Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society Vol. 36 No. 2 (2010), pp 279-287.

## TWO RESULTS ABOUT FIXED POINT OF MULTIFUNCTIONS

## SH. REZAPOUR $^{\ast}$ AND R. HAMLBARANI HAGHI

Communicated by Mohammad Sal Moslehian

ABSTRACT. We shall establish two fixed point theorems for contractive multifunctions in a non-empty and closed subset of a complete metric space with certain assumptions.

# 1. Introduction

In spite of its simplicity, the Banach fixed point theorem still seems to be the most important result in metric fixed point theory. As we know, there are many works in this field. Also, there are some works about fixed point of multifunctions (see [1], [2], [3], [5], [7] and [9]). In fact, fixed point theory of multifunctions is generalization of the metric fixed point theory in a certain sense. Here, we establish two fixed point theorems for certain multifunctions of contractive type by using some ideas of the work of Reem, Reich and Zaslavski ([8]).

## 2. Main results

Let (X, d) be a metric space. Throughout this section, we suppose that  $\mathcal{H}(X)$  is the set of all compact subsets of (X, d) and  $d_h$  stands for the Hausdorff metric with respect to d.

Keywords: Compact valued multifunction, non-expansive multifunction, fixed point. Received: 30 June 2008, Accepted: 15 October 2009.

MSC(2010): Primary: 47H10, 47H04.; Secondary: 54H25.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

<sup>© 2010</sup> Iranian Mathematical Society.

<sup>279</sup> 

**Theorem 2.1.** Let K be a non-empty closed subset of a complete metric space(X, d). Assume that  $T : K \to \mathcal{H}(X)$  satisfies

$$d_h(Tx, Ty) \le cd(x, y)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ , where  $c \in [0, 1)$  is a contractive constant. Let  $K_0$  be a bounded subset of K and let  $\{x_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  be a sequence in  $K_0$  such that  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n T^i x_n \subseteq K$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ . Then, T has a fixed point in K.

**Proof.** First, we claim that

(2.1) 
$$d_h(T^{i+1}x, T^{i+1}y) \le cd_h(T^ix, T^iy)$$

for all  $i \ge 1$  and all  $x, y \in K$  with  $T^i x, T^i y \subseteq K$ . This claim is obtained from a well-known remark of Nadler [6], which states

$$d_h(T(A), T(B)) \le d_h(A, B),$$

for all compact subsets A and B of a metric space. Since  $K_0$  is bounded, there exist  $\theta \in K$  and  $c_0 > 0$  such that

$$(2.2) d(\theta, z) \le c_0,$$

for all  $z \in K_0$ . Now, we continue the proof in several steps.

**Step I.** For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \ge 1$  such that

(2.3) 
$$d_h(T^i x_n, T^{i+1} x_n) \le \varepsilon,$$

for all  $n > n_0$  and  $n_0 \le i < n$ .

**Proof of Step I.** If (2.3) does not hold, then for each  $m \ge 1$  there exist  $n_m$  and  $i_m$  such that  $m \le i_m < n_m$  and

(2.4) 
$$d_h(T^{i_m}x_{n_m}, T^{i_m+1}x_{n_m}) > \varepsilon$$

for some  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose a natural number m such that

$$m > \frac{2c_0 + d_h(\{\theta\}, T\theta)}{(1-c)\varepsilon}$$

Since c < 1, by (2.1) and (2.4) we have  $d_{c}(T^{i}x) = T^{i+1}x$ 

$$d_h(T^i x_{n_m}, T^{i+1} x_{n_m}) > \varepsilon,$$

for all  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, i_m$ . Since

$$d_h(T^{i+2}x_{n_m}, T^{i+1}x_{n_m}) \le cd_h(T^{i+1}x_{n_m}, T^ix_{n_m}),$$

Two results about fixed point of multifunctions

for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, i_m - 1$ , by (2.4) we have

$$d_h(T^{i+2}x_{n_m}, T^{i+1}x_{n_m}) - d_h(T^{i+1}x_{n_m}, T^ix_{n_m}) \leq (c-1)d_h(T^{i+1}x_{n_m}, T^ix_{n_m}) < -(1-c)\varepsilon.$$

$$\leq (c-1)d_h(T^{i+1}x_{n_m}, T^ix_{n_m}) < -(1-c)$$

On the other hand,

$$\varepsilon < d_h(T^2 x_{n_m}, Tx_{n_m}) \le cd_h(\{x_{n_m}\}, Tx_{n_m}) \le d_h(\{x_{n_m}\}, Tx_{n_m}).$$

