# LINEAR PRESERVING GD-MAJORIZATION FUNCTIONS FROM $M_{n,m}$ TO $M_{n,k}$

A. ARMANDNEJAD\* AND H. HEYDARI

## Communicated by Heydar Radjavi

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathbf{M}_{n,m}$  be the vector space of all  $n \times m$  real matrices. For  $A, B \in \mathbf{M}_{n,m}$ , it is said that B is gd-majorized by A (written  $A \succ_{gd} B$ ) if for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  there exists a g-doubly stochastic matrix  $D_x$  such that  $Bx = D_x(Ax)$ . Here, we show that if  $A \succ_{gd} B$ , then there exists a g-doubly stochastic matrix D (independent of x) such that B = DA. Also, the possible structures of linear preserving gd-majorization functions from  $\mathbf{M}_{n,m}$  to  $\mathbf{M}_{n,k}$  are found. Finally, all linear strongly preserving gd-majorization functions from  $\mathbf{M}_{n,m}$  to  $\mathbf{M}_{n,k}$  are characterized.

#### 1 Introduction

Let  $T: \mathbf{M}_{n,m} \to \mathbf{M}_{n,k}$  be a linear function and let  $\sim$  be a relation on both  $\mathbf{M}_{n,m}$  and  $\mathbf{M}_{n,k}$ . We say that T preserves  $\sim$  when  $X \sim Y$  implies  $TX \sim TY$ ; if in addition,  $TX \sim TY$  implies  $X \sim Y$ , we say that T strongly preserves  $\sim$ . For  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , it is said that x is vector majorized by y (written  $y \succ x$ ) if there exists a doubly stochastic matrix D such that x = Dy. For given  $X, Y \in \mathbf{M}_{n,m}$ , it is said that X is directionally majorized by Y (written  $Y \succ_d X$ ) if  $Yv \succ Xv$ , for all  $v \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . The linear preservers of  $\succ_d$  on  $\mathbf{M}_{n,m}$  have been characterized in [7]. Some

MSC(2010): Primary: 15A04; Secondary: 15A21, 15A51.

Keywords: Doubly stochastic matrix, g-doubly stochastic matrix, girectional majorization, gd-majorization, linear preserver.

Received: 27 April 2009, Accepted: 28 December 2009.

<sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author

<sup>©</sup> 2011 Iranian Mathematical Society.

types of majorization and their linear preservers are presented in [1], [5] and [6]. Throughout the paper, the notation  $\mathbf{M}_n$  is fixed for the algebra of all  $n \times n$  real matrices. The space  $\mathbf{M}_{n,1}$  of all  $n \times 1$  real vectors is denoted by the usual notation  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The collection of all  $n \times n$  permutation matrices is denoted by  $\mathcal{P}_n$ . The notation  $X = [x_1|\cdots|x_m]$  is used for an  $n \times m$  matrix with  $x_j \in \mathbb{R}^n$  as the jth column of X  $(1 \leq j \leq m)$ . The letters  $\mathbf{J}$  and e stand for the square matrix and the vector, which respectively all of their entries are 1, and the dimensions of the matrix  $\mathbf{J}$  and the vector e are understood from the context. The standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is denoted by  $\{\epsilon_1, ..., \epsilon_n\}$ . The notation  $A^t$  stands for the transpose of a given matrix A. For a given vector  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ , tr(x) is the sum of all components of x. Now, we state an extension of a familiar result [7, Theorem 2] about linear functions preserving directional majorization from  $\mathbf{M}_{n,m}$  to  $\mathbf{M}_{n,k}$ .

**Proposition 1.1.** [2, Theorem 1.3] A linear function  $T: \mathbf{M}_{n,m} \to \mathbf{M}_{n,k}$  preserves directional majorization if and only if one of the following holds.

(i) There exist 
$$A_1, \ldots, A_m \in \mathbf{M}_{n,k}$$
 such that  $T(X) = \sum_{j=1}^m (trx_j)A_j$ ,

where,  $X = [x_1| \cdots |x_m]$ .

(ii) There exist  $R, S \in \mathbf{M}_{m,k}$  and  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n$  such that T(X) = PXR + JXS.

