J. Agr. Sci. Tech. (2017) Vol. 19: 185-200

Identification of QTLs Associated with Agronomic and Physiological Traits under Salinity Stress in Barley

A. Barati^{1,3}, M. Moghaddam^{1, 2*}, S. A. Mohammadi^{1, 2}, H. A. Ghazvini³, and B. Sadeghzadeh⁴

ABSTRACT

Salinity tolerance is a genetically and physiologically complex trait, controlled by Quantitative Trait Loci (QTLs). In order to map the QTLs associated with agronomic and physiological traits, 149 doubled haploid lines derived from a cross between Clipper (salt susceptible) and Sahara 3771 (salt tolerant) barley genotypes were evaluated under natural saline-stress and non-stress conditions using 14 traits. QTL analysis was performed based on the composite interval mapping method, using the genetic linkage map consisting of 517 molecular markers which spanned a total of 1502.4 cM. A total of 78 QTLs for days to heading, relative water content, chlorophyll content, plant height, spike length, days to maturity, biomass, grain yield, harvest index, grain number per spike, 1,000-kernel weight, Na⁺, K⁺ concentrations and K⁺/Na⁺ ratio, were determined, with 40 and 38 QTLs under normal and salinity environments, respectively. Most of the detected QTLs were located on chromosome 2H. The phenotypic variation explained by individual OTLs ranged from 3.3 to 68.6%. A major OTL was identified at both salinestress and non-stress conditions in the vicinity of Vrs1 on chromosome 2H, related to biomass, grain number per spike, 1,000 kernel weight, plant height and grain yield. This QTL may be useful in the barley breeding programs for improving salt tolerance by marker-assisted selection. Furthermore, some stable QTLs, were identified for days to heading, biomass, spike length, grain number per spike, 1,000 kernel weight, and K⁺ content which can be regarded as promising QTLs for breeding purposes.

Keywords: Doubled haploid, QTL analysis, Salinity tolerance.

INTRODUCTION

Salinity is one of the major abiotic stresses affecting 19.5% of agricultural land (FAO, 2016) and limiting crop production. It affects numerous growth processes at the levels of sub-cell, cell, tissue, whole plant and several physiological process including ionic balance (especially Na^+/K^+ ratio) and distribution (Xue *et al.*, 2009). Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.), an important food and fodder crop, is widely cultivated in saline

areas as one of the most salt-tolerant crops (Mass and Hoffman, 1997; Munns and Tester, 2008). However, its growth and production is greatly affected by salt stress. Improvement of salinity tolerance is an important aim in barley breeding programs (Colmer *et al.*, 2005). There is a large variation among barley genotypes for salinity tolerance (Haung and Redman, 1995; Mer *et al.*, 2000). Development and utilization of stressresistant genotypes is an effective approach to prevent yield loss. QTLs can be used in marker

¹ Department of Plant Breeding and Biotechnology, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Tabriz, Islamic Republic of Iran.

² Center of Excellence in Cereal Molecular Breeding, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Islamic Republic of Iran.

^{*} Corresponding author; e-mail: moghaddamv@yahoo.com

³ Seed and Plant Improvement Institute, Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), Karaj, Islamic Republic of Iran.

⁴ Dryland Agricultural Research Institute, Agricultural Research, Education and Extension Organization (AREEO), Maragheh, Islamic Republic of Iran.

assisted selection to facilitate selection of salinity tolerant genotypes in conventional breeding programs.

Salinity tolerance is a genetically and physiologically complex trait controlled by Quantitative Trait Loci (QTLs). QTL analysis has been utilized for dissection of different traits in barley (Beecher et al., 2001; Mohammadi et al., 2005; Nguyen et al., 2013). QTLs associated with salinity tolerance have been mapped in barley. Shavrukov et al. (2010) identified a single QTL on the short arm of chromosome 7H, Nax3, reducing the shoot sodium content of plants grown in 150 mM NaCl about 10-25%. Rivandi et al. (2011) mapped a QTL on the long arm of 1H, HvNax3, affecting salinity tolerance. Zhou et al. (2012) used a combined injury score based on leaf chlorosis and plant survival seven weeks after sowing in a DH population derived from a cross between YYXT genotype (salt tolerant) and Franklin (salt sensitive) and identified five QTLs on chromosomes 1H, 2H, 5H, 6H and 7H for the traits associated with salinity tolerance explaining more than 50% of the phenotypic variation. QTLs for salt tolerance at a late growth stage have been described for the **CM72** (salt tolerant)×Gairdner (salt sensitive) population (Xue et al., 2009). In this population, The number of QTLs for tiller number, plant height, spikes per line, spikes per plant, dry weight per plant, grains per plant, grain yield, shoot Na⁺ and K⁺ concentrations, and Na⁺/K⁺ ratio were determined as 17 and 13 under, respectively, non-stress and salt stress conditions. They identified the single QTL affecting Na^+ content and Na^+/K^+ ratio.

The objective of this study was to identify QTLs associated with some agronomic and physiological traits under natural salt stress and normal conditions using a barley DH population.

MATERIALS AND MEHTODS

A population of 149 Doubled Haploid lines (DHs) and parental genotypes were evaluated using α -lattice design with two replications for two years (2012-2013 and 2013-2014) at two locations: Birjand Agricultural Research Station (As salinity stress condition: $Ec_{soil} = 9.8-12 \text{ dS m}^{-1}$ $Ec_{water} = 8.8-10 \text{ dS m}^{-1}$) and Research Field of Cereal Department, SPII, Karaj (As normal condition: Soil and water EC: ~2-2.5 dS m⁻¹). The DH lines were derived from a cross between Clipper (an Australian spring, two row and salt sensitive cultivar) and Sahara 3771 (an Algerian winter, six row and salt tolerant landrace) in the University of Adelaide. All DH lines and two parents were planted in early November. Seeds of DH lines and their two parents were sown in two rows of one-meter length and 0.25 meter between rows. Irrigation frequency and amount of fertilizer used were similar in both conditions. The measured traits were Days to Heading (DHA), Relative Water chlorophyll Content (RWC), content (SPAD), Plant Height (PH, cm), Spike Length (SL, cm), Days to Maturity (DMA), Biomass (BY, t ha⁻¹), Grain Yield (GY, t ha⁻¹) ¹), Harvest Index (HI), Grain number per Spike (GS), 1,000 Kernel Weight (TKW, g), Na^+ and K^+ contents (mg g⁻¹), and K^+/Na^+ ratio. A SPAD-502 chlorophyll-photometer was used to measure the chlorophyll content, which was measured on three fresh leaves, on the first part, middle part, and last part of the leaf (Munns and James, 2003). Relative

Water Content (RWC) was calculated as: $RWC = [(f_w - d_w)/(t_w - d_w)] \times 100$

Where, f_w , d_w and t_w are leaf fresh, dry, and turgid weight, respectively. Chlorophyll content and relative water content were measured when the plant seeds were at the milky stage. Na⁺ and K⁺ contents were determined by flame photometry only for one replication every year. Relative efficiency of α -lattice to randomized complete block design was determined for all measured traits. Combined analysis of variance was carried out for the studied traits under saline and normal conditions, separately. Pearson's correlation coefficients were calculated between the traits under both environments, separately.

