

Iranian Polymer Journal **17** (2), 2008, 141-154 Available online at: http://journal.ippi.ac.ir

Structural and Mechanistic Studies of Telomerization of Vinyl Acetate by CDCl₃ via ¹H NMR

Mohammad Ali Semsarzadeh¹, Mahdi Abdollahi¹, Farshid Ziaee² and Arezou Nouri²

 Polymer Engineering Group, Chemical Engineering Department, Faculty of Engineering, Tarbiat Modares University, P.O. Box: 14115/143, Tehran, Iran
 (2) Iran Polymer and Petrochemical Institute P.O. Box: 14965/115, Tehran, Iran

Received 12 November 2007; accepted 22 January 2008

ABSTRACT

rinetics of radical telomerization of vinyl acetate (VAc) with CDCl₃ as a telogen in the presence of AIBN initiator was studied by ¹H NMR. Structure of the chains was analyzed in detail by ¹H NMR spectroscopy technique. It was observed that two telomers with different α -ends including trichloromethyl- and dichloromethyl-terminated VAc telomers were formed during the telomerization reaction. Therefore, two different mechanisms of the transfer reaction to CDCl3 telogen have been proposed which were supported in details by the abstraction of either deuterium or chlorine radicals from CDCl₃ molecules. ¹H NMR analysis also allowed us to calculate the conversion of VAc (X_{VAc}), number-average degree of polymerization (DPn), telomer percentage (%), and the ratio of the abstractions of deuterium radicals to chlorine radicals $(R_{D/Cl})$ from CDCl₃ molecules. These results showed that at the same conversion, at first, DPn decreases with increase of the initial concentration of AIBN but then increases. Also, \overline{DPn} at the same conversion decreases by increasing of the R₀ and decreasing of the reaction temperature. Moreover, at the same conversion, telomer percentage increased by decreasing [AIBN]₀ and reaction temperature while decreased by decreasing of the R₀ (or equivalently [CDCl₃]). The highest yield of telomer was about 60%. There was not any significant difference between the R_{D/Cl} at various conditions used in VAc telomerization by CDCl₃. ¹H NMR analysis showed that the rate of the abstraction of deuterium radical from CDCl₃ molecule is favoured relative to its rate of chlorine radical abstraction.

Key Words:

vinyl acetate; free radical telomerization; chloroform-d; ¹H NMR spectroscopy; telomerization mechanism.

INTRODUCTION

In contrast to polymerization reactions, telomerization is a reaction that forms oligomers with low molecular weights. Telomerization is defined as the reaction between molecule YZ, called a telogen, with one or several molecules of a polymerizable compound M, called a taxogen, under the polymerization conditions. The product is called telomer of the formula $Y(M)_n Z$ (eqn 1) where n is the number of taxogen molecules incorporated into the reaction.

$$nM+YZ \xrightarrow{\text{catalyst}} Y-(M)_n-Z$$
 (1)

There are some main differences between telomerization and polymerization. Initially, in telomeriza

^(*) To whom correspondence to be addressed. E-mail: semsarzadeh@modares.ac.ir

tion, fragments of the initiator mainly induce the rupture of the telogen, whereas in polymerization, they add onto the monomer. Secondly, the number of M units (n) in the final compound obtained by telomerization is low (n < 100) though, it is not equal to 1 (n # 1). The groups formed at the chains' terminals in telomerization are significant because the molecular weights in telomers are always low.

Our interest in the polymerization of vinyl acetate (VAc) for years leads to the solution polymerization of VAc in the presence of an amine chelating compound in an amine mediated controlled reaction where a high yield of polymer with a low polydispersity was formed [1]. The microstructure of PVAc and poly(vinyl alcohol) has been studied with ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR [2-5]. We have already reported the increase in the conversion of VAc and a substantial reduction in the reaction time of polymerization with an amine chelating agent in acetic acid and ethyl acetate solutions [1]. This work was then extended in the polymerization of styrene and methyl methacrylate by adopting atom transfer radical polymerization (ATRP) [6,7] where copper(I) halide was added as active catalyst of diamines and its chelating complex with free radicals.

It was not until 2000 that the free-radical telomerization of VAc with CHCl₃ telogen and formation of low molecular weight VAc telomer was reported [8]. This is appeared following a review on telomerization kinetics and mechanism of redox reaction and the synthesis of monofunctional and difunctional (telechelic) telomers [9]. The use of telomer as a potential block incorporated into the diblock or triblock copolymers of styrene, methyl methacrylate, and methyl acrylate reached its importance after the discovery of ATRP and its use in macromolecular engineering. In 2000 vinyl acetate telomer as a macroinitiator was reported in the ATRP reactions and synthesis of block copolymers of VAc and styrene [8].

