

A NEW LOWER BOUND FOR COHOMOLOGICAL DIMENSION

ALIREZA NAZARI* AND ASGHAR FAROKHI

ABSTRACT. Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a Noetherian local ring, M a finitely generated R -module, and \mathfrak{a} an ideal of R . We define the \mathfrak{a} -minimum dimension $d(\mathfrak{a}, M)$ of M by

$$d(\mathfrak{a}, M) = \text{Min}\{\dim \frac{R}{\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{a}} : \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Assh}_R(M)\}.$$

In this paper, we show that $cd(\mathfrak{a}, M) \geq \dim M - d(\mathfrak{a}, M)$ and we give some sufficient conditions and characterization for the equality to hold true.

1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a commutative Noetherian local ring (with identity) and let M be a finitely generated R -module. For an R -module M , the i -th local cohomology module of M with respect to \mathfrak{a} is defined as

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) = \varinjlim_{n \geq 1} \text{Ext}_R^i(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{a}^n}, M).$$

For the basic properties of local cohomology the reader can refer to [1] of Brodmann and Sharp.

DOI: 10.29252/as.2020.1621

MSC(2010): 13D45; 14B15.

Keywords: local cohomology, cohomological dimension, cofinite modules.

Received: 01 July 2019, Accepted: 07 Oct 2019.

*Corresponding author

Recall that the cohomological dimension of M with respect to \mathfrak{a} is defined as

$$\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M) := \max\{i \in \mathbb{Z} : H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) \neq 0\}.$$

The cohomological dimension has been studied by several authors; see, for example, Faltings [5], Hartshorne [6], Huneke-Lyubeznik [7] and Varbaro [10]. In particular in [5] and [7], several upper bounds for cohomological dimension were obtained. It follows from [1, Theorem 6.2.7] that $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M)$ is greater than or equal to the $\text{grade}(\mathfrak{a}, M)$. A natural question to ask is under what conditions one can obtain a better lower bound for $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M)$. The main aim of this article is to establish a new lower bound for cohomological dimension of finitely generated modules over a local ring.

Throughout this article, we denote $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec } R : \mathfrak{p} \supseteq \mathfrak{a}\}$ by $V(\mathfrak{a})$, $\text{Min } V(\mathfrak{a})$ by $\text{Min}(\mathfrak{a})$, and $\{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(M) : \dim \frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}} = \dim M\}$ by $\text{Assh}_R(M)$. The radical of \mathfrak{a} , denoted by $\sqrt{\mathfrak{a}}$, is defined to be the set $\{x \in R : x^n \in \mathfrak{a} \text{ for some } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Recall that an R -module M is called \mathfrak{a} -cofinite if $\text{Supp}(M) \subseteq V(\mathfrak{a})$ and $\text{Ext}_R^i(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{a}}, M)$ is finitely generated for all $i \geq 0$. For any unexplained notation and terminology, we refer the reader to [1] and [8].

2. Main results

Definition 2.1. Let M be a finitely generated R -module, and let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of R . We define the \mathfrak{a} -minimum dimension $d(\mathfrak{a}, M)$ of M by

$$d(\mathfrak{a}, M) = \text{Min}\{\dim \frac{R}{\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{a}} : \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Assh}_R(M)\}.$$

To prove the main results of this paper, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.2. (see [4, Lemma 2.5]) *Let M be a finitely generated R -module, and let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of R . Then*

$$\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a} + Rx, M) \leq \text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M) + 1$$

for any element $x \in \mathfrak{m}$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let M be a finitely generated R -module and \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of R with $d(\mathfrak{a}, M) > 0$. Then there exists an element $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that $\dim \frac{M}{xM} = \dim M - 1$ and $d(\mathfrak{a}, \frac{M}{xM}) \leq d(\mathfrak{a}, M) - 1$.*

Proof. Since $d(\mathfrak{a}, M) > 0$, we have $\sqrt{\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{a}} \neq \mathfrak{m}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Assh}_R(M)$, and so there exists

$$x \in \mathfrak{m} - \bigcup_{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Min}(\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{a}), \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Assh}_R(M)} \mathfrak{q}.$$