The genetic linkage map of 1,502.4 cM was constructed using a total of 517 markers (265 SSRs, 217 RFLPs, 18 retrotransposons, 3 morphological markers, 10 ISSRs and 4 IRAPs (average distance of 2.9 cM between two markers) distributed evenly on seven barley chromosomes. A detailed description of the procedure adopted for development of SSR markers and genetic map is given by Ebadi-Segherloo (2013). Briefly, each linkage group corresponded to one of the seven barley chromosomes. The length of each chromosome linkage was 213, 245, 231, 169, 229, 128 and 287 cM, for chromosomes 1H to 7H, respectively. The number of markers was 57, 90, 71, 80, 73, 69 and 77, corresponding to those on chromosomes 1H to 7H, respectively. QTL mapping was performed by composite interval mapping using WinOTL cartographer 2.5 (Wang et al., 2007) with Logarithm of Odds (LOD) => 3, walk speed of 0.5 cM and window size of 10 cM. The percentage of explained phenotypic variance was estimated for each QTL (R^2) . Graphical linkage groups were generated using Mapchart 2.2 (Voorrips, 2002).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Phenotypic Variation and Correlations among Traits

Analysis of variance revealed significant differences among DH lines under both saltstress and normal conditions for the studied traits, except RWC and HI in both environments and DMA only in the salinity condition. Year×line interaction was significant for all traits, except for SPAD, PH, and GS under normal and RWC, SPAD, GY, and GS under salinity condition. Salinity enhanced the %CV of the traits, except for RWC, PH, BY, and GS (Table 1). Some descriptive statistics of DH and parental lines for the investigated traits are presented Table 2. Transgressive in segregation in both directions was observed for all the traits, except K⁺ content under the

stress condition and K^+/Na^+ ratio in both conditions. However, these traits showed transgressive segregation in one direction (Table 2).

Correlations among measured traits are presented in Table 3. Maximum correlation was observed between GY and BY in both conditions (r = 0.7^{**}). Results showed that the sign and magnitude of correlations among measured traits were mostly influenced by the salinity stress. Indeed, some traits showed significant correlation in the normal environment but became nonsignificant in the saline environment and vice versa. For example, there was a negative and significant correlation between plant height and grain number per spike under normal condition $(r = -0.5^{**})$ whereas this correlation was positive and significant under salinity condition ($r=0.5^{**}$). As the second example, the correlation between days to heading and K⁺/Na⁺ ratio was negative and significant under normal condition (r= -0.3**) whereas it was nonsignificant under salinity condition (Table 3).

Salt tolerance is a complex trait and different methods and parameters have been used to assess plant germplasms for salt tolerance. Assessment under saline field condition is one of the methods which are based on natural salinity condition. Various physiological and agronomic traits have been used for salt tolerance evaluation as plant height, chlorophyll content, biomass, Na⁺ and K⁺ contents (Sbei *et al.*, 2012; Nguyen et al., 2013). Agronomic traits such as leaf area, shoot dry weight, and physiological traits such as Na⁺ and Cl⁻ exclusion. leaf water relation. and chlorophyll content have been studied for salinity tolerance (El-Hendawy et al., 2009). We evaluated salinity tolerance of DHs fourteen agronomical and using physiological traits in this study. The results revealed that Na⁺ and K⁺ levels were increased under salinity condition as compared to the normal environment, whereas the magnitude of other studied traits such as plant height, spike length, and 1,000

SOV	df	DHE	RWC^{b}	$SPAD^c$	$_{p}$ Hd	SL^{e}	DMA	BY^{g}	GY	III	GS^{j}	TKW^{k}
Normal				-		14		44				1
Year	1	2946.0^{*}	546.0^{ns}	1094.0^{*}	21207.0^{**}	40.0^{**}	109.0^{ns}	4722.0^{**}	$0.4^{\rm ns}$	12832.0^{*}	23.0^{ns}	1677.0^{**}
Block (Year)	2	44.1	2692.0	52.0	52.0	0.2	134.1	29.0	1.7	161.9	58.0	8.6
Line	150	17.0^{**}	$52.0^{\rm ns}$	34.5^{*}	117.6^{**}	2.5^{**}	12.5^{**}	17.0^{**}	0.9^{**}	$35.0^{\rm ns}$	1156.0^{**}	155.1^{**}
Year×Line	150	3.0^{*}	54.5**	$24.0^{\rm ns}$	35^{ns}	0.5^{**}	7.7**	7.0^{**}	0.4^{*}	28.6^{**}	9.3^{ns}	15.6^{**}
Error	300	2.2	39.0	20.0	28.0	0.2	4.6	5.0	0.3	12.8	25.0	7.2
C.V%		0.9	8.0	8.5	7.0	8.0	1.0	16.0	17.6	14.8	14.0	7.2
\mathbb{R}^2		89.9	65.0	62.7	84.0	88.5	70.8	84.7	69.0	85.4	96.0	92.6
LSD5%		3.3	14.4	8.7	10.4	1.3	5.4	5.2	1.2	10.4	10.0	T.T
Stress												
Year	1	21912.2^{**}	1854.6 ^{ns}	1023.8^{**}	4657.8**	68.4^{**}	1551.3^{**}	63.5^{*}	124.1^{**}	1652.7 ^{ns}	29.5^{*}	2476.7**
Block	¢	L ((444.4	0.1	10.0	0.1	46.3	1 2	3.4	14	28	4637 3
(Year)	1			1.0	0.01	1.0	0.04	1.0	5	t.	0.7	0.700+
Line	150	27.0^{**}	36.8^{ns}	61.0^{*}	101.1^{**}	2.0^{**}	16.7^{ns}	13.6^{**}	0.7^{**}	31.7^{ns}	597.9^{**}	142.1^{**}
Year×Line	150	12.0^{**}	$25.4^{\rm ns}$	57.2^{ns}	52.8^{**}	0.5^{**}	16.9^{*}	7.6**	$0.4^{\rm ns}$	34.6^{**}	$2.6^{\rm ns}$	17.2^{**}
Error	300	5.6	30.1	46.2	19.1	0.3	6.2	2.5	0.4	9.7	6.1	6.7
C.V%		1.6	7.3	13.3	6.3	11.2	1.3	12.8	26.6	15.6	8.9	7.7
\mathbb{R}^2		94.0	57.1	57.5	82.8	80.5	0.2	92.4	71.0	80.0	97.9	94.6
LSD5%		6.7	10.7	13.3	14.2	1.3	8.0	5.4	1.2	11.5	4.8	8.1