In 2003, the results on the synthesis of block copolymers by ATRP of methyl methacrylate and methyl acrylate by VAc telomer as a macroinitiator were reported [10,11]. Since the macromolecular architecture of the block copolymers is critical in the macromolecular design and engineering, we published our new results on the effect of molecular weight and its distribution of VAc macroinitiators on the kinetics of acrylates incorporated into diblock copolymers [12]. The research on the microstructure of macroinitiator seemed exceedingly important in the first step of ATRP reaction. The presence of dormant species and persistent radicals in the first step of reaction and the complex formation with organic halides or trichloromethyl-terminated VAc telomers with CuCl-tetraamine complex required an intensive research. This led to the investigation of the specific effect of chain geometry, length, molecular weight and its distribution, conformation and chemical compositions of vinyl acetate, and the structural activities of telomers in formation of more specific block copolymers which is called "chemical selectivity" [12].

In 2006, Semsarzadeh et al. have reported the effect of molecular weight and its distribution of VAc telomers in the ATRP of methyl acrylate and methyl methacrylate [12]. It was shown that at low conversion, there are major differences in the compositions and tacticities of triblock copolymers of VAc with methyl acrylate and methyl methacrylate [13]. In another report the copolymer composition data obtained from ¹H NMR was used to calculate monomer reactivity ratios for the atom transfer radical copolymerization of methyl acrylate and methyl methacrylate in the presence of trichloromethyl-terminated PVAc macroinitiator [14].

In the present paper, the studies are continued on the macroinitiators of vinyl acetate by considering the instantaneous formation of trichloromethyl-terminated VAc telomers by real time ¹H NMR spectroscopy technique. The identification of structure of telomers formed during the telomerization of VAc with CDCl₃ as a telogen was also important in this research, where the active components involved in telomerization should be identified. To our knowledge, there is no report on the telomerization of VAc with chloroformd (CDCl₃) as a telogen and using the obtained telomers as macroinitiator in ATRP. Moreover, a new mechanism of transfer reaction to CDCl₃ is given in the present study which is completely different from its transfer reactions to CHCl3 and CCl4 in the VAc telomerization.

Investigation of the kinetics and mechanisms involved in the formation of different telomers of VAc produced by CDCl₃ telogen can be of further assistance in controlling the ATRP reactions. It also allows the proper selection of the tacticity needed for any particular macromolecular design and engineering of the diblock or triblock copolymers of styrene, methyl acrylate and methyl methacrylate. The free-radical telomerization study of VAc in CDCl₃ with real time ¹H NMR spectroscopy technique enables us to follow the course of this reaction, specifically and identify all the structures formed under different conditions. We believe that the sequential arrangements of active groups in VAc telomers in diblock or triblock copolymers may have a strong effect on the selectivity of monomers in the ATRP of methacrylates and styrene.

EXPERIMENTAL

Materials

Vinyl acetate (VAc, Merck-Schuchardt, > 99%) was distilled under nitrogen (99.99%) atmosphere. 2,2'-Azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN, Fluka, \ge 98%) and chloroform-d (CDCl₃, ARMAR Chemicals, Switzerland, 99.95%) were used without further purification.

Sample Preparation

Solution samples with different $[CDCl_3]_0/[VAc]_0$ ratios as well as different AIBN concentrations were prepared (Table 1). First, initiator solution in CDCl₃ was prepared. The defined amount of this solution was then added to a given amount of monomer (VAc) thus samples with the desired concentrations were obtained . Total volume of each sample was adjusted to be about 1 mL. The final reaction mixture was then conducted in the NMR tube (5 mm in diameter). The solutions in the NMR tubes were degassed with nitrogen gas (99.99% purity) to exclude oxygen from the reaction mixture. It should be noted that during sample preparation and especially degassing by nitrogen, the reaction mixture was maintained in the ice/water mixture to prevent the evaporation of CDCl_3 (b.p. \cong 60.9°C).

Kinetic Study of Telomerization by ¹H NMR

All ¹H NMR kinetic experiments reported in this study were carried out on a Bruker Avance 400 MHz NMR spectrometer. The sample cavity was equilibrated at a given temperature (i.e., a temperature at which the kinetic experiment was carried out) by a BVT 3000 (with precision of $\pm 0.1^{\circ}$ C) temperature control unit. A typical ¹H NMR kinetic experiment consists of the following steps sequence.

First, the cavity was set to the desired reaction temperature and a sample containing only CDCl_3 (telogen/solvent) was introduced into the sample cavity and allowed to equilibrate for approximately 10 min. The magnet was then thoroughly shimmed using the CDCl_3 sample. Second, the sample tube containing the reaction mixture was inserted into the sample chamber and the start time was recorded. The sample containing the reaction mixture was allowed to equilibrate for 5 min. The first recorded spectrum at this time (5 min after the sample tube was inserted into the cavity) was regarded as a spectrum representing zero monomer conversion, as there was no conversion of VAc taken place because of the low rate of reaction.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

¹H NMR Analysis

¹H NMR technique has been used frequently for

Experiments No.	[VAc] ₀ /[CDCl ₃] ₀ /[AIBN] ₀ ^a	[VAc] ₀ (mol/L)	Temperature (°C)	
Exp.1	1/4/0.01	2.42	60	
Exp.2	1/8/0.01	1.36	60	
Exp.3	1/8/0.02	1.36	60	
Exp.4	1/8/0.03	1.36	60	
Exp.5	1/2/0.01	3.95	60	
Exp.6	1/2/0.01	3.95	52.5	
Exp.7	1/2/0.01	3.95	45	

Table 1/ Recipes for telomerizations of VAc by CDCl₃ in presence of AIBN.