A (not necessarily nonnegative) matrix  $D \in \mathbf{M}_n$  with the properties De=e and  $D^te=e$  is said to be a g-doubly stochastic matrix. This generalization of stochastic matrices was introduced in [4]. We denote the set of all  $n \times n$  g-doubly stochastic matrices by  $\mathbf{GD}_n$ . For matrices  $A, B \in \mathbf{M}_{n,m}$ , it is said that B is gs-majorized by A (written  $A \succ_{gs} B$ ) if there exists an  $n \times n$  g-doubly stochastic matrix D such that B=DA. In [3], the authors found the possible structures of all linear operators preserving  $\succ_{gs}$  on  $\mathbf{M}_{n,m}$  as follows.

**Proposition 1.2.** [3, Theorem 3.3] Let  $T : \mathbf{M}_{n,m} \to \mathbf{M}_{n,m}$  be a linear operator that preserves  $\succ_{gs}$ . Then, one of the following holds.

- (i) There exist  $A_1, \dots, A_m \in \mathbf{M}_{n,m}$  such that  $T(X) = \sum_{j=1}^m tr(x_j) A_j$ , where,  $X = [x_1 | \dots | x_m]$ .
- (ii) There exist  $S \in \mathbf{M}_m$ ,  $a_1, \ldots, a_m \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and invertible matrices  $D_1, \ldots, D_m \in \mathbf{GD}_n$  such that  $T(X) = [D_1 X a_1 | \cdots | D_m X a_m] + JXS$ .

For  $A, B \in \mathbf{M}_{n,m}$ , it is said that B is gd-majorized by A (written  $A \succ_{gd} B$ ) if  $Ax \succ_{gs} Bx$ , for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . In fact,  $A \succ_{gd} B$  if and only

if, for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , there exists a g-doubly stochastic matrix  $D_x$  such that  $Bx = D_x(Ax)$ . Here we prove the following theorem which gives the possible structures of all linear functions preserving  $\succ_{gd}$  from  $\mathbf{M}_{n,m}$  to  $\mathbf{M}_{n,k}$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** Let  $T : \mathbf{M}_{n,m} \to \mathbf{M}_{n,k}$  be a linear function that preserves  $\succ_{qd}$ . Then, one of the following holds.

- (i) There exist  $A_1, \ldots, A_m \in \mathbf{M}_{n,k}$  such that  $T(X) = \sum_{j=1}^m tr(x_j)A_j$ , where,  $X = [x_1 | \ldots | x_m]$ .
- (ii) There exist  $R, S \in \mathbf{M}_{m,k}$  and an invertible matrix  $D \in \mathbf{GD}_n$  such that  $T(X) = DXR + \mathbf{J}XS$ .
- (iii) There exist  $S \in \mathbf{M}_{m,k}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $r_1, \ldots, r_k \in \mathbb{R}$  and invertible matrices  $D_1, \ldots, D_k \in \mathbf{GD}_n$  such that  $T(X) = [r_1D_1Xa| \ldots |r_kD_kXa] + \mathbf{J}XS$ .

## 2. Gd-Majorization

In this section, we present some properties of  $\succ_{gd}$  and then show that the relation implies  $\succ_{gs}$  on  $\mathbf{M}_{n,m}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** Let x and y be two distinct vectors in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Then,  $x \succ_{gs} y$  if and only if  $x \notin span\{e\}$  and tr(x) = tr(y).

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $A = [a_1| \cdots | a_m], B = [b_1| \cdots | b_m] \in \mathbf{M}_{n,m}$ . Then, B is gd-majorized by A if and only if the following conditions hold.

- (a) For every i  $(1 \le i \le m)$ ,  $tr(a_i) = tr(b_i)$ ; in other words,  $A^t e = B^t e$ .
  - (b) For every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$  such that  $Ax \in span\{e\}$ , Ax = Bx.

Proof. It is clear that  $A \succ_{gd} B$  implies the conditions (a) and (b). Conversely, assume (a) and (b) hold. For  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , if  $Ax \in span\{e\}$ , then Bx = Ax, and hence  $Ax \succ_{gs} Bx$ . If  $Ax \notin span\{e\}$ , since  $tr(b_i) = tr(a_i)$  for every i  $(1 \le i \le n)$ , then tr(Bx) = tr(Ax). So,  $Ax \succ_{gs} Bx$ , by Lemma 2.1, and therefore  $A \succ_{gd} B$ .

