

Mitochondrial Genetic Diversity in the Bird Cherry-Oat Aphid *Rhopalosiphum padi* (L.) in China

K. Wang¹, G. M. Liu¹, C. M. Song², S. Su¹ and M. H. Chen^{1*}

ABSTRACT

Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA) is an important genetic marker in population and evolutionary biology. To test the feasibility of two mitochondrial gene markers (COI and Cytb) for *Rhopalosiphum padi*, we collected 275 individuals of the insect species from 15 locations, which cover most of the species' distribution range in China, and analyzed the diversity of the two genes. Seven COI haplotypes and 15 Cytb haplotypes were identified by 13 and 36 polymorphic sites, respectively. Across the entire samples, the average haplotype diversities (H_d) of COI and Cytb were 0.491 and 0.607, and the nucleotide diversities (π) of COI and Cytb were 0.147% and 0.160%, respectively. Relatively low levels of genetic diversity and genetic differentiation were observed among all *R. padi* populations based on the two genes. Moreover, parsimony networks of the COI and Cytb haplotypes of *R. padi* all supported a single clade. Although the nucleotide variation of mitochondrial genes has been used in other insect species, reviewing the recent literatures on mitochondrial diversity in aphid species, we found that the population and evolutionary biology of aphids including *R. padi*, could not be elucidated by analyzing mtDNA alone, mostly because of the low genetic variation of mitochondrial genetic markers among populations. We suggest the combined use of mtDNA and other genetic markers, such as microsatellites, to overcome the low genetic information provided by mtDNA in evolutionary studies on aphid populations.

Keywords: COI, Cytb, Gene markers, mtDNA, Population biology.

INTRODUCTION

Population genetics has undergone considerable progress over the past decades. Population biology can effectively reveal the micro-evolution and ecological adaptation strategies of insect pests in agroecosystems (Harrison, 1989; Gueguen *et al.*, 2010; Zheng *et al.*, 2013). Generally, a good population genetic study starts with an appropriate genetic marker, including mitochondrial, multilocus nuclear, and single-locus nuclear markers, which are commonly used in molecular population

biology studies (Sunnucks, 2000). Mitochondrial DNA (mtDNA), representing a very small fraction of the organism's genome, is a popular marker of molecular diversity in animals. It has strict maternal transmission (Birky, 2001) with high mutation rates due to a limited repair system (Brown *et al.*, 1979). A simple, conserved structure (Mandal *et al.*, 2014), lack of genetic recombination, and relatively infrequent rearrangements are also characteristics of mtDNA (Mandal *et al.*, 2014). In natural environments, insect population genetics can be affected by various factors, including geographical

¹State Key Laboratory of Crop Stress Biology for Arid Areas, Key Laboratory of Crop Pest Integrated Pest Management on the Loess Plateau of Ministry of Agriculture, College of Plant Protection, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, People Republic of China.

² Technology Centre of China Tobacco Yunnan Industrial Co., Ltd., Kunming, Yunnan 650106, People Republic of China.

* Corresponding author; e-mail: maohua.chen@nwsuaf.edu.cn



distance, migration, the host plant, reproductive mode, among others (Llewellyn *et al.*, 2003; Miller *et al.*, 2003; Duan *et al.*, 2017). Native insects usually have high genetic diversity, while invasive and/or exotic species often show reduced genetic diversity (Puillandre *et al.*, 2008; Li *et al.*, 2015).

The bird cherry-oat aphid, *Rhopalosiphum padi* (L.), is an important wheat pest (Van Emden and Harrington, 2007) affecting yield and quality, as it can transmit the Barley Yellow Dwarf Virus (BYDV). This virus can become epidemic over a large area, leading to serious economic losses due to damage to wheat production (Leather *et al.*, 1989). *R. padi* has been distributed among most wheat-producing regions worldwide (Wang *et al.*, 2016) and has been reported in almost all wheat-growing regions in China (Duan *et al.*, 2017). Martinez-Torres *et al.* (1996; 1997) examined the genetic variation of *R. padi* among European populations and different breeding systems via restriction enzyme analysis of mtDNA. Our previous study using microsatellite marker assays showed significant genetic differences between populations with obligate parthenogenesis and those with cyclical parthenogenesis, as well as an association between significant genetic structures and different reproductive modes

(Duan *et al.*, 2017). These differences are also significantly related to the synergistic effect of Isolation-By-Distance (IBD) (Duan *et al.*, 2017). There are no previous studies on the mitochondrial genetics of *R. padi* in China.

In the present study, we aimed to evaluate the feasibility of using mtDNA markers for the study of *R. padi* population genetics, by selecting two mitochondrial genes [Cytochrome Oxidase subunit I (COI) and Cytochrome b (Cytb)], and analyzing mitochondrial genetic diversity of 15 *R. padi* geographical populations throughout the main wheat-producing regions of China revealed by these two genes. We also planned to discuss the possible factors involved in the limitation of mtDNA diversity in *R. padi* with regard to population and phylogeographic studies.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Insect Sampling

R. padi samples were collected from wheat fields (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in the major wheat-producing areas of China from May to August 2013 (Table 1). We sampled 15 *R. padi* geographical populations. To ensure the representativeness of the samples, only

Table 1. Sample information for 15 *R. padi* geographical populations in China.

