated and classified. The accuracy of the classification was examined using 3500 reference points for each image.

Results and discussion

The histogram of the spectral indices of two satellite images and the Otsu method has showed

* Corresponding Author, Email: a.a.kakroodi@ut.ac.ir, Tel: +989128954056

that for the ETM + sensor, all indices except NDBI and VrNIR-BI show double distribution signs. For the OLI / TIRS sensor, only the IBI, VgNIR-BI and VbSWIR1-BI indices show signs of a dual distribution. The classification accuracy results show that the VbSWIR1-BI index has the highest overall accuracy and the NDISI index has the lowest overall accuracy for both Landsat 7 and Landsat 8 images. The temporal and spatial variations of the built-up lands indicate that the highest increase of built-up lands can be found geographically in the western and southwestern part of Tehran. According to the results of the VbSWIR-BI index, built-up lands in the studied area between 2001 and 2015 increased to 6.38%.

Conclusion

The rapid development of geography and remote sensing technology has led to creation of different spectral indexes for classification. A review of studies on spectral indices indicates that the blue band coupled with the near infrared band, has not been used for classification of built-up and non-built-up lands and the results of this study have shown that this index is good and has been able to classify the built-up lands and increased classification accuracy. This index also enables the determination of changes in spatial and temporal built-up lands in Tehran accurately.

Keywords: Landsat 7 and Landsat 8 images, Classification, spectral indices, change detection, urban growth.

References

1. Angel, S., & Blei, A. M. (2016). The spatial structure of American cities: The great majority of workplaces are no longer in CBDs, employment sub-centers, or live-work communities. *Cities*, 51, 21–35. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2015.11.031>
2. Angel, S., & Sheppard, S. (2005). The dynamics of global urban expansion. *Transport and Urban ...*, 1–207. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09440>
3. Census Information, 2011. Census Information, Tehran: The Statistical Centre of Iran. Available at: http://amar.sci.org.ir/index_e.aspx.
4. Chander, G., Markham, B. L., & Helder, D. L. (2009). Summary of current radiometric calibration coefficients for Landsat MSS, TM, ETM+, and EO-1 ALI sensors. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 113(5), 893–903. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2009.01.007>
5. Chen, X. L., Zhao, H. M., Li, P. X., & Yin, Z. Y. (2006). Remote sensing image-based analysis of the relationship between urban heat island and land use/cover changes. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 104(2), 133–146. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2005.11.016>
6. Cibula, W. G., Zetka, E. F., & Rickman, D. L. (1992). Response of thematic mapper bands to plant water stress. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 13(10), 1869–1880. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431169208904236>
7. Coisson, T., Oueslati, W., & Salanié, J. (2014). Urban sprawl occurrence under spatially varying agricultural amenities. *Regional Science and Urban Economics*, 44(1), 38–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.regsciurbeco.2013.11.001>
8. Congalton, R. G. (1991). A review of assessing the accuracy of classifications of remotely sensed data. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 37(1), 35–46. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257\(91\)90048-B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0034-4257(91)90048-B)
9. Du, Z., Li, W., Zhou, D., Tian, L., Ling, F., Wang, H., ... Sun, B. (2014). Analysis of Landsat-8 OLI imagery for land surface water mapping. *Remote Sensing Letters*, 5(7), 672–681. <https://doi.org/10.1080/2150704X.2014.960606>