By the definition, there exists $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Assh}_R(M)$ such that $d(\mathfrak{a}, M) = \dim \frac{M}{(\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{a})M}$. Now let $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Assh}_R(\frac{M}{(\mathfrak{p} + Rx)M})$, then by the choice of x we have

$$\dim \frac{R}{\mathfrak{q}} = \dim \frac{M}{(\mathfrak{p} + Rx)M} = \dim M - 1 = \dim \frac{M}{xM}.$$

As $\text{Assh}_R(\frac{M}{(\mathfrak{p}+Rx)M}) \subseteq \text{Supp} \frac{M}{xM}$, we have $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Supp} \frac{M}{xM}$, and so by the above equalities we have $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Assh}_R(\frac{M}{xM})$. It follows that

$$d(\mathfrak{a}, \frac{M}{xM}) \leq \dim \frac{M}{(\mathfrak{q} + \mathfrak{a})M} \leq \dim \frac{M}{(\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{a} + Rx)M} = \dim \frac{M}{(\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{a})M} - 1 = d(\mathfrak{a}, M) - 1.$$

This element x has the requested properties. \square

Theorem 2.4. *Let M be a finitely generated R -module, and let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of R . Then $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M) \geq \dim M - d(\mathfrak{a}, M)$.*

Proof. We prove this by induction on $n = d(\mathfrak{a}, M)$. If $d(\mathfrak{a}, M) = 0$ then we have $\dim \frac{M}{(\mathfrak{p}+\mathfrak{a})M} = 0$ for some $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Assh}_R(M)$ and so $\sqrt{\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{a}} = \mathfrak{m}$ for some $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Assh}_R(M)$. It follows from [1, Exercise 6.1.9] and Non-vanishing Theorem [1, 6.1.4] that

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\dim M}(M) \otimes \frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}} \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\dim M}(\frac{M}{\mathfrak{p}M}) \cong H_{\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{p}}^{\dim M}(\frac{M}{\mathfrak{p}M}) \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\dim \frac{M}{\mathfrak{p}M}}(\frac{M}{\mathfrak{p}M}) \neq 0,$$

and so $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\dim M}(M) \neq 0$.

Now suppose, inductively, that $d(\mathfrak{a}, M) > 0$, and the result has been proved for all finitely generated R -modules N with $d(\mathfrak{a}, N) < d(\mathfrak{a}, M)$. By Lemma 2.3, there exists an element $x \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that $\dim M = \dim \frac{M}{xM} + 1$ and $d(\mathfrak{a}, M) \geq d(\mathfrak{a}, \frac{M}{xM}) + 1$. So by induction hypothesis we have $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M/xM) \geq \dim \frac{M}{xM} - d(\mathfrak{a}, \frac{M}{xM})$. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \dim M - d(\mathfrak{a}, M) &= \dim \frac{M}{xM} + 1 - d(\mathfrak{a}, M) \\ &\leq \dim \frac{M}{xM} - d(\mathfrak{a}, \frac{M}{xM}) \\ \text{[by induction hypothesis]} &\leq \text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, \frac{M}{xM}) \\ \text{[4, Theorem 2.2]} &\leq \text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

The following examples shows that the equality does not hold in general.

Example 2.5. Let M be a finitely generated R -module such that $\bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Assh}_R(M)} \mathfrak{p} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{q}$ for some \mathfrak{q} in $\text{Ass}_R(M)$. Then for $x \in \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Assh}_R(M)} \mathfrak{p} - \mathfrak{q}$ we have

$$\text{cd}(Rx, M) = 1 > 0 = \dim(M) - d(Rx, M).$$

For example, let $R = K[[X, Y, Z]]$, $M = \frac{K[[X, Y, Z]]}{\langle X \rangle \cap \langle Y, Z \rangle}$, and $x = X$, where K is a field and X, Y, Z are independent indeterminates.