| Province | Location | Population code | Sample size | Latitude | Longitude | Date |
|-----------|----------|-----------------|-------------|-----------|------------|------------|
| Chongqing | Beibei | CQB | 30 | 29° 49' N | 106° 25' E | 2013.04.02 |
| Henan | Nanyang | HNN | 19 | 33° 14' N | 112° 36' E | 2013.04.18 |
| Anhui | Chuzhou | AHC | 22 | 32° 21' N | 118° 20' E | 2013.04.21 |
| Hubei | Wuhan | HBW | 24 | 30° 29' N | 114° 19' E | 2013.04.14 |
| | Zaoyang | HBZ | 20 | 32° 08' N | 112° 47' E | 2013.04.16 |
| Shaanxi | Xianyang | SAX | 27 | 34° 17' N | 108° 05' E | 2013.07.18 |
| | Hanzhong | SAH | 21 | 33° 11' N | 107° 27' E | 2013.04.08 |
| Shandong | Heze | SDH | 18 | 35° 10' N | 115° 29' E | 2013.05.04 |
| | Zibo | SDZ | 21 | 37° 06' N | 118° 02' E | 2013.05.10 |
| Shanxi | Taigu | SXT | 22 | 37° 25' N | 112° 34' E | 2013.05.27 |
| | Hongtong | SXH | 23 | 36° 13' N | 111° 41' E | 2013.05.28 |
| Hebei | Baoding | HBB | 20 | 38° 49' N | 115° 26' E | 2013.06.07 |
| Jilin | Baicheng | JLB | 18 | 45° 39' N | 122° 52' E | 2013.07.10 |
| Qinghai | Xining | QHX | 18 | 36° 38' N | 101° 37' E | 2013.08.14 |
| Xizang | Lasa | XZL | 24 | 29° 38' N | 91° 02' E | 2013.08.10 |

one apterous adult aphid was collected per site, and the distance between each site was at least 30 m. Each geographical population consisted of at least 15 collection sites to obtain a sufficient number of aphids for the analyses. All samples were preserved in absolute ethanol and stored at -20°C prior to the study.

DNA Extraction

Genomic DNA was extracted from single aphid individual using the EasyPure™ Genomic DNA Kit (TransGen Biotech Co., Ltd., Beijing, China). DNA extraction was performed according to the bench protocol for animal tissues. DNA was eluted in deionized water and stored at -20°C .

PCR Amplification and Mitochondrial Gene Sequencing

Four mitochondrial gene-based primers were used in the analysis. The mitochondrial *COI* gene was amplified using the primers LepF (5'-ATTCAACCAATCATAAAGATATTGG-3') and LepR (5'-TAAACTTCTGGATGTCCAAAAAATCA-3') (Footit *et al.*, 2008) and the mitochondrial *Cytb* gene was amplified using the primers CP1 (5'-GATGATGAAATTTTGGATC-3') and CP2 (5'-CTAATGCAATAACTCCTCC-3') (Harry *et al.*, 1998).

All PCR amplifications were carried out in a total volume of 25 μL containing 12.5 μL 2X Taq Mastermix (CoWin Biotech., Beijing, China), 2.0 μL of each oligonucleotide primer (0.2 μM), 2 μL genomic DNA (10–30 $\text{ng } \mu\text{L}^{-1}$) and 6.5 μL ultra-pure water. The thermal profile consisted of an initial denaturation step at 94°C for 2 minutes, followed by 35 cycles of denaturation at 94°C for 30 seconds, annealing at 51°C (*COI*) or 48°C (*Cytb*) for 30 seconds, extension at 72°C for 1 minute, and a final extension at 72°C for 10 minutes. The

amplified fragments were electrophoresed on 1.0% agarose gels, checked under UV light and sequenced on the ABI 3730 automated DNA sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA).

Data Analysis

The sequencing data were aligned using ClustalX version 2.0 (Larkin *et al.*, 2007). The aligned *COI* and *Cytb* sequences were 585 and 666 bp in length, respectively. Population genetic parameters, including the number of haplotypes, number of polymorphic sites, π , and H_d , were calculated using the DnaSP, version 5.0, software (Librado and Rozas, 2009). Median-Joining (MJ) networks of mtDNA haplotypes were constructed using the Network software based on statistical parsimony (Bandelt *et al.*, 1999).

RESULTS

Sequence Variation

A statistical analysis using the *COI* gene (585 bp) and of the *Cytb* gene (666 bp) from 275 *R. padi* individuals revealed low levels of π among individuals and populations of *R. padi* in China. No insertions or deletions were found in either of the two mitochondrial genes. A total of 13 variable sites were inferred from the *COI* gene, three of which were parsimoniously informative sites, while the *Cytb* gene contained 36 variable sites, seven of which were parsimoniously informative sites.

Mitochondrial Gene Haplotypes

We obtained seven haplotypes for the *COI* gene (GenBank accession numbers KX827346–KX827352) and 15 haplotypes for the *Cytb* gene (GenBank accession numbers KX827353–KX827367). With regard to the geographical distribution of



COI haplotypes in China, H2, the most common haplotype, was shared by 186 individuals distributed in nearly all 15 geographical populations (except XZL). This haplotype was dominant in 11 *R. padi* geographical populations (Table 2). H1 was dominant in XZL, with an occupancy of 89.5% (17 of 19 individuals), while H3 was detected in 14 *R. padi* samples from QHX, with one individual characterized as haplotype H2. Moreover, the three common haplotypes H1, H2, and H3 shared only one or two polymorphic sites among them. The remaining haplotypes, i.e. H4, H5, H6, and H7 were found in single populations at low frequencies (one or two individuals). Except for H4 (one individual in XZL) with eight polymorphic sites compared with H1, there were few differences (Figure 1-A).

For the *Cytb* gene, H1 and H3 were dominant in the samples, with H1 detected in all *R. padi* populations and H3 in all 15 populations, except for XZL. H3 was the main haplotype in 11 populations. The main haplotype in populations JLB and XZL was H1, while haplotypes H2 and H6 were dominant in populations CQB and QHX, respectively, although each was only detected in its single population. Nucleotide comparisons of these dominant haplotypes (H1, H2, H3, and H6) in different *R. padi* populations showed only one or two mutation sites (Table 3). In addition, except for H9, which was found in three individuals from CQB and QHX, the remaining nine haplotypes were rare, represented by only 10 of the total 275 samples (Table 3).

Genetic Diversity

The average H_d values of COI, *Cytb* and the combined COI and *Cytb* partial sequences in the entire sample were 0.491, 0.607 and 0.689, respectively (data not shown). Among the populations of *R. padi*, the H_d of COI ranged from 0.000 (SXT) to 0.552 (SAH) (Table 4). For the *Cytb* gene, HBB had the lowest H_d (0.125), while QHX had the highest H_d (0.629) (Table 4). The haplotype diversity of the combined COI and *Cytb* partial sequences varied from 0.125 (SXT) to 0.743 (SAH) (Table 4). Compared with *Cytb*, the COI gene had a relatively lower H_d in both the total sample and in different geographical populations.