**Remark 2.3.** Let  $X, Y \in \mathbf{M}_{n,m}$ ,  $A, B \in \mathbf{GD}_n$ ,  $C \in \mathbf{M}_m$  and  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  such that A, B and C are invertible and  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Then, the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $X \succ_{gd} Y$ .
- (2)  $AX \succ_{qd} BY$ .
- (3)  $\alpha X + \beta \mathbf{J}_{nm} \succ_{gd} \alpha Y + \beta \mathbf{J}_{nm}$ , where  $\mathbf{J}_{nm} \in \mathbf{M}_{n,m}$  is the matrix with all entries equal to one.

(4)  $XC \succ_{gd} YC$ .

Now, we show that  $\succ_{gs}$  coincides with  $\succ_{gd}$  on  $\mathbf{M}_{n,m}$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $A, B \in \mathbf{M}_n$ . If A is invertible and  $A \succ_{gd} B$ , then  $A \succ_{gs} B$ .

Proof. Put  $D = BA^{-1}$ . Since DA = B, it is enough to show that D is a g-doubly stochastic matrix. By invertibility of A, there exists a unique  $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $Ax_0 = e$ , and hence  $Bx_0 = e$ , by Proposition 2.2. So,  $De = (BA^{-1})e = B(A^{-1}e) = Bx_0 = e$ . On the other hand,  $A^te = B^te$ , by Proposition 2.2, and hence  $D^te = (BA^{-1})^t(e) = (A^{-1})^t(B^te) = (A^{-1})^tA^te = e$ .

**Lemma 2.5.** Let A = [C|D] and  $B = [E|F] \in \mathbf{M}_{n,m}$ , where  $C, E \in \mathbf{M}_{n,k}$  and  $D, F \in \mathbf{M}_{n,(m-k)}$ . Suppose that the columns of D are generated by the columns of C. If  $A \succ_{qd} B$  and  $C \succ_{qs} E$ , then  $A \succ_{qs} B$ .

Proof. Since  $C \succ_{gs} E$ , then there exits a g-doubly stochastic matrix  $R \in \mathbf{GD}_n$  such that RC = E, and hence  $Rc_i = e_i$   $(1 \le i \le k)$ , where  $c_i$  and  $e_i$  are the ith columns of C and E, respectively. We claim that RA = B. Suppose that d is the first column of D. Then, there exist scalars  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $d = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i c_i$ . Put  $x_0 = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k, -1, 0, \ldots, 0)^t \in \mathbb{R}^m$ . Then,  $Ax_0 = 0$ , and hence  $Bx_0 = 0$  by, Proposition 2.2. So,  $f = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i e_i$ , where f is the first column of F. Thus,  $Rd = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i Rc_i = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i e_i = f$ . This argument is valid for other columns of D and F, and hence RA = B.

**Theorem 2.6.** The concepts of gs and gd-majorization on  $\mathbf{M}_{n,m}$  are the same.

Proof. It is clear that  $\succ_{gs}$  implies  $\succ_{gd}$ , and so we prove only the converse. Let  $A, B \in \mathbf{M}_{n,m}$  and  $A \succ_{gd} B$ . By Remark 2.3,  $A \succ_{gd} B$  if and only if  $AP \succ_{gd} BP$ , for every permutation matrix  $P \in \mathbf{M}_n$ . Then, without loss of generality, we can assume that A = [C|D], where,  $C = [c_1|\cdots|c_k] \in \mathbf{M}_{n,k}$  is a full rank matrix,  $D = [d_1|\cdots|d_{m-k}] \in \mathbf{M}_{n,(m-k)}$  and  $d_1, \cdots, d_{m-k} \in span\{c_1, \cdots, c_k\}$  (C or D can be vacuous). It is clear that  $k \leq n$ . Choose some vectors  $c_{k+1}, \cdots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $C' = [c_1|\cdots|c_n] \in \mathbf{M}_n$  is an invertible matrix. Consider the matrices  $E \in \mathbf{M}_{n,k}$  and  $F \in \mathbf{M}_{n,(m-k)}$  such that B = [E|F]. Since C' is invertible, then there exists a unique vector  $x = (x_1, \cdots, x_n)^t \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that C'x = e. Put  $y = (x_1, \cdots, x_k)^t \in \mathbb{R}^k$ . Since  $A \succ_{gd} B$ , then

