# **Amenability and Weak Amenability of the Semigroup**  Algebra  $\ell^1(S_T)$

\*Mohammadi S.M.; Department of Mathematics, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University, Tehran, Laali J.; Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Science and Computer, Kharazmi University

Received: 18 Nov 2013 Revised: 10 Nov 2014

### **Abstract**

Let  $S$  be a semigroup with a left multiplier  $T$  on  $S$ . A new product on  $S$  is defined by  $T$ related to **S** and T such that **S** and the new semigroup  $S_T$  have the same underlying set as **S**. It is shown that if T is injective then  $\ell^1(\mathbf{S}_T) \cong \ell^1(\mathbf{S})_{\tilde{T}}$  where,  $\tilde{T}$  is the extension of T on  $\ell^1(S)$ . Also, we show that if T is bijective, then  $\ell^1(S)$  is amenable if and only if  $\ell^1(S_T)$  is so. Moreover, if **S** completely regular, then  $\ell^1(\mathbf{S_T})$  is weakly amenable.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 43A20, 43A22, 43A07. 2010 **Keywords:** Semigroup,Semigroup algebra, Multiplier, Amenability, Weak amenability.

### **Introduction**

Let S be a semigroup and  $T$  be a left multiplier on S. We present a general method of defining a new product on S which makes S a semigroup. Let  $S_T$  denote S with the new product. These two semigroups are sometims different and we try to find conditions on S and T such that the semigroups S and  $S_T$  have the same properties. This idea has started by Birtel in [1] for Banach algebras and continued by Larsen in [11]. Recently, this notion developed by some authors, for more details see  $[1]$ ,  $[10]$ ,  $[11]$ ,  $[12]$  and [15]. One of the best result in this work expresses that  $L^1(G)_T$  is Arens regular if and only if  $G$  is a compact group  $[10]$ . We continue this direction on the regularity of  $S$  and  $S_T$  and the amenability of their semigroup algebras.

The term of semigroup will be a non-empty set S endowed with an associative binary operation on S, defined by  $(s, t) \rightarrow st$ . If S is also a Hausdorff topological space and the binary operation is jointly continuous, then S is called a topological semigroup.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: s11mohamadi@iausr.ac.ir

Let  $p \in S$ . Then p is an idempotent if  $p^2 = p$ . The set of all idempotents of S is denoted by  $E(S)$ .

An element e is a left (right) identity if  $es = s$  (resp.  $se = s$ ) for all  $s \in S$ . An element  $e \in S$  is an identity if it is a left and a right identity. An element z is a left (resp. right) zero if  $zs = z$  (resp.  $sz = z$ ) for all  $s \in S$ . An element  $z \in S$  is a zero if it is a left and a right zero. We denote any zero of S by  $0<sub>S</sub>$  (or  $z<sub>S</sub>$ ). An element peS is a regular element of S if there exists teS such that  $p = ptp$  and p is completely regular if it is regular and  $pt = tp$ . We say that  $p \in S$  has an inverse if there exists  $t \in S$  such that  $p = ptp$  and  $t = tpt$ . Note that the inverse of element  $p \in S$  need not be unique. If  $p \in S$ has an inverse, then p is regular and vise versa. Since, if  $p \in S$  is regular, there exists  $s \in S$  such that  $p = psp$ . Let  $t = sps$ . Then

 $p = psp = (psp)sp = p(sps)p = ptp$ ,  $t = sps = s(psp)s = (sps)p(sps) = tpt$ .

So  $p$  has an inverse. We say that S is a regular (resp. completely regular) semigroup if each p $\epsilon$ S is regular (resp. completely regular). Also S is an inverse semigroup if each  $p \in S$  has a unique inverse. The map  $T : S \rightarrow S$  is called a left (resp. right) multiplier if

 $T(st) = T(s)t$  (resp.  $T(st) = sT(t)$ ) (s, teS).

The map  $T : S \rightarrow S$  is a multiplier if it is a left and right multiplier. Let S be a topological semigroup. The net  $(e_{\alpha}) \subseteq S$  is a left (resp. right) approximate identity if  $\lim_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} t = t$ . (resp.  $\lim_{\alpha} t e_{\alpha} = t$ ) (teS). The net  $(e_{\alpha}) \subseteq S$  is an approximate identity if it is a left and a right approximate identity.

Let S be a discrete semigroup. We denote by  $\ell^1(S)$  the Banach space of all complex function f:  $S \to \mathbb{C}$  having the form

$$
f = \sum_{s \in S} f(s) \delta_s
$$
,

such that  $\sum_{s \in S} |f(s)| = ||f||_1$  is finite, where  $\delta_s$  is the point mass at  $\{s\}$ . For f, ge $\ell^1(S)$  we define the convolution product on  $\ell^1(S)$  as fallow:

$$
f * g(s) = \sum_{t_1 t_2 = s} f(t_1)g(t_2)
$$
 (s $\in S$ ),

with this product  $\ell^1(S)$  becomes a Banach algebra and is called the semigroup algebra on S.