For π , COI varied from 0.000 (SXT) to 0.217% (SDH), *Cytb* from 0.019% (SXT) to 0.258% (SXH), and the combined COI and *Cytb* partial sequences ranged from 0.010% (SXT) to 0.218% (SXH) (Table 4). At the whole population level, the π values of COI, *Cytb* and the combined COI and *Cytb* partial sequences were 0.147%, 0.160% and 0.154%, respectively (data not shown). The various populations and mitochondrial markers both showed low levels of π .

Network of Mitochondrial Gene Haplotypes

The MJ network of COI haplotypes (Figure 1-A) revealed no apparent clades, and all haplotypes were grouped together.

Table 2. Distribution of the COI gene haplotypes in different *R. padi* geographical populations.^a

| H | Number of individuals from each population | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | CQB | HNN | AHC | HBW | HBZ | SAX | SAH | SDH | SDZ | SXT | SXH | HBB | JLB | QHX | XZL |
| H1 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 2 | | 6 | 6 | 4 | 4 | | 8 | 1 | 3 | | 17 |
| H2 | 17 | 15 | 15 | 21 | 15 | 18 | 13 | 5 | 11 | 16 | 12 | 14 | 13 | 1 | |
| H3 | 8 | | | 1 | | | 2 | | | | | | | 14 | 1 |
| H4 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| H5 | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | |
| H6 | | | | | 2 | | | | | | | | | | |
| H7 | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | |

^a Population codes are explained in Table 1. H: Haplotype.




Figure 1. Median-joining network based on mitochondrial gene haplotypes. (A) Statistical parsimony network of seven *R. padi* COI haplotypes. (B) Statistical parsimony network of 15 *R. padi* Cytb haplotypes. (C) Statistical parsimony network of 21 *R. padi* haplotypes for the combined COI and Cytb partial sequences. Each circle represents a haplotype, and the area of the circle is proportional to the number of observed individuals. Colors within the nodes refer to *R. padi* sampling regions. Each line indicates a single variable site or is given when there is more than one variable site.

Table 3. Distribution of the *Cytb* gene haplotypes in different *R. padi* geographical populations.^a

| H | Number of individuals from each population | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----|--|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|-----|
| | CQB | HNN | AHC | HBW | HBZ | SAX | SAH | SDH | SDZ | SXT | SXH | HBB | JLB | QHX | XZL |
| H1 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 16 |
| H2 | 14 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| H3 | 2 | 9 | 14 | 21 | 14 | 16 | 12 | 7 | 9 | 15 | 11 | 15 | 4 | 1 | |
| H4 | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| H5 | | | | | | | | | 2 | | | | | | |
| H6 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 9 | |
| H7 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 |
| H8 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 2 |
| H9 | 3 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 3 | |
| H10 | | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | |
| H11 | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | |
| H12 | | | | | | | | | | | | | 1 | | |
| H13 | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | | | | |
| H14 | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| H15 | | | 1 | | | | | | | | | | | | |

^a Population codes are explained in Table 1. H: Haplotype.

H2 was dominant in all populations, while the other haplotypes, which showed few genetic differences compared with H2, indicated no correlation with geographical

distribution. There was only one haplotype (H4) with any genetic distance, but it was only detected in a single sample from the

**Table 4.** Genetic diversity of 15 *R. padi* populations as revealed by mitochondrial *COI* and *Cytb* genes.^a

| PC ^a | N ^b | COI | | | | Cytb | | | | Combined gene ^g | | | |
|-----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|------------------------|------|----|-------|-----------|----------------------------|----|-------|-----------|
| | | H ^c | V ^d | Hd ^e | π (%) ^f | H | V | Hd | π (%) | H | V | Hd | π (%) |
| CQB | 26 | 3 | 2 | 0.495 | 0.094 | 4 | 4 | 0.643 | 0.164 | 6 | 6 | 0.677 | 0.131 |
| HNN | 16 | 2 | 2 | 0.125 | 0.043 | 4 | 3 | 0.617 | 0.106 | 5 | 5 | 0.650 | 0.077 |
| AHC | 20 | 2 | 2 | 0.395 | 0.135 | 3 | 2 | 0.468 | 0.074 | 4 | 4 | 0.489 | 0.103 |
| HUW | 24 | 3 | 2 | 0.236 | 0.066 | 2 | 1 | 0.228 | 0.034 | 3 | 3 | 0.236 | 0.049 |
| HUZ | 17 | 2 | 1 | 0.221 | 0.038 | 2 | 1 | 0.309 | 0.046 | 3 | 2 | 0.485 | 0.042 |
| SAX | 24 | 2 | 2 | 0.391 | 0.134 | 2 | 1 | 0.464 | 0.070 | 4 | 3 | 0.572 | 0.100 |
| SAH | 21 | 3 | 2 | 0.552 | 0.158 | 3 | 2 | 0.552 | 0.092 | 6 | 4 | 0.743 | 0.123 |
| SDH | 10 | 3 | 3 | 0.644 | 0.217 | 2 | 1 | 0.467 | 0.070 | 4 | 4 | 0.711 | 0.139 |
| SDZ | 15 | 2 | 2 | 0.419 | 0.143 | 3 | 2 | 0.590 | 0.100 | 3 | 4 | 0.590 | 0.120 |
| SXT | 16 | 1 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 2 | 1 | 0.125 | 0.019 | 2 | 1 | 0.125 | 0.010 |
| SXH | 20 | 2 | 2 | 0.505 | 0.173 | 3 | 13 | 0.563 | 0.258 | 3 | 15 | 0.563 | 0.218 |
| HBB | 16 | 3 | 4 | 0.242 | 0.085 | 2 | 1 | 0.125 | 0.019 | 3 | 5 | 0.228 | 0.047 |
| JLB | 16 | 2 | 2 | 0.325 | 0.111 | 3 | 2 | 0.492 | 0.079 | 4 | 4 | 0.692 | 0.094 |
| QHX | 15 | 2 | 1 | 0.133 | 0.023 | 5 | 4 | 0.629 | 0.192 | 5 | 5 | 0.629 | 0.113 |
| XZL | 19 | 3 | 9 | 0.205 | 0.162 | 3 | 14 | 0.292 | 0.235 | 4 | 23 | 0.380 | 0.201 |

^a Population Code; ^b Sample size of each population; ^c Number of haplotypes; ^d Number of polymorphic sites; ^e Haplotypes diversity; ^f Nucleotide diversity; ^g the combination of COI and Cytb partial sequences.