 $C \succ_{ad} E$ , by Proposition 2.2, and hence  $Cy \succ_{as} Ey$ . So, there exists a g-doubly stochastic matrix  $R \in \mathbf{M}_n$  such that RCy = Ey. Put E' = $[E|Rc_{k+1}|\cdots|Rc_n] \in \mathbf{M}_n$ . Then,  $E'x = x_1e_1 + \cdots + x_ke_k + x_{k+1}Rc_{k+1} + \cdots$  $\cdots + x_n Rc_n$ , where  $e_i$  is the *i*th column of E. Since RCy = Ey then R(C'x) = Re = e. On the other hand,  $tr(e_i) = tr(c_i)$ , for every i  $(1 \le i \le k)$ , and  $tr(c_i) = tr(Rc_i)$ , for every  $i (k+1 \le i \le n)$ . Then,  $C' \succ_{gd} E'$ , by Proposition 2.2. Therefore,  $C' \succ_{gs} E'$ , by Lemma 2.4, and hence  $C \succ_{gs} E$ . Since  $d_1, \dots, d_{m-k} \in span\{c_1, \dots, c_k\}$  and  $A \succ_{gd} B$ , we get  $A \succ_{qs} B$ , by Lemma 2.5.

#### 3. Linear Preservers

In this section, we prove the following statements which shed light on the structure of linear functions preserving  $\succ_{qd}$  from  $\mathbf{M}_{n,m}$  to  $\mathbf{M}_{n,k}$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $T: \mathbf{M}_{n,m} \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be a linear function. Then, T $preserves \succ_{gs} if and only if one of the following holds.$ 

- (a) There exist  $a_1, \ldots, a_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $T(X) = \sum_{j=1}^m tr(x_j)a_j$ , where,
- (b) There exist  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and an invertible matrix  $A \in \mathbf{GD}_n$  such that T(X) = AXa + JXb.

*Proof.* The fact that each of the conditions (a) or (b) is sufficient for T to be a preserver of  $\succ_{qs}$  is easy to prove. So, we prove the necessity of the conditions. Define  $T': \mathbf{M}_{n,m} \to \mathbf{M}_{n,m}$  by T'(X) = [T(X)|0], where 0 denotes an  $n \times (m-1)$  zero block. Clearly, T' is a linear function which preserves  $\succ_{qs}$ . Then, by Proposition 1.2, T' has one of the following

- (i)  $T'(X) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} tr(x_j)B_j$ , for some  $B_1, \dots, B_m \in \mathbf{M}_{n,m}$ . So,  $T(X) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} tr(x_j)a_j$ , where  $a_j$  is the first column of  $B_j$ , for every j  $(1 \le j \le m)$ ,
- and hence (a) holds.
- (ii)  $T'(X) = [D_1Xa_1] \dots [D_mXa_m] + \mathbf{J}XS$ , for some  $S \in \mathbf{M}_m, a_1, \dots, a_m$  $\in \mathbb{R}^n$  and invertible matrices  $D_1, \ldots, D_m \in \mathbf{GD}_n$ . So,  $TX = D_1Xa_1 +$ JXb, where b is the first column of S, and hence (b) holds.

**Lemma 3.2.** [3, Lemma 3.1] Let  $A \in GD_n$  be invertible. Then, the following conditions are equivalent.

- (a)  $A = \alpha I + \beta J$ , for some  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$ .
- (b)  $(x+Ay) \succ_{qs} (Dx+ADy)$ , for all  $D \in \mathbf{GD}_n$  and for all  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

**Remark 3.3.** Assume that  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  are of the form (a) and (b) in Theorem 3.1, respectively. Then,  $T_1 = T_2$  if and only if a = 0 and  $a_i = \lambda_j e$ , for every j  $(1 \leq j \leq m)$ , where  $a, a_j$   $(1 \leq j \leq m)$  and  $b = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)^t$  are as in Theorem 3.1.

**Lemma 3.4.** Let  $T_1, T_2 : \mathbf{M}_{n,m} \to \mathbb{R}^n$  be two linear preservers of  $\succ_{gs}$ such that  $T_1 + T_2$  preserves  $\succ_{qs}$ . If  $T_1(X) = DXa + JXb$ , for some  $a,b \in \mathbb{R}^m$ ,  $a \neq 0$  and an invertible matrix  $D \in GD_n$ , then  $T_2(X) =$ D'Xc + JXd, for some  $c, d \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and an invertible matrix  $D' \in GD_n$ .

