

## COFINITENESS OF LOCAL COHOMOLOGY BASED ON A NON-CLOSED SUPPORT DEFINED BY A PAIR OF IDEALS

A. TEHRANIAN \* AND A. POUR ESHMANAN TALEMI

Communicated by Siamak Yassemi

ABSTRACT. Let  $I, J$  be ideals of a commutative Noetherian ring  $R$  and let  $t$  be a non-negative integer. Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module such that  $\text{Ext}_R^t(R/I, M)$  is a finite  $R$ -module. If  $t$  is the first integer such that the local cohomology module with respect to  $(I, J)$  is non- $(I, J)$ -cofinite, then we show that  $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M))$  is finite. Also, we study the finiteness of  $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M))$ , for  $i = 1, 2$ . In addition, for a finite  $R$ -module  $M$ , we show that the associated primes of  $H_{I,J}^t(M)$  have an equal grade, when  $t = \inf\{i | H_{I,J}^i(M) \neq 0\}$ .

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper,  $R$  is a commutative Noetherian ring and  $I, J$  are ideals of  $R$ . The generalized local cohomology module with respect to a pair of ideals  $I, J$  of  $R$  is introduced by Takahashi-Yoshino [12].

We are concerned with the subsets

$$W(I, J) = \{ p \in \text{Spec}(R) | I^n \subseteq p + J, \text{ for an integer } n \gg 1 \}$$

MSC(2010): Primary: 13D45, 13D22; Secondary: 13E99, 13D07.

Keywords: Local cohomology, cofinite module, associated prime.

Received: 29 June 2009, Accepted: 29 August 2009.

\*Corresponding author

© 2010 Iranian Mathematical Society.

of  $\text{Spec}(R)$  and  $\tilde{W}(I, J) = \{a \trianglelefteq R \mid I^n \subseteq a + J, \text{ for an integer } n \gg 1\}$ . In general,  $W(I, J)$  is closed under specialization, but not necessarily a closed subset of  $\text{Spec}(R)$ . For an  $R$ -module  $M$ , we consider the  $(I, J)$ -torsion submodule  $\Gamma_{I,J}(M)$  of  $M$  which consists of all elements  $x$  of  $M$  with  $\text{Supp}(Rx) \subseteq W(I, J)$ . Furthermore, for an integer  $i$ , we define the local cohomology functor  $H_{I,J}^i(-)$  with respect to  $(I, J)$  to be the  $i$ -th right derived functor of  $\Gamma_{I,J}(-)$ . Note that if  $J = 0$ , then  $H_{I,J}^i(-)$  coincides with the ordinary local cohomology functor  $H_I^i(-)$ , with the support in the closed subset  $V(I)$ . On the other hand, if  $J$  contains  $I$ , then  $\Gamma_{I,J}$  is the identity functor and  $H_{I,J}^i(-) = 0$ , for  $i > 0$ .

There are many questions about classical local cohomology modules. In particular, Grothendieck proposed the following conjecture.

**CONJECTURE 1.** Let  $M$  be a finite module over a ring  $R$ , and let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Then, the module  $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_I^j(M))$  is finite, for all  $j \geq 0$ .

Hartshorne later refined this conjecture, and proposed the following one.

**CONJECTURE 2.** Let  $M$  be a finite  $R$ -module, and let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$ . Then,  $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, H_I^j(M))$  is finite, for every  $i \geq 0$  and  $j \geq 0$ .

Using the derived category, Hartshorne showed that if  $M$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module, where  $R$  is a complete regular local ring, then  $H_I^j(M)$  is  $I$ -cofinite in two cases:

- (i)  $I$  is non-zero principal ideal.
- (ii)  $I$  is a prime ideal with dimension 1.

Kawasaki [9] proved (i) for any Noetherian ring and Marley-Delfino [1] proved (ii) for any Noetherian ring.