XZL population and was not very informative.

Similarly, the Cytb haplotype network (Figure 1-B), based on statistical parsimony, supported the existence of only one clade. The two most common haplotypes (H1 and H3) were shared by most populations and possessed only one polymorphic site. Four restricted haplotypes (H4, H5, H14, and H15) evolved from H3 with one polymorphic site each. Several haplotypes also evolved from H1, and four restricted haplotypes (H2, H8, H12, and H13) each shared only one polymorphic site with H1. In addition, a small haplotype group (H6, H9, and H10) found mostly in QHX, also evolved from H1 with several polymorphic sites (≤ 3 sites). Two restricted haplotypes (H7 and H11) were genetically distant from H1, with 13 and 12 polymorphic sites, respectively, and despite being similar to haplotype H4 in terms of the *COI* gene, they were found only in a single sample and were not very informative. For the haplotypes of the combined *COI* and *Cytb* partial

sequences, all the haplotypes gathered together (Figure 1-C).

DISCUSSION

In this study, we explored the genetic diversity of 15 *R. padi* populations from most of the wheat-growing areas in China using mtDNA markers. The low level of genetic variation in *R. padi* was corroborated by the low degree of H_d and π based on the *COI* and *Cytb* partial sequences. Moreover, we found that the seven *COI* haplotypes and 15 *Cytb* haplotypes from the 275 examined individuals were clustered together for the most part, with no clear clade structure. Using the combined results for *COI* and *Cytb* partial sequences, we can infer that the mitochondrial haplotypes of *R. padi* do not show obvious geographical distribution patterns. Every shared haplotype was distributed in various populations, rather than one population predominantly.

The π values of the *COI* and *Cytb* genes were 0.147% and 0.160%, respectively.

This provides powerful evidence of low mitochondrial polymorphism. There are several scenarios that may account for the low level of genetic variation in aphids. First, the mtDNA of aphids may be highly conserved with low divergence. A divergence of only 0.4% was found in the *COI* gene of the pea aphid, *Acyrtosiphon pisum* (Boulding, 1998), and a divergence of only 1.5% was found in the *COI* genes of *Sitobion miscanthi* and *S. avenae* (Sunnucks and Hales, 1996). Furthermore, phylogenetic studies based on barcoding sequences also revealed limited intraspecific genetic divergence among *Aphidinae* species (Lee *et al.*, 2011; Wang *et al.*, 2011). For *R. padi*, the average intraspecific divergence was only 0.61% among individuals collected from 11 countries (Rakauskas *et al.*, 2014).

Based on studies of genetic diversity in other aphids, low mitochondrial variability is common in most aphid species. Xu *et al.* (2011) sequenced part of the *COI* gene from 269 *S. avenae* individuals, collected from 17 geographical populations, and defined 16 haplotypes. Phylogenetic analysis also showed that all of these haplotypes were highly related to each other, with an absence of phylogeographical structure. Moreover, zero variation was found in a 332 bp sequence of the *COI* gene from 83 Russian wheat aphid species collected in the US between 1986 and 2006. Surprisingly, no new mtDNA haplotypes were found in the US over a 20-year period (Shufran *et al.*, 2007). Zhao (2014) found no differences in the mitochondrial *COI/II* genes from 27 *M. persicae* populations in China. Simon *et al.* (1996) found only three mtDNA haplotypes among 176 *R. padi* clones, while Martinez-Torres *et al.* (1996) found four mtDNA haplotypes in *R. padi*. Rakauskas *et al.* (2014) found four *COI* haplotypes in *R. padi* populations from the entire eastern Baltic region. In our study of two mitochondrial genes, *COI* and *Cytb*, only seven and 15 haplotypes, respectively, were found in 275 individuals collected from 15 geographical populations. Moreover, as in a study of *S. avenae* (Xu *et al.*, 2011), all haplotypes

indicated little genetic difference with no obvious geographical pattern. The very low genetic diversity among the samples does not allow for resolution of the genetic structure of *R. padi*.

There was a greater frequency of polymorphisms in *Cytb* than *COI*, based on the number of haplotypes and H_d . Thirteen insect mitochondrial protein-coding genes can be classified into three groups of good (ND4, ND5, ND2, *Cytb*, and *COI*), medium (COB, COIII, ND1, and ND6), and poor phylogenetic performers (ATPase6, ND3, ATPase 8, and ND4L) in terms of recovering the expected trees among phylogenetically distant relatives (Mandal *et al.*, 2014). *COI* is the best molecular marker for evolutionary studies in most insects, although in this study, *Cytb* performed slightly better than did *COI* in *R. padi*. The mitochondrial *COI* gene appears to be among the most conserved protein-coding genes in the mitochondrial genome, which may make it more suitable for DNA barcoding rather than genetic evolution in some insects, including aphids. Studies of *S. avenae* and *Diuraphis noxia* also demonstrated this. The *Cytb* sequence may be more effective and informative than the *COI* sequence in the study of aphid population genetics, especially within populations, as shown for the Lachninae (Chen *et al.*, 2012).

The haplotype network analysis of *COI* and *Cytb* all revealed no distinguishable cluster and no obvious geographical distribution among the *R. padi* haplotypes, similar to the distribution pattern of *Schlechtendalia chinensis* in China (Li, 2009). This type of haplotype distribution pattern is characterized as “phylogenetic continuity, lack of spatial separation”, with relatively extensive and recent historical interconnections through gene flow (Avisé *et al.*, 1987).