Remark 1.1. If  $f \in \ell^1(S)$  then  $f = 0$  on S except at most on a countable subset of S. In other words, the set  $A = \{s \in S : f(s) \neq 0\}$  is at most countable. Since, if  $A_n =$  $\{s \in S : |f(s)| \geq \frac{1}{n}\}$  $\frac{1}{n}$ ,  $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$ . Set  $||f||_1 = M$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  is fixed. Then we have

$$
M = \sum_{s \in S} |f(s)| \ge \sum_{s \in A_n} |f(s)| \ge \sum_{s \in A_n} \frac{1}{n} = \frac{1}{n} |A_n| \,,
$$

where  $|A_n|$  is the cardinality of  $A_n$ . So  $|A_n| \leq nM$ . Hence  $A_n$  is a finite subset of S and thus A is at most countable.

# **Semigroup**

Let  $T \in \text{Mul}_1(\mathbf{S})$ . Then we define a new binary operation " $\circ$ " on  $\mathbf{S}$  as follow :

 $s \circ t = sT(t)$  (s, teS).

The set S equipt with the new operation " $\circ$ " is denoted by  $S_T$  and sometimes called "induced semigroup of S" . Now we have the following results.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let **S** be a Semigroup. Then (i) if  $T \in \text{Mul}_1(\mathbf{S})$  then  $\mathbf{S}_T$  is a semigroup. The converse is true if  $S$  is left cancellative and T is surjective.

(ii) If  $S_T$  is left cancellative and T is surjective, then  $T^{-1} \in \text{Mul}_1(S)$ .

(iii) If S is a topological semigroup and  $S_T$  has a left approximate identity then  $T^ Mul_1(S)$ .

**Proof.** i) Let  $T \in Mul_1(S)$  and take r,s,t  $\in S$ . Then

$$
r \circ (s \circ t) = r T(s \circ t) = r T(s T(t)) = r T(s) T(t) = (r T(s)) T(t)
$$

$$
= (r \circ s) \circ t
$$

So,  $S_T$  is a semigroup.

Conversely, suppose that S is left cancellative and take r,s,t  $\epsilon S$ . Since T is surjective, there exists  $u \in S$  such that  $T(u) = t$ . Then

$$
rT(st) = rT(sT(u)) = r \circ (s \circ u) = (r \circ s) \circ u = (rT(s))T(u)
$$

$$
= r(T(s)t).
$$

By the left cancellativity of **S**, we have  $T(st) = T(s)t$   $(r, s \in S)$ . So, T is a left multiplier.

ii) We must prove that T is injective. To do this end, take r,s,u $\epsilon S$  and let  $T(r) = T(s)$ . Then  $u \circ r = uT(r) = uT(s) = u \circ s$ . So  $r = s$ , since  $S_T$  is left cancellative. Hence  $T^{-}$ exists.

Now, we show that  $T^{-1} \in \text{Mul}_1(S)$ . Take r, seS. Then

$$
T^{-1}(rs) = T^{-1}[TT^{-1}(r)s] = T^{-1}[T(T^{-1}(r)s)]
$$
  
= (T^{-1}T)[T^{-1}(r)s] = T^{-1}(r)s.

iii) It is enough to show that T is injective. Take r, seS and suppose that  $T(r) = T(s)$ . Then

$$
r = \lim_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} \circ r = \lim_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} T(r) = \lim_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} T(s) = \lim_{\alpha} e_{\alpha} \circ s = s.
$$

There are many properties that induced from **S** to semigroup  $S_T$ . But sometimes they are different.

**Theorem2.2.** Let S be a Hausdorff topological semigroup and  $T \in \text{Mul}_1(S)$ . If S is commutative then so is  $S_T$ . The converse is true if  $\bar{1}$ 

**Proof.** Suppose S is commutative and take  $r, s \in S$ . Then

$$
r \circ s = r T(s) = T(s)r = T(sr) = T(rs) = T(r) s = sT(r) = s \circ r
$$
.

So,  $S_T$  is commutative.

Conversely, Let  $S_T$  be commutative and take r, s  $\epsilon S$ . Then there exist nets  $(r_\alpha)$  and  $(s_{\beta})$  in **S** such that  $\lim_{\alpha} T(r_{\alpha}) = r$  and 1

So, we have

 $rs = \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} T(r_{\alpha} \circ s_{\beta}) = \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} T(s_{\beta} \circ r_{\alpha}) = \lim_{\alpha} \lim_{\beta} T(s_{\beta}) T(r_{\alpha}) = s r.$ 

Thus  $S$  is commutative.

In the sequel, we investigate some relations between two semigroup  $S$  and  $S_T$ according to the role of the left multiplier T.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let S be a semigroup and  $T \in \text{Mul}_1(S)$  . Then

(i) If T is surjective and  $S_T$  is an inverse semigroup then S is an inverse semigroup and  $T(s^{-1}) = T(s)^{-1}$  for all  $s \in S$ .

(ii) If  $S_T$  is an inverse semigroup and T is injective then  $T(S)$  is an inverse subsemigroup of S.

(iii) If T is bijective then  $S_T$  is an inverse semigroup if and only if S is an inverse semigroup.