(a) Molar ratio of components in the initial reaction mixture.





homo- and co-polymerization of various vinyl monomers in order to investigate the kinetics of polymerization reactions with respect to the overall polymerization rate coefficient and monomer reactivity ratios (in the case of copolymerization). In this work, the possibility of using ¹H NMR kinetic experiment for investigation of the radical telomerization of VAc by CDCl₃ as a telogen in the presence of AIBN initiator is discussed.

First, the structure of the synthesized telomers (and polymers) was characterized by ¹H NMR spectroscopy technique. Then, some kinetic parameters were calculated by data obtained from ¹H NMR spectra of each sample at various time intervals, further to what we had suggested for the mechanism of transfer reaction to telogen.

Typical ¹H NMR spectra were recorded for the reaction mixture containing the initial molar ratios of VAc/CDCl₃/AIBN equal to 1/4/0.01 (Experiment No. 1 in Table 1, reaction temperature = 60° C) which are shown in Figure 1 as a function of the reaction time. Signals assignments for the telomerization system were carried out by considering the off-line ¹H NMR spectra reported in the literature for VAc telomers synthesized by CHCl₃ [8,10] and CCl₄ [15] and were compared with the spectra recorded for VAc telomers obtained by CDCl₃.

According to the signals observed during VAc

telomerization with CDCl₃, the mechanism of the reaction was considered to be as Scheme I. In turn, this mechanism has resulted in various structures of telomers (Y-(M)_n-Z) where, Y-Z can be CCl₃-D or CDCl₂-Cl and M is the VAc with n units incorporated into the telomers) and polymers (P: any other chain structure but not that of the telomers, P_{IZ} : polymer chains initiated by the radical initiator and terminated by transfer to the telogen).

In radical telomerization, the termination reactions can be considered to be negligible providing that the initial molar ratio of telogen to monomer (i.e.,



Iranian Polymer Journal / Volume 17 Number 2 (2008)

145



 R_0 =[CCCl₃]₀/[VAc]₀) is high enough. As a result, only P_{IZ} - type polymer structure can be formed. At this time, it is possible to report that only six species can be formed during the VAc telomerization with CDCl₃: four species are telomers with 'CCl₃ and CCl₂D α -end groups and others are polymers with P_{IZ} - type structure (P_{ID} and P_{ICl}) as shown in Scheme II.

Assignments of the entire characteristic signals of

all the structures described in Scheme II along with the chemical shifts of the corresponding protons are given in Table 2. In the structural analysis of telomers and polymers by ¹H NMR spectroscopy technique, one additional signal at 6.3-6.4 ppm was observed in comparison to those obtained by telomerization of VAc with CHCl₃. No signal at the range 6.3-6.4 ppm has been reported for the products of VAc telomerization with CHCl₃ [8,10]. However, ¹H NMR spectra of

Protons	VAc monomer	AIBN initiator	CCl ₃ terminated telomers	CDCl ₂ terminated telomers	Polymers	
а	4.5 (d.d.) ^a	-	-	-	-	
b	4.8 (d.d.)	-	-	-	-	
с	7.15 (d.d.)	-	-	-	-	
d	2.0 (singlet)	-	-	-	-	
е	-	1.62 (singlet)	-	2.8-3.2	-	
f or f'	-	-	2.8-3.2	5.0-5.1	-	
g or g'	-	-	5.3-5.4	1.5-2	-	
h	-	-	1.5-2	4.7-5	1.5-2	
i	-	-	4.7-5	3.9-4.1	4.7-5	
j	-	-	3.9-4.1	1.8-1.9	3.9-4.1	
k	-	-	1.8-1.9	6.3-6.4	1.8-1.9	
Ι	-	-	6.3-6.4	-	6.3-6.4	
m	-	-	-	-	1.8-1.9	
n	-	-	-	-	1.45 (singlet)	
0	-	-	-	-	2.0 (singlet)	
р	-	-	-	-	1.3 (singlet)	

Table 2. Assignments and corresponding chemical shifts of the protons of unreacted VAc monomer and AIBN initiator, telomers, and polymers of the VAc telomerization by CDCl₃ in presence of AIBN initiator.

(a) doublet of doublet

telomers and polymers synthesized in the present work (Figure 1) showed a signal at about 6.3-6.4 ppm. On the other hand, a similar signal has been observed for the telomers of VAc with two functional end groups (i.e., trichloromethyl and chlorine groups at the α -and ω -ends, respectively) synthesized by the redox telomerization of VAc by CCl₄ in the presence of Fe(OAc)₂/ PMDETA [15].