Migration may be one factor explaining the relatively low mitochondrial genetic diversity in *R. padi*. Radar detection of mass migration of aphids in Finland showed that *R. padi* was capable of long-range



migration, and this seasonal migration may affect the genetic structure of long-distance geographical populations (Nieminen *et al.*, 2000). With regard to flight behavior, many factors need to be considered, including winter temperatures, density of insects in the air, wind conditions, among others (Llewellyn *et al.*, 2003). Different environmental conditions also influence population differentiation, such as complex topography (mountains, unpopulated areas, and deserts), climate (arid or frigid), and different agricultural landscapes (Cardé and Minks, 1995), which may influence the differentiation of local *R. padi* populations.

The reproductive mode of aphids may also be a factor contributing to the low polymorphism frequency. Aphids have alternate pathways of adaptation to specific environments between sexual and asexual forms. The different lifecycles and fast rate of reproduction contribute to high rates of population increase and the success of aphids as a very successful group of organisms and as the most destructive insect pests (Afshari *et al.*, 2009; Loxdale, 2008; Kaldeh *et al.*, 2012). We previously showed that most *R. padi* populations in China are anholocyclic with only parthenogenic females, and a few populations were able to produce gynoparae, males, or eggs (Duan *et al.*, 2017). mtDNA exhibits strict maternal transmission with a limited repair system, and natural hybridization and introgression may result in the formation of new hybrid mitochondrial haplotypes (Shearer *et al.*, 2002). The reproductive mode can influence genetic diversity and genetic structure and lead to significant genetic differentiation. In France, significant genetic differentiation was found between sexual and asexual *R. padi* populations, with multilocus F_{ST} estimates ranging from 0.103 for allozymes to 0.144 for microsatellites (Delmotte *et al.*, 2002). The genetic variation in fitness of *R. padi* was higher in asexual genotypes compared with sexual genotypes (Carter *et al.*, 2012).

Symbionts can also influence the mtDNA diversity of the host. Hurst and Jiggins

(2005) reviewed the extent of symbiont shaping of mtDNA evolution, and most cases (17/19) indicated symbiont-driven decreases in mtDNA diversity, symbiont-driven increases in diversity, symbiont-driven changes in mtDNA variation over geographical areas, and symbiont-associated paraphyly of mtDNA. Once the host populations acquire one or more symbionts, patterns of mitochondrial polymorphism may be altered by natural selection. The selective sweeps of symbionts running through the population reduce mtDNA diversity, which is similar to the pattern produced by population bottlenecks (Hurst and Jiggins, 2005). *Wolbachia*-uninfected species were found to harbor more diverse mitochondria than host individuals of *Solenopsis invicta*, *S. richteri*, *Acraea encedon*, and *Drosophila recens* (Shoemaker *et al.*, 1999, 2004; Jiggins, 2003). Aphids, as a model system for the study of insect-bacterium interactions, have been particularly well studied with regard to an obligate symbiont (*Buchnera aphidicola*) and various facultative symbionts, including several commonly studied species: *Hamiltonella defensa*, *Regiella insecticola*, *Serratia symbiotica*, *Rickettsia*, *Spiroplasma*, X-type, *Arsenophonus*, and *Wolbachia*. We detected *S. symbiotica* and *Wolbachia* in some *R. padi* populations used in this study (Liu, 2014). Such single infections or co-infections may facilitate host-parasite coevolution, resulting in strong directional selection.

The plasticity of genetic diversity is also influenced by the host plant or family (Charaabi *et al.*, 2008; Carletto *et al.*, 2009). Unitary host selective pressure is not beneficial for genetic differentiation or diversity (Valentine, 1976). Genetic variability of the green citrus aphid *Aphis spiraecola* assessed by Random Amplified Polymorphic DNA (RAPD) and COI revealed that the host plant had a significant effect on the pattern of genetic diversity (Mezghani-Khemakhem *et al.*, 2012). Generally, the host plant of *R. padi* is wheat, although this species may use alternative

hosts in autumn. In fact, all *R. padi* individuals collected from wheat showed low host selection pressure on genetic variation. Recently, anthropogenic selective pressures have been taken into account, such as the development of resistant plants and/or the use of insecticides, which kill most individuals in the field, thereby reducing the genetic diversity of *Aphis gossypii* and *Myzus persicae* (Brévault *et al.*, 2008; Zamoum *et al.*, 2005). Genetic hitchhiking by advantageous genotypes could reduce π (Martínez-Torres *et al.*, 1997). Meanwhile, the impact of human activities, such as agricultural activities, variety selection, and pest management techniques, on genetic differentiation cannot be ignored (Chen *et al.*, 2007a, b; Lu and Gao, 2009). Human-aided dispersal may also accelerate differentiation. The global presence of the melon fly *Bactrocera cucurbitae* is associated with human-mediated dispersal, and the very low genetic variation may be related to large-scale management techniques (Prabhakar *et al.*, 2012).

R. padi is a notorious pest insect widely distributed throughout the wheat-growing regions of China. We analyzed the partial sequences of the mitochondrial *COI* and *Cytb* genes of *R. padi* to determine the extent and nature of the genetic variation in this species in China. We observed low levels of polymorphism in *R. padi* field populations. This phenomenon was also found in other aphids, such as *Aphis spiraecola*, *Diuraphis noxia*, and *Sitobion avenae*, indicating that mtDNA is not an effective or informative molecular marker. Evaluation of microsatellite markers in nuclear genes and single nucleotide polymorphisms at the genome level, together with next generation sequencing, may yield more promising molecular markers for population genetics.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This study was supported by grants of the National Natural Science Foundation of

China (Grants Nos. 31471766, 31272036). We thank Huimin Shen, Jin Huang, Geng Tao, Lijun Chen, Yunzhuan He, Shuya Tao, and Jinhua Yang for their help with the insect collection in this study.