Hence,

$$d_h(T^2 x_{n_m}, T x_{n_m}) - d_h(\{x_{n_m}\}, T x_{n_m}) \\\leq (c-1)d_h(\{x_{n_m}\}, T x_{n_m}) < -(1-c)\varepsilon.$$

Thus,

$$-d_{h}(\{x_{n_{m}}\}, Tx_{n_{m}}) \leq d_{h}(T^{i_{m}+1}x_{n_{m}}, T^{i_{m}}x_{n_{m}}) - d_{h}(\{x_{n_{m}}\}, Tx_{n_{m}})$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{i_{m}-1} [d_{h}(T^{i+2}x_{n_{m}}, T^{i+1}x_{n_{m}}) - d_{h}(T^{i+1}x_{n_{m}}, T^{i}x_{n_{m}})]$$

$$+ [d_{h}(T^{2}x_{n_{m}}, Tx_{n_{m}}) - d_{h}(\{x_{n_{m}}\}, Tx_{n_{m}})]$$

$$(2.5) < -(c-1)\varepsilon i_{m} \leq -m(1-c)\varepsilon.$$

Since  $d_h$  is a metric on  $\mathcal{H}(X)$ , from (2.2) and (2.5) we have

$$m(1-c)\varepsilon \leq d_h(\{x_{n_m}\}, Tx_{n_m})$$
  
$$\leq d_h(\{x_{n_m}\}, \{\theta\}) + d_h(\{\theta\}, T\theta) + d_h(T\theta, Tx_{n_m})$$
  
$$\leq d(x_{n_m}, \theta) + d_h(\{\theta\}, T\theta) + cd(\theta, x_{n_m}) \leq 2c_0 + d_h(\{\theta\}, T\theta),$$

which is a contradiction. Therefore, (2.3) holds.

**Step II.** For each  $\delta > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \ge 1$  such that

(2.6) 
$$d_h(T^i x_n, T^j x_n) \le \delta,$$

for all  $n > n_0$  and  $n_0 \le i, j < n$ .

**Proof of Step II.** Let  $\varepsilon < \frac{1}{4}\delta(1-c)$  and choose  $n_0 \ge 1$  such that (2.3) holds, for all  $n > n_0$  and  $n_0 \le i < n$ . Let i, j and n be natural numbers so that  $n_0 \le i, j < n$ . We claim that (2.6) holds. If  $d_h(T^ix_n, T^jx_n) > \delta$ , then

$$d_h(T^i x_n, T^j x_n) \leq d_h(T^i x_n, T^{i+1} x_n) + d_h(T^{i+1} x_n, T^{j+1} x_n) + d_h(T^{j+1} x_n, T^j x_n) \leq 2\varepsilon + d_h(T^{i+1} x_n, T^{j+1} x_n) \leq 2\varepsilon + cd_h(T^i x_n, T^j x_n).$$

Rezapour and Hamlbarani Haghi

Hence,

$$\delta < d_h(T^i x_n, T^j x_n) \le \frac{2\varepsilon}{1-c},$$

which is a contradiction.

**Step III.** For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $n_0 \ge 1$  such that

(2.7) 
$$d_h(T^{n_0}x_{n_1}, T^{n_0}x_{n_2}) \le \varepsilon,$$

for all  $n_1, n_2 > n_0$ .

