Prevalent Pathotypes of *Puccinia striiformis* f.sp. *tritici* in Iran

F. Afshari¹

ABSTRACT

The stripe (Yellow) rust in wheat is one of the most important plant diseases in Iran. Since 1993 several epidemics have occurred in Iran causing the breakdown of widely utilized sources of resistance in wheat cultivars. Twenty-seven pathotypes were identified during 2003 and 2004 in greenhouse tests. Pathotypes 6E6A+, 6E22A+, 6E130A+, 6E134A+, 6E142A+, 6E158A+, 134E130A+ and 134E142A+ were more common during the course of this study. Virulence on plant/s with gene/s Yr2, Yr6, Yr7, Yr8, Yr9, Yr24, Yr25, YrSD, YrSP, Yr3N, Yr2+, Yr6+, Yr9+, Yr7+, Yr32+ and YrA was detected under greenhouse conditions. The majority of isolates with a high frequency (more than 88%) showed virulence on plant/s with Yr2, Yr6, Yr7, Yr9, YrA and Yr24 genes. No virulence was detected on plant/s with Yr1, Yr3V, Yr4, Yr5, Yr10 and YrSU genes. In a greenhouse test, frequency of virulence to wheat genotypes with the Yr32+, YrSP and YrSD gene was less than 7%; frequency of virulence to all other wheat genotypes was between 19 and 100%. During three years of field study, virulence on wheat genotypes Heines Kolben (with genes Yr2 and Yr6), Kalyansona (Yr2), Lee (Yr7), Avocet R (YrA), Federation*4/Kavkaz (Yr9) and TP1295 (Yr25) was common. No virulence was observed on plants with Yr1, Yr3V, Yr3N, Yr4, Yr5, Yr8, Yr10, Yr18, Yr24, Yr32+, YrSP, YrSD and YrSU genes in the trap nurseries. The coefficient of infection (C. I.) of the adult plant resistance gene, Yr18, was between 16-64 with moderate susceptibility and is going to be used in the breeding program in combination with other resistant sources.

Keywords: Pathotypes, Puccinia striiformis, Resistance genes, Wheat.

INTRODUCTION

Wherever wheat is grown, one or more of the rust diseases is capable of causing significant losses. Stripe rust in wheat, caused by *Puccinia striiformis* f.sp. *tritici* (*Pst*), is an important disease, particularly under cool conditions. *P. srtiiformis*, which has a macrocyclic life cycle and unknown alternate host, is an important disease in the cooler wheat growing areas of Iran. Where they occur the alternate hosts may be important in disease epidemiology, in providing the primary inoculum to initiate early rust development and as a source of new pathotypes generated by sexual reproduction.

Macer (1972) noted that stripe rust was important in cooler parts of Yugoslavia, Egypt, Turkey and Iran. He also suggested some adaptation of the pathogen to higher temperatures within the investigation areas. Mundy (1973) reported the breakdown of adult plant resistance to stripe rust in the winter wheat cultivar Joss Cambier in the U. K. resulting in a yield loss of 34 percent. Stripe rust in wheat was first detected in Australia in 1979 (O'Brien et al., 1980). Initial losses of 50-60% were reported in susceptible cultivars in Australia by McIntosh (1979). The single original pathotype was identified in Australia as being similar to one present in Europe, suggesting that it had

1. Seed and Plant Improvement Institute, P. O. Box: 4119, Karaj, Islamic Republic of Iran. e-mail: fafshari@hotmail.com

been man-borne perhaps on clothing or other personal goods (Wellings *et al.*, 1987). The annual value of control of losses due to stripe rust in Australia was estimated by Brennan and Murray (1988) to be 139 million Australian dollars. In 1994, an estimated 15% (1.5 million tonnes) of the nation's wheat yield loss in Iran was caused by stripe rust (Torabi *et al.*, 1995).

Stripe rust uredospores can be wind-borne in a viable state for more than 800 Km, (Zadoks, 1961). In 1980, the pathotype first found in Australia appeared in New Zealand presumably having been air-borne from Australia, a distance of approximately 2,000 Km, (Beresford, 1982). McIntosh (1992) noted the possible effects of common evolutionary forces, *viz.* migration, mutation, asexual recombination, selection and chance in influencing gene frequencies and determining evolutionary pathways in cereal rust pathogens.

For convenience, resistance to rust diseases can be divided into two categories; firstly the early growth stage (seedling) resistance and, secondly, the later growth stage or adult plant resistance (APR) (Knott, 1989). Wellings (1986) reported that, due to the variability of the pathogen, some cultivars resistant to stripe rust in one region were susceptible in another region.

Johnson *et al.* (1972) suggested a differential set and a new system for pathotype nomenclature based on the use of binary codes. The differential sets comprised a "world set" of seven genotypes previously known to distinguish variation in response over a wide geographical area. A second set of eight "European" differentials was considered suitable for the European regions. These differentials and the nomenclature system were widely adopted, not only in Europe but also elsewhere, including in Australia and Iran.

Seven pathotypes, <u>viz</u>. 6E0, 20E148, 38E134, 166E150, 6E20, 134E150 and 230E150 were reported in Syria and Lebenon between 1993 and 1994 (Yahyaoui *et al.*, 2001). In Iran, virulence wasn't detected for plants with genes *Yr1*, *Yr4*, *Yr5* and *Yr10*

but virulence on plants with genes *Yr2*, *Yr6*, *Yr7*, *Yr9*, *Yr22*, *Yr23* and *YrA* was common until 2001 (Torabi *et al.*, 2001). This study was carried out to determine virulence factors and prevalent of stripe rust pathotypes in greenhouse and field conditions.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

In this study, stripe rust populations in Iran were characterized for their virulence using two methods. In the first method the reaction of seedlings of differential genotypes was evaluated in greenhouse for two years and in second method adult plant reaction in the field condition tested for three years.

Sample Collection and Maintenance of Pathogen

A differential set of wheat stripe rust as proposed by Johnson et al. (1972) was used in this study. Ten supplementary lines and cultivars were also used as differentials (Table 1). A collection of stripe rust infected leave was obtained from commercial wheat cultivars from different parts of Iran. Fortythree collections were purified and propagated on the wheat seedlings of susceptible wheat cultivar Bolani. Uredospores from a single pustule were isolated and propagated on the susceptible cultivar Bolani for each collection. Uredospores of the pathogen were stored in aluminium foil packets placed in liquid nitrogen (-196°C) for further investigations.

Inoculation

For inoculation, uredospores were mixed with talcum powder in the ratio 1:3, and sprayed on to seedlings using a fine mist atomizer. The objective of using a mixture of talcum powder and the uredospores was to help settling spores in a uniform manner on seedling leaves. After each inoculation, the spraying equipment was thoroughly

Differential ^a	Resistance gene	Decanary value
World differential set		•
Chinese 166	Yr1	1
Lee	Yr7	2
Heines Kolben	Yr2, Yr6	4
Vilmorin 23	Yr3V	8
Moro	Yr10	16
Strubes Dickkopf	YrSD	32
Suwon 92/OMAR	YrSU	64
Clement	<i>Yr2, Yr9</i> +	128
European differential set		
Hybrid 46	Yr4	1
Reichersberg 42	Yr7+	2
Heines Peko	<i>Yr2.Yr6</i> +	4
Nord Desprez	Yr3N	8
Compare	Yr8	16
Carstens V	<i>Yr32</i> +	32
Spaldings prolific	YrSP	64
Heines VII	Yr2+	128
Supplemental differential set	V.O	
Federation*4/Kavkaz	Yr9 V: 4	
Anza	IPA V: A	
Avocet 'K'	IrA	
Avocet S	W.2	
Kalyansona	IF2 V.5	
Thucum Spella Album	Tr5	
TP 1205	V 25	
IF 1295 Maaring Vu24	1r23 V-24	
$\frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}{1} = \frac{1}$	<i>Ir24</i>	
Bolani (Susceptible check)		

Table 1. Differential wheats used to detect pathotypes of Puccinia striiformis f. sp. tritici.

^a The differential sets were obtained from the Plant Breeding Institute, University of Sydney.

washed in water and put in an oven with 60°C for 12 hours to avoid contamination when consecutive inoculations with different pathotypes were carried out.