Example 2.6. Let K be a field of characteristic 0. Let $R' := K[X_1, X_2, X_3]$, $\mathfrak{m}' := (X_1, X_2, X_3)$ and $\mathfrak{b} = (X_2^2 - X_1^2 - X_1^3)$. Set $R := (\frac{R'}{\mathfrak{b}})_{\frac{\mathfrak{m}'}{\mathfrak{b}}}$ and let \mathfrak{p} be the extension of the ideal

$$(X_1 + X_2 - X_2X_3, (X_3 - 1)^2(X_1 + 1) - 1)$$

of R' to R . Then R is a 2-dimensional local domain, and \mathfrak{p} is a prime ideal of R with $\dim \frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}} = 1$ (see [1, Exercise 8.2.9]), and we have

$$\text{cd}(\mathfrak{p}, R) = 2 > 1 = \dim(R) - d(\mathfrak{p}, R).$$

Therefore, it is natural to ask, under what conditions does the equality hold?

Our second aim is to find such conditions. The following theorem gives us a characterization for the equality $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M) = \dim M - d(\mathfrak{a}, M)$.

Theorem 2.7. *Let M be a finitely generated R -module, and let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of R . Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M) = \dim M - d(\mathfrak{a}, M)$;
- (ii) *There exists a sequence x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l , where $l = d(\mathfrak{a}, M)$, such that for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$*

$$x_i \in \mathfrak{m} - \bigcup_{\substack{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Min}(\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{a} + Rx_1 + \dots + Rx_{i-1}) \\ \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Assh}_R(M)}} \mathfrak{q}$$

and $H_{Rx_i}^1(H_{\mathfrak{a} + Rx_1 + \dots + Rx_{i-1}}^{c+i-1}(M)) \neq 0$, where $c = \text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M)$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) We use induction on $l = d(\mathfrak{a}, M)$. When $l = 0$, there is nothing to prove. So suppose that $d(\mathfrak{a}, M) = l > 0$ and that the result has been proved for each ideal \mathfrak{b} with $d(\mathfrak{b}, M) < l$. Choose $x_1 \in \mathfrak{m} - \bigcup_{\substack{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Min}(\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{a}) \\ \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Assh}_R(M)}} \mathfrak{q}$; then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \dim M - d(\mathfrak{a}, M) &= \dim M - d(\mathfrak{a} + Rx_1, M) - 1 \\ &\leq \text{cd}(\mathfrak{a} + Rx_1, M) - 1 \\ \text{[by lemma 2.2]} &\leq \text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M). \end{aligned}$$

So $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a} + Rx_1, M) = \dim M - d(\mathfrak{a} + Rx_1, M)$ and $d(\mathfrak{a} + Rx_1, M) = l - 1$. Therefore, by the inductive hypothesis, there exists a sequence $x_2, x_3, \dots, x_l \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that, for each $i = 2, 3, \dots, l$,

$$x_i \in \mathfrak{m} - \bigcup_{\substack{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Min}(\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{a} + Rx_1 + \dots + Rx_{i-1}) \\ \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Assh}_R(M)}} \mathfrak{q}$$

and $H_{Rx_i}^1(H_{\mathfrak{a} + Rx_1 + \dots + Rx_{i-1}}^{c+i-1}(M)) \neq 0$.

On the other hand, we have $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a} + Rx_1, M) = \text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M) + 1$ and so $H_{\mathfrak{a}+Rx_1}^{c+1}(M) \neq 0$. By [1, Proposition 8.1.2 (i)], there is an exact sequence

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(M) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(M_{x_1}) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}+Rx_1}^{c+1}(M) \longrightarrow 0.$$

It follows that the natural homomorphism $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(M) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(M_{x_1})$ is not surjective. So $H_{Rx_1}^1(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(M)) \neq 0$ by [1, Remark 2.2.17]. This completes the proof of (i) \Rightarrow (ii).