**Proof.** i) Suppose that  $S_T$  is an inverse semigroup and T is surjective. Define the map  $\varphi$ :  $S_T \rightarrow S$  by  $\varphi(s) = T(s)$ . Take r, s $\epsilon S$ , then

$$
\varphi(r \circ s) = T(r \circ s) = T(r)T(s) = \varphi(r)\varphi(s).
$$

So,  $\varphi$  is an epimorphism from  $S_T$  onto S, since T is surjective. By theorem 5.1.4 [7], S is an inverse semigroup and  $T(s^{-1}) = T(s)^{-1}$  for all  $s \in S$ .

ii) Suppose that T is injective and  $S_T$  is an inverse semigroup. Evidently,  $T(S)$  is a subsemigroup of S. We show that it is an inverse semigroup. Take  $\mathcal{E}(\mathcal{S})$ . There exists teS such that  $s = T(t)$ . Also, there exists a unique element  $u \in S$  such that  $t = t_0 u_0 t$ , since  $S_T$  is an inverse semigroup. Therefore,  $T(t) = T(t)T(u)T(t)$ , or  $s = s_0 T(u)$  os. Of course,  $T(u)$  is unique because  $u \in S$  is unique and T is injective. Hence  $T(S)$  is an inverse subsemigroup of  $S$ .

 $\overline{37}$ 

iii) Suppose that T is bijective and let  $S_T$  be an inverse semigroup. Since T is injective and surjective, by (i) and (ii),  $S = T(S)$  is an inverse semigroup.

Conversely, suppose that  $\boldsymbol{S}$  is an inverse semigroup. Since T is bijective, by theorem 2.1(ii),  $T^{-1} \in Mul_l(\mathcal{S})$ . So  $\varphi^{-1}$ :  $\mathcal{S} \to \mathcal{S}_T$  defined by  $\varphi^{-1}(s) = T^{-1}(s)$  is an epimorphism. Hence by (i)  $S_T$  is an inverse semigroup

We say that  $T \in Mul_1(S)$  is an inner left multiplier if it has the form  $T = L_s$  for some  $s \in S$  where  $L_s(t) = s t$  (*t* $\in S$ ).

If  $T \in \mathcal{M}ul_1(\mathcal{S})$  is inner, then each ideal of S is permanent under T; that is  $T(I) \subseteq I$ for all ideal **I** of S. It is easily to see that if S has an identity, then each  $T \in Mul_1(S)$  is inner.

Let **S** be a semigroup. Then **S** is called semisimple if  $I^2 = I$  for all ideal **I** of **S** (see [9], page 95 for more details).

**Theorem 2.4.** Let S be a semigroup whit an identity and  $T \in Mul_1(S)$ . If  $S_T$  is semisimple, then S is so. The converse is true if  $S_T$  is left cancellative and T is surjective.

**Proof.** Since S is unital there exists  $\mu \in S$  such that  $T = L_{\mu}$ . Suppose that  $S_T$  is semisimple and  $\boldsymbol{I}$  is an ideal of  $\boldsymbol{S}$ . Then

$$
I\circ S=IT(S)\subseteq I S\subseteq I.
$$

Similarly,  $S \circ I \subseteq I$ . It follows that *I* is an ideal of  $S_T$ . By the hypothesis  $(I_T)^2$  $I = I$ . Now, take  $ref$  then there are *s*, tel such that

$$
r = s \circ t = sT(t) = s(\mu t) \in I^2.
$$

So we show that  $I^2 = I$  and hence S is semisimple.

Conversely, assume that  $S_T$  is left cancellative and  $T \in \text{Mul}_l(S)$  is surjective then by theorem 2.1(ii),  $T^{-1} \in Mul_l(\mathcal{S})$ . So, there exists  $b \in \mathcal{S}$  such that  $T^{-1} = L_b$ . Suppose that  $\bar{S} = S_{T^{-1}}$ . Then we have.

$$
\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{S}_{TT^{-1}} = (\mathbf{S}_T)_{T^{-1}} = \mathbf{\breve{S}}_{T^{-1}}.
$$

By hypothesis and above the proof,  $\bar{S} = S_{T^{-1}}$  is semisimple.

# **Semigroup Algebra**  $\ell^1(\mathcal{S}_T)$

We say that a discrete semigroup  $S$  is amenable if there exists a positive linear functional on  $\ell^{\infty}(S)$  called a mean such that  $m(1) = 1$  and  $m(l_s f) = m(f)$ , m  $m(f)$  for each seS, where  $l_s f(t) = f(st)$  and  $r_s f(t) = f(ts)$  for all  $t \in S$ . The definition of amenable group is similar to semigroup case. Refer to  $\lceil 12 \rceil$  for more details.

Let  $\mathfrak A$  be a Banach algebra and let X be a Banach  $\mathfrak A$  –bimodule. A derivation from  $\mathfrak A$ to X is a linear map  $D: \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow X$  such that

$$
D(ab) = D(a) \cdot b + a \cdot D(b) \quad (a, b \in \mathfrak{A}).
$$

A derivation D is inner if there exists  $x \in X$  such that

$$
D(a) = a \cdot x - x \cdot a \quad (a \in \mathfrak{A}).
$$

The Banch algebra  $\mathfrak A$  is amenable if every bounded derivation  $D: \mathfrak A \longrightarrow X^*$  is inner for all Banach  $\mathfrak A$  -bimodule X. Where  $X^*$  is the dual space of X. We say that the Banch algebra  $\mathfrak A$  is weakly amenable if any bounded derivation D from  $\mathfrak A$  to  $\mathfrak A^*$  is inner. Fore more details see  $\lceil 12 \rceil$ ,  $\lceil 16 \rceil$ .