In Section 2, we study the finiteness condition of  $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, H_{I,J}^j(M))$ , for  $i = 0, 1, 2$ . More precisely, we show the following.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $t$  be a non-negative integer. Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module such that  $\text{Ext}_R^t(R/I, M)$  is a finite  $R$ -module and  $H_{I,J}^i(M)$  is  $(I, J)$ -cofinite, for every  $i < t$ . If  $N \subseteq H_{I,J}^t(M)$  is such that  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, N)$  is finite, then  $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M)/N)$  is a finite  $R$ -module.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $t$  be a non-negative integer. Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module such that  $H_{I,J}^i(M)$  is  $(I, J)$ -cofinite, for every  $i < t$ . Then, the following statements hold.

- (a) If  $\text{Ext}_R^{t+1}(R/I, M)$  is a finite  $R$ -module, then  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M))$  is finite.
- (b) If  $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M)$  is finite, for all  $i \geq 0$ , then  $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^{t+1}(M))$  is finite if and only if  $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M))$  is finite.

We recall that an important problem in commutative algebra is determining the set of associated primes of local cohomology modules. Huneke [8] raised the following conjecture: If  $M$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module, then the set of associated primes of  $H_I^i(M)$  is finite, for every ideals  $I$  of  $R$  and every  $i \geq 0$ . Singh [11] gives a counter-example to this conjecture. On the other hand, Brodmann and Lashgari [2] have shown that the first non-finite local cohomology module  $H_I^i(M)$  of a finite module  $M$  has only finitely many associated primes. Also, Dibaei and Yassemi [5], by using cofiniteness, found a condition for finiteness of associated primes of local cohomology.

In Section 3, we study the above results for local cohomology with respect to a pair of ideals  $I, J$  of  $R$  and as a consequence of Theorem 2.3, We show that the set of associated primes of local cohomology are finite. Also, we prove that all associated prime ideals of the first non-zero local cohomology module have an equal grade.

## 2. Cofiniteness

**Definition 2.1.** An  $R$ -module  $M$  is called  $(I, J)$ -cofinite if  $\text{Supp}(M) \subseteq W(I, J)$  and  $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M)$  is a finite  $R$ -module, for every  $i \geq 0$ .

**Remark 2.2.** Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module and let  $E$  be the injective hull of the  $R$ -module  $M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M)$ . Let  $L = E/(M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M))$ . Since  $\text{AssHom}_R(R/I, E) = V(I) \cap \text{Ass}(E) \subseteq W(I, J) \cap \text{Ass}(M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M)) = \emptyset$ , the modules  $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, E)$  and  $\Gamma_{I,J}(E)$  are zero. Also, from the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M) \longrightarrow E \longrightarrow L \longrightarrow 0,$$

by applying  $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, -)$ , we have  $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, L) \cong \text{Ext}_R^{i+1}(R/I, M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M))$  and  $H_{I,J}^i(L) \cong H_{I,J}^{i+1}(M)$ , for every  $i \geq 0$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $t$  be a non-negative integer. Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module such that  $\text{Ext}_R^t(R/I, M)$  is a finite  $R$ -module and  $H_{I,J}^i(M)$  is  $(I, J)$ -cofinite, for every  $i < t$ . If  $N \subseteq H_{I,J}^t(M)$  is such that  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, N)$  is finite, then  $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M)/N)$  is a finite  $R$ -module.*

**Proof.** First assume that  $N = 0$ . We use induction on  $t$ . Let  $t = 0$ . Then,  $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, \Gamma_{I,J}(M))$  is equal to the finite  $R$ -module  $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, M)$ .

Suppose that  $t > 0$  and the case  $t - 1$  is settled. Since  $\Gamma_{I,J}(M)$  is  $(I, J)$ -cofinite,  $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, \Gamma_{I,J}(M))$  is finite, for every  $i$ . By using the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_{I,J}(M) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M) \longrightarrow 0,$$

we get that  $\text{Ext}_R^t(R/I, M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M))$  is finite. Now, by Remark 2.2, the  $R$ -module  $\text{Ext}_R^t(R/I, L)$  is finite and  $H_{I,J}^i(L)$  is  $(I, J)$ -cofinite for every  $i < t - 1$ . Thus, by induction hypothesis,  $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^{t-1}(L))$  is finite, which implies that  $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M))$  is finite.