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) For $d(\mathfrak{a}, M) = 0$ the result is obvious. Now suppose, inductively, that $d(\mathfrak{a}, M) = l > 0$ and the result has been proved for each ideal \mathfrak{b} with $d(\mathfrak{b}, M) < l$. Assume that there exists a sequence $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l \in \mathfrak{m}$ such that, for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$,

$$x_i \in \mathfrak{m} - \bigcup_{\substack{\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Min}(\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{a} + Rx_1 + \dots + Rx_{i-1}) \\ \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Assh}_R(M)}} \mathfrak{q}$$

and $H_{Rx_i}^1(H_{\mathfrak{a}+Rx_1+\dots+Rx_{i-1}}^{c+i-1}(M)) \neq 0$.

Note that $d(\mathfrak{a} + Rx_1, M) = d(\mathfrak{a}, M) - 1 = l - 1$, and so, by the inductive hypothesis, we have $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a} + Rx_1, M) = \dim(M) - d(\mathfrak{a} + Rx_1, M)$. It follows that $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a} + Rx_1, M) - 1 = \dim(M) - d(\mathfrak{a}, M)$. Since $H_{Rx_1}^1(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(M)) \neq 0$, the natural homomorphism $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(M) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^c(M_{x_1})$ is not surjective by [1, Remark 2.2.17] and so $H_{\mathfrak{a}+Rx_1}^{c+1}(M) \neq 0$ by [1, Proposition 8.1.2 (i)]. Hence $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a} + Rx_1, M) = \text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M) + 1$ and the result follows. \square

Recall that a sequence $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l \in \mathfrak{a}$ is called an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular sequence of M if $x_i \notin \mathfrak{p}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}_R(\frac{M}{\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{i-1} \rangle M}) - V(\mathfrak{a})$ and all $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$. For an R -module M , we shall denote $\frac{M}{\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)}$ by \overline{M} .

Lemma 2.8. *Let M be a finitely generated R -module, and let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of R such that $\Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M) \neq M$. If M is an equidimensional R -module, then*

- (i) \overline{M} is an equidimensional R -module and we have $\dim M = \dim \overline{M}$, and $d(\mathfrak{a}, M) = d(\mathfrak{a}, \overline{M})$.
- (ii) If R is a catenary ring then $\frac{\overline{M}}{x\overline{M}}$ is an equidimensional R -module for each \mathfrak{a} -filter regular element x of M .

Proof. (i) This is immediate from the fact that

$$\text{Min Ass}_R(\overline{M}) = \text{Min Ass}_R(M) - V(\mathfrak{a}) = \text{Assh}_R(M) - V(\mathfrak{a}) = \text{Assh}_R(\overline{M}).$$

(ii) Let $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Min Ass}_R(\frac{\overline{M}}{x\overline{M}})$. So we have $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Min}(\text{Ann}_R(\overline{M}) + Rx)$. It follows that there exists $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Min}(\text{Ann}_R(\overline{M})) = \text{Assh}_R(\overline{M})$ such that $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Min}(\mathfrak{p} + Rx)$. As R is a catenary ring,

we have $h(\mathfrak{q}) = h(\mathfrak{p}) + 1$ and so $\dim(R/\mathfrak{q}) = \dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) - 1$.

It follows that

$$\dim(R/\mathfrak{q}) = \dim(R/\mathfrak{p}) - 1 = \dim(\overline{M}) - 1 = \dim\left(\frac{\overline{M}}{x\overline{M}}\right).$$

Hence $\mathfrak{q} \in \text{Assh}_R\left(\frac{\overline{M}}{x\overline{M}}\right)$ and so the claim follows. \square

Theorem 2.9. *Let R be a catenary ring, M a finitely generated equidimensional R -module, and $l = \dim(M) - d(\mathfrak{a}, M)$. If there exists an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular sequence x_1, x_2, \dots, x_l of M such that $d(\mathfrak{a}, M_{i-1}) = d(\mathfrak{a}, M_i)$, where $M_0 = M$ and $M_i = \frac{\overline{M_{i-1}}}{x_i \overline{M_{i-1}}}$, for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, l$, then*

$$cd(\mathfrak{a}, M) = \dim(M) - d(\mathfrak{a}, M).$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.4, it is enough for us to show that $cd(\mathfrak{a}, M) \leq l$. We argue by induction on l . When $l = 0$, since M is equidimensional, by the definition of $d(\mathfrak{a}, M)$ we have $M = \Gamma_{\mathfrak{a}}(M)$ and so $cd(\mathfrak{a}, M) = \dim(M) - d(\mathfrak{a}, M)$.