It has been reported that the signal at about 6.35 ppm corresponds to the methine proton adjacent to terminal chlorine at the ω -end [15]. Therefore, it was concluded that telomerization of VAc by CDCl₃ is initiated by either deuterium or chlorine radicals abstractions from CDCl₃ as a result of chain transfer reaction. Hence, deuterium or chlorine atoms can be abstracted radically from CDCl₂ during the telomerization reaction. Therefore, transfer reaction between the initiator radical (I) and telogen (CDCl₃) forms either the ID or the ICl inactive species as shown in Scheme II, leaving either 'CCl₃ or 'CDCl₂ radicals, respectively. The telogen radicals can then initiate telomerization of VAc. Chains can propagate up to a certain \overline{DP}_n depending on the transfer constant to telogen (C_T) and [CDCl₃]₀/[VAc]₀ ratio.

Finally, the obtained macro-radicals with certain \overline{DP}_n terminate by transfer to the telogen via abstraction of either the deuterium or the chlorine from CDCl₃. Consequently, four telomer chains with different α - and ω -ends (Scheme II) can be formed during the telomerization reaction. Moreover, as initiator radicals (Γ) can also initiate the polymerization of VAc, two polymer chains with different ω -ends may be formed by transfer to the telogen as shown in Scheme II. When the [CDCl₃]₀/[VAc]₀ ratio is high enough and the initiator concentration is relatively low, then the bimolecular termination of macro-radicals will be negligible.

The analysis of ¹H NMR spectra has confirmed the formation of all above mentioned six species during the telomerization of VAc with CDCl₃. It should be noted that signals of methylene protons in the neighbours of 'CCl₃ (f) and 'CDCl₂ (f') have appeared at 2.8-3.2 ppm [8,10] while, those in the neighbours of initiator fragment (m) have appeared at 1.8-1.9 ppm [16]. Signals appeared at 3.9-4.1 ppm and 6.3-6.4 ppm correspond to the methine protons adjacent to the D (j) and Cl (l) atoms at the ω -end, respectively [16]. Protons of the (CH^o₃)₂(CN)C-Cl and (CH^p₃)₂(CN)C-D

molecules which are formed by the transfer reaction between the initiator radical and telogen (Schemes I and II) are appeared at about 2.0 (overlapped with the other high intensive signals) and 1.3 ppm, respectively.

Now, by having the complete assignment of the entire signals (Scheme II and Table 2) it is possible to calculate the kinetic parameters such as the molar conversion of VAc (X_{VAc}), number- average degree of polymerization (\overline{DP}_n), fraction of CCl₃ or CDCl₂ terminated chains (telomer precentage) and the ratio of the abstractions of deuterium radical to chlorine radical from CDCl₃ ($R_{D/Cl}$, or equivalently the ratio of chains with deuterium ω -ends to those with chlorine ω -ends) by the following eqns (2)-(5).

$$x_{VAc} = \frac{I_{4.7-5.0} - I_{4.5} + I_{3.9-4.1} + I_{5.2-5.4} + I_{6.3-6.4}}{I_{4.7-5.0} + I_{3.9-4.1} + I_{5.2-5.4} + I_{6.3-6.4}}$$
(2)

$$\overline{DP}_{n} = \frac{I_{4.7-5.0} - I_{4.5} + I_{3.9-4.1} + I_{5.2-5.4} + I_{6.3-6.4}}{I_{3.9-4.1} + I_{6.3-6.4}}$$
(3)

Telomer percentage =
$$\frac{\frac{I_{2.8-3.2}}{2}}{I_{3.9-4.1} + I_{6.3-6.4}}$$
 (4)

$$R_{D/Cl} = \frac{I_{3.9-4.1}}{I_{6.3-6.4}}$$
(5)

Where, I_j indicates the intensity of proton(s) signal appeared at chemical shift of jth.

¹H NMR spectra recorded for Exp. 1 (Table 1) as a function of the reaction time are shown in Figure 1. It can be found that by progress of the reaction, intensities of the signals related to the protons of VAc monomers incorporated into the telomer and polymer chains are increasing. Thus, the progress of reaction can be followed as a function of time. It is also clear from Figure 1 that the intensities of the proton signals of VAc units incorporated into the chains at two α -and ω -ends are very low at the initial stage of reaction (typically conversions below 20%). Therefore, it is impossible to calculate \overline{DP}_n , telomer percentage and $R_{D/CI}$ at the conversions below 20%.

By increasing the conversion of VAc, the intensities of the above-mentioned signals become significant and thus the above parameters can be calculated as functions of the reaction time according to eqns (2)-(4). Table 3 shows the summary of data calculated from the ¹H NMR kinetic experiments.

Table 3.	Summary	of data	obtained	from	the 1	H NMR	kinetic	experiments	for	VAc
telomeriz	ation with	CDCl ₃ in	presence	e of Al	BN in	itiator.				