Now, assume that  $N \neq 0$ . By considering the exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow N \longrightarrow H_{I,J}^t(M) \longrightarrow H_{I,J}^t(M)/N \longrightarrow 0,$$

and applying  $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, -)$  to that, we obtain the exact sequence

$$\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M)) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M)/N) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, N).$$

Since the left hand (by case  $N = 0$ ) and the right hand sides are finite, we have that  $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M)/N)$  is finite.

The next result was shown by Dibaei and Yassemi in [5], and it generalized [2, Theorem 2.2]

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let  $I$  be an ideal of a Noetherian ring  $R$ . Let  $t$  be a non-negative integer. Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module such that  $\text{Ext}_R^t(R/I, M)$  is a finite  $R$ -module. If  $H_I^i(M)$  is  $I$ -cofinite, for all  $i < t$ , then  $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_I^t(M))$  is finite.*

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let  $t$  be a non-negative integer. Let  $M$  be an  $R$ -module such that  $H_{I,J}^i(M)$  is  $(I, J)$ -cofinite, for all  $i < t$ . Then, the following statements hold:*

- (a) *If  $\text{Ext}_R^{t+1}(R/I, M)$  is a finite  $R$ -module, then  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M))$  is finite.*

- (b) If  $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M)$  is finite, for all  $i \geq 0$ , then  $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^{t+1}(M))$  is finite if and only if  $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M))$  is finite.

**Proof.** (a) We use induction on  $t$ . Let  $t = 0$ . Then, the short exact sequence

$$(*) \quad 0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_{I,J}(M) \longrightarrow M \longrightarrow M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M) \longrightarrow 0$$

implies that  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, \Gamma_{I,J}(M))$  is finite.

Suppose that  $t > 0$  and the case  $t - 1$  is settled. Since  $\Gamma_{I,J}(M)$  is  $(I, J)$ -cofinite, the  $R$ -module  $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, \Gamma_{I,J}(M))$  is finite, for every  $i$ , and so by  $(*)$ ,  $\text{Ext}_R^{t+1}(R/I, M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M))$  is finite. Now, by Remark 2.2, the  $R$ -module  $\text{Ext}_R^t(R/I, L)$  is finite and  $H_{I,J}^i(L)$  is  $(I, J)$ -cofinite, for every  $i < t - 1$ . Thus, by the induction hypothesis,  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, H_{I,J}^{t-1}(L))$  is finite, and so  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M))$  is finite.

(b)  $(\Rightarrow)$  We use induction on  $t$ . Let  $t = 0$ . Then, the short exact sequence  $(*)$  induces the following exact sequence

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M)) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(R/I, \Gamma_{I,J}(M)) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(R/I, M).$$

To show that  $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/I, \Gamma_{I,J}(M))$  is finite, it is enough to show that  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M))$  is finite. By Remark 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M)) &\cong \text{Hom}_R(R/I, L) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(R/I, \Gamma_{I,J}(L)) \\ &\cong \text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^1(M)). \end{aligned}$$

Now, the assertion holds.

Suppose  $t > 0$  and the case  $t - 1$  is settled. Since  $\Gamma_{I,J}(M)$  is  $(I, J)$ -cofinite, the  $R$ -module  $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, \Gamma_{I,J}(M))$  is finite, for every  $i$ . Using the exact sequence  $(*)$ , we get that  $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M))$  is finite, for every  $i$ . By Remark 2.2,  $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, L)$  is finite, for every  $i$  and also  $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(L)) \cong \text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^{t+1}(M))$  is finite. By the induction hypothesis, the  $R$ -module  $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/I, H_{I,J}^{t-1}(L))$  is finite and hence, we have  $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M))$  is finite.

$(\Leftarrow)$  We use induction on  $t$ . Let  $t = 0$ . The short exact sequence  $(*)$  induces the following exact sequence,

$$\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, M) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M)) \longrightarrow \text{Ext}_R^2(R/I, \Gamma_{I,J}(M)).$$

Thus,  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, M/\Gamma_{I,J}(M))$  is finite. By Remark 2.2,  $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, L)$  is finite and hence the  $R$ -module  $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, \Gamma_{I,J}(L))$  is finite. Thus  $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^1(M))$  is finite.