www.SID.ir

Turit	E. in the second	G.1	Clima	Γ	OH populati	on
I rait	Environment	Sahara 3771	Clipper	Mean	Min ⁿ	Max ^o
DHE ^{<i>a</i>}	Normal	157.0	154.0	156.3	151.9	162.5
	Stress	146.0	144.0	143.4	137.7	151.2
RWC^{b}	Normal	81.9	72.9	77.2	67.9	88.1
	Stress	75.4	72.5	74.7	66.2	83.8
SPAD ^c	Normal	51.7	48.6	52.0	45.4	58.7
	Stress	52.8	51.4	51.0	35.9	61.2
PH (cm) ^{d}	Normal	76.1	71.3	76.5	63.7	92.6
	Stress	68.3	62.6	69.3	56.1	84.3
$SL(cm)^{e}$	Normal	6.5	5.6	5.8	4.1	7.5
	Stress	5.6	4.5	5.3	3.7	7.0
DMA^f	Normal	205.0	204.0	206.5	202.7	212.0
	Stress	181.0	182.0	182.4	176.9	187.7
$GY (t ha^{-1})^{g}$	Normal	2.8	4.2	3.2	2.0	4.9
	Stress	2.4	2.2	2.4	1.1	3.7
BY	Normal	10.6	15.1	14.0	8.8	19.7
$(t ha^{-1})^{h}$	Stress	10.0	12.7	12.5	7.4	16.9
HI^{i}	Normal	31.5	28.8	24.0	18.3	33.8
	Stress	26.1	18.3	20.0	13.4	32.7
\mathbf{GS}^{j}	Normal	57.6	23.2	35.0	15.2	65.8
	Stress	45.9	17.4	28.0	11.6	49.1
$TKW(g)^{k}$	Normal	42.7	30.0	36.8	24.6	48.7
	Stress	38.3	26.0	33.6	21.4	45.0
Na^{+} (mg g ⁻¹) l	Normal	0.6	0.6	3.1	0.2	14.3
	Stress	14.6	9.7	9.8	2.2	26.2
K^{+} (mg g ⁻¹) ^m	Normal	16.7	18.4	23.1	9.6	44.2
	Stress	49.1	26.0	25.2	10.1	37.6
K ⁺ /Na ⁺	Normal	27.8	30.6	1.5	0.5	2.7
	Stress	3.3	2.68	1.6	0.9	2.7

Table 2. Phenotypic values of agronomic and physiological traits in the DH population and their parents under salinity stress and normal conditions.

^{*a*} Days to Heading; ^{*b*} Relative Water Content; ^{*c*} Chlorophyll content; ^{*d*} Plant Height; ^{*e*} Spike Length; ^{*f*} Days to Maturity; ^{*g*} Grain Yield; ^{*h*} Biomass; ^{*i*} Harvest Index; ^{*j*} Grain number per Spike; ^{*k*} 1,000 Kernel Weight; ^{*l*} Na content; ^{*m*} K content; ^{*n*} Minimum, ^{*o*} Maximum.

kernel weight were reduced (Table 2). Abid *et al.* (2001) reported that Na⁺ content increased due to salinity stress, but the increased rate was different for different genotypes. Compared with Clipper, Sahara 3771 was more tolerant to salinity based on the reduction percent for GY, BY, *HI*, SL, GS, TKW, and PH in the salinity stress compared to the normal environment.

QTL Analysis

Unraveling the mechanisms underlying salt tolerance in higher plants is a

challenging task for plant scientists worldwide. We evaluated the segregating DH population derived from Clipper×Sahara 3771 under natural saline environment to better understand the genetics of the characters under salt tolerance, in particular the concentrations of major ions in shoots, grain yield, relative water content and chlorophyll content. QLT mapping results including type of environment, name of QTL, nearest marker, percentage of explained phenotypic variation, OTL position, LOD and additive effects are summarized in Table 4. A graphical linkage map is shown in Figure 1. A total of 78

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	DHE	DING	CDAD	рн	13	DMA	Δd	20	н	30	TKW	+°N	+ 24	V+NIA+
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		AWC		III	31	VIND	D I	5	111	5	A VI I	ING	N	N 114
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	DHE ⁴ 1.00	ns	0.2	0.3^{**}	0.2	0.3^{**}	0.2^{**}	ns	-0.2	ns	ns	ns	ns	-0.3
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	RWC^{b} ns	1.0	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	su	0.2^*	ns	-0.2^{**}
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	SPAD ^c ns	ns	1.0	ns	ns	0.2^*	0.2^{**}	0.3^{**}	ns	su	0.2^{**}	ns	su	ns
SL ⁶ 0.2 ns ns 0.3 1.0 ns 0.3 0.2 ns 0.3 0.4 ns ns ns ms ms ns ns ns ms ns ms ns ms ns ms ms ns ms ns ms ns ms	$PH^{d} = 0.2^{**}$	ns	0.2^*	1.0	0.3^{**}	ns	0.4^{**}	ns	-0.2	-0.5**	0.52^{**}	su	ns	ns
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	SL^{e} 0.2 ^{**}	ns	su	0.3^{**}	1.0	ns	0.3^{**}	0.2^{**}	su	-0.3	0.4^{**}	su	su	su
BY 8 0.3 ns ns 0.4 0.3 0.2 1.0 0.7 -0.2 0.3 0.5 ns ns BY GY 0.2 ns ns 0.2 0.2 0.2 0.7 1.0 0.5 0.3 ns ns ns BH H ^I ns ns ns ns ns ns ns ns 0.6 1.0 ns ns ns ns ns SGS ns ns ns 0.6 1.0 ns ns ns ns ns ns Na ^{+I} ns ns ns ns ns ns ns 0.5 0.3 ns 0.5 0.3 ns	DMA^f 0.3 ^{**}	ns	ns	0.3^{**}	ns	1.0	0.2^*	ns	ns	ns	su	ns	ns	ns
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	BY^{8} 0.3 ^{**}	su	ns	0.4^{**}	0.3^{**}	0.2^*	1.0	0.7^{**}	-0.2^{**}	-0.3	0.5^{**}	su	ns	ns
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$GY^{h} = 0.2^{**}$	su	su	0.2^{**}	0.2^{**}	0.2^*	0.7^{**}	1.0	0.5^{**}	-0.2*	0.3^{**}	ns	su	ns
GS^{i} ns ns ns 0.5 0.2 ns -0.3 -0.2 ns 1.0 -0.9 ns ns ns TKW ^k ns ns ns 0.5 0.3 ns 0.5 0.3 ns 0.6 1.0 ns ns ns Na ⁺¹ ns ns ns ns ns ns -0.2 ns ns 0.1 ^{ns} -0.2 1.0 0.2 K ^{+m} ns ns ns ns -0.2 ns ns 0.1 ^{ns} -0.2 1.0 0.2 K ^{+m} ns ns ns ns 0.2 ns ns 0.2 0.3 0.2 1.0 K ^{+m} 0.2 ns ns ns 0.2 0.2 0.3 0.2 0.2 1.0 0.2 K ^{+m} ns	HI ⁱ ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	0.6^{**}	1.0	ns	su	ns	ns	ns
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	GS ⁷ ns	ns	ns	0.5^{**}	0.2^{**}	ns	-0.3^{**}	-0.2*	su	1.0	-0.9**	su	su	ns
$Na^{+/}_{a}$ ns ns ns ns ns ns ns -0.2° ns ns 0.1^{ns} -0.2° 1.0 0.2° K^{+m}_{a} ns ns ns ns ns -0.2° 0.2° 0.2° 0.2° 0.2° 0.2° 1.0 K^{+Ma}_{a} ns ns 0.2° ns ns 0.2° 0.8° 0.2° 0.2°	TKW ^k ns	ns	ns	0.5^{**}	0.3^{**}	ns	0.5^{**}	0.3^{**}	ns	-0. 8**	1.0	ns	ns	ns
K^{+m} ns ns ns ns ns -0.2^{*} ns -0.2^{*} ns 0.2^{*} -0.3^{**} 0.2^{*} $1.0 K^{+}Na^{+}$ ns ns 0.2 ns ns 0.2^{*} ns 0.2^{**} 0.2^{**} 0.2^{**}	Na ⁺¹ ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	-0.2*	su	su	$0.1^{\rm ns}$	-0.2*	1.0	0.2^*	-0.7**
$\mathrm{K}^{+}\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ ns ns 0.2° ns ns ns ns ns ns n ns $0.3^{\circ\circ}$	K ^{+m} ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	-0.2**	-0.2*	su	ns	0.2^{**}	-0.3**	0.2^{*}	1.0	ns
	K ⁺ /Na ⁺ ns	ns	ns	0.2^*	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	ns	-0.8**	0.2^{**}	1.0