P. striiformis, inoculation rooms consisted of a trolley with a base tray containing 2 cm of tap water. After inoculation, seedlings were placed on the trolleys and covered with plastic hoods. Trolleys were placed in an incubation room at 10°C where the differential temperatures between the water and room temperature resulted in dew formation. Following incubation, plants were moved to greenhouse chambers capable of being set to a range of temperatures. The temperatures used 18°C with 16h/8h day/night.

Infection Assessment at the Seedling Stage

Infection types were assessed on a 0-9 scale 16 and 18 days after inoculation using a scale similar to that described by McNeal *et al.* (1971), with modifications made by Wellings (1986). Infection types (ITs) 7 to 9 were regarded as virulent (susceptible) and less than seven was avirulent. Pathotypes avirulent and virulent on selection Avocet R were described as A- and A+, respectively (Wellings and McIntosh, 1990). For *Pst* the pathotype nomenclature of Johnson *et al.* (1972) was used. The symbols plus ("+") and minus ("-") were used to denote a greater or lesser development of symptoms,

	26	Inslo8	1	2	6	6	6	2	5	5	8	8	6	×	6	2	8	8	8	6	¢
	25	Meering + Yr24	7	2	6	2	6C	7	8	2	0	8	2	8	6	2	8	8	8	2	0
set	24	TP 1295	0	0	6	7	6	2	2	0	0	2	7	8	6	2	0	8	8	6	0
ential	23	186 dT	0	0	6	6	6	٢	0	0	0	8	7	×	6	+9	0	8	8	6	
differ	22	mudlA .7ar. Album	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	ö	0	0	0	0	-
ental	21	Kalyansona	8	8	6	٢	6	٢	8	L	2	8	٢	8	6	6	٢	8	8	6	į
plem	20	VAOCET 'S'	~	8	6	7	6	٢	8	2	2	8	6	8	6	7	2	8	8	6	j
Sup	19	Avocet 'R'	~	8	6	8	6	٢	8	2	1	6	6	8	6	1	2	8	8	6	
	18	ßznA	~	8	6	8	6	2	8	5	5	8	6	8	8	2	2	8	5	6	
,	17	Геd.*4/Ка∨kaz	6	8	6	6	6	٢	8	2	8	8	6	8	6	;0	2	8	8	6	1
	16	IIV səniəH	0	0	0	0	5+CN	0	SC	0	0	5+C	4+CN	6C	6C	3+CN	7	80	8	7	i.
	15	Spaldings Prolific	ö	0	2CN	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	S	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	
set	14	V stretens V	0	2+CN	5	0;CN	4+CN	0	3+CN	;1C	0	3CN	0C	4+CN	2	4	0	CN	CN:	2+CN	
Terential	13	Compare	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	7	0	0	0	0	0	4+CN	
opean di	12	Nord Desprez	0;	0	8	4+CN	3+CN	0	0	: S	0	0	4+CN	4+CN	7	8	0	0	0	5+CN	
Eur	П	Heines Peko	;	2	0	4+CN	S+CN	7	8	2	7	8	6	8	2	8	0;	6C	6C	8	
	10	Reichersberg 42	ò;	0	i,	5+C	6	0	8	7	+4	8	6	8	6+CN	7	+1;	8	8	6	
	6	46 Hybrid 46	0;	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0,	0C	0;	0	0	0	:CN	CN	0	
	8	Clement	0;	0	1C	0	4+CN	0	4CN	0	0	5C	:1+CN	4CNN	4CN	ö	;1+	0	0	0	
	7	nemO/26 nowu2	o;	0	0	0	0	0	+CN	0	0	0	0;	+CN	0	4+	0	0	0	0	
ntial set	9	Strubes Dickkope	0	0	0	3+CN	6+CN	0	4CN 4-	3C	0	0	4+CN	4+CN 4-	0	0	0	0	0	5+CN	
iffere	5	Μοτο	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0;	0	CN	0	0	0	0	0	0	
World d	4	Vilmorin 23	0	0	3	;ccn	ö	0	4CN	i.CN	0	0	3+CN	4+CN	•••	4	0	4CN	4CN	3+CN	
	3	Heines Kolben	5	8	8	٢	8	7	8	L	2	8	8	2	8	2	7	8	8	8	
	2	Lee	0	0	CN	2	8	٢	8	٢	2	8	8	2	œ	2	2	8	8	œ	
	1	Chinese 166	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u>;</u>	•••	<u>;</u>	0	0	0;	
		Pathotype	4E0A+	4E4A+	4E8A+	6E0A+	6E2A+	6E4A+	6E6A+	6E6A+	6E6A+	6E6A+	6E22A+	6E22A+	6E44A+	6E78A+	6E128A+	6E130A+	6E130A+	6E134A+	
		Location	Gonbad	Joyem Lar	Maneh.1	Sari	Islam Abad.1	Garakhil.1	Garakhil.2	Malayer	Araghimahalel	Darab.1	Hamadan	Zargan	Darab.2	Gorgan	Islam Abad.2	Gazvin.1	Gazvin.2	Ahvza.1	
		at. No.	-	2	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	Ξ	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	