Experiments No.	$\overline{\text{DP}}_{n}\left(X_{\text{VAc}} ight)$	Telomer percentage (X _{VAc})	R _{D/Cl} a
Exp.1	43.7 (0.55) 44.3 (0.77)	56.8 (0.46) 55.1 (0.77)	0.86
Exp.2 ^b	30.8 (0.23) 26.8 (0.27)	47.1 (0.23) 45.5 (0.27)	0.88
Exp.3	29.4 (0.17) 25.2 (0.65)	39.4 (0.38) 38.5 (0.62)	0.75
Exp.4	32.7 (0.22) 31.1 (0.60)	38.7 (0.42) 39.7 (0.58)	0.79
Exp.5	110.8 (0.53) 90.4 (0.73)	38.5 (0.67) 36.4 (0.86)	0.79
Exp.6	117.6 (0.40) 87.7 (0.68)	50.8 (0.40) 44.7 (0.72)	0.86
Exp.7 ^c	-	-	-

^(a) This value was obtained at the final conversion for each experiment. ^(b) Due to the low rate of telomerization, only two data for \overline{DP}_n and telomer percentage could be obtained for Exp.2. For other Experiments, more data obtained; however, only two data are given in Table 3. ^(c) Conversion in Exp.7 was not high enough to calculate \overline{DP}_n , etc.

Experiments No.	[VAc] ₀ (mol/L ⁾	Temperature (°C)	dx/dt (min ⁻¹)	R _p × 10 ⁴ (mol/L.s)
Exp.1	2.42	60	0.0133	5.40
Exp.2	1.36	60	0.00156	0.35
Exp.3	1.36	60	0.00504	1.10
Exp.4	1.36	60	0.00757	1.70
Exp.5	3.95	60	0.0198	13.0
Exp.6	3.95	52.5	0.00709	4.70
Exp.7	3.95	45	0.00144	0.95

Table 4. Conversion rate (dx/dt) and polymerization rate (R_p) data obtained for VAc telomerization by CDCl₃ at the various reaction conditions.

Effects of R_0 , [AIBN]₀, and Reaction Temperature on X_{VAc}

Figures 2-4 show the dependence of X_{VAc} versus time data on the R_0 ([CDCl₃]₀/[VAc]₀ ratio), initiator concentration, and reaction temperature, respectively. The rate of classical radical polymerization which can also be used for the radical telomerization is expressed by the Tobolsky's equation [17] (eqn 6).

$$R_{p} = -\frac{d[M]}{dt} = [M]_{0} \frac{dx}{dt} = k_{p} [R^{\bullet}][M] = k_{ov} [I]^{\frac{1}{2}} [M]$$
(6)

$$k_{\rm ov} = k_{\rm p} \left(\frac{{\rm f}k_{\rm d}}{k_{\rm t}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$
(7)

Where, R_p , x, k_d , k_{ov} , f, $[M]_0$, $[R^{\cdot}]$, [I], [M], k_p , and k_t are polymerization rate, molar conversion of monomer, decomposition rate constant for initiator, overall polymerization rate constant, initiator efficiency, initial concentration of monomer, free-radical



Figure 2. Effect of R_0 on X_{VAc} vs. time for the VAc telomerization by CDCl₃ in the presence of AIBN.

concentration, initiator concentration, monomer concentration, propagation average rate constant, and termination average rate constant at the any time, respectively.

The effect of $[CDCl_3]_0/[VAc]_0$ ratios on X_{VAc} versus time has been given in Figure 2. It is clear that by decreasing the R_0 or equally, by increasing the monomer concentration, the conversion rate (dx/dt which was obtained from the slope of the linear part of conversion-time graphs) is increased. Thereby, R_p (which is calculated by multiplying the conversion rate by the initial monomer concentration as in eqn (6)) increased as well (Table 4). This is reasonable as the propagation of macro-radicals (living chains) accelerates by increasing the monomer concentration.

Figure 3 indicates the dependence of X_{VAc} on the initial concentration of initiator (AIBN). Based on eqn (6), it is expected, that the conversation rate and therefore polymerization rate increase with the initial



Figure 3. Effect of AIBN concentration on the X_{VAc} vs. time for the VAc telomerization by $CDCl_3$ in the presence of AIBN.



Figure 4. Effect of the reaction temperature on the X_{VAc} vs. time for the VAc telomerization by $CDCl_3$ in the presence of AIBN.

AIBN concentration (Table 4). Increasing the initial concentration of AIBN increases the concentration of free-radicals at constant temperature which in turn increases the propagation reactions. Also, the inhibition time decreases by increasing initial AIBN and VAc concentrations.

Figure 4 shows the dependence of X_{VAc} on the reaction temperature. It is clear from Figure 4 and Table 4 that conversion rate and therefore polymerization rate increase by raising the reaction temperature. If we consider the Arrhenius equation for the overall polymerization rate constant (k_{ov}), based on eqn (7) then, according to eqn (6) the dependence of R_p on temperature is known and raising the reaction temperature ature would also increase the value of k_{ov} which in turn accelerates the polymerization rate. Moreover, the inhibition time decreases by raising the reaction temperature because the rate of initiator decomposition increases by temperature elevation.