Now suppose, inductively, that $l > 0$ and the result has been proved for smaller values of l . By the pervious lemma, in this case, \overline{M} is an equidimensional R -module, and we have $\dim(M) = \dim(\overline{M})$, $d(\mathfrak{a}, M) = d(\mathfrak{a}, \overline{M})$, and $cd(\mathfrak{a}, M) = cd(\mathfrak{a}, \overline{M})$. So in view of the inductive hypothesis we can replace M by \overline{M} , and assume that M is \mathfrak{a} -torsion free. The exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{x_1} M \longrightarrow M_1 \longrightarrow 0$$

induces an exact sequence

$$H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{i-1}(M_1) \longrightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) \xrightarrow{x_1} H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M).$$

Since $d(\mathfrak{a}, M) = d(\mathfrak{a}, M_1)$ and $\dim(M_1) = \dim(M) - 1$, we obtain

$$\dim(M_1) - d(\mathfrak{a}, M_1) = l - 1.$$

By the pervious lemma, M_1 is an equidimensional R -module, so by induction hypothesis $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M_1) = 0$ for all $i > l - 1$. Therefore, in view of the above exact sequence, $(0 \begin{smallmatrix} : \\ H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) \end{smallmatrix} x_1) = 0$ for all $i > l$. But $x_1 \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M)$ is an \mathfrak{a} -torsion R -module, and so $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^i(M) = 0$ for all $i > l$. This complete the inductive step, and the proof. \square

The following is an example to illustrate Theorem 2.9.

Example 2.10. Let $R = K[[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5]]$ denote the formal power series ring in five variables over a field K . Put $M = \frac{K[[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5]]}{\langle X_2 \rangle \cap \langle X_3 \rangle}$ and $\mathfrak{a} = \langle X_1, X_2, X_3 \rangle$. In this case we have $\dim(M) - d(\mathfrak{a}, M) = 2$, and $x_1, x_2 + x_3$ is an \mathfrak{a} -filter regular sequence of M which has the property mentioned in Theorem 2.9. It follows that $cd(\mathfrak{a}, M) = 2$ and $H_{\langle X_1, X_2, X_3 \rangle}^3\left(\frac{K[[X_1, X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5]]}{\langle X_2 \rangle \cap \langle X_3 \rangle}\right) = 0$.

Before proving Theorem 2.12, we need the following lemma which is proved in [2].

Lemma 2.11. (see [2, Lemma 4.3]) *Let M be a finitely generated R -module, and let $\mathfrak{q} \in V(\text{Ann}_R(H_m^{\dim M}(M)))$ such that $\dim M_{\mathfrak{q}} = \dim M - \dim \frac{R}{\mathfrak{q}}$. Then $\text{Ann}_R(0 :_{H_m^{\dim M}(M)} \mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{q}$.*

Theorem 2.12. *Let R be a catenary ring, and let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of R such that $\dim \frac{R}{\mathfrak{a}} = 1$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (i) $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M) = \dim(M) - d(\mathfrak{a}, M)$ for each finitely generated R -module M ;
- (ii) $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, \frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}) = \dim(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}) - d(\mathfrak{a}, \frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}})$ for each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R ;
- (iii) $\text{Ann}_R(0 :_{H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\dim \frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}}(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}})} \mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{q}$ for each prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of R and each prime ideal $\mathfrak{q} \in V(\text{Ann}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\dim \frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}}(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}})))$ with $\dim \frac{R}{\mathfrak{q}} = 1$.