(Cattor

Ent. No. Location Pathotype 1 3 4 5 7 8 8 8 0 11 12 14 15 16 17 18 18 8 3 7 8 8 8 0 1 1 1 15 16 17 18 19 20 19 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 10 11 12 9 9 9 10 9 9 11 12 9 9 9 11 12 12 9 9 16 7 18 8 8 16 17 12 13 13 13 13 13 12 13 14 15 16 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17							World	diffe	rential s	et		;		Eure	opean dif	ferential se	et					Supl	plen	lenta	l dif	feren	tial s	et	
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Ent. No.	Location	Pathotype	-	5	3	4	5	9	7	8	6	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	20	Bojnord.1	6E134A+	0	8	8	4+CN	;	5CN	3+C	4CN	;CN	8	7	5CN	2+CN	5CN	0	7	8	8	8	8	8	0	5	8	~	6
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	21	Dezful.2	6E134A+	0	5	2	;0CN	;1+C	5+C	0;	3+CN	0;	8	7	4+CN	3+CN	0;	0	7	8	8	8	8	8	0	8	8	8	6
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	22	Ahvza.2	6E134A+	0.	8	8	3+CN	0	5+CN	0	0	0	6	+7	5+CN	4+CN	2+CN	0	7	6	6	6	6	6	0	6	6	2	6
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	23	Mogan.1	6E138A+	0	8	8	3+CN	0	;CN	0	4+CN	:CN	6	6+C	7	0	3+CN	0	7	8	8	8	8	8	0	5	8	2	6
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	24	Karaj	6E142A+	0	8	8	3+CN	0	:CN	0	4+CN	;CN	6	7	7	0	3+CN	0	7	8	8	8	8	8	0	60	×	2	6
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	25	Ahvza.3	6E142A+	0	5	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	8	8	6C	;2CN	0	8	8	8	6	6	2	0	0	5	6	6
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	26	Ahvza.4	6E142A+	0	5	2	;2-cn	0	0	0	0	0	8	7+	7+	00	0;C	0	7	8	8	8	8	8	0,	٢	5	2	5
$ 28 \ Mogan. 2 \ 6E142A+ 0 \ 7 \ 7 \ $	27	Lar.1	6E142A+	0	5	٢	3+CN	0	1+CN	0	6C	0	7	7	7	0	0	0	7	8	5	٢	L	٢	0	2	٢	5	٢
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	28	Mogan.2	6E142A+	0	5	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	8	7	SCN	0	0	7	8	5	٢	8	5	0	L	8	5	8
30 Mogan.3 6E150A+ 0 8 CN 0 1 2 0 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 2 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 <td>29</td> <td>Bye Kola</td> <td>6E148A+</td> <td>0</td> <td>5</td> <td>2</td> <td>4</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>4</td> <td>ö</td> <td>0;</td> <td>;01+</td> <td>7</td> <td>0</td> <td>7</td> <td>÷;</td> <td>0</td> <td>7</td> <td>8</td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td> <td>5</td> <td>0</td> <td>٢</td> <td>5</td> <td>8</td> <td>×</td>	29	Bye Kola	6E148A+	0	5	2	4	0	0	4	ö	0;	;01+	7	0	7	÷;	0	7	8	5	5	5	5	0	٢	5	8	×
31 Mogan.4 6E158A+ 0 7 2 7 7 7 7 7 7 3+CN 0; 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 7 3+CN 0 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	30	Mogan.3	6E150A+	0	8	8	S	ö	SCN:	0	S.CN	ö	8	8	;2+CN	7+	;CN		8	6	5	8	8	8	:0	8	×	6CN	6
32Mogan.5 $6E158A+$ 0 7 7 $2+CN$ 0 $5+C$ 0 $4+CN$ $;CN$ 7 7 7 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 8 <td>31</td> <td>Mogan.4</td> <td>6E158A+</td> <td>0</td> <td>5</td> <td>٢</td> <td>2+CN</td> <td>0</td> <td>5+C</td> <td>0</td> <td>4+CN</td> <td>S.N</td> <td>7</td> <td>7</td> <td>7</td> <td>7</td> <td>3+CN</td> <td>ö</td> <td>7</td> <td>8</td> <td>8</td> <td>8</td> <td>8</td> <td>8</td> <td>0</td> <td>8</td> <td>8</td> <td>8</td> <td>6</td>	31	Mogan.4	6E158A+	0	5	٢	2+CN	0	5+C	0	4+CN	S.N	7	7	7	7	3+CN	ö	7	8	8	8	8	8	0	8	8	8	6
33Garakhil.3 $6E174A+$ 0 7 $5CN$ 0 0 $5+$ 0 8 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 <t< td=""><td>32</td><td>Mogan.5</td><td>6E158A+</td><td>0</td><td>5</td><td>5</td><td>2+CN</td><td>0</td><td>5+C</td><td>0</td><td>4+CN</td><td>;CN</td><td>7</td><td>7</td><td>7</td><td>7</td><td>3+CN</td><td>;0</td><td>7</td><td>8</td><td>8</td><td>8</td><td>8</td><td>8</td><td>0</td><td>8</td><td>~</td><td>8</td><td>6</td></t<>	32	Mogan.5	6E158A+	0	5	5	2+CN	0	5+C	0	4+CN	;CN	7	7	7	7	3+CN	;0	7	8	8	8	8	8	0	8	~	8	6
34Bojnord.238E66A+0773CN;C7;C;C;C;C;C;C;C;C;C777777770035Bojnord.3134E4A+077;C0;C7000;7009999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999999 <td>33</td> <td>Garakhil.3</td> <td>6E174A+</td> <td>0</td> <td>5</td> <td>Г</td> <td>5CN</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>5+</td> <td>0</td> <td>8</td> <td>8</td> <td>7</td> <td>6+C</td> <td>7</td> <td>0</td> <td>7</td> <td>8</td> <td>5</td> <td>L</td> <td>8</td> <td>8</td> <td>0</td> <td>8</td> <td>8</td> <td>8</td> <td>8</td>	33	Garakhil.3	6E174A+	0	5	Г	5CN	0	0	0	5+	0	8	8	7	6+C	7	0	7	8	5	L	8	8	0	8	8	8	8
35Bojnord.3 $134EA+$ 0 7 $;C$ 0 7 7 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 <t< td=""><td>34</td><td>Bojnord.2</td><td>38E66A+</td><td>0</td><td>7</td><td>7</td><td>3CN</td><td>ö</td><td>٢</td><td>ö</td><td>ò</td><td>ö</td><td>7</td><td>3+CN</td><td>0</td><td>0;C</td><td>2CN</td><td>٢</td><td>2+CN</td><td>7</td><td>5</td><td>7</td><td>L</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>7</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>7</td></t<>	34	Bojnord.2	38E66A+	0	7	7	3CN	ö	٢	ö	ò	ö	7	3+CN	0	0;C	2CN	٢	2+CN	7	5	7	L	1	0	7	0	0	7
36Mashhad134E6A+077000007999099037Yazd.1134E130A+08 $3+CN$ 0;0;08 $3+CC$ 5CC0;79999099099037Yazd.2134E130A+07700707007770888777777777777777777777777777777777777777777777777777777777777777777777777777777777777777777777777777777777777777777777777777777777777 <td>35</td> <td>Bojnord.3</td> <td>134E4A+</td> <td>0</td> <td>٢</td> <td>٢</td> <td>ö</td> <td>0</td> <td>ö</td> <td>0</td> <td>7</td> <td>0</td> <td>0;</td> <td>7</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>3+</td> <td>6</td> <td>6</td> <td>6</td> <td>6</td> <td>6</td> <td>0</td> <td>6</td> <td>6</td> <td>6</td> <td>6</td>	35	Bojnord.3	134E4A+	0	٢	٢	ö	0	ö	0	7	0	0;	7	0	0	0	0	3+	6	6	6	6	6	0	6	6	6	6
37Yazd.1134E130A+ $:0$ 8 $3+CN$ $0;$ $0;$ $0;$ 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	36	Mashhad	134E6A+	0	5	2	••	0;C	9C	0	7	0	L	7	0	0	0	0	0	2	6	6	6	6	0	6	6	0	8
38 Yazd.2 134E130A+ 7 7 0 0 3+ 0; 7 8 8 7 0 7 8 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	37	Yazd.1	134E130A+	0	8	×	3+CN	ö	;0	ö	8	0	8	5+CC	4+CC	5+CC	;cc	;0	7	6	8	2	1	5	? ,	8	8	8	8
39 Yazd.3 134E142A+ 7 7 0 0 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	38	Yazd.2	134E130A+	0	5	2	0	0	3+CN	0	7	0	7	0	0	3+ 6	; 0	;0	7	8	8	8	8	5	0	5	8	2	8
40 Torogh 134E142A+ 7 3+CN 0; 4+CN 0 7 8 8 7 8 0 4+C 7 7 41 Manch.2 134E150A+ 8 7 0 7 7 0 7 1CN 0 7 8 8 8 0 4+C 7 7 41 Manch.2 134E150A+ 8 7 0 7 7 0 7 8 8 8 8 7 7 42 Gachsaran 166E30A+ 0 8 1+CN 7 0 7 7 3+CN 0 7 8 8 8 7 7 42 Gachsaran 166E30A+ 0 8 1+CN 7 0 8 8 7 7 3+CN 0 7 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9 9<	39	Yazd.3	134E142A+	0	5	٢	0	0	0	0	7	0	2	7	7	0	0	0	7	2	5	٢	5	٢	0	٢	5	2	٢
41 Manch.2 134E150A+ 8 7 0 7 7 0 7 1CN 0 7 8 8 8 8 8 8 7 7 0 7 1CN 0 7 8 8 8 8 7 7 3 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4 4	40	Torogh	134E142A+	0	5	5	3+CN	<u>;</u>	4+CN	0	8	0	8	8	2	5+C	2+CN	0	7	8	×	8	5	8	0	4+C	٢	2	٢
42 Gachsaran 166E30A+ 0 7 8 2+C 0 8 1+CN 7 0 8 8 7 7 3+CN 0 ;C 8 8 9 9 9 0 9 9 9 4 43 Lar.2 166E134A+ 0 7 8 4+CN 0 8 0 7 ;CN 8 8 6CN ;CN 2+CN 0 8 8 8 9 9 0 9 9 9	41	Maneh.2	134E150A+	0	8	2	0		2+	0	7	0	٢	٢	0	7	ICN	0	٢	8	8	8	8	8	0	8	8	5	×
43 Lar.2 166E134A+ 0 7 8 4+CN 0 8 0 7 CN 8 8 6CN CN 2+CN 0 8 8 8 9 9 0 9 9 0	42	Gachsaran	166E30A+	0	٢	8	2+C	0	×	1+CN	7	0	8	8	7	7	3+CN	0	ç	80	8	6	6	6	0	6	6	6	6
	43	Lar.2	166E134A+	0	5	8	4+CN	0	8	0	7	;CN	8	8	6CN	SN	2+CN	0	8	8	8	8	6	6	0	6	6	6	6

respectively, relative to the infection type scale, whereas the symbols "C" and "N" emphasized more than normal levels of chlorosis and necrosis, respectively.