If S is a commutative semigroup, by theorem 5.8 of [8]  $\ell^1(S)$  is called semisimple if and only if for all  $x, y \in S$ ,  $x^2 = y^2 = xy$  implies  $x = y$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let S be a commutative semigroup and let  $T \in \text{Mul}_1(S)$  be injective. Then  $\ell^1(\mathcal{S})$  is semisimple if and only if  $\ell^1(\mathcal{S}_T)$  is semisimple.

**Proof.** Take  $r, s \in S$ . Then  $r^2 = s^2 = rs$  if and only if  $T(r^2) = T(s^2) = T(r)T(s)$  or equivalently  $r_0 r = s_0 s = r_0 s$ , because T is injective. So, by theorem 5.8 [8],  $\ell^1(S)$  is semisimple if and only if  $\ell^1(S_T)$  is semisimple.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let S be a discrete semigroup and  $T \in \text{Mul}_l(S)$ . Then (i) The left multiplier T has an extension  $\tilde{T} \epsilon M u l_1(\ell^1(\mathcal{S}))$  with the norm decreasing.

(ii) The left multiplier T is injective if and only if so is  $\tilde{T}$ .

(iii) If T is injective then  $\tilde{T}$  is an isometry and also  $\ell^1(\mathcal{S}_T)$  and  $\ell^1(\ell)$  $r \text{ are}$ isomorphic.

**Proof.** (i) An arbitrary element  $f \in \ell^1(\mathcal{S})$  is of the form  $f : \mathcal{S} \to \mathbb{C}$  such that except at the most countable subset  $A$  of  $S$ . If  $A$  is a finite subset of  $S$  then  $f = \sum_{k=1}^{n} f(x_k) \delta_{x_k}$  for some fixed  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . So in general we have

$$
f = \sum_{x \in S} f(x) \delta_x = \sum_{x \in A} f(x) \delta_x = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f(x_k) \delta_{x_k}.
$$

Now, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $f_n = \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k) \delta_{x_k}$  and define  $\tilde{T} : \ell^1(\mathcal{S}) \to \ell^1(\mathcal{S})$  by

$$
\tilde{T}(\delta_x) = \delta_{T(x)} \qquad (x \in S),
$$
  

$$
\tilde{T}(f_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n f(x_k) \tilde{T}(\delta_{x_k}) = \tilde{f}_n.
$$

For each  $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  where  $n \geq m$ , we have

$$
\|\tilde{T}(f_n) - \tilde{T}(f_m)\|_1 = \|\tilde{f}_n - \tilde{f}_m\|_1 = \|\sum_{k=m}^{k=n} f(x_k) \ \tilde{T}(\delta_{x_k})\| = \|\sum_{k=m}^{k=n} f(x_k) \ \delta_{T(x_k)}\|
$$
  

$$
\leq \sum_{k=m}^{k=n} |f(x_k)| = \|f_n - f_m\|_1.
$$

So  ${\{\tilde{T}(f_n)\}_n}$  is a Cauchy sequence and it is convergent. Now, we define  $\tilde{T}(f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \tilde{f}_n$ Then the definition is well defined. Hence

$$
\widetilde{T}(f) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} f(x_k) \widetilde{T}(\delta_{x_k}) = \widetilde{f},
$$

also

$$
\left\|\tilde{f}\right\|_{1} \le \sum_{x_k \in A} |f(x_k)| = \|f\|_{1} \text{ or } \left\|\tilde{T}(f)\right\|_{1} \le \|f\|_{1}
$$

It shows that  $\tilde{T}$  is norm decreasing.

In the following, we extend  $\tilde{T}$  by linearity. Let  $f, g \in \ell^1(\mathcal{S})$ . Then there are two at most countable sub set  $A, B$  of  $S$  such that

$$
f = \sum_{x \in A} f(x) \delta_x, \ \ g = \sum_{x \in B} g(x) \delta_x.
$$

Suppose that  $D = A \cup B$ . So we have  $f + g = \sum_{x \in D} (f(x) + g(x)) \delta_x$ .

Then, it follows that

$$
\tilde{T}(f+g) = \tilde{f+g} = \sum_{x \in D} (f(x) + g(x)) \tilde{T}(\delta_x) = \sum_{x \in A} f(x) \tilde{T}(\delta_x) + \sum_{x \in B} g(x) \tilde{T}(\delta_x)
$$

$$
= \tilde{f} + \tilde{g}.
$$

Also, if  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ , we have

$$
\tilde{T}(\alpha f) = \tilde{\alpha f} = \sum_{x \in A} \alpha f(x) \tilde{T}(\delta_x) = \alpha \sum_{x \in A} f(s) \tilde{T}(\delta_x) = \alpha \tilde{T}(f).
$$

Therefore,  $\tilde{T}$  is a bounded linear isometry.