per Spike; ^k 1,000 Kernel Weight; ¹ Na content; ^m K content. ns, * and **: Indicate non-significant, and significant at 5 and 1% levels of probability, respectively.

Barati et al.

QTLs were mapped for measured traits under two environments, being 40 and 38 QTLs under the normal and salinity stress conditions, respectively (Table 4; Figure 1). Negative and positive additive effects indicated the contribution of desirable alleles at the mapped genomic regions from Sahara 3771 and Clipper, respectively.

The phenotypic variation explained by individual QTLs ranged from 3.3 to 68.6%. Figure 1 shows the positions of QTLs in the linkage map. We mapped 4 and 3 QTLs on chromosomes 2H, 4H, 5H and 7H for days to heading under normal and stress environments, respectively. Peighambari et al. (2005) reported a QTL for date of flowering on the same position of qDHE2n. Two QTL, qDHE5n and qDHE5.1s in the of wg530 vicinity marker. were simultaneously detected under both environments. In this locus, the allele from Sahara 3771 could decrease days to heading 0.93 and 1.06 days under normal and salt stress conditions, respectively.

Two QTLs were detected for relative water content in the normal condition on chromosomes 1H and 5H. In both loci, DH lines having alleles from Sahara 3771, showed increase in RWC as compared with DH lines receiving alleles from Clipper. Two QTLs for RWC on chromosomes 1H (near abc 257 marker) and 5H (near 5LTR2/Nikita-150 marker) were co-located with the QTLs for harvest index and spike length, respectively. For chlorophyll content, two and four QTLs were mapped under normal and stress environments, respectively, on chromosomes 3H, 4H, 5H and 6H. For plant height, six QTLs on chromosomes 2H, 4H, 5H and 7H in the normal environment and four OTLs on chromosomes 2H, 4H and 7H in the saline environment were identified. These QTLs explained 5.3 to 26.0% of the phenotypic variation for PH under normal condition and 8.0 to 31.3% in the stress condition. The two QTLs, qPH7n and qPH7s, in the vicinity of 5LTR1/Sukkula-150 marker on chromosome 7H, were simultaneously detected under both conditions. Fourteen QTLs were

Mapping QTLs and Agro-physiological Traits –



Table 4. QTLs for the traits identified in the barley DH population derived from a cross between Clipper×Sahara 3771.^a

	Env ⁿ	QTL	Chr	Nearest marker	Position	LOD	Additive	Var^{o}
DHE ^a	N	aDHE2n	2H	mwg892	83.1	3.5	0.5	67
DIIL	1,	aDHE4n	4H	EBmag0778	123.1	3.4	-0.5	6.6
		qDHE5n	5H	wg530	187.1	10.4	-0.9	19.8
		aDHE7n	7H	EBmac0603	72.5	6.8	0.7	14.6
	S	qDHE4s	4H	cdo669c	116.8	4.3	0.7	8.5
		qDHE5.1s	5H	wg530	189.1	7.4	-1.0	16.0
		qDHE5.2s	5H	Bmag07	196.5	8.01	-1.0	16.6
RWC^{b}	Ν	qRWC1n	1H	abc257	76.7	3.1	-1.0	7.6
		qRWC5n	5H	5LTR2/Nikita-150	174.3	3.08	-1.0	8.7
SPAD	Ν	qSPAD5n	5H	EBmac0854	150	4.9	-1.0	11.1
С		qSPAD6n	6H	Bmac0218(b)	56.9	3.7	1.1	13.9
	S	qSPAD3.1s	3H	HVM27	77.7	4.1	-1.2	9.9
		qSPAD3.2s	3H	EBmac0874(b)	89.3	5.4	-1.4	13.3
		qSPAD4.1s	$4\mathrm{H}$	GMS089	64.3	4.9	1.3	10.8
		qSPAD4.2s	$4\mathrm{H}$	GBM1509	74.3	3.7	1.2	9.3
PH^{d}	Ν	qPH2.1n	2H	Vrs1	95.6	12.4	2.8	26.0
		qPH2.2n	2H	Bmac0134	215.9	3.1	-1.2	5.3
		qPH4.1n	4H	awbma30	37.6	4.1	1.5	7.3
		qPH4.2n	$4\mathrm{H}$	bcd808c	50	3	1.3	5.5
		qPH5n	5H	GBM1399	169.5	3.4	-1.4	6.4
		qPH7n	7H	5LTR1/Sukkula-150	79.4	4.2	1.7	10.3
	S	qPH2.1s	2H	Ha2	.7	7.8	2.0	16.6
		qPH2.2s	2H	ISSR33-960	95.1	13.5	2.8	31.3
		qPH4s	4H	ISSR8-800	37.5	4.3	1.4	8.0
		qPH7s	7H	5LTR1/Sukkula-150	.9	3.4	1.6	10.2
SL ^e	Ν	qSL1n	1H	Bmag0345	144	8.7	0.2	13.1
		qSL2n	2H	Bmag03	111.1	4.0	0.1	5.5
		qSL3n	3H	Bmac0209	84.2	14.7	0.4	24.1
		qSL4.1n	4H	HVM03	60.7	3.1	0.1	4.3
		qSL4.2n	4H	GBM1220	127.9	5.4	-0.2	7.7
		qSL5n	5H	5LTR2/Nikita-150	173.8	4.0	-0.2	7.5
		qSL7.1n	7H	abc152d	103.1	5.2	0.2	8.3
	G	qSL/.2n	/H 111	Bmag0110	117.5	4.3	0.2	6.5
	3	qSL1.1s	111	HVALAAI Dura 20062	142.8	4.8	0.2	1.8
			111 211	CPM1004	133.4 94.2	5.1 11.5	0.1	0.5
		qSL38	эп 41	GDW11094	04.5 127.0	57	0.5	22.0
		43L48	411 711	Bmag0516	127.9	5.7 47	-0.2	9.7
		qSL7.1S	711 7H	Bmag0110	105.5	4.7	0.2	7.0
DMA^f	Ν	qSL7.23	1H	Bmag0350(a)	142.2	3.1	0.1	7.0 6.4
DMA	1	qDMA1.1n	5H	GMS027	57.2	3.1	0.45	0.4 7.2
		qDMA5.2n	5H	GRM1309	166.5	4.2	-0.5	87
		aDMA6n	6H	nsr167	76.4	3.5	0.54	8.8
	S	aDMA5s	5H	GBM1399	167.0	47	-07	11.1
	5	aDMA7s	7H	EBmac0603	72.0	6.5	1.2	19.3
$\mathbf{B}\mathbf{Y}^{g}$	Ν	gBY2.1n	2H	mwg892	84.6	5.5	0.7	12.6
- •	1.	qBY2.2n	2H	Vrs1	96.6	5.3	0.7	13.0
		qBY5n	5H	awwm1-5	22.1	3.0	-0.5	6.5