Infection Assessment at the Adult Plant Stage

Field evaluations were performed as a trap nursery at four sites in Iran: Ardebil (Northwest), Karaj (North), Mashhad (Northeast) and Zargan (Center) during a three-year cropping season in 2001-2, 2002-3 and 2003-4. These sites represent the major wheat growing areas of Iran. Each differential line/cultivar was planted in two-meter rows and 30 cm apart. Due to a lower chance of stripe rust development yearly, the plants at Karaj were inoculated at tillering and flag leaf growth stages with urediniospores collected and increased from an infected field, which were determined to contain pathotype 134E134A+ and, for the other three sites, nurseries were naturally infected with stripe rust. In the flag leaf stage when the infection and severity of infection on a susceptible control was high, field assessments were done on disease severity according to the modified Cobb scale by Peterson et al. (1948) and on disease reaction based on the Roelfs (1978) method. The coefficient of infection (C. I.) was obtained by multiplying the severity by a constant for host responses.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Stripe rust is the most serious disease of wheat in Central and Western Asia and North Africa (CWANA), including Iran. The development of a resistant cultivar is the most effective, safe and economic method of control. However, stripe rust pathogenic variation remains the underlying cause of this elusive rust resistance. Genetic variation in the stripe rust pathogen is continuously evolving in CWANA by using trap nursery stripe rust network. In greenhouse tests of the 43 collections in Iran during 2003-2004, 27 pathotypes were identified (Table 2). The stripe rust population in the region consists of a number of pathotypes that differ in their pathogenicity toward the host plant. Some pathotypes such as 4E0A+ (Gonbad) and 4E4A+ (Joyem Lar) can attack 5 and 6 resistance genes of the host plant, respectively (Table 3). Other pathotypes such as 166E134A+ (Lar.2) and 166E30A+ (Gachsaran) have virulence on 12 and 13 known genes in the host plants, respectively (Table 2 and Table 3). According to the results, virulence on plants with gene/s Yr2, Yr6, Yr7, Yr8, Yr9, Yr24, Yr25, YrSD, YrSP, Yr3N, Yr2+, Yr6+, Yr9+, Yr7+, Yr32+ and YrA was detected. The majority of isolates with high frequency (more than 88%) showed virulence on plants with Yr2, Yr6, Yr7, Yr9, YrA and Yr24 genes (Figure 1). No virulence was detected on plants with Yr1, Yr3V, Yr4, Yr5, Yr10 and YrSU genes. Torabi et al. (2001) noted that virulence wasn't detected for plants with genes Yr1, Yr4, Yr5 and Yr10 and virulence on plants with genes Yr2, Yr6, Yr7, Yr9, Yr22, Yr23 and YrA was common in Iran. Of this virulence, pathotypes possessing the combination of virulence for plants with Yr7 and Yr9 were particularly implicated in the epidemics on Falat cultivar in 1993 in Iran, because this combination overcame the resistance of Seri 82 and the many derivatives of that which were widely grown in West Asia and North Africa (WANA), (Torabi et al., 1995). Hakim et al. (2002) reported that the Iranian stripe (yellow) rust pathotypes do not differ in their pathogenicity from those found in Syria and Lebanon. Yahyaoui et al. (2001) reported seven pathotypes including 6E0, 20E148, 38E134, 166E150, 6E20, 134E150 and 230E150 in Svria and Lebanon between 1993 and 1994. Pathotype 134E150 has been detected in Iran too. Otherwise the pattern of virulence factors of Syrian and Lebanese pathotypes with virulence on plants with the genes Yr2, Yr6, Yr7, Yr9 and YrA is almost similar to the Iranian pathotypes. In addition, more diverse pathotypes could be identified which include compatibility with Yr1, Yr3V, Yr5, Yr10 and YrSU

N	Pathotype	Virulence factors on World and European wheat	Virulence factors on	No. of vir.
0		differential sets	supplemental set	factors
1	4E0A+	Yr2, Yr6	YrA; Yr2; Yr9; Yr24	5
2	4E4A+	Yr2; Yr6; Yr2, Yr6+	YrA; Yr2; Yr9; Yr24	6
3	4E8A+	Yr2; Yr6, Yr3N	YrA;Yr2;Yr9;Yr24;Yr25	7
4	6E0A+	Yr2; Yr6, Yr7	YrA;Yr2;Yr9;Yr24;Yr25	7
5	6E2A+	Yr2; Yr6; Yr7, Yr7+	YrA;Yr2;Yr9;Yr25	$6(7)^{a}$
6	6E4A+	Yr2; Yr6; Yr7; Yr2, Yr6+	YrA;Yr2;Yr9;Yr24;Yr25	7 (8)
7	6E6A+	Yr2; Yr6; Yr7; Yr7+; Yr2, Yr6+	YrA;Yr2;Yr9;Yr25;Yr24 ^b	6-7(8-9)
8	6E22A+	Yr2; Yr6; Yr7; Yr7+; Yr2; Yr6+, Yr8	YrA;Yr2;Yr9;Yr24;Yr25	8 (10)
9	6E44A+	Yr2; Yr6; Yr7; Yr7+; Yr2, Yr6+; Yr3N, Yr32+	YrA;Yr2;Yr9;Yr24;Yr25	9 (12)
10	6E78A+	Yr2; Yr6; Yr7; Yr7+; Yr2, Yr6+; Yr3N, YrSP	YrA;Yr2;Yr24;Yr25	8 (10)
11	6E128A+	Yr2; Yr6; Yr7, Yr2+	YrA;Yr2;Yr9;Yr24	6(7)
12	6E130A+	Yr2; Yr6; Yr7; Yr7+, Yr2+	YrA;Y <u>r2;</u> Yr9;Yr24;Yr25	7 (9)
13	6E134A+	Yr2; Yr6; Yr7; Yr7+; Yr2, Yr6+, Yr2+	YrA;Yr2;Yr9;Yr24;Yr25	7 (10)
14	6E138A+	Yr2; Yr6; Yr7; Yr7+; Yr3N, Yr2+	YrA;Yr2;Yr9;Yr24;Yr25	8 (10)
15	6E142A+	<i>Yr2;Yr6;Yr7;Yr7+;Yr2,Yr6+;Yr3N,Yr2+</i>	YrA;Yr2;Yr9;Yr24;Yr25	8 (11)
16	6E148A+	<i>Yr2; Yr6; Yr7; Yr2, Yr6+; Yr8, Yr2+</i>	YrA; Yr2; Yr9; Yr24; Yr25	8 (10)
17	6E150A+	<i>Yr2</i> , <i>Yr6</i> ; <i>Yr7</i> ; <i>Yr7</i> +; <i>Yr2</i> , <i>Yr6</i> +; <i>Yr8</i> ; <i>Yr2</i> +	YrA;Yr2;Yr9;Yr25	7 (10)
18	6E158A+	Yr2, Yr6; Yr7; Yr7+; Yr2, Yr6+; Yr3N; Yr8; Yr2+	YrA;Yr2;Yr9;Yr24;Yr25	9 (12)
19	6E174A+	Yr2; Yr6; Yr7; Yr7+; Yr2; Yr6+; Yr3N; Yr32+, Yr2+	YrA;Yr2;Yr9;Yr24;Yr25	9 (13)
20	38E66A+	<i>Yr2;Yr6;Yr7;YrSD;Yr7+,YrSP</i>	YrA;Yr2;Yr9	7 (8)
21	134E4A+	<i>Yr2;Yr6;Yr7;Yr2,Yr9+;Yr2,Yr6</i> +	YrA;Yr2;Yr9;Yr24;Yr25	7 (9)
22	134E6A+	<i>Yr2</i> , <i>Yr6</i> ; <i>Yr7</i> ; <i>Yr2</i> , <i>Yr9</i> +; <i>Yr7</i> +; <i>Yr2</i> , <i>Yr6</i> +	YrA;Yr2;Yr9;Yr25	6 (9)
23	134E130A+	<i>Yr2,Yr6;Yr7;Yr2,Yr9+;Yr7+;Yr2+</i>	YrA;Yr2;Yr9;Yr24;Yr25	7 (10)
24	134E142A+	<i>Yr2</i> , <i>Yr6</i> ; <i>Yr7</i> ; <i>Yr2</i> , <i>Yr9</i> +; <i>Yr7</i> +; <i>Yr2</i> , <i>Yr6</i> +; <i>Yr3N</i> ; <i>Yr2</i> +	YrA;Yr2;Yr9;Yr24;Yr25	8 (12)
25	134E150A+	Yr2,Yr6;Yr7;Yr2,Yr9+;Yr7+;Yr2,Yr6+;Yr8;Yr2+	YrA;Yr2;Yr9;Yr24;Yr25	8 (12)
26	166E30A+	Yr2,Yr6;Yr7;YrSD;Yr2,Yr9+;Yr7+;Yr2,Yr6+;Yr3N;Yr8	YrA;Yr2;Yr9;Yr24;Yr25	10 (13)
27	166E134A+	Yr2, Yr6; Yr7; YrSD; Yr2, Yr9+; Yr7+; Yr2, Yr6+; Yr2+	YrA;Yr2;Yr9;Yr24;Yr25	8 (12)

Table 3. Stripe rust pathotypes and their virulence factors detected in Iran.