**Proof of Step III.** Choose a natural number *m* such that  $m > \frac{4c_0}{\varepsilon(1-c)}$ and let  $n_1, n_2 > m$ . We claim that

(2.8) 
$$d_h(T^m x_{n_1}, T^m x_{n_2}) \le \varepsilon.$$

If (2.8) does not hold, then  $d_h(T^i x_{n_1}, T^i x_{n_2}) > \varepsilon$ , for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , because c < 1. Note that

$$\varepsilon < d_h(Tx_{n_1}, Tx_{n_2}) \le cd(x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}) \le d(x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}).$$

So,  $-d(x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}) < -\varepsilon$ . Since  $d_h(T^{i+1}x_{n_1}, T^{i+1}x_{n_2}) \le cd_h(T^ix_{n_1}, T^ix_{n_2}),$ for all  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m-1,$ 

$$d_h(T^{i+1}x_{n_1}, T^{i+1}x_{n_2}) - d_h(T^ix_{n_1}, T^ix_{n_2}) \\ \leq (c-1)d_h(T^ix_{n_1}, T^ix_{n_2}) < -(1-c)\varepsilon,$$

 $\leq (c-1)d_h(T^ix_{n_1},T^ix_{n_2}) < -(1)$ for all  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, m-1$ . This implies that

$$-d(x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}) \leq d_h(T^m x_{n_1}, T^m x_{n_2}) - d(x_{n_1}, x_{n_2})$$
  
$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} [d_h(T^{i+1} x_{n_1}, T^{i+1} x_{n_2}) - d_h(T^i x_{n_1}, T^i x_{n_2})]$$
  
$$+ [d_h(T x_{n_1}, T x_{n_2}) - d(x_{n_1}, x_{n_2})] \leq -m(1-c)\varepsilon.$$

Hence,

$$m(1-c)\varepsilon \le d(x_{n_1}, x_{n_2}) \le d(x_{n_1}, \theta) + d(\theta, x_{n_2}) \le 2c_0$$

and so,

$$m \le \frac{2c_0}{\varepsilon(1-c)}$$

This contradiction shows that (2.8) holds.

282

Two results about fixed point of multifunctions

**Step IV.** For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exists  $m(\varepsilon) \ge 1$  such that

$$d_h(T^i x_{n_1}, T^j x_{n_2}) \le \varepsilon$$

for all  $n_1, n_2 > m(\varepsilon)$  and all natural numbers  $i \in [m(\varepsilon), n_1)$  and  $j \in [m(\varepsilon), n_2)$ .

**Proof of Step IV.** Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be given. By using (2.7), choose  $m_1 \ge 1$  such that

$$d_h(T^{m_1}x_{n_1}, T^{m_1}x_{n_2}) \le \frac{\varepsilon}{4},$$

for all  $n_1, n_2 > m_1$ . Also, by using (2.6), choose  $m_2 \ge 1$  such that

$$d_h(T^i x_n, T^j x_n) \le \frac{\varepsilon}{4}$$

for all  $n > m_2$  and  $m_2 \le i, j < n$ . Now, let  $n_1, n_2 > m(\varepsilon) := m_1 + m_2$ ,  $i \in [m(\varepsilon), n_1)$  and  $j \in [m(\varepsilon), n_2)$ . Then, we have

$$d_h(T^{m(\varepsilon)}x_{n_1}, T^{m(\varepsilon)}x_{n_2}) \le d_h(T^{m_1}x_{n_1}, T^{m_1}x_{n_2}) \le \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Also,

$$d_h(T^{m(\varepsilon)}x_{n_1}, T^ix_{n_1}) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}, \quad d_h(T^{m(\varepsilon)}x_{n_2}, T^jx_{n_2}) \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Thus,

$$d_h(T^i x_{n_1}, T^j x_{n_2}) \le d_h(T^{m(\varepsilon)} x_{n_1}, T^i x_{n_1}) + d_h(T^{m(\varepsilon)} x_{n_1}, T^{m(\varepsilon)} x_{n_2}) + d_h(T^{m(\varepsilon)} x_{n_2}, T^j x_{n_2}) < \varepsilon$$

This completes the proof of the step.