Now, let  $t > 0$  and the case  $t - 1$  be settled. Remark 2.2 implies that the modules  $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/I, H_{I,J}^{t-1}(L))$  and  $\text{Ext}_R^i(R/I, L)$  are finite, for all  $i$ . By the induction hypothesis, the  $R$ -module  $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(L))$  is finite and hence  $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^{t+1}(M))$  is finite.

The following corollary generalizes Dibaei and Yassemi's result [6].

**Corollary 2.6.** *Let  $M$  be a finite  $R$ -module and  $t = \inf\{i | H_{I,J}^i(M) \neq 0\}$ . Then, the following hold:*

- (a)  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M))$  is finite.
- (b)  $\text{Ext}_R^2(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M))$  is finite if and only if  $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^{t+1}(M))$  is finite.

### 3. Associated primes

Let  $M$  be a finite  $R$ -module. Let  $t = \inf\{i | H_{I,J}^i(M) \neq 0\}$  and  $N \subseteq H_{I,J}^t(M)$  be such that  $\text{Ext}_R^1(R/I, N)$  is a finite  $R$ -module. If  $H_{I,J}^t(M)/N$  is an  $I$ -torsion, then by Theorem 2.3,  $H_{I,J}^t(M)/N$  has finitely many associated primes. In particular,  $\text{Ass} H_{I,J}^t(M)$  is a finite set if and only if  $\text{Ass} N$  is a finite set.

**Remark 3.1.** Let  $M$  be a finite  $R$ -module and  $i$  be an integer. Suppose that  $p \in \text{Ass} H_{I,J}^i(M)$ . Then,  $pR_p \in \text{Ass}(H_{I,J}^i(M))_p$  implies that  $\text{Hom}_{R_p}(R_p/pR_p, (H_{I,J}^i(M))_p) \neq 0$ . By [12, Theorem 3.2], we have

$$\text{Hom}_{R_p}(R_p/pR_p, (\varinjlim_{a \in \tilde{W}(I,J)} H_a^i(M)) \otimes_{R_p} R_p) \cong \varinjlim_{a \in \tilde{W}(I,J)} (\text{Hom}_{R_p}(R_p/pR_p, H_{aR_p}^i(M_p))).$$

So, there exists  $a \in \tilde{W}(I, J)$  such that  $\text{Hom}_{R_p}(R_p/pR_p, H_{aR_p}^i(M_p)) \neq 0$ . Hence,  $pR_p \in \text{Ass}(H_{aR_p}^i(M_p))$ , which implies that  $p \in \text{Ass} H_a^i(M)$ , for an  $a \in \tilde{W}(I, J)$ . Therefore,

$$\text{Ass} H_{I,J}^i(M) \subseteq \bigcup_{a \in \tilde{W}(I,J)} \text{Ass} H_a^i(M).$$

**Proposition 3.2.** *Let  $M$  be a finite  $R$ -module. If  $t = \inf\{i | H_{I,J}^i(M) \neq 0\}$ , then*

$$(1) \quad \text{Ass } H_{I,J}^t(M) \subseteq \bigcup_{\substack{\mathfrak{a} \in \tilde{W}(I,J) \\ \text{grade}_{M\mathfrak{a}} = t}} \text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M).$$

**Proof.** By Remark 3.1, it is enough to show that  $\text{grade}_{M\mathfrak{a}} = t$ . Since  $V(\mathfrak{a}) \subseteq W(I, J)$ , by [12, Theorem 4.1], we have  $\text{grade}_{M\mathfrak{a}} = \inf\{\text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} | \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M/\mathfrak{a}M)\} \geq \inf\{\text{depth } M_{\mathfrak{p}} | \mathfrak{p} \in W(I, J)\} = t$ . On the other hand,  $H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M) \neq 0$  implies that  $\text{grade}_{M\mathfrak{a}} = t$ .

Now, we show that we can replace the set  $\tilde{W}(I, J)$  by  $W(I, J)$  in (1).