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) is clear.

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) For $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Spec}(R)$, let \mathfrak{q} be a prime ideal of R such that $\mathfrak{q} \supseteq \text{Ann}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\dim \frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}}(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}))$ and $\dim \frac{R}{\mathfrak{q}} = 1$. Since $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\dim \frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}}(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}) \neq 0$, it follows from statement (ii) that $\sqrt{\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{a}} = \mathfrak{m}$ and so $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\dim \frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}}(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}) \cong H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\dim \frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}}(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}})$. Therefore the proof is complete if we show that

$$\text{Ann}_R(0 :_{H_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\dim \frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}}(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}})} \mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{q}.$$

Since R is catenary, we have

$$\dim(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}})_{\mathfrak{q}} = \dim \frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}} - 1 = \dim(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}) - \dim \frac{R}{\mathfrak{q}}.$$

The result now follows from Lemma 2.11.

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) It is enough, in order to prove this part, to show that, if $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, M) = \dim(M)$, then there exists $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Assh}_R(M)$ such that $\sqrt{\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{a}} = \mathfrak{m}$. By [9, Corollary 2.2], there exists $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Assh}_R(M)$ such that $\text{cd}(\mathfrak{a}, \frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}) = \dim(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}})$. We show that for this \mathfrak{p} , we have $\sqrt{\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{a}} = \mathfrak{m}$. Suppose, on the contrary, that $\sqrt{\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{a}} \neq \mathfrak{m}$. Then there exists a prime ideal \mathfrak{q} of R such that $\mathfrak{q} \supseteq \sqrt{\mathfrak{p} + \mathfrak{a}}$ and $\dim \frac{R}{\mathfrak{q}} = 1$. Since $\mathfrak{q} \supseteq \mathfrak{p} = \text{Ann}_R(H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\dim \frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}}(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}))$, by assumption (iii), we have $\text{Ann}_R(0 :_{H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\dim \frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}}(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}})} \mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{q}$. It follows that $(0 :_{H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\dim \frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}}(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}})} \mathfrak{a})$ is not finitely generated. But by [3, Theorem 3], Artinian local cohomology module $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^{\dim \frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}}}(\frac{R}{\mathfrak{p}})$ is \mathfrak{a} -cofinite, and this is a contradiction. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] M. P. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, *Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 60. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1998).
- [2] N. T. Coung and N. T. Dung, *Top local cohomology and the catenaricity of the unmixed support of a finitely generated module*, Communications in Algebra. **35** (2007), pp. 1691–1701.

- [3] D. Delfino and T. Marley, *Cofinite modules and local cohomology*, J. Pure and Appl. Algebra. **121** (1997), pp. 45-52.
- [4] K. Divaani-Aazar, R. Naghipour and M. Tousi, *Cohomological dimension of certain algebraic varieties*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **130** (2002), pp. 3537-3544.
- [5] G. Faltings, *Über lokale Kohomologiegruppen hoher Ordnung*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **313** (1980), pp. 43-51.
- [6] R. Hartshorne, *Cohomological dimension of algebraic varieties*, Annals of Math. **88** (1968), pp. 403-450.
- [7] C. Huneke and G. Lyubeznik, *On the vanishing of local cohomology modules*, Inv. Math. **102** (1990), pp. 73-93.
- [8] H. Matsumura, *Commutative Ring Theory*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, (1986).
- [9] P. Schenzel, *On formal local cohomology and connectedness*, J. Algebra. **315** (2), (2007), pp. 894-923.
- [10] M. Varbaro, *Cohomological and projective dimensions*, Compositio Math. **149** (2013), pp. 1203-1210.

Alireza Nazari

Department of mathematics

Lorestan University

Khorram Abad, Iran.

nazari.ar@lu.ac.ir

Asghar Farokhi

Department of mathematics

Lorestan University

Khorram Abad, Iran.

aliasgharfarokhi66@yahoo.com