^a Including the additional factors, ^b Present in three out of four collections pathotype 6E6A+.

genes that have not been deployed in Iran. In the greenhouse population, the frequency of virulence to wheat genotypes with the Yr32+, YrSP and YrSD genes was less than 7%, while virulence to the other wheat genotypes was between 19 and 100% (Figure 1).

The composition of *Pst* populations could change over time and this can be an important consideration for breeding programs. The most recently deployed resistance genes *Yr18* and *Yr27* in several bread wheat cultivars cultivated in CWANA are becoming ineffective against prevalent stripe rust pathotypes (Singh *et al.*, 2004). Bread wheat cultivars Seri 82, Falat (in Iran), Mexipac (in Syria) and Gereck (in Turkey) were resistant to the prevalent stripe rust populations when initially released. Within a few years of release the corresponding stripe rust virulence genes increased and the resistance genes such as *Yr9*, associated with the above cultivars, became ineffective (Torabi *et al.*, 1995; Yahyaoui *et al.*, 2004).

Therefore, the monitoring of stripe rust pathotypes and their changes over time can be an important consideration for breeding programs in Iran. Thus the pathogen population should be monitored regularly to determine whether new virulence pathotypes have been introduced and developed in the different parts of Iran as well as obtaining up-to-date information through the CWANA stripe rust network.

During this study in the field, stripe rust was developed in the four nurseries. The results are presented in Table 4. According to the results, virulence on Heines Kolben (with genes Yr2 and Yr6), Kalyansona (Yr2), Lee (Yr7), Avocet R (YrA), Federation*4/Kavkaz (Yr9) and TP1295 (Yr25) was common during the period of investigation (Table 4). Virulence for Yr1 which is common in Central Asia and China (Anmin *et*



Figure 1. Virulence frequency of wheat stripe rust for resistance genes in seedling test.

al., 2004), and in most of the Middle East was absent in Iran (Afshari et al., 2004). No virulence was observed on plants with Yr1, Yr3V, Yr3N, Yr4, Yr5, Yr8, Yr10, Yr18, Yr24, Yr32+, YrSP, YrSD and YrSU genes in the trap nurseries. The presence of virulence on plants with the genes Yr3N, Yr8, Yr32+, YrSD and YrSP in the seedling test (Figure 1) and absent in the four regions at the adult plant stage, could be due to the low frequency of virulence for those genes under field conditions. The coefficient of infection (C. I.) for the Yr18 gene was between 16-64 with a moderately susceptible reaction in Anza when the C. I. for YrA in Avocet R was calculated to be 100 (Table 4). Ma and Singh (1996) noted that Yr18 might not provide adequate protection when deployed alone in a susceptible background. According to them, the preferred option for achieving durable stripe rust control is to have combinations of adult plant resistance genes giving extended protection approaching the levels of the most effective seedling resistance genes. The Yr18 gene still remains resistant in Iran as an adult plant resistance gene and is going to be used in the breeding program in combination with other resistance sources to obtain an acceptable level

of resistance in the new released cultivars. Virulence for *Yr27* (Selkirk gene) wasn't reported from the trap nurseries, but virulence for this gene has appeared in farmers fields and is confirmed by a seedling test in the greenhouse (Afshari, 2004). Moreover, the population of this pathotype is still limited and isn't common at the moment. However, using those resistance genes in combination with resistant adult plant genes could be a useful method to control yellow rust in Iran. Data from virulence surveys of pathogen populations have provided valuable information in the last 10 years and have frequently been used in our breeding programs.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Seeds of wheat differential sets of stripe rust disease were kindly provided by Professor R. A. McIntosh from Sydney University, Australia. Financial support and the provision of facilities from the Seed and Plant Improvement Institute, Agricultural Research and Education Organization (AREO) of Iran for this research is gratefully acknowledged.