Now, we complete the proof of the theorem. Consider the sequences  $\{T^{n-2}x_n\}_{n\geq 3}$  and  $\{T^{n-1}x_n\}_{n\geq 2}$ . For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , take  $N = m(\varepsilon)+2$ . Let  $m, n\geq N, i=m-2, j=n-2, n_1=m$  and  $n_2=n$ . Then,  $i\in [m(\varepsilon), n_1)$  and  $j\in [m(\varepsilon), n_2)$ . Hence, by Step IV,  $d_h(T^{m-2}x_m, T^{n-2}x_n) < \varepsilon$ . Thus,  $\{T^{n-2}x_n\}_{n\geq 3}$  is a Cauchy sequence. A similar argument shows that  $\{T^{n-1}x_n\}_{n\geq 2}$  is a Cauchy sequence and

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d_h(T^{n-2}x_n, T^{n-1}x_n) = 0.$$

Note that the sequences  $\{T^{n-2}x_n\}_{n\geq 3}$  and  $\{T^{n-1}x_n\}_{n\geq 2}$  lie in K and  $(\mathcal{H}(K), d_h)$  is a complete metric space. Hence, there exists  $A \in \mathcal{H}(K)$  such that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} d_h(T^{n-2}x_n, A) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d_h(A, T^{n-1}x_n) = 0.$$

Since

Y

$$d_h(T^{n-1}x_n, T(A))$$

Rezapour and Hamlbarani Haghi

$$= \max\{\sup_{a \in T^{n-2}x_n, a_1 \in Ta} \inf_{b \in A, b_1 \in Tb} d(a_1, b_1), \sup_{d_1 \in Td, d \in A} \inf_{c_1 \in Tc, c \in T^{n-2}x_n} d(c_1, d_1)\}$$
  
$$= \max\{\sup_{a \in T^{n-2}x_n} \inf_{b \in A} \sup_{a_1 \in Ta} \inf_{b_1 \in Tb} d(a_1, b_1) \sup_{d \in A} \inf_{c \in T^{n-2}x_n} \sup_{d_1 \in Td} \inf_{c_1 \in Tc} d(c_1, d_1)\}$$
  
$$\leq \max\{\sup_{a \in T^{n-2}x_n} \inf_{b \in A} d_h(Ta, Tb), \sup_{d \in A} \inf_{c \in T^{n-2}x_n} d_h(Tc, Td)\}$$
  
$$\leq c \max\{\sup_{a \in T^{n-2}x_n} \inf_{b \in A} d(a, b), \sup_{d \in A} \inf_{c \in T^{n-2}x_n} d(c, d)\}$$
  
$$= cd_h(T^{n-2}x_n, A) \to 0,$$

we obtain that A = T(A). Thus,  $T|_A : A \to \mathcal{H}(A)$  is a contraction multifunction and  $(A, d|_{A \times A})$  is a complete metric space. Therefore, by [4; Theorem 6],  $T|_A$  has a fixed point in A, that is, there exists  $x_0 \in A \subseteq K$  such that  $x_0 \in Tx_0$ .

Now, for clarity of the matter, we give two examples concerning Theorem 2.1.

**Example 2.2.** Let  $X = [0, \infty)$  with the Euclidean norm,  $m \ge 4$  a fixed natural number,  $K = \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \cdots\} \cup \{0, 1\}$  and  $K_0 = \{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \frac{1}{4}, \cdots\}$ . Define  $T: K \to \mathcal{H}(X)$  by

$$Tx = \{\frac{x}{2}, \frac{x}{3}, \cdots, \frac{x}{m}\},\$$

for all  $x \in K$ . Note that the values of T are compact and

$$d_h(Tx, Ty) \le \frac{1}{2}|x-y|,$$

for all  $x, y \in K$ . Since  $Tx \subseteq K$ , for all  $x \in K_0$ , it is easy to see that if  $\{x_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  is a sequence in  $K_0$ , then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n T^i x_n \subseteq K$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ . Thus, T satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.1. Finally,  $x_0 = 0$  is the unique fixed point of T.