Effects of the R_0 , [AIBN]₀ and Reaction Temperature on \overline{DP}_n

Formula established by Mayo [18] for radical polymerization can be used for the radical telomerization as well. Hence, when we consider only transfer to the solvent (telogen in our case) which is the most important step in the radical telomerization, the instantaneous degree of polymerization, $(\overline{DP}_n)_i$ as in eqn (8), will be dependent on the concentrations of monomer ([M]), telogen ([T]), and initiator ([I]) and the



Figure 5. Effect of R_0 on the \overline{DP}_n vs. time for the VAc telomerization by CDCl₃ in presence of AIBN.

appropriate rate constants.

$$\frac{1}{(\overline{DP}_{n})_{i}} = \frac{1}{1-x} \left(\frac{(2fk_{d}k_{t})^{1/2}}{k_{p}} \frac{[I]^{1/2}}{[M]_{0}} + C_{T} \frac{[T]_{0}}{[M]_{0}} \right)$$
(8)

and

$$C_{T} = \frac{k_{tr}}{k_{p}}$$
(9)

in which C_T is the transfer constant to telogen and k_{tr} is the rate constant of transfer to telogen.

Figure 5 shows the dependence of \overline{DP}_n versus X_{VAc} values. It is clear from Figure 5 that at the same conversion, the cumulative number-average degree of polymerization (\overline{DP}_n) decreases by increasing in the R_0 . This can be attributed to the inverse relationship between the R_0 and (\overline{DP}_n)_i. It should be noted that \overline{DP}_n can be calculated from (\overline{DP}_n)_i data by the following equation [19].

$$\overline{DP}_{n} = \frac{i}{\sum_{j=0}^{j=i-1} \frac{1}{(\overline{DP}_{n})_{j}}}$$
(10)

Eqn 10 consists of the divided conversion of a reaction into several intervals i (i: integer number) equal to b (b is a constant number). The \overline{DP}_n values are given by several $(\overline{DP}_n)_i$ of each interval. \overline{DP}_n values decrease with the progress of reaction as shown in Figure 5. It is reasonable because the concentration of monomer decreases with the progress of reaction and thereby, \overline{DP}_n decreases according to eqn (11) (since $[M] = (1-x) [M]_0$ and concentration of telogen does not change significantly with conversion. Therefore, eqn (8) can be expressed as eqn (11).

$$\frac{1}{(\overline{DP}_{n})_{i}} = \frac{(2fk_{d}k_{t})^{1/2}}{k_{p}} \frac{[I]^{1/2}}{[M]} + C_{T} \frac{[T]}{[M]}$$
(11)

The effect of the initial AIBN concentration on \overline{DP}_n values as a function of X_{VAc} has been shown in Figure 6. According to eqn (8) it is expected that \overline{DP}_n decreases by an increase in AIBN concentration. The expected trend was observed when the [AIBN]₀/[VAc]₀ ratio increased from 0.01 in Exp. 2 to 0.02 in Exp. 3 (Table 1 and Figure 6). However, by further increasing the [AIBN]₀/[VAc]₀ ratio to 0.03 (Exp. 4) \overline{DP}_n value increases slightly. This increase in \overline{DP}_n value may be attributed to the occurrence of termination reaction by combination in high concentration of initiator. There are no termination reactions in the ideal telomerization reactions and the living chains terminate by the transfer reaction to telogen.

However, when the concentration of initiator and thereby the concentration of macro-radical increases, bimolecular termination of macro-radicals may happen in addition to the transfer reaction to telogen. As the dominant mode of bimolecular termination of macroradicals in the VAc polymerization has been reported to be the combination of macro-radicals [20] hence, the increase of the \overline{DP}_n value with further increase in AIBN concentration in the telomerization reactions are explained by the occurrence of the termination reactions in addition to the transfer reactions to telogen.



Figure 6. Effect of AIBN concentration on \overline{DP}_n vs. time for VAc telomerization by CDCl₃ in presence of AIBN.

80 60

40

20

0 L

(+) Exp.5

(■) Exp.6

0.2



0.6

0.8

1.0

0.4

Figure 7. Effect of reaction temperature on DP_n vs. time for VAc telomerization by $CDCl_3$ in presence of AIBN.

Figure 7 shows the effect of reaction temperature on \overline{DP}_n versus X_{VAc} . There is not any significant difference among values of \overline{DP}_n observed at various reaction temperatures. However, \overline{DP}_n values decreased slightly with decreasing the temperature. Based on eqn (8), the dependence of \overline{DP}_n on the reaction temperature may be attributed to the dependence of k_p on temperature. When k_p increases with temperature \overline{DP}_n increases accordingly. It should be noted that the \overline{DP}_n decreases with the increase in conversion (Figure 7) due to the reduction of monomer concentration (eqn 8).