Entry Name Pedigree Y_{FOR} $2001-02$ $2002-03$ $2001-02$ $2002-03$ $2001-02$ $2002-03$ $2001-02$ $2002-03$ $2001-02$ $2002-03$ $2001-02$ $2002-03$ $2001-02$ $2002-03$ $2001-02$ $2002-03$ $2001-02$ $2002-03$ $2001-02$ $2002-03$ $2001-02$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2001-02$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2001-02$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$ $2002-03$						Karaj			Largan	.0		Masnnau	
I Chinese 166 Y_{TI} 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Yr gene/s	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04	2001-02	2002-03	2003-04
$ \begin{array}{ ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Yrl	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	8	4	4
3 Heines Kolben Y_2,Y_{76} 90 40 48 90 80 4 Vilmorin 23 Y_73Y 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 8 5 Moro Y_73Y 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 6 Strubs Dikkopf Y_73Y 56 56 40 1 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1	Yr7	a 06	70	60	80	100	90	90	50	8	80	×	8
4 Vilmorin 23 $\gamma_7 JV$ 0 0 0 1 0 5 Moro $\gamma_7 JV$ 16 20 16 2 0 6 Strubs Dikkopf $\gamma_5 ZV$ 56 56 40 1 0 7 Suvon 92/Omar $\gamma_7 SV$ 56 56 40 1 0 8 Clement $\gamma_7 Yr9$ 0 0 0 16 1 0 9 Hybrid 46 $\gamma_7 \gamma$ 0 0 0 16 1 0 10 Reichersberg 42 $\gamma_7 \gamma$ 0 0 0 1 0 11 Heines Peko $\gamma_7 \gamma$ 0 0 0 1 0 13 Cond Desprez $\gamma_7 \gamma_7$ 4 2 0 1 0 13 Cond Desprez $\gamma_7 \gamma_7$ 4 2 0 1 0 13 Cond Desprez $\gamma_7 \gamma_7$ 4	Yr2, Yr6	90	40	48	90	80	90	100	32	24	90	16	8
5 Moro $Yr10$ 16 20 16 2 0 7 Suwon 92/Omar $Yr5D$ 0 0 0 2 2 2 7 Suwon 92/Omar $Yr5D$ 56 56 40 1 0 8 Clement $Yr2, Yr9+$ 0 0 0 16 1 0 9 Hybrid 46 $Yr4$ 0 0 0 0 16 1 0 10 Reichersberg 42 $Yr7$ 0 0 0 0 16 1 0 11 Heines Peko $Yr3/H$ 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 12 <nord desprez<="" td=""> $Yr3/H$ 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 13 Compair $Yr3/H$ 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 14 Carstens V $Yr3/H$ 1 0</nord>	Yr3V	0	0	0	-	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	4
6 Strubs Dikkopf Y_5SD 0 0 0 2 2 2 7 Suwon 92/Omar Y_5SU 56 56 40 1 0 8 Clement Y_7SU 56 56 40 1 0 9 Hybrid 46 Y_74 0 0 0 0 16 1 0 10 Reichersberg 42 Y_74 0 0 0 0 16 1 0 11 Heines Peko Y_73Y 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0	Yr10	16	20	16	2	0	1	0	0	4	0	0	-
7 Suwon 92/Omar $YrSU$ 56 56 40 1 0 8 Clement YrA 0 0 0 16 1 9 Hybrid 46 YrA 0 0 0 16 1 0 9 Hybrid 46 YrA 0 0 0 0 16 1 0 10 Reichersberg 42 YrA 0 0 0 0 1 0 11 Heines Peko YrA 0 0 0 1 0 12 Nord Desprez YrA 0 0 0 1 0 13 Compair YrA 0 0 0 0 1 0 14 Carstens V YrA 1 0 0 0 1 0 15 Spalding Prolific YrA YrB 3 2 0 1 0 16 Heines VII YrA YrB 3 16 100 10 0 0 </td <td>YrSD</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>2</td> <td>2</td> <td>1</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>1</td>	YrSD	0	0	0	2	2	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
8 Clement $Y_2 Y \gamma +$ 0 0 16 1 9 Hybrid 46 $Y_7 4$ 0 0 0 16 1 0 10 Reichersberg 42 $Y_7 4$ 0 0 0 0 1 0 11 Heines Peko $Y_7 J$ 0 0 0 1 0 12 Nord Desprez $Y_7 J Y_7 8$ 4 2 0 1 0 13 Compair $Y_7 3 Y_7 18^d$ 4 2 0 0 1 0 14 Carstens V $Y_7 3 Y_7 18^d$ 4 2 0 1 0 15 Spalding Prolific $Y_7 3$ 4 2 0 1 0 16 Heines VII $Y_7 4$ 1 0 0 1 0 17 Federation *4/Kavkaz $Y_7 4$ 4 2 0 1 0 18 Anza $Y_7 4$	YrSU	56	56	40	-	0	-	0	56	0	0	8	0
9 Hybrid 46 $Yr4$ 0 0 1 1 0 10 Reichersberg 42 $Yr7+$ 0 0 0 1 0 0 11 Heines Peko $Yr2, Yr6+$ 4 2 0 48 8 12 Nord Desprez $Yr3, Yr8$ 0 0 0 1 0 13 Compair $Yr3, Yr8$ 4 2 0 1 0 14 Carstens V $Yr3, Yr8$ 4 2 0 1 0 15 Spalding Prolific $Yr3, Yr8$ 4 2 0 1 0 16 Heines VII $Yr2$ 32 16 32 64 64 17 Federation *4/Kavkaz $Yr4$ $Yr9$ 80 40 64 100 80 16 Heines VII $Yr4$ $Yr9$ 32 16 10 80 64 64 64 64 64	Yr2, Yr9+	0	0	0	16	1	8	0	0	0	8	0	1
10 Reichersberg 42 $Y_7 Y_7$ 0 0 0 2 0 11 Heines Peko $Y_7 2$, $Y_7 +$ 0 0 0 2 0 12 Nord Desprez $Y_7 3 N$ 0 0 0 1 0 13 Compair $Y_7 3 Y_7 B^a$ 4 2 2 0 1 0 14 Carstens V $Y_7 3 Y_7 B^a$ 4 2 2 0 1 0 15 Spalding Prolific $Y_7 3 Y_7 B^a$ 0 0 0 1 0 0 15 Spalding Prolific $Y_7 3 Y_7 B^a$ 2 0 0 1 0 17 Federation *4/Kavkaz $Y_7 4$ 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 18 Anza $Y_7 4$ $Y_7 3^a$ 32 16 100 80 20 Avocet 'Y $Y_7 4 Y_7 18^a$ 32 16 100 80	Yr4	0	0	0	-	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
11 Heines Peko $Y_{72} Y_{7} \phi_{1}$ 4 2 0 48 8 12 Nord Desprez $Y_{73} N$ 0 0 0 1 0 13 Compair $Y_{73} N$ 0 0 0 1 0 0 14 Carstens V $Y_{73} Y_{71} 8^{-4}$ 4 2 2 0 1 0 15 Spalding Prolific $Y_{73} Y_{71} 8^{-4}$ 4 2 0 1 0 0 16 Heines VII $Y_{72} +$ 4 2 0 1 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 0 0 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 0 1 1 0	Yr7+	0	0	0	2	0	-	4	32	0	0	0	-
12 Nord Desprez Y_73N 0 0 1 0 13 Compair $Yr3N$ 4 2 2 0 0 14 Carstens V $Yr32+$ 0 0 0 1 0 0 15 Spalding Prolific $Yr32+$ 0 0 0 1 0 0 16 Heines VII $Yr2+$ 4 2 0 1 0 0 17 Federation *4/Kavkaz $Yr4$, $Yr18$ 32 16 32 64 64 64 18 Anza $Yr4$, $Yr18$ 32 16 100 100 80 20 Avocet 'R' $Yr4$ 100 100 100 100 80 90 21 Avocet 'S' $Yr4$ 100 100 100 90 90 90 90 22 Avocet 'S' $Yr4$ 100 100 100 100 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90 90<	Yr2, Yr6+	4	2	0	48	8	4	4	24	0	16	0	1
13 Compair $YrS, Yr IS^{d}$ 4 2 2 0 0 14 Carstens V $Yr32+$ 0 0 0 1 0 0 15 Spalding Prolific $Yr32+$ 0 0 0 1 0 1 0 16 Heines VII $Yr32+$ 4 2 0 12 1 0 17 Federation *4/Kavkaz $Yr4$, $Yr18^{d}$ 32 16 32 64 64 18 Anza $Yr4$, $Yr18^{d}$ 32 16 32 64 64 20 Avocet 'R' $Yr4$, $Yr18^{d}$ 32 16 100 80 80 21 Avocet 'S' 100 100 100 100 100 80 90 22 Triticum spela var. album $Yr2$ 32 24 48 16 64 70 23 TP981 $Yr2$ 32 100 100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Yr3N	0	0	0	1	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	1
14 Carstens V Y_732+ 0 0 1 0 15 Spalding Prolific Y_73P 0 0 1 0 16 Heines VII Y_73P 0 0 0 1 0 17 Federation *4/Kavkaz Y_79 80 40 64 10 80 17 Federation *4/Kavkaz Y_74 , Y_718 32 16 32 64 64 18 Anza Y_74 , Y_718 32 16 32 64 64 20 Avocet 'S' Y_74 , Y_718 32 16 100 80 21 Avocet 'S' Y_74 100 100 100 90 90 21 Kalyansona Y_72 32 32 16 100 80 90 22 Triticum spelta var. album Y_72 32 32 16 64 70 23 TP981 Y_72 90 0 0 0 0 0 24 TP1295 Y_724	Yr8, Yr18 ^a	4	2	2	0	0	Т	0	12	0	0	0	-
15 Spalding Prolific Y_rSP 0 0 1 0 16 Heines VII Y_r2+ 4 2 0 12 1 17 Federation *4/Kavkaz Y_r9 80 40 64 100 80 18 Anza Y_r4 , $Yr18$ 32 16 32 64 64 19 Avocet 'R' YrA , $Yr18$ 32 16 100 100 80 20 Avocet 'S' YrA 100 100 100 90 90 21 Kalyansona $Yr2$ 32 32 16 100 80 22 Avocet 'S' 100 100 100 100 90 90 23 Tystamona $Yr2$ 32 32 16 100 80 23 Tystamona $Yr2$ 32 24 48 16 64 70 24 The total tota	Yr32+	0	0	0	-	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	-
16 Heines VII $Yr2+$ 4 2 0 12 1 17 Federation *4/Kavkaz $Yr9$ 80 40 64 100 80 18 Anza $Yr4, Yr18^a$ 32 16 32 64 64 19 Avocet 'R' $Yr4, Yr18^a$ 32 16 100 80 20 Avocet 'S' 100 100 100 100 90 90 21 Kalyansona $Yr2$ 32 32 16 100 80 21 Kalyansona $Yr2$ 32 32 16 100 80 22 Triticum spelta var. album $Yr2$ 32 32 16 90 90 23 TP981 $Yr24$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 23 TP1295 $Yr24$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 24 TP1295 $Yr24$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 25 Meering + Yr24 $Yr1$	YrSP	0	0	0	-	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
17 Federation *4/Kavkaz $Yr9$ 80 40 64 100 80 18 Anza $Yr4$, $Yr18$ 32 16 32 64 64 19 Avocet 'R' $Yr4$, $Yr18$ 32 16 32 64 64 20 Avocet 'S' 100 100 100 100 90 90 21 Kalyansona $Yr2$ 32 32 16 100 80 21 Kalyansona $Yr2$ 32 32 16 100 80 22 Triticum spelta var. album $Yr2$ 32 32 16 0 0 0 23 TP981 $Yr2$ 24 48 16 64 70 23 TP1295 $Yr24$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 24 TP1295 $Yr34$ 0 0 0 0 2 25 Mering + Yr24 $Yr18$ 4 16 24 56 30 25 Jupateco '73S' <t< td=""><td>Yr2+</td><td>4</td><td>2</td><td>0</td><td>12</td><td>-</td><td>8</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>24</td><td>0</td><td>-</td></t<>	Yr2+	4	2	0	12	-	8	0	0	0	24	0	-
18 Anza YrA , $Yr18$ 32 16 32 64 64 64 19 Avocet 'R' YrA 100 100 100 100 80 20 Avocet 'S' 100 100 100 100 90 90 90 21 Kalyansona $Yr2$ 32 32 16 100 80 21 Kalyansona $Yr2$ 32 32 16 100 80 22 Triticum spelta var. album $Yr2$ 32 32 16 0 0 0 23 TP081 $Yr2$ 90 64 60 90 90 23 TP1295 $Yr24$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 24 $Yr24$ 0 0 0 0 90 90 90 25 Merinig + Yr24 $Yr18+^a$ 4 16 24 26 30 25 Jupateco '73S' 56 56 56 30 30 27 Jupat	Yr9	80	40	64	100	80	90	100	64	09	60	0	24
19 Avocet 'R' YrA 100 100 100 100 80 20 Avocet 'S' 100 100 100 100 90 90 21 Kalyansona $Yr2$ 32 32 16 100 80 21 Kalyansona $Yr2$ 32 32 16 100 80 22 Triticum spelta var. album $Yr2$ 0 0 0 0 0 23 TP981 $Yr25$ 90 64 60 90 90 24 T1295 $Yr24$ 0 0 0 4 4 25 Meering + Yr24 $Yr24$ 0 0 90 90 90 25 Jupateco '738' $Yr18+^a$ 4 16 24 56 30 27 Jupateco '738' 56 56 48 80 100 28 Federation 90 100 100 100 80 80	YrA, Yr18 ^a	32	16	32	64	64	48	40	64	24	48	0	4
20 Avocet 'S' 100 100 100 100 90 90 21 Kalyansona $Yr2$ 32 32 16 100 80 22 Triticum spelta var. album $Yr2$ 32 32 16 100 80 23 TP981 $Yr2$ 24 48 16 64 70 23 TP1295 $Yr24$ 0 64 60 90 90 25 Meering + Yr24 $Yr24$ 0 0 0 4 4 26 Jupateco '73R' $Yr18 + a$ 4 16 24 56 30 27 Jupateco '73S' 56 56 48 80 100 28 Federation 90 100 100 100 80 80	YrA	100	100	100	100	80	100	100	100	100	100	40	80
21 Kalyansona $Yr2$ 32 32 16 100 80 22 Triticum spelta var. album $Yr5$ 0 0 0 0 0 20 23 TP981 $Yr5$ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 24 TP1295 $Yr25$ 90 64 60 90 90 25 Merring + Yr24 $Yr24$ 0 0 0 4 4 26 Jupateco '73R' $Yr18+^a$ 4 16 24 56 30 27 Jupateco '73S' 56 56 56 48 80 100 28 Federation 90 100 100 100 80 80		100	100	100	90	90	100	100	100	80	100	40	80
22 Triticum spelta var. album $Yr5$ 0 0 0 0 0 23 TP981 24 48 16 64 70 24 TP1295 $Yr25$ 90 64 60 90 90 25 Meering + Yr24 $Yr24$ 0 0 0 4 4 26 Jupateco '73R' $Yr18+^{d}$ 4 16 24 56 30 27 Jupateco '73S' 56 56 56 48 80 100 28 Federation 90 100 100 100 80 80	Yr2	32	32	16	100	80	90	56	100	32	50	0	5
23 TP981 24 48 16 64 70 24 TP1295 $Yr25$ 90 64 60 90 90 25 Meering + Yr24 $Yr24$ 0 0 0 4 4 26 Jupateco '73R' $Yr18+^{d}$ 4 16 24 56 30 27 Jupateco '73S' 56 56 56 48 80 100 28 Federation 90 100 100 100 80 80	Yr5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
24 TP1295 y_{r25} 90 64 60 90 90 20 25 Meering + Yr24 y_{r24} 0 0 0 4 4 26 Jupateco '73R' y_{r18+a} 4 16 24 56 30 27 Jupateco '73S' 56 56 56 48 80 100 28 Federation 90 100 100 100 80 80		24	48	16	64	70	90	06	16	40	10	0	2
25 Meering + Yr24 Yr24 0 0 4 4 26 Jupateco '73R' Yr18+ a 4 16 24 56 30 27 Jupateco '73S' 56 56 56 48 80 100 28 Federation 90 100 100 100 80	Yr25	90	64	09	90	06	100	100	100	80	80	0	80
26 Jupateco '73R' Yr18+ a 4 16 24 56 30 27 Jupateco '73S' 56 56 56 48 80 100 28 Federation 90 100 100 100 80	Yr24	0	0	0	4	4	9	9	0	8	0	0	2
27 Jupateco '73S' 56 56 48 80 100 28 Federation 90 100 100 100 80	$YrI8+^{a}$	4	16	24	56	30	40	24	16	0	12	0	-
28 Federation 90 100 100 100 80		56	56	48	80	100	100	100	09	12	60	8	8
		90	100	100	100	80	100	100	09	40	80	60	80
29 Bolani (Susceptible check) 100S 100 90 100 100		100S	100	90	100	100	90	100	100	60	90	100	80