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $M$  be a finite  $R$ -module and  $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}$  be ideals of  $R$  such that  $\mathfrak{a} \subseteq \mathfrak{b}$  and  $\text{grade}_{M\mathfrak{a}} = \text{grade}_{M\mathfrak{b}} = t$ . Then,*

$$\text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{b}}^t(M) \subseteq \text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M).$$

**Proof.** By choosing  $x \in \mathfrak{b} \setminus \mathfrak{a}$  and considering the following Mayer – Vietoris sequence,  $0 \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}+xR}^t(M) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M_x)$ , we obtain that  $\text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{a}+xR}^t(M) \subseteq \text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M)$ . Now, the assertion follows by induction.

**Proposition 3.4.** *Let  $M$  be a finite  $R$ -module and  $t = \inf\{i | H_{I,J}^i(M) \neq 0\}$ . Then,*

$$\text{Ass } H_{I,J}^t(M) \subseteq \bigcup_{\substack{\mathfrak{q} \in W(I,J) \\ \text{grade}_{M\mathfrak{q}} = t}} \text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{q}}^t(M).$$

**Proof.** By Proposition 3.2, for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } H_{I,J}^t(M)$ , there exists  $\mathfrak{a} \in \tilde{W}(I, J)$  such that  $\text{grade}_{M\mathfrak{a}} = t$  and  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M)$ . Now, consider the non-empty set

$$\Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{\mathfrak{a} \in \tilde{W}(I, J) | \text{grade}_{M\mathfrak{a}} = t, \mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{a}}^t(M)\},$$

for a prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } H_{I,J}^t(M)$ . Since  $R$  is a Noetherian ring,  $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$  has a maximal element  $\mathfrak{q}$ . We claim that  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a prime ideal. Let  $x, y \in R$  be such that  $xy \in \mathfrak{q}$ , but  $x, y \notin \mathfrak{q}$ . Therefore  $xR + \mathfrak{q}, yR + \mathfrak{q} \notin \Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . On the other hand,  $\mathfrak{q}^2 \subseteq (xR + \mathfrak{q})(yR + \mathfrak{q}) \subseteq \mathfrak{q}$  implies that

$\text{grade}_M \mathfrak{q} = \text{grade}_M(xR + \mathfrak{q})(yR + \mathfrak{q})$ . So, we have  $\text{grade}_M(xR + \mathfrak{q}) \geq t$  and  $\text{grade}_M(yR + \mathfrak{q}) \geq t$ . Now, from the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow H_{xR+\mathfrak{q}}^t(M) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{q}}^t(M) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{q}}^t(M_x)$ , we obtain that  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{q}}^t(M) \subseteq \text{Ass } H_{xR+\mathfrak{q}}^t(M) \cup \text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{q}}^t(M_x)$ . If  $\text{grade}_M(xR + \mathfrak{q}) > t$ , then  $\text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{q}}^t(M) \subseteq \text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{q}}^t(M_x)$ . So, assume that  $\text{grade}_M(xR + \mathfrak{q}) = t$ . Then, by maximality of  $\mathfrak{q}$ , we have  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{q}}^t(M_x)$ . Similarly,  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{q}}^t(M_y)$ . Thus,  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M_x) \cap \text{Supp}(M_y)$ . On the other hand,  $xy \in \mathfrak{p}$  implies that  $x \in \mathfrak{p}$  or  $y \in \mathfrak{p}$ , which is contradictory to  $\text{Supp}_R(M_x) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Supp}(M) \mid x \text{ is not in } \mathfrak{p}\}$ . Hence,  $\mathfrak{q}$  is a prime ideal.

**Corollary 3.5.** *Let  $M$  be a finite  $R$ -module and  $t = \inf\{i \mid H_{I,J}^i(M) = 0\}$ . If  $\mathfrak{q}$  is the maximal element of  $\Sigma_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , then  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}$ .*

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\mathfrak{q} \subset \mathfrak{p}$  and consider  $x \in \mathfrak{p} \setminus \mathfrak{q}$ . By the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow H_{xR+\mathfrak{q}}^t(M) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{q}}^t(M) \rightarrow H_{\mathfrak{q}}^t(M_x)$ , we obtain that  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{q}}^t(M) \subseteq \text{Ass } H_{xR+\mathfrak{q}}^t(M) \cup \text{Ass } H_{\mathfrak{q}}^t(M_x)$ . Since  $x \in \mathfrak{p}$ , we have  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } H_{xR+\mathfrak{q}}^t(M)$ , which is a contradiction, by maximality of  $\mathfrak{q}$ . So,  $\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{q}$ .