**Example 2.3.** Let X = C[0,1] with the supremum norm,  $K = \{f \in X : f \ge 0\}$  and  $K_0 = \{f \in K : 0 < ||f||_{\infty} < 1\}$ . Define  $T : K \to \mathcal{H}(X)$  by

$$T(f)(t) = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\frac{t}{n}}^{1} f(x) dx\} \bigcup \{1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} f(x) dx\},$$

for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ . Note that the values of T are compact and

$$d_h(T(f), T(g)) \le \frac{1}{2} ||f - g||_{\infty},$$

284

Two results about fixed point of multifunctions

for all  $f, g \in K$ . If  $f \in K_0$ , then  $0 \le f(x) < 1$  and so

$$0 < 1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\frac{t}{n}}^{1} f(x) dx < \frac{1}{2},$$

for all  $t \in [0,1]$  and  $n \geq 1$ . Hence,  $Tf \subseteq K$ , for all  $f \in K_0$ . Thus, if  $\{f_n\}_{n\geq 1}$  is a sequence in  $K_0$ , then  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n T^i f_n \subseteq K$ , for all  $n \geq 1$ . Therefore, T satisfies the conditions of Theorem 2.1. Now, consider the function  $g_0(t) = e^{\frac{1}{2}(t-1)}$  in X. Since

$$1 - \frac{1}{2} \int_{\frac{t}{1}}^{1} g_0(x) dx = g_0(t),$$

for all  $t \in [0, 1]$ ,  $g_0 \in T(g_0)$ , that is,  $g_0$  is a fixed point of T.

We will use the following result of Lassonde ([4]) in our second result.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let E be a locally convex topological vector space, X a convex subset of E and  $T \in \mathcal{K}_c(X, X)$  a compact multifunction. Then, T has a fixed point in X.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let G be a non-empty subset of a normed space (Y, ||.||)such that  $0 \in IntG$ , where IntG is the interior of G. Assume that  $T: \overline{G} \to Y$  is a nonexpansive and compact multifunction which satisfies the condition

(2.9) 
$$Tx \cap \{\lambda x : \lambda > 1\} = \emptyset,$$

for all  $x \in \partial G$ , where  $\partial G$  is the boundary of G. Also, let Tx be a closed convex subset of Y, for all  $x \in X$ . Then, T has a fixed point in IntG.

**Proof.** Suppose that U = IntG and put  $T_1 = T|_{\overline{U}} : \overline{U} \to Y$ . We show that  $T_1$  is upper semi-continuous. Let  $x_0$  be an element of  $\overline{U}$ , V an open set in Y with  $T_1x_0 \subseteq V$  and  $T_1x_0 = \{z_i : i \in I\}$ . For each  $i \in I$ , there exists  $r_i > 0$  such that  $N_{r_i}(z_i) \subseteq V$ . Clearly,  $T_1x_0 \subseteq \bigcup_{i \in I} N_{\frac{r_i}{2}}(z_i)$ ,  $T_1x_0$  is closed and T is compact. Hence,  $T_1x_0$  is compact and so there exist  $z_{i_1}, \cdots, z_{i_n} \in T_1x_0$  such that  $T_1x_0 \subseteq \bigcup_{k=1}^n N_{\frac{r_k}{2}}(z_{i_k})$ . Put  $\varepsilon = \min\{\frac{r_{i_1}}{2}, \cdots, \frac{r_{i_n}}{2}\}$ . Now, let d be the metric induced by the norm,  $d_h$  the metric induced by d,  $||x_0 - y|| < \varepsilon$  and  $z \in T_1y$ . Then,  $d_h(T_1x_0, T_1y) \leq ||x_0 - y|| < \varepsilon$  and there exists  $t_0 \in T_1x_0$  such that

 $||t_0 - z|| < \varepsilon$ . Take  $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $t_0 \in N_{\frac{r_{i_j}}{2}}(z_{i_j})$ . Then,  $||t_0 - z_{i_j}|| < \frac{r_{i_j}}{2}$  and  $||z - z_{i_j}|| \le ||z - t_0|| + ||t_0 - z_{i_j}|| < r_{i_j}$ . Hence,  $z \in V$  and so  $T_1 y \subseteq V$ . Thus  $T_1$  is upper semi-continuous.