Now, we prove that  $\tilde{T} \in Mul_l$   $\ell^1(\mathcal{S})$ . Take x, y  $\epsilon \mathcal{S}$ . Then

$$
\tilde{T}(\delta_x * \delta_y) = \tilde{T}(\delta_{xy}) = \delta_{T(xy)} = \delta_{T(x)y} = \delta_{T(x)} * \delta_y = \tilde{T}(\delta_x) * \delta_y.
$$

Let  $y \in S$  be fixed and f,  $g \in \ell^1(S)$ . Then

$$
\tilde{T}(f * \delta_y) = \tilde{T}(\sum_{x \in A} f(x) \delta_{xy}) = \sum_{x \in A} f(x) \tilde{T}(\delta_{xy})
$$

$$
= (\sum_{x \in A} \tilde{T} (\delta_x)) * \delta_y = \tilde{f} * \delta_y = \tilde{T}(f) * \delta_y
$$

In the general case, we have

$$
\tilde{T}(f * g) = \tilde{T}(\sum_{x \in A} f(x) (\sum_{y \in B} g(y)) \delta_{xy}) = \sum_{x \in A} f(x) \sum_{y \in B} g(y) \tilde{T}(\delta_x) * \delta_y
$$
  
=  $\sum_{x \in A} f(x) \tilde{T}(\delta_x) * \sum_{y \in B} g(y) \delta_y = \tilde{T}(f) * g$ .

This shows that  $\tilde{T}$  is a multiplier on  $\ell^1(\mathcal{S})$ .

(ii) Let T be injective. Take x, yeS and suppose that  $\tilde{T}(\delta_x) = \tilde{T}(\delta_y)$ . Then  $\tilde{T}(\delta_{\chi}) = \tilde{T}(\delta_{\chi}) = \delta_{T(\chi)}$ .

Therefore,  $T(x) = T(y)$ . Since T is injective, we have  $x = y$ . It follows that  $\delta_x = \delta_y$ , consequently  $\tilde{T}$  is injective.

Conversely, the same argument shows that the converse holds.

(iii) Let T be injective and  $f \in \ell^1(\mathcal{S})$ . Then there exists at most a countable subset such that

(Sci. Kharazmi University)

$$
f = \sum_{x \in A} f(x) \delta_x
$$

Since A and  $T(A)$  have the same cardinal number,  $\|\tilde{T}(f)\| = \|\sum_{x \in A} f(x) \delta_x\|$  $\sum_{x \in A} |f(x)| = ||f||_1$ , so  $\tilde{T}$  is an isometry.

Now, we can define a new multiplication " $\lceil * \rceil$ " on  $\ell^1(\mathcal{S})$  as follow

$$
f\overline{\mathbb{R}}\, g = f * \tilde{T}g \qquad (f, g \in \ell^1(\mathbf{S})).
$$

By a similar argument in theorem1.31 [10],  $\ell^1(S)$  with the new product is a Banach algebra that is denoted it by  $\ell^1(\mathcal{S})_{\tilde{T}}$ . We define the map  $\Psi: \ell^1(\mathcal{S}_T) \to \ell^1(\mathcal{S})_{\tilde{T}}$ , by

$$
\Psi(\delta_x)=\delta_x \qquad (\text{ } x \in S \text{ } ).
$$

Take  $x, y \in S$ . Then

$$
\Psi(\delta_x * \delta_y) = \Psi(\delta_{x \circ y}) = \delta_{xT(y)} = \delta_x * \delta_{T(y)}
$$

$$
= \delta_x * \tilde{T}(\delta_y) = \delta_x * \delta_y
$$

$$
= \Psi(\delta_x) * \Psi(\delta_y).
$$

So, in general case, we have

$$
\Psi(f * g) = \Psi(f) \times \Psi(g) \qquad (f, g \in \ell^1(\mathcal{S})).
$$

Thus,  $\Psi$  is an isomorphism. Therefore  $\ell^1(\mathcal{S}_T)$  and  $\ell^1(\mathcal{S})_{\tilde{T}}$  are isomorphic

**Theorem 3.3.** Let S be a semigroup and  $T \in Mul_1(S)$  be bijective. Then  $\ell^1(S)$  is amenable if and only if  $\ell^1(\mathcal{S}_T)$  is amenable.

**Proof.** By theorem 3.2, we have  $\ell^1(\mathcal{S}_T) \cong \ell^1(\mathcal{S})_{\tilde{T}}$ . Suppose that  $\ell^1(\mathcal{S}_T)$  is amenable and define  $\varphi: \ell^1(\mathcal{S})_{\tilde{T}} \to \ell^1(\mathcal{S})$  by  $\varphi(f) = \tilde{T}(f)$ . Take x, yeS. Then

$$
\varphi(\delta_x \trianglerighteq \delta_y) = \tilde{T}(\delta_x \trianglerighteq \delta_y) = \tilde{T}(\delta_{xT(y)}) = \tilde{T}(\delta_x * \delta_{T(y)}) = \tilde{T}(\delta_x) * \delta_{T(y)}
$$
  
=  $\tilde{T}(\delta_x) * \tilde{T}(\delta_y) = \varphi(\delta_x) * \varphi(\delta_y)$ .