JAST

REFERENCES

- Afshari, F. 2004 Identification of Wheat Yellow (stripe) Rust Pathotypes in Iran. Second Yellow Rust Conference for Central and West Asia and North Africa. 22-26 March, Islamabad, Pakistan. ICARDA, p.34.
- Afshari, F., Torabi, M., Nazari, K., Malihipour, A., Agnoum, M., Rejaei, S., Dehgan, M., Safavei, S., Nasrolahi, M., Dadrezaei, T., Hoshyar, R., Hassanpour-Hosni, M., Kemangar, S., Ahmedian-Moghaddam, M. S., Forotan, A., Chaeichei, M., Soltani, H., Jebalbarez, F., Akbari-Mogaddam, H., Galandar, M., Damadzadeh, M., Norolahi, K. H., Keshavarz, K., Maibodi, A. 2004. Monitoring Wheat Yellow Rust Pathogen in Iran. Second Yellow Rust Conference for Central and West Asia and North Africa. 22-26 March, Islamabad, Pakistan. ICARDA, p.16.
- Anmin, W., Liren, W., Qiuzhen, J., Shelin, J., Gaobao, L., Baotong, W., Ge, Y., Jiaxiu Y., Zhonghu, H. 2004. Monitoring Virulence Variability in *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici* of Wheat during 2000-2002 in China. Second Yellow Rust Conference for Central and West Asia and North Africa. 22-26 March, Islamabad, Pakistan, ICARDA, p.23.
- Beresford, R. M. 1982. Stripe Rust (*Puccinia* striiformis), a New Disease of Wheat in New Zealand. Cereal Rusts Bull., 10: 35-41.
- Brennan, J. P., and Murray, G. M. 1988. Australian Wheat Diseases: Assessing Their Economic Importance. *Agri. Sci. New Series*, 1: 26-35.
- Hakim, M. S., Yahyaoui, A., El-Naimi, M., Maaz, I. 2002. Wheat Yellow Rust Pathotypes in Western Asia. In: Johnson, R., Yahyaoui, A., Saidi, A. and Ketata, H. (Eds), *"Meeting the Challenge of Yellow Rust in Cereal Crops"*, ICARDA, pp. 55-61.
 Johnson, R., Stubbs, R.W., Fuchs, E.,
- Johnson, R., Stubbs, R.W., Fuchs, E., Chamberlain, N. H. 1972. Nomenclature for Physiologic Races of *Puccinia striiformis* infecting Wheat. *Trans. Brit. Mycolog. Soi.*, 58: 475-480.
- Knott, D. R. 1989. *The Wheat Rusts. Breeding for Resistance*. Monograph on Theoretical and Applied Genetics. 12. Springer Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 201 pp.
- Ma, H. and Singh, R. P. 1996. Contribution of Adult Plant Resistance Gene *Yr18* in Protecting Wheat from Yellow Rust. *Plant Dis*, 81: 66-69.