*Proof.* Since  $T_1 + T_2$  preserves  $\succ_{qs}$ , then  $T_1 + T_2$  is of the form (a) or (b) in Theorem 3.1. Now, consider two cases.

Case 1: Suppose that  $T_1 + T_2$  is of the form (a). Since  $T_2$  preserves  $\succ_{as}$ , it is of the form (a) or (b) in Theorem 3.1. Assume, if possible,  $T_2$  is of the form (a). Then,  $T_1 = (T_1 + T_2) - T_2$  is of the form (a), as well. So, by Remark 3.3, we obtain a = 0, which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $T_2$  is of the form (b).

Case 2: Suppose that  $T_1 + T_2$  is of the form (b). So,  $(T_1 + T_2)(X) =$  $BXa' + \mathbf{J}Xb'$ , for some  $a', b' \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and invertible matrix  $B \in \mathbf{GD}_n$ . Assume, if possible,  $T_2$  is of the form (a) and is not of the form (b). Then, by Theorem 3.1 and Remark 3.3, there exist (not all in  $span\{e\}$ )

 $a_1, \ldots, a_m \in \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $T_2(X) = \sum_{j=1}^m tr(x_j)a_j$ . Without loss of generality, suppose that  $a_1 \notin span\{e\}$ . Put  $X := [e|0| \ldots |0] \in \mathbf{M}_{n,m}$ . So,

(3.1) 
$$na_1 = \sum_{j=1}^m tr(x_j)a_j = T_2(X)$$
$$= (T_1 + T_2 - T_1)(X)$$
$$= [a'_1 + nb'_1 - a_1 - nb_1]e,$$

where,  $a'_1$ ,  $b'_1$ ,  $a_1$  and  $b_1$  are the first entry of a', b', a and b, respectively, which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $T_2$  is of the form (b), and hence there exist  $c, d \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and an invertible matrix  $D' \in \mathbf{GD}_n$  such that  $T_2(X) = D'Xc + \mathbf{J}Xd.$ 

Now, we can prove Theorem 1.3.

**Proof of Theorem 1.3.** Suppose that T preserves  $\succ_{qd}$ . Then, for every  $i \ (1 \leq i \leq k), T_i = E_i \circ T : \mathbf{M}_{n,m} \to \mathbb{R}^n$  preserves  $\succ_{qd}$ , where,  $E_i: \mathbf{M}_{n,k} \to \mathbb{R}^n$  is defined by  $E_i(A) = A\epsilon_i$ . Thus,  $T_i$  is of the form (a) or (b) in Theorem 3.1. Now, consider two cases.

Case 1: Assume  $T_i$  is of the form (a), for every i  $(1 \le i \le k)$ . Then,  $T_i(X) = \sum_{j=1}^m tr(x_j)a_j^i$ , for some  $a_j^i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ . Put  $A_j := [a_j^1|\dots|a_j^k]$ , for every

j  $(1 \le j \le m)$ . So,  $T(X) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} tr(x_j)A_j$ , and hence the condition (i) holds.

Case 2: Assume there exists p  $(1 \le p \le k)$  such that  $T_p(X) = D_pXa_p + \mathbf{J}Xb_p$ , for some  $a_p, b_p \in \mathbb{R}^k$ ,  $a_p \ne 0$  and an invertible matrix  $D_p \in \mathbf{GD}_n$ . Since T preserves  $\succ_{gd}$ , so  $T_p + T_j$  preserves  $\succ_{gd}$ , for every j  $(1 \le j \le k)$ . Then, by Lemma 3.4,  $T_j(X) = D_jXa_j + \mathbf{J}Xb_j$ , for some  $a_j, b_j \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , and an invertible matrix  $D_j \in \mathbf{GD}_n$ . So,