Now, by induction and continuity of  $\tilde{T}$ , we have

$$
\varphi(f \circledast g) = \varphi(f) * \varphi(g).
$$

If T is bijective,  $\tilde{T}$  is bijective. Therefore  $\varphi$  is an epimorphism of  $\ell^1(\mathcal{S}_T)$  onto  $\ell^1(\mathcal{S})$ .

Hence, by proposition 2.3.1 [16]  $\ell^1(S)$  is amenable.

Conversely, suppose that  $\ell^1(\mathcal{S})$  is amenable. Since T is bijective,  $\tilde{T}$  is bijective. Therefore  $\tilde{T}^{-1}$  exists. Now define  $\theta: \ell^1(\mathcal{S}) \to \ell^1(\mathcal{S}_T)$   $[\tilde{=} \ell^1(\mathcal{S})_{\tilde{T}}]$  by  $\theta(f) = \tilde{T}^{-1}$  ( Take  $x, y \in S$  . Then

$$
\begin{split} \theta\big(\delta_x * \delta_y\big) &= \tilde{T}^{-1}\left(\delta_{xy}\right) = \tilde{T}^{-1}\left(\delta_x\right)\tilde{T}\tilde{T}^{-1}\left(\delta_y\right) = \tilde{T}^{-1}\left(\delta_x\right)\tilde{T}\tilde{T}^{-1}\left(\delta_y\right) \\ &= \theta(\delta_x)\left[\tilde{T}\right]\theta\big(\delta_y\big) \,. \end{split}
$$

Similarly  $\theta$  is an epimorphism from  $\ell^1(\mathcal{S})$  onto  $\ell^1(\mathcal{S}_T)$ . By proposition 2.3.1  $\ell^1$ (S<sub>T</sub>) is amenable.

Note that, in general, it is not known when  $\ell^1(\mathcal{S})$  is weakly amenable. For more detials see  $[2]$ .

**Theorem3.4.** Let **S** be a semigroup and  $T \in Mul_1(S)$  be bijective. Then, if **S** is completely regular then  $\ell^1(\mathcal{S}_T)$  is weakly amenable.

**Proof.** It is enough to prove that  $S_T$  is completely regular, then by theorem 3.6 [2],  $\ell^1(\mathcal{S}_T)$  can be weakly amenable. Take seS. Then there exists  $r \in \mathcal{S}$  such that T  $T(s)T(r)T(s)$ ,  $T(r)T(s) = T(s)T(r)$ , since T is bijective and **S** = T(**S**) is completely regular. So we have  $T(s) = T(s \circ r \circ s)$  and  $T(r \circ s) = T(s \circ r)$ . Hence  $s = s \circ r \circ s$ and  $r \circ s = s \circ r$  for some  $r \in S$ , since T is injective. Therefore  $S_T$  is completely regular.

**Corollary.3.5.** Suppose that **S** is a commutative completely regular semigroup and  $T \in Mul_l(S)$  is injective. Then  $\ell^1(T(S)_T)$  is weakly amenable.

**Proof.** [2, theorem 3.6 ]  $\ell^1(S)$  is weakly amenable. Define  $\varphi: S \to \ell^1(S)_T$  by  $\varphi(s)=T^{-}$  $(s \in S)$ .

We show that  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism . Take  $s \in S$ , then we have

$$
\varphi(rs) = T^{-1}(rs) = T^{-1}(r) s = T^{-1}(r) \circ (T^{-1}s).
$$

So  $\varphi$  is a homomorphism. Then by proposition 2.1[7],  $\ell^1(T(\mathcal{S})_T)$  is weakly amenable. In the case that S is a group, it is easy to see that the amenability of S implies the amenability of  $\ell^1(\mathcal{S}_T)$ . Indeed, when S is a group, by theorem 2.1,  $\mathcal{S}_T$  is a semigroup and one can easily prove that  $S_T$  is also a group. On the other hand,  $Mul<sub>l</sub>$ because S is a unital semigroup, so each  $T \in \text{Mul}_l(S)$  is inner and of the form for some seS. Also  $T^{-1} = L_{a^{-1}}$  exists, since S is a group. Then the map defined by  $\theta(s) = T(s)$  is an isomorphism; that is  $S \cong S_T$ . Thus we have the following result:

**Corollary 3.6.** Let S be a cancellative regular discrete semigroup. Then  $\ell^1(S)$  is amenable if and only if  $\ell^1(\mathcal{S}_T)$  is amenable.

**Proof.** By [9, Exercise 2.6.11] S is a group. So the assertion holds by [15, theorem 2.1.8]

## **Examples**

In this section we present some examples which either comments on our results or indicate necessary condition in our theorems.

**4.1.** There are semigroups **S** and  $T \in \text{Mul}_1(S)$  such that the background semigroups **S** are not commutative but their induced semigroups  $S_T$  are commutative.

This example shows that the condition  $\overline{T(S)} = S$ , in theorem 2.2, can not be omitted.