^{*a*} Days to Heading; ^{*b*} Relative Water Content; ^{*c*} Chlorophyll content; ^{*d*} Plant Height; ^{*e*} Spike Length; ^{*f*} Days to Maturity; ^{*g*} Biomass; ^{*n*} Environment, ^{*o*} Explained variance.



	Env ⁿ	QTL	Chr	Nearest marker	Position	LOD	Additive	Var^{o}
DV g	c	aDV2 1a	211	mua902	95.6	5.2	0.6	(%)
DI°	3	qБ 1 2.18 «DV2 2»	211	IIIwg892	83.0 06.6	5.5 7.1	0.0	11.0
		qD 1 2.28 ару5 1а	2H 511	VISI CDM1200	90.0	7.1	0.7	15.5
		qD 1 5.18 аруб 2а	эп 511	EDmas02	210.9	5.7	-0.5	7.1
$\mathbf{C}\mathbf{V}^h$	N	чы 13.28 сСУ5-г	5П 511	EDIIIaCUS	219.8	4.2	-0.0	9.2
GI	IN C	qGY1 1-	5H 111	awwm1-5	124.0	5.5 5.0	-0.1	/.8
	2	qGY1.1s	111	GBM1334	134.0	5.2	0.1	11.1
		qGY1.2s	IH	bcd454	145.6	5.5	0.1	12.7
		qGY2.2s	2H	Vrsl	97.6	3.4	0.1	8.0
i		qGY5s	5H	Bmac0306	207.3	4.0	-0.1	8.7
HI^{*}	Ν	qHII.In	1H	abc261	67.2	5.6	1.1	15.6
		qHI1.2n	1H	abc257	79.7	7.6	1.2	18.8
		qHI4.1n	4H	ABCT	93.0	4.1	-1.2	9.1
		qHI4.2n	4H	cdo669c	114.3	5.8	1.4	13.1
	S	qHI1.1s	1H	Bmag0211	139.8	3.2	0.7	7.9
		qHI1.2s	1H	Bmac0063	150.4	3.2	0.8	9.2
\mathbf{GS}^{j}	Ν	qGS2n	2H	Vrs1	96.6	53.7	16.2	46.1
	S	qGS2.1s	2H	Vrs1	96.6	52.8	11.0	65.2
		qGS2.2s	2H	GBM1459	121.2	4.4	-3.3	3.3
$\mathbf{T}\mathbf{K}\mathbf{W}^{k}$	Ν	qTKW2.1n	2H	ISSR2/Sukkula-540	49.7	5.2	1.4	4.7
		qTKW2.2n	2H	Vrs1	96.1	43.5	5.0	61.8
		qTKW5n	5H	Bmag0357	174.5	5.2	-1.4	4.1
	S	qTKW2s	2H	Vrs1	96.1	36.9	5.0	68.6
Na^+	Ν	q Na ⁺ 6n	6H	scssr5599	-	3.4	-0.8	9.6
$(mgg^{-1})^{l}$	S	q Na ⁺ s	4H	GBM1323	32.6	3.2	-1.0	7.6
		q Na ⁺ s	6H	EBmac0708(a)	44.3	3.9	-1.4	14.2
\mathbf{K}^+	Ν	q K ⁺ 2n	2H	Bmag0125	88.4	4.03	-1.6	16.7
$(mgg^{-1})^m$	S	q K ⁺ 2s	2H	Bmag0125	88.4	4.0	-1.6	9.9
		q K ⁺ 6s	6H	Sukkula/Nikita-580	36.6	3.2	-1.5	9.1
K ⁺ / Na ⁺	S	q K ⁺ / Na ⁺ 3s	3H	Bmag0010	143.1	3.8	-0.1	8.7
		qK ⁺ / Na ⁺ 6s	6H	GBM19	44.7	5.1	0.1	12.1

 Table 4. (continued)

^g Biomass; ^h Grain Yield; ⁱ Harvest Index; ^j Grain number per Spike; ^k 1,000 Kernel Weight; ^l: Na content; ^m K content; ⁿ Environment, ^o Explained variance.

detected for spike length under the two environments. There were eight QTLs on chromosomes 1H, 2H, 3H, 4H, 5H and 7H under the normal condition accounting for 4.3 to 24.1% of the total phenotypic variation. Under salt stress, six QTLs were detected on chromosomes 1H, 3H, 4H and 7H accounting for 7 to 22.6% of the total SL variation. The QTLs on chromosome 7H, near *Bmag0110* marker and chromosome 4H, near *GBM1220* marker were mapped in both environments. QTLs for spike length were mapped on chromosomes 1H, 2H, 3H, 4H, 5H and 7H in previous studies (Ren *et* *al.*, 2013). Four and two QTLs on chromosomes 1H, 5H, 6H and 7H were detected for days to maturity in normal and salinity conditions, respectively. In four out of six identified QTLs, the alleles from Clipper increased days to maturity in DHs. The two QTLs, qDMA5.2n and qDMA5s, on chromosome 5H linked to *GBM1399* marker were simultaneously detected under both environments. Lines receiving allele from Sahara 3771 in this locus were matured 0.53 and 0.72 days earlier under normal and salinity stress, respectively. One QTL for days to heading and one QTL for days to



Figure 1. Location of QTLs for the traits identified in the barley DH population derived from a cross between Clipper×Sahara 3771. Figure 1. (continued)