Effects of the R_0 , [AIBN]₀, and Reaction Temperature on Telomer Percentage

The dependence of telomer percentage versus time on the R_0 has been shown in Figure 8. It is clear from this



Figure 8. Effect of R_0 on telomer percentage vs. time for VAc telomerization with $CDCl_3$ in presence of AIBN.



Figure 9. Effect of AIBN concentration on telomer percentage vs. time for VAc telomerization by CDCl₃ in the presence of AIBN.

figure that at the same conversion, telomer percentage increases with the increase of R_0 . It is reasonable because portion of the termination of initiator radicals by transfer to telogen increases telogen concentration (or equally R_0) in comparison with the propagation of initiator radicals by monomer. Therefore, telogen radicals obtained from the transfer reaction initiate most of the chains. As a result, the ratio of the chains initiated by telogen radicals to the chains initiated by the initiator radicals (telomer percentage) increases with the increase of R_0 .

Figure 9 shows the effect of initial AIBN concentration on the telomer percentage versus X_{VAc}. It is clear from this figure that the higher initial concentration of AIBN results in lower telomer percentage. Transfer reaction between the macro-radical and telogen results in the dead end chain (telomer or polymer) and a telogen radical (CCl₃ or CDCl₂ radical). Then, telogen radical can initiate a new telomer chain. On the other hand, bimolecular termination of macro-radicals (which exists in the case of higher initiator concentration) results in the dead end chains without generation of telogen radicals. As a result, telogen radicals and thereby growing telomer chains decrease by increasing the initiator concentration due to the termination of macro-radicals. However, the main reason for decrease in telomer percentage by increasing AIBN concentration is the increased concentration of initiator radicals which in turn increases the portion of the chains initiated by the initiator radicals.



Semsarzadeh MA et al.

Figure 10. Effect of reaction temperature on telomer percentage for VAc telomerization by CDCl₃ in the presence of AIBN.

At the same conversion, telomer percentage decreases by raising the temperature (Figure 10). Raising the temperature increases the concentration of initiator radicals which in turn increases the portion of chains initiated by the initiator radicals.

Effects of the R_0 , [AIBN]₀ and Reaction Temperature on $R_{D/Cl}$

Effect of various variables on the R_{D/Cl} has been given in Table 2. It should be noted that the values of R_{D/Cl} reported in Table 2 are those obtained at the final conversion of each experiments. However, R_{D/Cl} values at the various time intervals were observed to be almost constant. There is no significant difference between the R_{D/Cl} values obtained at the various conditions of VAc telomerization reaction by CDCl₃. R_{D/Cl} value for all experiments was obtained to be close to 0.8. In other words, it means that the ratio of (both telomer and polymer) the chains with the deuterium ω -end to the chains with the chlorine ω -end is close to 0.8. Moreover, as the ratio of deuterium atom to the chlorine atoms in $CDCl_3$ is 1/3, the value of 0.8 for R_{D/Cl} means that the abstraction of deuterium radical from CDCl₃ is almost 2.4 (0.8×3) times greater than the abstraction of its chlorine radical.

This can be explained by considering the stability of resulting radicals from two different mechanisms of transfer reaction to telogen, i.e., abstractions of either deuterium radical or chlorine radical from CDCl₃. While the former would produce 'CCl₃ radicals the latter results in 'CDCl₂ ones. It is obvious that 'CCl₃ radicals are more stable than 'CDCl₂ radicals due to the radical delocalization among the three large chlorine atoms. Consequently, the abstraction of deuterium radical from CDCl₃ which results in more stable 'CCl₃ radical is more favorable than the other abstraction reaction.

CONCLUSION

¹H NMR kinetic experiments were used to investigate the radical telomerization of VAc with CDCl3 as a telogen in the presence of AIBN initiator. Effects of R₀ ([CDCl₃]₀/[VAc]₀), [AIBN]₀, and reaction temperature were studied. Analysis of the ¹H NMR spectra allowed us to calculate X_{VAc} , \overline{DP}_n , telomer percentage, and R_{D/Cl}. Moreover, it was observed that there are two different mechanisms for the transfer reaction to telogen (CDCl₃), i.e., abstraction of either of deuterium or chlorine radicals from CDCl₃. As a result, telomers with different α -ends, two i.e., trichloromethyl- or dichloromethyl-terminated VAc telomers were obtained. Both telomers are expected to be efficient macroinitiators in the ATRP of various monomers such as styrene(s) and (meth)acrylates.

The results showed that with the same conversion, X_{VAc} is increased with the increase of [AIBN]₀, reaction temperature, and monomer concentration (or equally with decreasing of telogen concentration). It was observed that, at the same conversion, by increasing the initiator concentration, \overline{DP}_n decreases first and then increases with further increase in the initiator concentration. Also, \overline{DP}_n at the same conversion, increases with the increase of monomer concentration and reaction temperature.

The effect of various variables on the telomer percentage was investigated and it was observed that at the same conversion, it increases by increasing the R_0 and decreasing the [AIBN]₀ and temperature. High telomer percentage is preferred when the synthesized telomers are used as a macroinitiator in the ATRP. The highest telomer percentage obtained in this study was about 60%. No significant difference between $R_{D/Cl}$ at various conditions of VAc telomerization by CDCl₃ was observed. Results indicated that the deuterium radical abstraction from CDCl₃ is more favourable than the chlorine radical abstraction.