$$T(X) = [T_1(X)|\cdots|T_k(X)]$$

$$= [D_1Xa_1 + \mathbf{J}Xb_1|\cdots|D_mXa_m + \mathbf{J}Xb_k]$$

$$= [D_1Xa_1|\cdots|D_kXa_k] + \mathbf{J}X[b_1|\cdots|b_k].$$

If  $rank[a_1|\cdots|a_k] \geq 2$ , then, without loss of generality, we may assume that  $rank[a_1|a_2] = 2$ . Since for every  $X \in \mathbf{M}_{n,m}$  and every  $D \in \mathbf{GD}_n$ ,  $X \succ_{gs} DX$ , then  $(T_1 + T_2)X \succ_{gs} (T_1 + T_2)(DX)$ , and hence  $D_1Xa_1 + D_2Xa_2 \succ_{gs} D_1DXa_1 + D_2DXa_2$ . So, for every  $D \in \mathbf{GD}_n$ ,

$$Xa_1 + (D_1^{-1}D_2)Xa_2 \succ_{gs} DXa_1 + (D_1^{-1}D_2)DXa_2, \forall X \in \mathbf{M}_{n,m}.$$

Since  $a_1$  and  $a_2$  are linearly independent, we may put some suitable X in the above relation and obtain the following:

$$x + (D_1^{-1}D_2)y \succ_{gs} Dx + (D_1^{-1}D_2)Dy , \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^m , \forall D \in \mathbf{GD}_n .$$

Then, by Lemma 3.2,  $D_1^{-1}D_2 = \lambda_1 I + \mu_1 \mathbf{J}$ , and hence  $D_2 = \lambda_1 D_1 + \mu_1 \mathbf{J}$ , for some  $\lambda_1, \mu_1 \in \mathbb{R}$ . For every i  $(2 \leq i \leq k)$ , with  $a_i \neq 0$ , it is clear that  $\{a_1, a_i\}$  or  $\{a_2, a_i\}$  is linearly independent, and so, by a similar argument as above,  $D_i = \lambda_i D_1 + \mu_i \mathbf{J}$ , for some  $\lambda_i, \mu_i \in \mathbb{R}$ . Set  $D := D_1$ . Then, for every i  $(1 \leq i \leq k)$ ,  $D_i = \lambda_i D + \mu_i \mathbf{J}$ , for some  $\lambda_i, \mu_i \in \mathbb{R}$ , and hence  $T(X) = DXR + \mathbf{J}XS$ , where,  $R = [\lambda_1 a_1 | \cdots | \lambda_k a_k]$  and  $S = [\mu_1 a_1 + b_1 | \cdots | \mu_k a_k + b_k]$ . Therefore, the condition (ii) holds. If  $rank[a_1| \dots | a_k] \leq 1$ , then there exist  $a \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $r_1, \dots, r_k \in \mathbb{R}$  such that for every i  $(1 \leq i \leq k)$ ,  $a_i = r_i a$ . Therefore,  $T(X) = [r_1 D_1 X a | \dots | r_k D_k X a] + \mathbf{J}XS$ , where,  $S = [b_1 | \dots | b_k]$ , and hence the condition (iii) holds.

It is easy to show that if T is of the form (i) or (ii) in Theorem 1.3, then T preserves  $\succ_{gd}$ . The following example shows that there is a linear function of the form (iii) not preserving  $\succ_{gd}$ .

**Example 3.5.** Suppose that  $T: \mathbf{M}_{3,2} \to \mathbf{M}_{3,2}$  is defined by  $T(X) = [X\epsilon_1|PX\epsilon_1]$ , where,  $P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . So, T is of the form (iii) in

Theorem 1.3. Put  $A := \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 2 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $B := \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}$ . It is easy

to show that  $B \succ_{gd} A$  and  $TB \not\succ_{gd} TA$ . Then, T does not preserve  $\succ_{gd}$ 

It is clear that the form (ii) is a special case of the form (iii) in Theorem 1.3 (put  $D_1 = \cdots = D_k := D$  and  $R := [r_1 a] \cdots | r_k a]$ ). The following example shows that there is a linear function preserving  $\succ_{gd}$ , which is of the form (ii) but is not of the form (ii).

**Example 3.6.** [3, Example 3.5] Let  $T: \mathbf{M}_{3,2} \to \mathbf{M}_{3,2}$  be defined by  $T(X) = [X\epsilon_1|PX\epsilon_1]$ , where,  $P = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ . Then, T preserves  $\succ_{qd}$  and T is not of the form (ii) in Theorem 1.3.