R



continued of Figure 1

Figure 1. (continued)

www.SID.ir

JAST



Figure 1. (continued)

maturity (qDHE7n and qDMA7s. respectively) were simultaneously detected under both conditions on chromosome 7H in the vicinity of EBmac0603 marker and both had positive additive effect (Table 4). Three and four QTLs were mapped for biomass on chromosomes 2H and 5H under normal and stress environments, respectively. Two QTLs on chromosome 2H (qBY2.1n, qBY2.1s) in the vicinity of the marker *mwg*892 and other two (qBY2.2n, qBY2.2s) near the marker vrs1 were simultaneously detected under both conditions. Only one QTL for grain yield under normal condition was detected on 5H accounting for 7.8% of total phenotypic variation. Whereas, under condition, four salinity OTLS on were chromosomes 1H. 2H and 5H identified. These QTLs explained 40.53% of the total variation for grain yield. Some QTL(s) were common for grain yield and other traits. For example, the QTLs on chromosome 5H, in the vicinity of the marker awwm1-5, were observed for grain yield and biomass (qGY5n, qBY5n). Other QTLs on chromosome 2H in the vicinity of the marker Vrs1 (qGY2.2s), were observed (qBY2.2n, qBY2.2s), for BY GNP (qGNP2n, qGNP2.1s), PH (qPH2.1n), TKW (qTKW2.2n, qTKW2s). Positive and significant correlations of grain yield and SL, BY, HI (both environments), SPAD (normal condition), DHE, PH, DMA, GS and TKW (salinity condition) were also observed. This suggested that close linkage or pleiotropy could be the possible causes of the correlations among grain yield and some traits which had similar QTL(s). Based on Paterson et al. (1991), pleiotropic effect of major genes and close linkage of many genes are main factors in the appearance of correlation between agronomic traits. Marquez-Cedillo et al. (2001) pointed out that the correlation between quantitative traits might be due to the linkage between their QTLs.

For harvest index, six QTLs were detected under the normal and salinity stress conditions, four being located on chromosomes 1H and 4H under normal condition and two on chromosome 1H in the stress condition. One OTL in the normal and two QTLs in the saline environment on 2H were identified for grain number per spike. Two of the three mapped QTLs were major QTLs accounting for 46.1 and 65.2% of the total GS variation under normal and stress conditions, respectively. These QTLs were located in the same genomic region near Vrs1 marker. Positive additive effects indicate that in this locus, the alleles from Clipper tended to decrease grain number per plant (16.28 and 11.01) in the normal and salt stress environments, respectively. The QTL on chromosome 2H in the vicinity of vrs1 marker was common for the two environments. Li et al. (2005) mapped QTLs for grain number on chromosome 2H. Three genomic regions on chromosomes 2H and 5H associated with 1000 kernel weight in the normal environment and one on chromosome 2H in the saline condition were detected. Among these QTLs, qTKW2.2n and qTKW2s were detected simultaneously on chromosome 2H in the vicinity of Vrs1 marker, accounting for 61.8 and 68.6% of the total TKW variation in the normal and stress environments, respectively.

For Na^+ , K^+ , and K^+/Na^+ ratio, respectively, 3, 3, and 2 QTLs were mapped. Of the three QTLs of Na⁺ and three QTLs of K^+ , one and two QTLs were identified under normal and salinity environments, respectively. For K⁺/Na⁺ ratio, no QTL was identified under the normal condition. All Na^+ K^+ identified QTLs for and concentrations had negative additive effects, indicating that their desirable alleles were from Sahara 3771.

In all identified QTLs for Na⁺ and K⁺, alleles from Sahara 3771 decreased the concentration of the ions in the DH lines as compared with those of Clipper. A common QTL (qK⁺2) was mapped for K⁺ under both environments on chromosome 2H near *Bmag0125* marker. One QTL for Na⁺ content was identified on chromosome 4H and another two on chromosome 6H. Chromosome 4H in barley harbors several loci involved in salt and drought tolerance (Forster et al., 2000). We mapped a QTL on chromosome 4H, in the vicinity of GBM1323. For this QTL, the allele from Sahara 3771 decreased Na⁺ and could be utilized to increase salt tolerance in breeding programs. Nguyen et al. (2013) used a DH population and assessed salt tolerance according to biomass production and accumulation of K^+ and Na^+ ions as the non-saline criterion under saline and conditions after cultivation in hydroponic system for three weeks. They identified two specific regions on chromosomes 4H and 6H, controlling ion content and salt tolerance, pointing to genes involved in ion homeostasis that contribute to salt tolerance.

A large number of QTLs for salt tolerance have been previously reported in barley germplasms using different mapping populations (Siahsar and Naroui, 2010; Aminfar *et al.*, 2011; Nguyen *et al.*, 2013; Sbei *et al.*, 2014). Most studies for finding QTLs controlling salt tolerance have been carried out under controlled conditions. However, under field condition, few results have been reported. Our study was carried out in the natural salinity condition.

In this study, Sahara 3771 contributed alleles associated with salt tolerance for major traits, such as grain number per spike, relative water content, Na⁺ and K⁺ contents as well as days to heading and chlorophyll content under stress condition (Table 4). Some QTLs were identified under both environments (stable QTLs) and were not greatly influenced by the environmental condition such as the OTL(s) for days to heading on chromosome 5H near *wg530* marker, days to maturity on chromosome 5H near GBM1399, biomass on chromosome 2H near mwg892 and Vrs1 markers, spike length on chromosomes 4H and 7H near GBM1220 and Bmag0110 markers, respectively, grain number per spike and 1,000 kernel weight on chromosome 2H near Vrs1 marker, plant height on chromosome 7H near 5LTR1/Sukkula-150 marker and K⁺ content on chromosome 2H near Bmag0125 marker. For grain yield, harvest index, relative water content, chlorophyll and Na⁺ contents and K^+/Na^+ ratio, no stable QTL(s) were mapped. This is likely due to the contribution of more

chromosome locations on expression of these traits and, therefore, they are greatly influenced by environmental conditions.

There was a major QTL controlling BY, GS, TKW, PH and GY, and all of these QTLs were mapped on the same region of chromosome 2H. This region which was in the vicinity of Vrs1 marker, was associated with some QTLs including: qGY2.2s, qBY2.2n, qBY2.2s, qGNP2n, qGNP2.1s, qTKW2.2n, qTKW2s and qPH2.1n (Figure 1). Moreover, these QTLs, except for qGY2.2s and qPH2.1n, were found under both salinity and normal conditions, and all of their positive alleles came from Clipper. Therefore, this region of chromosome 2H can be used as an important target for improving salt tolerance in barley. It may be assumed that there is a QTL cluster for salt tolerance in this region of chromosome 2H (Figure 1). Vrs1 is a locus on chromosome 2H that controls row type in barley (Komatsuda et al., 2007). This locus affects directly a wide range of morphological traits related to seed yield and grain quality (Turuspekov et al., 2008). Shahinnia et al. (2006) identified important QTLs for grain number per plant and 1,000 kernel weight on chromosome 2H between the Vrs1 and MWG503 markers. Marquez-Cedillo et al. (2001) showed a relationship between Vrs1 locus and quantitative trait loci. Lin et al. (1995) suggested that in the vicinity of Vrs1 there might be a cluster of linked independent genes, rather than a major gene with pleiotropic effect, that control yield and yield related traits.