- Macer, R. C. F. 1972. The Resistance of Cereals to Yellow Rust and its Exploitation by Plant Breeding. *Proce. Roy. Soc. London*, 181: 281-301.
- McNeal, F. H, Konzak, C. F., Smith, E. P., Tate, W.S. and Russell, T. S. 1971. *A Uni*form System for Recording and Processing Cereal Research Data. United States, Department of Agricultural Research Services, ARS, pp. 34-121.
- 12. McIntosh, R. A. 1979. Stripe Rust Strain Survey, 1979-1980. *Plant Disease Survey*, New South Wales Department of Agriculture, pp. 17-19.
- McIntosh, R. A. 1992. Pre-emptive Breeding to Control Wheat Rusts. *Euphytica*, 63: 103-113.
- Mundy, E. J. 1973. The Effect of Yellow Rust and Its Control on the Yield of Joss Cambier Winter Wheat. *Plant Path.*, 22: 171-176.
- 15. O'Brien, L., Brown, J. S., Young, R. M. and Pascoe, T. 1980. Occurrence and Distribution of Wheat Stripe Rust in Victoria and Susceptibility of Commercial Wheat Cultivars. *Australasian Plant Pathol. Soc. Newsletter*, **9**: 14.
- Peterson, R. F., Campbell, A. B. and Hannah, A. E. 1948. A Diagrammatic Scale for Estimating Rust Intensity of Leaves and Stems of Cereals. *Can. J. Res.*, 26: 496-500.
- Roelfs, A.P. 1978. Estimated Losses Caused by Rust in Small Grain Cereals in the USA, 1918-76. United States Department of Agriculture, Washington DC. Miscellaneous Publication 1363, pp. 1-18
- Singh, R. P., Duveiller, E., and Huerta-Espino, J. 2004. Virulence to Yellow Rust Resistance Gene *Yr27*: A New Threat to Stable Wheat Productions in Asia. In 2nd Regional Yellow Rust Conference for Central and West Asia and North Africa, 22-26 March, Islamabad, Pakistan. CARDA, pp. 16-17. I
- Torabi, M., Mardoukhi, V., Nazari, K., Afshari, F., Forootan, A. R., Ramai, M. A., Golzar, H. and Kashani, A. S. 1995 Effectiveness of Wheat Yellow Rust Resistance Genes in Different Parts of Iran. *Cereal Rusts Powdery Mildews Bull.* 23: 9-12.
- Torabi, M., Nazari, K., Afshari, F., Mardoukhi, V. and Malihipour, A. 2001. Seven Years Pathotype Survey of *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici* in Iran. First Regional

Yellow Rust Conference for WANA. Karaj, Iran. ICARDA, p.74.

- 21. Wellings, C. R. 1986. Host: Pathogen Studies of Wheat Stripe Rust in Australia. Ph. D. Thesis, University of Sydney, Australia, 237 pp.
- Wellings, C. R. and McIntosh, R. A. 1990. *Puccinia striiformis* f.sp. *tritici* in Australasia: Pathogenic Changes during the First 10 Years. *Plant Pathol.*, **39**: 316-325.
- 23. Wellings, C. R., McIntosh, R. A., and Walker, J. 1987. *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici* in Australia; Possible Means of Entry and Implications for Plant Quarantine. *Plant Pathol.*, **36**: 239-241.
- Yahyaoui, A., Wellings, C. R., Torabi, M., Nazari, K., Ketata, H. and Cetin, L. 2001. Effective Resistance Genes to Yellow Rust

of Wheat in Central and Western Asia. First Regional Yellow Rust Conference for Central and West Asia and North Africa. 8-14 May, Karaj, Iran, ICARDA, p. 53.

- 25. Yahyaoui, A., Singh, R. P., and Wellings, C. R. 2004. Yellow Rust in CWANA: Status, Approaches, and Management. Second Regional Yellow Rust Conference for Central and West Asia and North Africa. 22-26 March, Islamabad, Pakistan. ICARDA, p.18.
- Zadoks, J. C. 1961. Yellow Rust on Wheat. Studies in Epidemiology and Physiologic Specialisation. *Tijdschrift over plantezieken*, 67: 69-256.

پاتوتایپهای شایع عامل بیماری زنگ زرد گندم .(Puccinia striiformis f.sp)

(tritici در ایران

بیماری زنگ زرد (نواری) گندم یکی از مهمترین بیماریهای این محصول در ایران میباشد. از سال ۱۳۷۲ تا کنون چندین اپیدمی وسیع در ایران رخ داده است که سبب شکسته شدن منابع مقاومت در ارقام تجاری شده است. در طی سالهای ۲۰۰۳ و ۲۰۰۴ میلادی تعداد ۲۷ پاتوتایپ در نمونه های جمع آوری 6E22A+, 6E130A+, 6E134A+ 6E142A+, 6E6A+, میلادی تعداد ۲۷ پاتوتایپ در نمونه های جمع آوری شده از کشور تعیین گردیدند. پاتوتایپ های ۲۰۰۴ و ۲۰۰۴ میلادی تعداد ۲۷ پاتوتایپ در نمونه های جمع شده از کشور تعیین گردیدند. پاتوتایپ های ۲۰۱۴ و ۲۰۱۴ میلادی تعداد ۲۷ پاتوتایپ در نمونه های جمع شده از کشور تعیین گردیدند. پاتوتایپ های ۲۰۱۴ و ۲۹۵۸, ۲۹۵۹ به منه از کشور تعیین گردیدند. پاتوتایپ های ۲۹۵۹ میلادی به مقاومت در ارقان با ژنهای ۲۰۶۹ به ۲۰۶۵ و ۲۹۵۹ دارای بیشترین فراوانی بودند. بیماریزایی برای گیاهان با ژنهای ۲۰۶۹ و ۲۰۶۸ برای گیاهان با ژنهای ۲۰۶۸ برای ۲۰۶۸ با ۲۰۱۶ با ۲۰۱۶ با ۲۰۵۶ با ۲۰۱۶ با ۲۰۰۰ با بیش از ۸۸ درصد فراوانی دارای قدرت بیماریزایی بر روی گیاهان با ژنهای ۲۰۱۶ با ۲۰۶۶ بودند. در شرایط فراوانی دارای قدرت بیماریزایی بر روی گیاهان با ژنهای ۲۰۱۶ با ۲۰۶۶ با ۲۰۶۶ مشاهده نشد. در شرایط گلخانه بیماریزایی برای گیاهان با ژنهای ۲۰۱۶ با ۲۰۵۶ با ۲۰۱۶ با ۲۰۱۶ میز با درصد تعین گردید. بیماریزایی برای سایر گیاهان با ژنهای مورد مطالعه بین ۲۰۰۰ ۲۰۰ درصد متغیر بود. در طی سه سال مطالعه در شرایط مزرعه، بیماریزایی برای منابع (۲۰۱۵ میز ۲۰۹۱), ۲۰۹۸ (۲۰۹۷), ۲۰۹۱ با درصد متغیر بود. در طی سه سال لده شد. TP1295 (Yr25) و Lee (Yr7), Avocet R (YrA), Federation*4/Kavkaz (Yr9), کیاهان با ژن های Yr1, Yr3V, Yr3N, Yr4, Yr5, Yr8, Yr10, Yr18, Yr24, Yr32+, YrSP, YrSD و YrSU در شرایط مزرعه دارای مقاومت بودند. ضریب آلودگی برای ژن ۲۱8 به عنوان یکی از منابع مقاومت پایدار بین ۶۴–۱۶ با واکنش نیمه حساسیت بوده که میتواند با ترکیب سایر ژن های مسئول مقاومت که در این تحقیق معرفی شدند در برنامههای اصلاحی مورد استفاده قرار گیرد.