Now, let p be the Minkowski semi-norm of U. Define  $r: Y \to \overline{U}$  by

$$r(x) = \begin{cases} x & x \in \overline{U} \\ \frac{x}{p(x)} & x \notin \overline{U} \end{cases}$$

Then, r is continuous because p(x) = 1, whenever  $x \in \overline{U}$ . Put

$$f = r|_{co(\overline{TU})}$$

Note that f is continuous and if  $F = T_1 f$ , then F is upper semicontinuous, compact, convex-valued and compact-valued multifunction. Thus, F is a Kakutani multifunction. Hence,  $F \in \mathcal{K}_c(co(\overline{TU}), co(\overline{TU}))$ and by Lemma 2.4, F has a fixed point, say  $z_0$ , in  $co(\overline{TU})$ . We show that  $z_0 \in \overline{U}$ . If  $z_0 \in Y \setminus \overline{U}$ , then  $z_0 \in T_1(\frac{z_0}{p(z_0)})$  and  $p(z_0) > 1$ . Hence,

$$z_0 \in T_1(\frac{z_0}{p(z_0)}) \cap \{\lambda(\frac{z_0}{p(z_0)}) : \lambda > 1\}.$$

Since  $p(\frac{z_0}{p(z_0)}) = 1$ ,  $\frac{z_0}{p(z_0)} \in \partial U$ . This contradicts (2.9) and so  $z_0 \in \overline{U}$ . Since  $f(z_0) = z_0, z_0 \in Tz_0$ . This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

Now, we give the following example for Theorem 2.5.

**Example 2.6.** Let  $Y = \mathbb{R}$  with the usual norm and  $G = (-\pi, \pi)$ . Define  $T : \overline{G} \to Y$  by

$$Tx = [\min\{\sin x, \cos x\}, \max\{\sin x, \cos x\}],$$

for all  $x \in \overline{G}$ . Note that T is nonexpansive and compact multifunction and the values of T are convex and compact. Since

$$T(-\pi) \cap \{-\lambda \pi, \lambda > 1\} = \emptyset \text{ and } T(\pi) \cap \{\lambda \pi, \lambda > 1\} = \emptyset,$$

T satisfies in the condition (12). Also, note that  $x_0 = 0$  is a fixed point of T.

### Acknowledgments

The authors express their gratitude to the referees for their helpful suggestions concerning the final version of the manuscript.

286

#### References

- S. Heikkila, Existence and comparison results for fixed points of multifunctions with applications to normal-form games, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 332 (2007) 315– 333.
- [2] S. Heikkila and S. Hu, On fixed points of multifunctions in ordered spaces, Appl. Anal. 51(1-4) (1993) 115–127.
- [3] H.E. Kunze, D. La Torre and E.R. Vrscay, Contraction multifunctions, fixed point inclusions and iterated multifunction systems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 330 (2007) 159–173.
- [4] M. Lassonde, Fixed points of Kakutani factorizable multifunctions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 152 (1990) 46–60.
- [5] J. Matkowski, Remarks on Lipschitzian mappings and some fixed point theorems, Banach J. Math. Anal. 1(2) (2007) 237–244.
- [6] S.B. Nadler Jr., Multivalued contraction mappings, *Pacific J. Math.* 30 (1969) 475–488.
- [7] N.S. Papageorgiou, Convergence theorems for fixed points of multifunctions and solutions of differential inclusions in Banach spaces, *Glas. Mat. Ser. III* 23 (43)(2) (1988) 247–257.
- [8] D. Reem, S. Reich and A. Zaslavski, Two results in metric fixed point theory, J. Fixed Point Theory Appl. 1 (2007) 149–157.
- [9] H. Schirme, Coincidences and fixed points of multifunctions into trees, *Pacific J. Math.* 34 (1970) 759–767.

#### Sh. Rezapour, R. Hamlbarani Haghi

Department of Mathematics, Azarbaidjan University of Tarbiat Moallem, Azarshahr, Tabriz, Iran.

Email: sh.rezapour@azaruniv.edu

rchi