Now, we can state our main theorem here.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $M$  be a finite  $R$ -module and  $t = \inf\{i \mid H_{I,J}^i(M) \neq 0\}$ . Then, for all  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } H_{I,J}^t(M)$ ,  $\text{grade}_M \mathfrak{p} = t$ .*

**Proof.** This follows from Proposition 3.4 and Corollary 3.5.

**Corollary 3.7.** *Let  $M$  be a finite  $R$ -module and  $t = \inf\{i \mid H_{I,J}^i(M) \neq 0\}$ . If  $\text{Hom}_R(R/I, H_{I,J}^t(M)) \neq 0$ , then  $\text{grade}_M I = t$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass } H_{I,J}^t(M) \cap V(I)$ . So, by Theorem 3.6,  $\text{grade}_M I \leq t$ . On the other hand,  $V(I) \subseteq W(I, J)$  implies that  $\text{grade}_M I \geq t$ . Hence,  $\text{grade}_M I = t$ .

**Theorem 3.8.** *Let  $M$  be a finite  $R$ -module. Let  $I, J$  be ideals of  $R$  such that  $\text{grade}_M I = n$  and  $\Gamma_{I,J}(M/(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1})M) = 0$ , for a maximal  $M$ -sequence  $x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $I$ . Then,*

$$\text{Ass } \Gamma_{I,J}(M/(x_1, \dots, x_n)M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(M/(x_1, \dots, x_n)M) \cap W(I, J) \mid \text{grade}_M \mathfrak{p} = n\}.$$



**Proof.** We prove using induction on  $n$ . Let  $n = 1$ . Then, for a non-zero divisor  $x \in I$ , the following short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow M \xrightarrow{x} M \longrightarrow M/xM \longrightarrow 0,$$

induces the long exact sequence,  $0 \longrightarrow \Gamma_{I,J}(M/xM) \longrightarrow H_{I,J}^1(M) \xrightarrow{x} H_{I,J}^1(M)$ . Since  $\Gamma_I(M/xM) \neq 0$ ,  $\Gamma_{I,J}(M/xM) \neq 0$  and  $\text{Ass } \Gamma_{I,J}(M/xM) \subseteq \text{Ass } H_{I,J}^1(M)$ , therefore by Theorem 3.6,

$$\text{Ass } \Gamma_{I,J}(M/xM) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(M/xM) \cap W(I, J) \mid \text{grade}_{M\mathfrak{p}} = 1\}.$$

Suppose that  $n > 1$  and the case  $n-1$  is settled. Then,  $\text{grade}_{M/x_1M} I = n-1$  implies:

$$\Gamma_{I,J}(M/x_1M/(x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})M/x_1M) \cong \Gamma_{I,J}(M/(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})M) = 0,$$

$$H_{I,J}^1(M/x_1M/(x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})M/x_1M) \cong H_{I,J}^1(M/(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1})M) \neq 0.$$

So, by the induction hypothesis, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ass } \Gamma_{I,J}(M/x_1M/(x_2, \dots, x_n)M/x_1M) &= \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(M/x_1M/(x_2, \dots, x_n) \\ &M/x_1M) \cap W(I, J) \mid \text{grade}_{M/x_1M\mathfrak{p}} = n-1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ass } \Gamma_{I,J}(M/(x_1, \dots, x_n)M) &= \\ \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(M/(x_1, \dots, x_n)M) \cap W(I, J) \mid \text{grade}_{M\mathfrak{p}} = n\}. \end{aligned}$$

Now, we can conclude the same result for local cohomology module with respect to an ideal.