Let  $S$  be the set  $\{a, b, c, d, e\}$  with operation table given by



Clearly(S, .) is a non-commutative semigroup. Now, put  $T = L_a$  where  $L_a(x) = ax$  for all  $x \in S$ . One can get easily the operation table of  $S_T$  as fallow:



The operation table shows that the induced semigroup  $S_T$  is commutative and  $T(S) \neq$ 

**S**. Also the other induced semigroup  $S_T$  is commutative for  $T = L_d$  analogously.

Now we present some important theorems from  $[14]$  that we need in the following examples:

**Theorem 4. 2.** Let S be a semigroup. Suppose that  $\ell^1(S)$  is amenable. Then

(i)  $\boldsymbol{S}$  is amenable

(ii)  $\boldsymbol{S}$  is regular.

(iii)  $E(S)$  is finite.

(iv)  $\ell^1(\mathbf{S})$  has an identity.

**Proof.** (i) That is lemma  $3$  in  $\overline{5}$ .

<sup>(</sup>ii) and (iii) See theorem  $2 \text{ in } 6$ .

(iv) That is corollary  $10.6$  in  $[4]$ .

**Theorem 4.3.** Let S be a finite semigroup. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $\ell^1(S)$  is amenable.
- (ii) **S** is regular and  $\ell^1(\mathcal{S})$  is nuital.
- (ii) ) **S** is regular and  $\ell^1(\mathbf{S})$  is semisimple.

### **Proof.** Refer to [3].

**4.4.** There are semigroups **S** and  $T \in Mul_1(S)$  such that **S** and  $\ell^1(S)$  are amenable but  $S_T$  is not regular and also,  $\ell^1(S_T)$  is not amenable.

This example shows that two semigroup algebras  $\ell^1(\mathcal{S})$  and  $\ell^1(\mathcal{S}_T)$  can be different in some properties. Also, it notifies that the bijectivity of  $T$  in the theorem 3.3 is essential. Put  $S = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$  with the operation  $x_i x_j = x_{Max\{i,j\}}$  $(0 \leq i, j \leq n,$  $n \geq 2$ ).

Then **S** is a semigroup. Since

$$
Max\{i, Max\{j, k\}\} = Max\{Max\{i, j\}, k\} = Max\{i, j, k\}.
$$

We denote it by  $S_v$ . This semigroup is commutative. So by (0.18) in [12], it is amenable.  $S_v$  is a unital semigroup and has a zero; indeed,  $e_s = x_0$  and  $o_s = x_n$ . Also, it is a regular semigroup and  $Mul(S_v) \cong S_v$  because  $S_v$  has an identity.

Evidently,  $S_v$  is regular since each  $s \in S_v$  is idempotent. The semigroup algebra  $\ell^1(\mathcal{S}_v)$  is a unital algebra because  $\mathcal{S}_v$  has an identity. So by theorem 4.3 (ii)  $\ell^1(\mathcal{S}_v)$  is amenable.

Now, take  $T = L_{x_k}$  for a fixed  $x_k \in S$  where  $k \ge 1$ . By theorem 2.2,  $(S_v)_T$  is commutative so is amenable. We show that  $T$  is neither injective and nor surjective. Take  $x_i \in S_\vee$ , then  $Tx_i = x_k x_i = x_{max\{k,i\}}$ . So

$$
T(\mathbf{S}_{\vee}) = \{x_k, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n\} \neq \mathbf{S}_{\vee}.
$$

Hence,  $T$  is not surjective.

Again, take distinct elements  $x_i, x_j$  in  $S_{\vee}$  for some  $i, j < k$  such that  $T(x_i) = T(x_i)$ . Then we have  $x_{max\{k,i\}} = x_{max\{k,i\}}$  but  $x_i \neq x_j$ . So T is not injective.

We prove that  $(S_v)_T$  is not regular. If  $(S_v)_T$  is regular, then for  $x_{k-1} \in S_v$  there exists an element  $x_i \in S_{\vee}$  such that

$$
x_{k-1} = x_{k-1} \, \, \text{or} \, \, x_j \, \, \, \text{or} \, \, x_{k-1} = x_{Max\{k,j\}} \, .
$$

That implies that  $max\{k, j\} = k - 1$ ; which is impossible. Consequently, by theorem 4.2 (ii) or 4.3 (ii),  $\ell^1((S_v)_T)$  is not amenable.

Also, the inequality  $S_{\vee} \circ S_{\vee} = \{x_k, x_{k+1}, ..., x_n\} \neq S_{\vee}$  shows that  $\ell^1((S_{\vee})_T)$  is not weakly amenable. In the next example we show that in the theorem 3.2 (iii) the condition "injectivity of " can not be omitted.

**4.5** There are a semigroup S and  $T \in Mul_1(S)$  such that  $T \in Mul_1(S)$  is not injecyive and the corresponding  $\tilde{T} \in Mul_l(\ell^1(\mathcal{S}_T))$  is not an isometry.