Now, we state the following lemma which characterizes all strong linear preservers of  $\succ_{qd}$  from  $\mathbf{M}_{n,m}$  to  $\mathbf{M}_{n,k}$ .

**Lemma 3.7.** [2, Lemma 2.4] Let  $T: \mathbf{M}_{n,m} \to \mathbf{M}_{n,k}$  be a linear function of the form T(X) = XR + JXS, for some  $R, S \in \mathbf{M}_{m,k}$ . Then, T is injective if and only if R and R + nS are full-rank matrices.

*Proof.* It is easy to see that the matrix representation of T with respect to the standard bases of  $M_{n,m}$  and  $M_{n,k}$  is similar to the following block matrix:

$$\begin{pmatrix} R+nS & & * \\ & R & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & R \end{pmatrix} \in M_{nk,nm} .$$

Therefore, T is injective if and only if R and R+nS are full-rank matrices.

If T is a strong linear preserver of  $\succ_{gd}$  and T(A) = 0, then  $T(0) \succ_{gd} T(A)$ . So,  $0 \succ_{gd} A$ , and hence A = 0.

**Remark 3.8.** Every strong linear preserver of  $\succ_{gd}$  from  $M_{n,m}$  to  $M_{n,k}$  is injective.

If m = 1, then the following theorem is obtained from Theorem 3.1. So, in the proof we may assume  $m \ge 2$ .

**Theorem 3.9.** Let  $T: \mathbf{M}_{n,m} \to \mathbf{M}_{n,k}$  be a linear function. Then, T strongly preserves  $\succ_{gd}$  if and only if there exist an invertible matrix  $D \in \mathbf{GD}_n$  and matrices  $R, S \in \mathbf{M}_{m,k}$  such that R and R + nS are full-rank matrices and  $TX = DXR + \mathbf{J}XS$ .

Proof. If T is of the form  $TX = DXR + \mathbf{J}XS$ , for some invertible matrix  $D \in \mathbf{GD}_n$  and full-rank matrices  $R, R + nS \in \mathbf{M}_{m,k}$ , then it is easy to show that T is a strong linear preserver of  $\succ_{gd}$ . Conversely, assume T is a strong linear preserver of  $\succ_{gd}$ . So, T is of the form (i), (ii) or (iii) in Theorem 1.3. If T is of the form (i), then T is not injective, which is a contradiction. If T is of the form (iii), then we can choose  $0 \neq b \in (span\{a\})^{\perp}$ , by the assumption  $m \geq 2$ . Put  $X_0 := [b \mid -b \mid 0 \mid \cdots \mid 0]^t \in \mathbf{M}_{n,m}$ . So,  $X_0 \neq 0$  and  $T(X_0) = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore, T is of the form (ii), and by Lemma 3.7, R and R + nS are full-rank matrices.

# Acknowledgments

The authors are very grateful to the anonymous referee for his/her helpful comments and for detecting an error in the first version of the article which helped us to state Theorem 2.6.

#### References

- [1] A. Armandnejad, Right GW-majorization on  $\mathbf{M}_{n,m}$ , Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. **35** (2009) 69-76.
- [2] A. Armandnejad and H. R. Afshin, Linear functions preserving multivariate and directional majorization, *Iran. J. Math. Sci. Inform.* **5** (2010) 1-5.
- [3] A. Armandnejad and A. Salemi, The structure of linear preservers of gsmajorization, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. 32 (2006) 31-42.
- [4] H. Chiang and C.-K. Li, Generalized doubly stochastic matrices and linear preservers, *Linear Multilinear Algebra* 53 (2005) 1-11.
- [5] A. M. Hasani and M. Radjabalipuor, The structure of linear operators strongly preserving majorizations of matrices, *Electron. J. Linear Algebra* 15 (2006) 260-268.

- [6] F. Khalooei and A. Salemi, The structure of linear preservers of left matrix majorization on R<sup>p</sup>, Electron. J. Linear Algebra 18 (2009) 88-97.
- [7] C.-K. Li and E. Poon, Linear operators preserving directional majorization, Linear Algebra Appl. 325 (2001) 141-146.

### Ali Armandnejad and Hossein Heydari

Department of Mathematics, Vali-e-Asr University of Rafsanjan, P.O.Box 7713936417, Rafsanjan, Iran

Email: armandnejad@mail.vru.ac.ir and

Email: hosein8212@yahoo.com