10. ENVI, 2009. Atmospheric correction module: QUAC and FLAASH User's Guide, Available online: [_www.exelisvis.com/portals/0/pdfs/envi/Flaash Module.pdf](http://www.exelisvis.com/portals/0/pdfs/envi/Flaash%20Module.pdf)_(accessed 19 December 2014).
11. Estoque, R. C., Estoque, R. S., & Murayama, Y. (2012). Prioritizing Areas for Rehabilitation by Monitoring Change in Barangay-Based Vegetation Cover. *ISPRS International Journal of Geo-Information*, 1(1), 46–68. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijgi1010046>
12. Estoque, R. C., & Murayama, Y. (2013). Landscape pattern and ecosystem service value changes: Implications for environmental sustainability planning for the rapidly urbanizing summer capital of the Philippines. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 116, 60–72. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2013.04.008>
13. Estoque, R., & Murayama, Y. (2014). A geospatial approach for detecting and characterizing non-stationarity of land- change patterns and its potential effect on modeling accuracy. *GIScience & Remote Sensing*, 51(June 2014), 239–252. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15481603.2014.908582>
14. Foody, G. M. (2002). Status of land cover classification accuracy assessment. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 80(1), 185–201. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257\(01\)00295-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(01)00295-4)
15. Ganeshkumar, B., & Mohan, M., 2014, Urban Sprawl Spatial Modeling using SLEUTH Model, *International Journal of Geospatial Engineering and Technology* Vol.1, No.1, pp.22 – 28.
16. Gao, B. C. (1996). NDWI - A normalized difference water index for remote sensing of vegetation liquid water from space. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 58(3), 257–266. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257\(96\)00067-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0034-4257(96)00067-3)
17. Ginkel, V. H., 2010, Sustainable Urban Futures: Challenges And Opportunities. Paper Presented at School of Humanities, Universiti Sains Malaysia, Penang. May 17, 2010.
18. Han, J., Hayashi, Y., Cao, X., & Imura, H. (2009). Application of an integrated system dynamics and cellular automata model for urban growth assessment: A case study of Shanghai, China. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 91(3), 133–141. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2008.12.002>
19. Hejazi Zadeh, Z; Parvin, N. (2009). Study of temperature and precipitation variations in Tehran over the last half century, *Biannual Journal of Urban Ecology Researches*, pp. 56-43, [in Persian].
20. Jaeger, J. A. G., & Schwick, C. (2014). Improving the measurement of urban sprawl: Weighted Urban Proliferation (WUP) and its application to Switzerland. *Ecological Indicators*, 38, 294–308. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2013.11.022>
21. Jiang, G., Ma, W., Qu, Y., Zhang, R., & Zhou, D. (2016). How does sprawl differ across urban built-up land types in China? A spatial-temporal analysis of the Beijing metropolitan area using granted land parcel data. *Cities*, 58, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cities.2016.04.012>
22. Kawamura, M., Jayamanna, S., & Tsujiko, Y. (1996). Relation between social and environmental conditions in Colombo Sri Lanka and the urban index estimated by satellite remote sensing data. *International Archives of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing*.
23. Kong, F., Yin, H., Nakagoshi, N., & James, P. (2012). Simulating urban growth processes incorporating a potential model with spatial metrics. *Ecological Indicators*, 20, 82–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2012.02.003>
24. Laben, C.A. & Brower, B.V. 2000. Process for enhancing the spatial resolution of mul-tispectral imagery using pan-sharpening. US Patent 6011875, Eastman Kodak Company, Rochester, N.Y.
25. Li, W., Bai, Y., Chen, Q., He, K., Ji, X., & Han, C. (2014). Discrepant impacts of land use and land cover on urban heat islands: A case study of Shanghai, China. *Ecological Indicators*, 47, 171–178. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2014.08.015>
26. Li, W., Du, Z., Ling, F., Zhou, D., Wang, H., Gui, Y., ... Zhang, X. (2013). A comparison of land surface water mapping using the normalized difference water index from TM, ETM+ and ALI. *Remote Sensing*, 5(11), 5530–5549. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs5115530>