Suppose that  $S_v$  is a semigroup as in example 4.4 and  $T = L_{xv}$  for some fixed  $1 < k < n$ . If  $f \in \ell^1(\mathcal{S}_{\vee})$  then  $f = \sum_{i=0}^n f(x_i) \delta_{x_i}$  and also  $\tilde{T}(f) = \sum_{i=0}^n f(x_i) \delta_{T(x_i)}$ . But  $T(x_i) = \begin{cases} x_i \\ x_i \end{cases}$  $x_k$   $0 \le i \le k$ ,

so

$$
\tilde{T}(f) = \left(\sum_{i=0}^k f(x_i)\right) \delta_{x_k} + \sum_{i=k+1}^n f(x_i) \delta_{T(x_i)}.
$$

Hence

$$
\|\tilde{T}(f)\| = \left| \sum_{i=0}^{k} f(x_i) \right| + \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} |f(x_i)|
$$
  

$$
\leq \sum_{i=0}^{k} |f(x_i)| + \sum_{i=k+1}^{n} |f(x_i)| = ||f||_1,
$$

It shows that  $\tilde{T}$  is not an isometry.

**4.6.** There are semigroups **S** and  $T \in \text{Mul}_1(S)$  such that  $\ell^1(S)$  is semisimple. But  $\ell^1(\mathcal{S}_T)$  is not semisimple. This example remind that, in theorem 3.1 the multiplier  $T$  must be injective.

Let S be a set  $\{x_0, x_1, ..., x_n\}$  where  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $n \geq 3$  is fixed. by operation given by  $xy = x_{min} \{i, j\}$ , S is a commutative semigroup. Since

 $min\{i, min\{j, k\}\} = min\{min\{i, j\}, k\} = min\{i, j, k\}$  (*i*, *j*, *k* $\in$ **N**).

We denote it briefly by  $S_{\lambda}$  For each  $x, y \in S$  the equality  $x^2 = y^2 = xy$  implies  $x = y$ . So by Theorem 5.8 [8]  $\ell^1(\mathcal{S}_y)$  is semisimple.

Now, let  $T = L_{x_k}$  for a fixed  $1 \leq k < n - 1$ . It is easy to see that  $T(x_k) = T(x_n)$ but  $x_k \neq x_n$ . So the multiplier T is not injective.

We show that neither  $\boldsymbol{S}_{\wedge}$  nor  $\left\{\ell^1(\boldsymbol{S}_{\wedge}\right)_T$  is semisimple.

Each ideal of  $S$  is of the form

$$
I_m = \{x_0, x_1, ..., x_m\} \quad (m \le n).
$$

We claim that  $\mathcal{S}_T$  is not semisimple. Since for each  $m \epsilon N$  we have

$$
I_{m} \circ I_{m} = \begin{cases} I_{m} & m \leq k \\ I_{k} & m > k \end{cases}
$$

On the other hand, for each  $x_i, x_i \in S$  where  $i \neq j$  and  $i, j > k$ , we have  $x_i \circ j$  $x_j \circ x_j = x_i \circ x_j = x_k$ , while  $x_i \neq x_j$ . Thus, Theorem 5.8 [8] shows that  $\ell^1(\mathbf{S}_{\lambda})_{T}$  is not semisimple .

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

### **Acknowledgment**

The authors express their thanks to Professor A. R. Medghalchi for his valuable comments. Also we thank him for some corrections of this paper.

### **Reference**

- 1. Birtel F. T., "Banach algebras of multipliers", Duke Math. J. 28 (1961) 203-211.
- 2. Blackmore T. D., "Weak amenability of discrete semigroup algebras", Semigroup Forum 55 (1997) 169-205.
- 3. Esslamzadeh G. H., "Ideal and representations of certain semigroup algebras", Semigroup Forum 69(2004) 51-62.
- 4. Dales H. G., Lau A. T.-M., Strauss D., "Banach algebras on semigroups and on their compactifications", Memoirs American Math. Soc. 205 (2010) 1-197.
- 5. Duncan J., Namioka I., "Amenability of inverse semigroup and their semigroup algebras", Proc, Royal. Edinburgh. Section A 80 (1978) 309-321.
- 6. Duncan J., Paterson A. L. T., "Amenability for discrete convolution semigroup algebras", Math. Scand. 66 (1990) 141-146.
- 7. Gronbaek N., "A characterization of weak amenability", Studia Math. 97 (1987) 149-162.
- 8. Hewitt E., Zuckerman H. S., "The  $\ell^1$  -algebra of a commutative semigroup", Trans. Amer. Math. Soc, 83 (1956) 70-97.
- 9. Howie J. M., "Fundamentals of Semigroup Theory", Claredon Press Oxford (2003).
- 10. Laali J., "The multipliers related products in banach alegebras", Quaestiones Mathematicae, 37 (2014) 1-17.
- 11. Larsen R., "An Introduction to the Theory of Multipliers", Springer-verlag, New York (1971).
- 12. Paterson A. L. T., "Amenability", American Mathematical Society (1988).
- 13. Medghalchi A. R., "Hypergroups, weighted hypergroups and modification by multipliers", Ph.D Thesis, University of Sheffild (1982).
- 14. Mewomo O. T., "Notions of amenability on semigroup algebras", J. Semigroup Theory Appl. 2013:8. ISSN 2051-2937 (2013) 1-18.
- 15. Mohammadi S. M., Laali J., "The Relationship between two involutive semigroups S and  $S_T$ is defined by a left multiplier T. Journal of Function Space", Article ID 851237 (2014).
- 16. Runde, "Lectures On Amenability", Springer-Verlag Berlin (2002).