The QTLs, qGY5n and qBY5n, were mapped on the same region in the vicinity of *awwm1-5* marker on the chromosome 5H, supporting the significant positive correlation between the two trials under normal and salinity conditions ($r= 0.7^{**}$). Similar results were found in the vicinity of *abc257* marker on chromosome 1H and *5LTR2/Nikita-150 and awwm1-5* markers on chromosome 5H. It can be assumed that the genes in these regions, controlling *HI*, *RWC*, SL, GY, and BY are expressed under normal condition, but their expression is inhibited in the saline condition. The QTL(s) common for some traits were also Reference Reference

detected; for example, a QTL on chromosome 2H near *Vrs1* marker for TKW, BY and GS (both environments), PH (normal condition), GY (stress condition) and a QTL on chromosome 5H near *GBM1399* marker for DMA (normal environment), and BY (stress environment).

Different agronomic and physiological traits in barley are affected by salt stress. Understanding the genetic control of these traits could accelerate the improvement for salt tolerance. On the basis of this study, some markers could be utilized for monitoring these traits in barley breeding programs. Results showed that detected QTLs which can be useful for increasing yield under salinity stress (directly and indirectly) may be transferred to specific lines using the backcross method. Dudly (1993) and Zhou et al. (1999) proposed two methods for using identified QTLs in marker-assisted selection: (I) Identification of effective QTLs and transferring them to specific lines using backcrossing, and (II) Designing and pyramiding alleles of desired QTLs in a single genotype. For the validation of our results, the experiment should be repeated in other years, because the power of QTL detection can be affected by the genotype-environment interaction.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors would like to acknowledge Center of Excellence in Cereal Molecular Breeding, University of Tabriz, Iran for providing genotyping facility and Cereal Research Department of Seed and Plant Improvement Institute and South Khorasan Agricultural and Natural Resources Research Centre for field facility.

REFERENCES

1. Abid, M. A., Qayyum, A., Dasti, A. and Abdilwajid, R. 2001. Effect of Salinity and SAR of Irrigation Water on Yield, Physiological Growth Parameters of Maize and Properties of the Soil. J. Res. Sci., 12: 26-33.

- Aminfar, Z., Dadmehr, M., Korouzhdehi, B., Siahsar, B. and Heidari, M. 2011. Determination of Chromosomes that Control Physiological Traits Associated with Salt Tolerance in Barley at the Seedling Stage. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, **10**: 8794-8799.
- Beecher, B., Smidansky, D., See, D., Blake, T. K. and Giroux, M. 2001. Mapping and Sequence Analysis of Barley Hordoindolines. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, **102:** 833-840.
- Colmer, T. D., Munns, R. and Flowers, T. J. 2005. Improving Salt-Tolerance of Wheat and Barley: Future Prospects. *Aust. J. Exp. Agric.*, 45: 1425-1443.
- Dudly, J. W. 1993. Molecular Markers in Plant Improvement: Manipulation of Genes Affecting Quantitative Traits. *Crop Sci.*, 33: 660-668.
- Ebadi-Segherloo, A. 2013. Construction of Barley Doubled Haploid Population Microsatellite Linkage Map and Identification of Genetic Regions Associated with Agronomic Traits and Some Micronutrients Accumulation. Ph. D. Thesis, Faculty of Agriculture, University of Tabriz, Tabriz, Iran. (in Persian with English Abstract).
- EL-Hendawy, S. E., Ruan, Y., Hu, Y. and Schmidhalter, U. 2009. A Comparison of Screening Criteria for Salt Tolerance in Wheat under Field and Controlled Environmental Conditions. J. Agron. Crop Sci., 195: 356-367.
- FAO. 2016. FAO Soils Portal. Retrieved from: http://www.fao.org/soils-portal/soilmanagement/management-of-some-problemsoils/salt-affected-soils/more-information-onsalt-affected-soils/en/ in April, 2016.
- Forster, B. P., Ellis, R. P., Thomas, W. T. B., Newton, A. C., Tuberosa, R., This, D., El-Enein, R. A., Bahri, M. H. and Ben Salem, M. 2000. The Development and Application of Molecular Markers for Abiotic Stress Tolerance in Barley. J. Exp. Bot., 51: 19-27.
- 10. Haung, J. and Redman, R. E. 1995. Responses of Growth, Morphology and Anatomy to Salinity and Calcium Supply in Cultivated and Wild Barley. *Can. J. Bot.*, **73:** 1859-1866.
- Komatsuda, T., Pourkheirandish, M., He, C., Azhaguvel, P., Kanamori, H., Perovic, D., Stein, N., Graner, A., Wicker, T., Tagiri, A., Lundaqvist, U., Fujimura, T., Matsuoka, M., Matsumoto, T. and Yano, M. 2007. Six Rowed Barley Originated from a Mutation in a *Homeodomain-lucine Zipper I-class*

JAST

Homeobox Gene. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, **104:** 1424-1429.

- Li, J. Z., Haung, X. Q., Heinrichs, F. and Ganal, M. W. 2005. Analysis of QTLs for Yield, Yield Components and Malting Quality in a BC3-DH Population of Spring Barley. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 110: 356-363.
- 13. Lin, Y. R., Schertz, F. and Paterson, A. 1995. Comparative Aanalysis of QTLs Affecting Plant Height and Maturity across the Poaceae, in Reference to an Interspecific Sorghum Population. *Gene.*, **141:** 391-411.
- Marquez-Cedillo, L. A., Hayes, P. M., Kleinhof, A., Legge, W. G., Rossangel, B. G., Sato, K., Ullrich, S. E. and Wesenberg, D. M. 2001. QTL Analysis of Agronomic Traits in Barley Based on the Doubled Haploid Progeny of Two Elite North American Varieties Representing Different Germplasm Groups. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 103: 625-637.
- Mass, E. V. and Hoffman, G. J. 1977. Crop Salt Tolerance-current Assessment. J. Irri. Drain. Div. ASCE, 103: 115-134.
- Mer, P. K., Prajith, P. K., Pandya, D. H. and Pandey, A. N. 2000. Effect of Salts on Germination of Seeds and Growth of Young Plants of *Hordeum vulgare*, Triticum *aestivum*, *Cicer arietinum* and *Brassica juncea*. J. Agron. Crop Sci., 185: 209-217.
- Mohammadi, M., Taleei, A., Zeinali, H., Naghavi, M. R., Ceccarelli, S., Grando, S. and Baum, M. 2005. QTL Analysis for Phonologic Traits in Doubled Haploid Population of Barley. *Int. J. Agri. Biol.*, **7:** 820-823.
- Munns, R. and James, R. A. 2003. Screening Methods for Salinity Tolerance, a Case Study with Tetraploid Wheat. *Plant Soil*, 253: 201-218.
- Munns, R. and Tester, M. 2008. Mechanisms of Salinity Tolerance. *Annu. Rev. PlantBiol.*, 159: 651-681.
- Nguyen, V. L., Ribot, S. A., Dolstra, O., Niks, R. E., Visser, R. G. F. and van der Lindeh, C. G. 2013. Identification of Quantitative Trait Loci for Ion Homeostasis and Salt Tolerance in Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *Mol. Breed.*, **31**: 137-152.
- Paterson, A. H., Damon, S., Hewitt, J. D., Zamir, D., Rabinowitch, H. O., Linclon, S. E., Lander, E. S. and Tanksley, S. D. 1991. Mendelian Factors Underlying Quantitative Traits in Tomato: Comparison across Species, Generations and Environment. *Gene.*, **127**: 181-197.