**Corollary 3.9.** *Let  $M$  be a finite  $R$ -module and let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$  such that  $\text{grade}_M I = n$  is a non-zero integer. Then, for a maximal  $M$ -sequence  $x = x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $I$ ,*

$$\text{Ass } \Gamma_I(M/xM) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(M/xM) \cap V(I) \mid \text{grade}_{M\mathfrak{p}} = n\}.$$

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $M$  be a finite  $R$ -module and let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$  such that  $\text{grade}_M I = n$  is a non-zero integer. Then, for a maximal  $M$ -sequence  $x = x_1, \dots, x_n$  in  $I$ ,*

$$\text{Ass } H_I^n(M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(M/xM) \cap V(I) \mid \text{grade}_{M\mathfrak{p}} = n\}.$$

**Proof.** It is enough to prove the case  $n = 1$ . Consider  $x \in I \setminus Z(M)$ . From the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{x} M \rightarrow M/xM \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain the exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow \Gamma_I(M/xM) \rightarrow H_I^1(M) \xrightarrow{x} H_I^1(M)$ . Since

$$\text{Ass } \Gamma_I(M/xM) = \text{Ass}(0 :_{H_I^1(M)} x) = \text{Ass } H_I^1(M),$$

by Corollary 3.9, we get

$$\text{Ass } H_I^1(M) = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \text{Ass}(M/xM) \cap V(I) \mid \text{grade}_M \mathfrak{p} = 1\}.$$

Now, the result follows by induction on  $\text{grade}_M I$ .

**Corollary 3.11.** *Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m})$  be a local ring, and let  $M$  be a finite Cohen–Macaulay  $R$ -module. Let  $I$  be an ideal of  $R$  such that  $\dim M/IM > 0$  and  $\text{grade}_M I = n$ . Then,  $\mathfrak{m} \notin \text{Ass } H_I^n(M)$ .*

### Acknowledgments

The authors are deeply grateful to Professor S. Yassemi for his constant help, advice and, in particular, for suggesting many of the topics considered in this paper.

### REFERENCES

- [1] D. Delfino and T. Marley, Cofinite modules and local cohomology, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **121**(1) (1997) 45–52.
- [2] M. P. Brodmann and A. L. Faghani, A finiteness result for associated primes of local cohomology modules, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **128**(10) (2000) 2851–2853.
- [3] M. P. Brodmann, Ch. Rotthaus and R. Y. Sharp, On annihilators and associated primes of local cohomology modules, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **153** (2000) 197–227.
- [4] M. P. Brodmann and R. Y. Sharp, Local cohomology: an algebraic introduction with geometric applications, *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics* 60, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1998.
- [5] M. T. Dibaei and S. Yassemi, Associated primes and cofiniteness of local cohomology modules, *Manuscripta Mathematica*, **117** (2005) 199–205.
- [6] M. T. Dibaei and S. Yassemi, Finiteness of extension functors of local cohomology modules, *Comm. Algebra* **34** (2006) 3097–3101.
- [7] R. Hartshorne, Affine duality and cofiniteness, *Invent. Math.* **9** (1970) 145–164.
- [8] C. Huneke, Problems on local cohomology, Free resolutions in commutative algebra and algebraic geometry, *Res. Notes Math.* 2, Jones and Bartlett, Boston, MA (1992) 93–108.
- [9] K. I. Kawasaki, Cofiniteness of local cohomology modules for principal ideals, *Bull. London. Math. Soc.* **30**(3)(1998) 241–246.

- [10] T. Marley and J. C. Vassilev, Cofiniteness and associated primes of local cohomology modules *J. Algebra* **256** (1) (2002) 180–193.
- [11] A. K. Singh, P-torsion elements in local cohomology modules, *Math. Res. Lett.* **7** (2000) 165–176.
- [12] R. Takahashi, Y. Yoshino and T. Yoshizawa, Local cohomology based on a non-closed support defined by a pair of ideals, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **213**(4) (2009) 582–600.

**Abolfazl Tehranian**

Department of Mathematics, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University of Tehran, P.O. Box: 14515, 775, Tehran, Iran.

Email: [tehranian1340@yahoo.com](mailto:tehranian1340@yahoo.com)

**Atiyeh Pour Eshmanan Talemi**

Department of Mathematics, Science and Research Branch, Islamic Azad University of Tehran, P.O. Box: 14515, 775, Tehran, Iran.

Email: [ptalemy@yahoo.com](mailto:ptalemy@yahoo.com)

Archive of SID