REFERENCES

1. Afshari, A., Soleiman-Negadian, E. and Shishebor, P. 2009. Population Density and Spatial Distribution of *Aphis gossypii* Glover (Homoptera: Aphididae) on Cotton in Gorgan, Iran. *J. Agr. Sci. Tech.*, **11**: 27-38.
2. Avise, J. C., Arnold, J., Ball, R. M., Bermingham, E., Lamb, T., Neigel, J. E., Reeb, C. A. and Saunders, N. C. 1987. Intraspecific Phylogeography: the Mitochondrial DNA Bridge between Population Genetics and Systematics. *Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst.*, **18**: 489-522
3. Bandelt, H. J., Forster, P. and Röhl, A. 1999. Median-Joining Networks for Inferring Intraspecific Phylogenies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, **16**: 37-48.
4. Birky, Jr. C. W. 2001. The Inheritance of Genes in Mitochondria and Chloroplasts: Laws, Mechanisms, and Models. *Ann. Rev. Genet.*, **35**: 125-148.
5. Boulding, E. G. 1998. Molecular Evidence against Phylogenetically Distinct Host Races of the Pea Aphid (*Acyrtosiphon pisum*). *Genome*, **41**: 769-775.
6. Brévault, T., Carletto, J., Linderme, D. and Vanlerberghe-Masutti, F. 2008. Genetic Diversity of the Cotton Aphid *Aphis gossypii* in the Unstable Environment of a Cotton Growing Area. *Agric. For. Entomol.*, **10**: 215-223
7. Brown, W. M., George, M. and Wilson, A. C. 1979. Rapid Evolution of Animal Mitochondrial DNA. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*, **76**: 1967-1971.
8. Cardé, R. T. and Minks, A. K. 1995. Control of Moth Pests by Mating Disruption: Successes and Constraints. *Ann. Rev. Entomol.*, **40**: 559-585.
9. Carletto, J., Lombaert, E., Chavigny, P., Brévault, T., Lapchin, L. and Vanlerberghe-Masutti, F. 2009. Ecological Specialization of the Aphid *Aphis gossypii* Glover on Cultivated Host Plants. *Mol. Ecol.*, **18**: 2198-2212.



10. Carter, M. J., Simon, J. C. and Nespolo, R. F. 2012. The Effects of Reproductive Specialization on Energy Costs and Fitness Genetic Variances in Cyclical and Obligate Parthenogenetic Aphids. *Ecol. Evol.*, **2**: 1414-1425.
11. Charaabi, K., Carletto, J., Chavigny, P., Marrakchi, M., Makni, M. and Vanlerberghe-Masutti, F. 2008. Genotypic Diversity of the Cotton-Melon Aphid *Aphis gossypii* (Glover) in Tunisia is Structured by Host Plants. *Bull. Entomol. Res.*, **98**: 333-341.
12. Chen, M. H., Han, Z. J., Qiao, X. F. and Qu, M. J. 2007a. Resistance Mechanisms and Associated Mutations in *Acetylcholinesterase* Genes in *Sitobion avenae* (Fabricius). *Pestic. Biochem. Phys.*, **87**: 189-195.
13. Chen, M. H., Han, Z. J., Qiao, X. F. and Qu, M. J. 2007b. Mutations in *Acetylcholinesterase* Genes of *Rhopalosiphum padi* Resistant to Organophosphate and Carbamate Insecticides. *Genome.*, **50**: 172-179.
14. Chen, R., Jiang, L. Y. and Qiao, G. X. 2012. The Effectiveness of Three Regions in Mitochondrial Genome for Aphid DNA Barcoding: A Case in Lachninae. *PLoS ONE*, **7**: e46190.
15. Delmotte, F., Leterme, N., Gauthier, J. P., Rispe, C. and Simon, J. C. 2002. Genetic Architecture of Sexual and Asexual Populations of the Aphid *Rhopalosiphum padi* Based on Allozyme and Microsatellite Markers. *Mol. Ecol.*, **11**: 711-723.
16. Duan, X. L., Peng, X., Qiao, X. F. and Chen, M. H. 2017. Life Cycle and Population Genetics of Bird Cherry-Oat Aphids *Rhopalosiphum padi* in China: An Important Pest on Wheat Crops. *J. Pest Sci.*, **90**: 103-116.
17. Footitt, R. G., Maw, H. E. L., Von Dohlen, C. D. and Hebert, P. D. N. 2008. Species Identification of Aphids (Insecta: Hemiptera: Aphididae) through DNA Barcodes. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.*, **8**: 1189-1201.
18. Gueguen, G., Vavre, F., Gnankine, O., Peterschmitt, M., Charif, D., Chiel, E., Gottlieb, Y., Ghanim, M., Zchori-Fein, E. and Fleury, F. 2010. Endosymbiont Metacommunities, mtDNA Diversity and the Evolution of the *Bemisia tabaci* (Hemiptera: Aleyrodidae) Species Complex. *Mol. Ecol.*, **19**: 4365-4376.
19. Harrison, R. G. 1989. Animal Mitochondrial DNA as a Genetic Marker in Population and Evolutionary Biology. *Trend. Ecol. Evol.*, **4**: 6-11.
20. Harry, M., Solignac, M. and Lachaise, D. 1998. Molecular Evidence for Parallel Evolution of Adaptive Syndromes in Fig-Breeding *Lissocephala* (Drosophilidae). *Mol. Phylogen. Evol.*, **9**: 542-551.
21. Hurst, G. D. and Jiggins, F. M. 2005. Problems with Mitochondrial DNA as a Marker in Population, Phylogeographic and Phylogenetic Studies: The Effects of Inherited Symbionts. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. B: Biol. Sci.*, **272**: 1525-1534.
22. Jiggins, F. M. 2003. Male-Killing *Wolbachia* and Mitochondrial DNA: Selective Sweeps, Hybrid Introgression and Parasite Population Dynamics. *Genet.*, **164**: 5-12.
23. Kaldeh, S., Rahimi, Hosseini, R., Hajizadeh, J. and Sohani, M. M. 2012. Molecular Identification and Detection of *Lysiphlebus fabarum* (Hym.: Braconidae): A Key Parasitoid of Aphids, by Using Polymerase Chain Reaction. *J. Agr. Sci. Tech.*, **14**: 1453-1463.
24. Larkin, M. A., Blackshields, G., Brown, N. P., Chenna, R., McGettigan, P. A., McWilliam, H., Valentin, F., Wallace, I. M., Wilm, A., Lopez, R., Thompson, J. D., Gibson, T. J. and Higgins, D. G. 2007. Clustal W and Clustal X Version 2.0. *Bioinforma.*, **23**: 2947-2948.
25. Leather, S. R., Walters, K. A. and Dixon, A. G. 1989. Factors Determining the Pest Status of the Bird Cherry-Oat Aphid, *Rhopalosiphum padi* (L.) (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in Europe: A Study and Review. *Bull. Entomol. Res.*, **79**: 345-360.
26. Lee, W., Kim, H., Lim, J. Choi, H. R., Kim, Y., Kim, Y. S., Ji, J. Y., Footitt, R.G. and Lee, S. 2011. Barcoding Aphids (Hemiptera: Aphididae) of the Korean Peninsula: Updating the Global Data Set. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.*, **11**: 32-37.
27. Li, J. B. 2009. Population Genetic Diversity and Phylogeography of Chinese Sumac Aphid *Schlechtendalia chinensis* Based on mtDNA Sequence. Master Thesis, Shanxi University, Taiyuan, China.
28. Li, Y. T., Duan, X. L., Qiao, X. F., Li, X. Y., Men Q. L. and Chen, M. H. 2015.