- Peighambari, S. A., YazdiSamadi, B., Nabipour, A. R., Charmet, G. and Sarrafi, A. 2005. QTL Analysis for Agronomic Traits in a Barley Doubled Haploids Population Growth in Iran. *Plant Sci.*, **169**: 1008-1013.
- Ren, X., Sun, D., Sun, G., Li, C. and Dong, W. 2013. Molecular Detection of QTL for Agronomic and Quality Traits in a Doubled Haploid Barley Oopulation. *Aust. J. Crop Sci.*, 6: 878-886.
- Rivandi, J., Miyazaki, J., Hrmova, M., Pallotta, M., Tester, M. and Collins, N. C. 2011. A SOS3 Homologue Maps to HvNax4, a Barley Locus Controlling an Environmentally Sensitive Na⁺ Exclusion Trait. *J. Exp. Bot.*, 62: 1201–1216.
- 25. Sbei, H., Hammami, Z., Trifa,Y., Hamza, S. and Harrabi, M. 2012. Phenotypic Diversity Analysis for Salinity Tolerance of Tunisian Barley Populations (*Hordeum vulgare* L.). *J. Arid Land Stud.*, **22:** 57–60.
- Sbei, H., Sato, K., Shehzad, T., Harrabi, M. and Okuno, K. 2014. Detection of QTLs for Salt Tolerance in Asian Barley (*Hordeum* vulagre L.) Association Analysis with SNP Markers. *Breed. Sci.*, 64: 378-388.
- Shahinnia, F., Rezai, A., Sayed-Tabatabaei, B. E., Komatsuda, T. and Mohammadi, S. A. 2006. QTL Mapping of Heading Date and Plant Height in Barley Cross "Azmamugi×KantoNakate Gold". *Iran. J. Biotech.*, 4: 88-94.
- Shavrukov, Y., Gupta, N. K., Miyazaki, J., Baho, M. N., Chalmers, K. J., Tester, M., Langridge, P. and Collins, N. C. 2010. *HvNax3a* Locus Controlling Shoot Ssodium Exclusion Derived from Wild Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* ssp. spontaneum). *Funct. Integr. Genom.*, 10: 277–291.
- Siahsar, B. A. and Naroui, M. 2010. Mapping QTLs of Physiological Traits Associated with Salt Tolerance in Steptoe×Morex Doubled Haploid Lines of Barley at Seedling Stage. J. Food. Agric. Environ., 8: 751-759.
- Turuspekov, Y., Martin, J. M., Bowman, J. G. P., Beecher, B. S. and Giroux, M. J. 2008. Association between *Vrs1* Alleles and Grain Quality Traits in Spring Barley (*Hordeum vulgare* L.).*Cereal Chem.*, **6**: 817-823.
- 31. Voorrips, R. E. 2002. MapChart: Software for the Graphical Presentation of Linkage Maps and QTLs. *J. Hered.*, **93:** 77-78.
- Wang, S., Basten, C. J. and Zeng, Z. B. 2007. Windows QTL Cartographer V2.5. Department of Statistics, North Carolina State

University, Raleigh, NC. Available at: http://statgen.ncsu.edu/qtlcart/wQTLcart.htm.

- Xue, D., Haung, Y., Zhang, X., Wei, K., Westcott, S., Li, C., Chen, M., Zhang, G. and Lance, R. 2009. Identification of QTLs Associated with Salinity Tolerance at Late Growth Stage in Barley. *Euphytica*, 169: 187-196.
- Zhou, H., Briecero, G., Dovel, R., Hayes, P. M., Liu, B. H. and Ulrich, S. E. 1999. Molecular Breeding for Grain Yield in Barley: An Evaluation of QTL Effects in a Spring Barley. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, **98**: 772-779.
- Zhou, G., Johnson, P., Ryan, P. R., Delhaize, E. and Zhou, M. 2012. Quantitative Trait Loci for Salinity Tolerance in Barley (*Hordeum* vulgare L.). Mol. Breed., 29: 427-436.

شناسایی مکانهای ژنی مرتبط با صفات زراعی و فیزیولوژیکی در جو تحت شرایط تنش شوری

ع. براتی، م. مقدم، س. ا. محمدی، ح. ا. قزوینی، و ب. صادق زاده

چکیدہ

تحمل شوري يک صفت پيچيده ژنتيکي و زراعي مي باشد که توسط مکانهاي ژني صفات کمي کنترل می شود. به منظور مکان یایی QTLهای مرتبط با صفات زراعی و فیزیولوژیکی، ۱۴۹ لاین هایلوئید مضاعف حاصل از تلاقی Clipper (حساس به شوری) و Sahara 3771 (متحمل به شوری) در شرایط شوری طبيعي و نرمال با استفاده از چهارده صفت مورد ارزيابي قرار گرفتند. تجزيه QTL بر اساس روش مكان يابي فاصله ای مرکب و با استفاده از یک نقشه ژنتیکی که مشتمل بر ۵۱۷ نشانگر بود و ۱۵۰۲/۴ سانتی مورگان از ژنوم جو را پوشش داده بود، انجام پذیرفت. در مجموع هفتاد و هشت QTL برای صفات روز تا گلدهی، محتوی آب نسبی، محتوی کلروفیل، ارتفاع بوته، طول سنبله، روز تا رسیدن، عملکر د بیولوژیک، عملکر د دانه، شاخص برداشت، تعداد دانه در سنبله، وزن هزار دانه، محتوى سديم و پتاسيم و نسبت پتاسيم به سديم شناسائی شد که چهل QTL مربوط به محیط نرمال و سی و هشت QTL مربوط به محیط شور بود. اکثر QTLهای شناسائی شده بر روی کروموزم 2H قرار داشتند و تغییرات فنوتیبی توجیه شده توسط این QTLها بین ۳/۳ تا ۶۸/۶ درصد بود. بر روی کروموزوم 2H و نزدیک نشانگر Vrs1 یک QTL بزرگ در هر دو محبط نرمال و شور مشاهده شد که بر روی صفات بیوماس، تعداد دانه در سنبله، وزن هزار دانه، ارتفاع بو ته و عملکرد دانه تاثیر داشته و می تواند در برنامه های به نژادی جو برای افزایش تحمل به شوری با استفاده از گزینش به کمک نشانگر مورد استفاده قرار گیرد. تعدادی QTLهای پایدار برای صفات روز تا گلدهی، بیوماس، طول سنبله، تعداد دانه در سنبله، وزن هزار دانه و محتوی پتاسیم نیز QTLهایی شناسائی شدند که می توانند در برنامه های به نژادی مورد استفاده قرار گیرند.