REFERENCES

- 1. Semsarzadeh MA, Karimi A, Eshtad M, Polymerizations of vinyl acetate in solution, *Iran Polym J*, **6**, 261-268, 1997.
- Semsarzadeh MA, Ganje H, Modeling of the structure of polyvinyl acetate by using ¹H NMR, *Iran J Polym Sci Tech* (In Persian), 14, 109-116, 2002.
- Katsuraya K, Hatanaka K, Matsuzaki K, Yamaura K, Assignment of finely resolved ¹³C NMR spectra of poly(vinyl acetate), *Macromol Rapid Commun*, 21, 697-700, 2000.
- Dutta K, Mukherjee M, Brar AS, Spectral assignment of poly(vinyl acetate) by one and two dimensional NMR spectroscopy: Revisited, *J Polym Sci A Polym Chem*, 37, 551-556, 1999.
- Van der Velden G, Beulen J, 300-MHz Proton NMR and 25-MHz Carbon-13 NMR investigations of sequence distributions in vinyl alcoholvinyl acetate copolymers, *Macromolecules*, 15, 1071-1075, 1982.
- Matyjaszewski K, Gaynor SG, Wang JS, Controlled radical polymerizations: The use of alkyl iodides in degenerative transfer, *Macromolecules*, 28, 2093-2095, 1995.
- Kato M, Kamigaito M, Sawamoto M, Higashimura M, Polymerization of methyl methacrylate with the carbon tetrachloride/ dichlorotris-(triphenylphosphine) ruthenium(II) /methylaluminum bis(2,6-di-*tert*-butylphenoxide) initiating system: Possibility of living radical polymerization, *Macromolecules*, 28, 1721-1723, 1995.
- Destarac M, Pees B, Boutevin B, Radical telomerization of vinyl acetate with chloroform: Application to the synthesis of poly(vinyl acetate)block-polystyrene copolymers by consecutive telomerization and atom transfer radical polymerization, *Macromol Chem Phys*, **201**, 1189-1199, 2000.
- Boutevin B, Pietrasanta Y, Telomerization. In: *Comprehensive Polymer Science*, Allen G, Bevington JC, Eastmond AL, (Eds.), Pergamon, Oxford, 3, 185-194, 1989.
- 10.Semsarzadeh MA, Mirzaei A, Telomerization of vinyl acetate in chlorform and block copolymerization of vinyl acetate via atom transfer radical

Iranian Polymer Journal / Volume 17 Number 2 (2008)

153

polymerization, Iran Polym J, 12, 67-75, 2003.

- 11. Semsarzadeh MA, Mirzaei A, Vasheghani-Farahani E, Nekomanesh Haghighi M, Atom transfer radical polymerization of (meth)acrylates and their novel block copolymers with vinyl acetate, *Eur Polym J*, **39**, 2193-2201, 2003.
- 12. Semsarzadeh MA, Rostami Daronkola MR, Atom transfer radical polymerization of methyl acrylate with PVAc-CCl₃ macroinitiator and a novel synthesis of block terpolymers of PVAc-b-pol(MAco-MMA), *Iran Polym J*, **15**, 829-839, 2006.
- Semsarzadeh MA, Rostami Daronkola MR, ¹H NMR studies of the molecular structure of PVAc-b-(MA-*co*-MMA) block terpolymer in atom transfer radical copolymerization reaction, *Iran Polym J*, 16, 47-56, 2007.
- 14.Semsarzadeh MA, Rostami Daronkola MR, Abdollahi M, Kinetic study of atom transfer radical copolymerization of methyl acrylate and methyl methacrylate initiated with poly(vinyl acetate) macroinitiator, *J Macromol Sci A Pure Appl Chem*, 44, 953-961, 2007.
- 15.Xia J, Paik HJ, Matyjaszewski K, polymerization of vinyl acetate promoted by iron complexes, *Macromolecules*, **32**, 8310-8314, 1999.
- 16.Debuigne A, Caille JR, Jerome R, Synthesis of end-functionalized poly(vinyl acetate) by cobaltmediated radical polymerization, *Macromolecules*, 38, 5452-5458, 2005.
- 17. Tobolsky AV, Dead-end radical polymerization, J Am Chem Sci, **80**, 5927, 1958.
- 18. Mayo FR, Chain transfer in the polymerization of styrene: The reaction of solvents with free radicals, *J Am Chem Sci*, **65**, 2324, 1943.
- 19. David G, Boutevin B, Robin JJ, Determination of the cumulative degree of polymerization for the dead-end polymerization of styrene, *J Polym Sci A Polym Chem*, **41**, 236-247, 2003.
- 20.Bamford CH, Dyson RW, Eastmond GC, Network formation-4, *Polymer*, **10**, 885-899, 1969.