- Mitochondrial DNA Revealed the Extent of Genetic Diversity and Invasion Origin of Populations from Two Separate Invaded Areas of a Newly Invasive Pest, *Cydia pomonella* (L.) (Lepidoptera: Tortricidae) in China. *Bull. Entomol. Res.*, **105**: 485-496.
29. Librado, P. and Rozas, J. 2009. DnaSP v5: A Software for Comprehensive Analysis of DNA Polymorphism Data. *Bioinforma.*, **25**: 1451-1452.
30. Liu, G. M. 2014. Facultative Endosymbiont Detection and Mitochondrial Gene Polymorphism of the Bird Cherry-Oat Aphid, *Rhopalosiphum padi*. Master Thesis, Northwest A&F University, Yangling, China.
31. Llewellyn, K. S., Loxdale, H. D., Harrington, R., Brookes, C. P., Clark, S. J. and Sunnucks, P. 2003. Migration and Genetic Structure of the Grain Aphid (*Sitobion avenae*) in Britain Related to Climate and Clonal Fluctuation as Revealed Using Microsatellites. *Mol. Ecol.*, **12**: 21-34.
32. Loxdale, H. D. 2008. The Nature and Reality of the Aphid Clone: Genetic Variation, Adaptation and Evolution. *Agric. For. Entomol.* **10**: 81-90.
33. Lu, Y. H. and Gao, X. W. 2009. Multiple Mechanisms Responsible for Differential Susceptibilities of *Sitobion avenae* (Fabricius) and *Rhopalosiphum padi* (Linnaeus) to Pirimicarb. *Bull. Entomol. Res.*, **99**: 611-617.
34. Mandal, S. D., Chhakchhuak, L., Gurusubramanian, G. and Kumar, N. S. 2014. Mitochondrial Markers for Identification and Phylogenetic Studies in Insects: A Review. *DNA Barcodes*, **2**: 1-9.
35. Martinez-Torres, D., Simon, J. C., Fereres, A. and Moya, A. 1996. Genetic Variation in Natural Populations of the Aphid *Rhopalosiphum padi* as Revealed by Maternally Inherited Markers. *Mol. Ecol.*, **5**: 659-669.
36. Martinez-Torres, D., Moya, A., Hebert, P. D. N. and Simon, J. C. 1997. Geographic Distribution and Seasonal Variation of Mitochondrial DNA Haplotypes in the Aphid *Rhopalosiphum padi* (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *Bull. Entomol. Res.*, **87**: 161-167.
37. Mezghani-Khemakhem, M., Bouktila, D., Kharrat, I., Makni, M. and Makni, H. 2012. Genetic Variability of Green Citrus Aphid Populations from Tunisia, Assessed by RAPD Markers and Mitochondrial DNA Sequences. *Entomol. Sci.*, **15**: 171-179.
38. Miller, N. J., Birley, A. J., Overall, A. D. J. and Tatchell, G. M. 2003. Population Genetic Structure of the Lettuce Root Aphid, *Pemphigus bursarius* (L.), in Relation to Geographic Distance, Gene Flow and Host Plant Usage. *Hered.*, **91**: 217-223.
39. Nieminen, M., Leskinen, M. and Helenius, J. 2000. Doppler Radar Detection of Exceptional Mass-Migration of Aphids into Finland. *Int. J. Biometeorol.*, **44**: 172-181.
40. Prabhakar, C. S., Mehta, P. K., Sood, P., Singh, S. K., Sharma, P. and Sharma, P. N. 2012. Population Genetic Structure of the Melon Fly, *Bactrocera cucurbitae* (Coquillett) (Diptera: Tephritidae) Based on Mitochondrial *Cytochrome Oxidase* (COI) Gene Sequences. *Genetica*, **140**: 83-91.
41. Puillandre, N., Dupas, S., Dangles, O., Zeddani, J. L., Capdevielle-Dulac, C., Barbin, K., Torres-Leguizamon, M. and Silvain, J. F. 2008. Genetic Bottleneck in Invasive Species: the Potato Tuber Moth Adds to the List. *Biol. Invasion.*, **10**: 319-333.
42. Rakauskas, R., Havelka, J. and Bernotienė, R. 2014. Mitochondrial (COI) and Nuclear (EF-1 α) DNA Variability of *Rhopalosiphum padi* and *Rhopalosiphum nymphaeae* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in Lithuania. *Biologia*, **69**: 1730-1741.
43. Shearer, T. L., Van Oppen, M. J. H., Romano, S. L. and Wörheide, G. 2002. Slow Mitochondrial DNA Sequence Evolution in the Anthozoa (Cnidaria). *Mol. Ecol.*, **11**: 2475-2487.
44. Shoemaker, D. D., Dyer, K. A., Ahrens, M., McAbee, K. and Jaenike, J. 2004. Decreased Diversity but Increased Substitution Rate in Host mtDNA as a Consequence of a *Wolbachia* Endosymbiont Infection. *Genet.*, **168**: 2049-2058.
45. Shoemaker, D. D., Katju, V. and Jaenike, J. 1999. *Wolbachia* and the Evolution of Reproductive Isolation between *Drosophila recens* and *Drosophila subquinaria*. *Evol.*, **53**: 1157-1164.
46. Shufran, K. A., Kirkman, L. R. and Puterka, G. J. 2007. Absence of