27. Longley, P. (2002). Geographical Information Systems: will developments in urban remote sensing and GIS lead to “better” urban geography? *Progress in Human Geography*, 26(2), 231–239. <https://doi.org/10.1191/0309132502ph366pr>
28. Matthias, B., Martin, H., 2003. Mapping imperviousness using NDVI and linearspectral unmixing of ASTER data in the Cologne-Bonn region (Germany). In: *Proceedings of the SPIE 10th International Symposium on Remote Sensing*, 8–12September 2003, pp. 274–284, 5239.
29. Masek, J. G., Lindsay, F. E., & Goward, S. N. (2000). Dynamics of urban growth in the Washington DC metropolitan area, 1973-1996, from Landsat observations. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 21(18), 3473–3486. <https://doi.org/10.1080/014311600750037507>
30. McFeeters, S. K. (1996). The use of the Normalized Difference Water Index (NDWI) in the delineation of open water features. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 17(7), 1425–1432. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431169608948714>
31. Otsu, N. (1979). A threshold selection method from gray-level histograms. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics*, 9(1), 62–66. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TSMC.1979.4310076>
32. Polydoros, A., & Cartalis, C. (2015). Use of Earth Observation based indices for the monitoring of built-up area features and dynamics in support of urban energy studies. *Energy {&} Buildings*, 98, 92–99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2014.09.060>
33. Rouse, J.W., Haas, R.H., Schell, J.A., Deering, D.W., 1973. Monitoring vegetation systems in the Great Plains with ERTS. In: *Third ERTS Symposium*, NASA SP-351 I, pp. 309–317.
34. Seto, K. C., Güneralp, B., & Hutyra, L. R. (2012). Global forecasts of urban expansion to 2030 and direct impacts on biodiversity and carbon pools. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 109(40), 16083–16088. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1211658109>
35. Thanapura, P., Helder, D. L., Burckhard, S., Warmath, E., O’Neill, M., & Galster, D. (2006). Mapping urban land cover using QuickBird NDVI image and GIS spatial modeling for runoff coefficient determination. *Annual Conference of the American Society for Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing 2006: Prospecting for Geospatial Information Integration*, ASPRS 2006, 3(1), 1421–1432. Retrieved from <http://www.scopus.com/inward/record.url?eid=2-s2.0-84869030547&partnerID=40&md5=91481ffad2567b9cef1320833023f2c6>
36. Thapa, R. B., & Murayama, Y. (2011). Urban growth modeling of Kathmandu metropolitan region, Nepal. *Computers, Environment and Urban Systems*, 35(1), 25–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compenvurbsys.2010.07.005>
37. USGS, 2006. Multi-resolution Land Characteristics 2001 (MRLC2001) ImageProcessing Procedure, Available online: [_http://landcover.usgs.gov/pdf/image_preprocessing.pdf_](http://landcover.usgs.gov/pdf/image_preprocessing.pdf) (accessed: 19 December 2014).
38. USGS, 2013a. Using the USGS Landsat 8 Product, Available online: [_http://landsat.usgs.gov/Landsat8_Using_Product.php_](http://landsat.usgs.gov/Landsat8_Using_Product.php) (accessed: 19 December 2014).
39. USGS, 2013b. September 27, 2013—Landsat 7 Thermal Band Calibration Update, Available online: [_http://landsat.usgs.gov/science/L7_Cal_Notices.php_](http://landsat.usgs.gov/science/L7_Cal_Notices.php) (accessed: 19 December 2014).
40. United Nations. (2007). *World Urbanization Prospects The 2007 Revision Highlights*. *Desa*, ESA/P/WP/2(4), 883. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2808041>
41. Weng, Q. (2012). Remote sensing of impervious surfaces in the urban areas: Requirements, methods, and trends. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 117, 34–49. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2011.02.030>
42. Weng, Q., Lu, D., & Schubring, J. (2004). Estimation of land surface temperature-vegetation abundance relationship for urban heat island studies. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 89(4), 467–483. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rse.2003.11.005>

43. Xu, H. (2006). Modification of normalised difference water index (NDWI) to enhance open water features in remotely sensed imagery. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 27(14), 3025–3033. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160600589179>
44. Xu, H. (2008). A new index for delineating built-up land features in satellite imagery. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 29(14), 4269–4276. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160802039957>
45. Xu, H. (2010). Analysis of Impervious Surface and its Impact on Urban Heat Environment using the Normalized Difference Impervious Surface Index (NDISI). *Photogrammetric Engineering & Remote Sensing*, 76(5), 557–565. <https://doi.org/10.14358/PERS.76.5.557>
46. Xu, H., Huang, S., & Zhang, T. (2013). Built-up land mapping capabilities of the ASTER and Landsat ETM+ sensors in coastal areas of southeastern China. *Advances in Space Research*, 52(8), 1437–1449. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asr.2013.07.026>
47. Zeng, C., Liu, Y., Stein, A., & Jiao, L. (2015). International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation Characterization and Spatial Modeling of Urban Sprawl in the Wuhan Metropolitan Area , China. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observations and Geoinformation*, 34, 10–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2014.06.012>
48. Zha, Y., Gao, J., & Ni, S. (2003). Use of normalized difference built-up index in automatically mapping urban areas from TM imagery. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 24(3), 583–594. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01431160304987>
49. Zhang, Y., Odeh, I. O. A., & Han, C. (2009). Bi-temporal characterization of land surface temperature in relation to impervious surface area, NDVI and NDBI, using a sub-pixel image analysis. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 11(4), 256–264. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jag.2009.03.001>