- Mitochondrial DNA Sequence Variation in Russian Wheat Aphid (Hemiptera: Aphididae) Populations Consistent with a Single Introduction into the United States. *J. Kansas Entomol. Soc.*, **80**: 319-326.
47. Simon, J. C., Martinez-Torres, D., Latorre, A., Moya, A. and Hebert, P. D. N. 1996. Molecular Characterization of Cyclic and Obligate Parthenogens in the Aphid *Rhopalosiphum padi* (L.). *Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond. B: Biol. Sci.*, **263**: 481-486.
48. Sunnucks, P. 2000. Efficient Genetic Markers for Population Biology. *Trend. Ecol. Evol.*, **15**: 199-203.
49. Sunnucks, P. and Hales, D. 1996. Numerous Transposed Sequences of Mitochondrial Cytochrome Oxidase I-II in Aphids of the Genus *Sitobion* (Hemiptera: Aphididae). *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, **13**: 510-524.
50. Valentine, J. W. 1976. Genetic Strategies of Adaptation. In: "Molecular Evolution", (Ed.): Ayala, F. J. Sinauer, Sunderland, Massachusetts, PP. 78-94.
51. Van Emden, H. F and Harrington, R. 2007. *Aphids as Crop Pests*. CABI Publishing, London.
52. Wang, J. F., Jiang, L. Y. and Qiao, G. X. 2011. Use of a Mitochondrial COI Sequence to Identify Species of the Subtribe Aphidina (Hemiptera, Aphididae). *ZooKeys*, **122**: 1-17.
53. Wang, K., Peng, X., Zuo, Y. Y., Li, Y. T and Chen, M. H. 2016. Molecular Cloning, Expression Pattern and Polymorphisms of NADPH-Cytochrome P450 Reductase in the Bird Cherry-Oat Aphid *Rhopalosiphum padi* (L.). *PLoS ONE*, **11**: e0154633.
54. Xu, Z. H., Chen, J. L., Cheng, D. F., Liu, Y. and Francis, F. 2011. Genetic Variation among the Geographic Population of the Grain Aphid, *Sitobion avenae* (Hemiptera: Aphididae) in China Inferred from Mitochondrial *COI* Gene Sequence. *Agric. Sci. China*, **10**: 1041-1048.
55. Zamoum, T., Simon, J. C., Crochard, D., Ballanger, Y., Lapchin, L., Vanlerberghe-Masutti, F. and Guillemaud, T. 2005. Does Insecticide Resistance Alone Account for the Low Genetic Variability of Asexually Reproducing Populations of the Peach-Potato Aphid *Myzus persicae*? *Hered.*, **94**: 630-639.
56. Zhao, C. 2014. Study on Differentiation of *Myzus persicae* on Tobacco in China. Master Thesis, China Academy of Agriculture Science, Beijing, China.
57. Zheng, Y., Peng, X., Liu, G. M., Pan, H. Y., Dorn, S. and Chen, M. H. 2013. High Genetic Diversity and Structured Populations of the Oriental Fruit Moth in Its Range of Origin. *PLoS ONE*, **8**: e78476.

تنوع ژنتیکی در میتوکوندری شته *Rhopalosiphum* Bird Cherry-Oat Aphid در چین *padi* (L.)

ک. وانگ، گ. م. لین، س. م. سونگ، س. سو، و م. ه. چن

چکیده

دی.ان.ای میتوکندریا (mtDNA) نشانگر ژنتیکی مهمی در زیست شناسی جمعیتی و تکاملی به شمار می رود. به منظور آزمون امکان سنجی از دو نشانگر ژن میتوکندریایی (COI و Cytb) برای *Rhopalosiphum padi*، ما ۲۷۵ نمونه از این گونه حشره را از ۱۵ محل که شامل بیشتر مناطق وگستره پراکنش تحت پوشش این حشره در چین بود جمع آوری کردیم و تنوع ژن های مزبور را تجزیه و تحلیل کردیم. در نتیجه، ۷ هپلو تیپ (تک جور) COI و ۱۵ هپلو تیپ Cytb، به ترتیب، ۱۳ و

۳۶ مکان پلی مورفیک شناسایی شد. در همه نمونه ها میانگین تنوع هپلوتیپ (H_d) مربوط به COI و Cytb به ترتیب ۰/۴۹۱ و ۰/۶۰۷ بود و برای تنوع نوکلئوتید (π) مربوط به COI و Cytb برابر ۰/۱۴۷ و ۰/۱۶۰ بود. میتوان گفت که بر مبنای این دو ژن، در میان همه جامعه *R. padi* سطح تنوع ژنتیکی و تمایز ژنتیکی نسبتاً پایین بود. افزون بر این، شبکه های parsimony هپلوتیپ های COI و Cytb در *R. padi* همگی از یک هم نیای واحد (single clade) بود. هرچند تغییرات نوکلئوتید ژن های میتوکوندریایی در حشرات دیگر به کار برده شده، با بررسی منابع علمی جدید در مورد تنوع میتوکوندری در گونه شته آشکار شد که زیست شناسی جمعیتی و تکاملی شته ها منجمله *R. padi* را نمی توان تنها با تحلیل mtDNA روشن ساخت و این امر بیشتر به علت کم بودن تنوع ژنتیکی مربوط به نشانگر های ژنتیکی میتوکوندری در جامعه است. به این قرار، پیشنهاد می شود که در بررسی های تکاملی روی جمعیت های شته، برای جبران مشکل کمبود اطلاعات ژنتیکی به دست آمده از mtDNA، علاوه بر mtDNA از نشانگر های دیگر مانند ریزماهوره ها نیز استفاده شود.