Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society Vol. 37 No. 1 (2011), pp 247-268.

## ITERATIVE ALGORITHMS FOR FAMILIES OF VARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES FIXED POINTS AND EQUILIBRIUM PROBLEMS

### S. SAEIDI

Communicated by Heydar Radjavi

Communicated by Heydar Radjavi<br>
ABSTRACT. We introduce an iterative algorithm for finding a common element of the set of fixed points for an infinite family of nonegrams<br>
panisw mappings, the set of solutions of the varia ABSTRACT. We introduce an iterative algorithm for finding a common element of the set of fixed points for an infinite family of nonexpansive mappings, the set of solutions of the variational inequalities for a family of  $\alpha$ -inverse-strongly monotone mappings and the set of solutions of a system of equilibrium problems in a Hilbert space. We prove the strong convergence of the proposed iterative algorithm to the unique solution of a variational inequality, which is the optimality condition for a minimization problem. Moreover, we apply our result to the problem of finding a common fixed point of a family of strictly pseudocontractive mappings.

# 1. Introduction

Let  $C$  be a closed convex subset of a Hilbert space  $H$ . Then, a mapping S of C into itself is called nonexpansive if  $||Sx - Sy|| \le ||x - y||$ , for all  $x, y \in C$ . We denote by  $Fix(S)$  the set of fixed points of S.

Recall that a mapping  $B: C \to H$  is called  $\alpha$ -inverse-strongly monotone [3] if there exists a positive real number  $\alpha$  such that

$$
\langle Bx - By, x - y \rangle \ge \alpha ||Bx - By||^2, \ \forall x, y \in C.
$$

MSC(2010): Primary: 47H09; Secondary: 47H10, 47J20.

Keywords: Common fixed point, equilibrium problem, nonexpansive mapping, variational inequality, viscosity approximation.

Received: 21 May 2009, Accepted: 11 February 2010.

c 2011 Iranian Mathematical Society.

<sup>247</sup> 

It is easy to see that if  $B: C \to H$  is  $\alpha$ -inverse-strongly monotone, then it is a  $\frac{1}{\alpha}$ -Lipschitzian mapping.

Let  $B : C \to H$  be a mapping. The classical variational inequality problem is to find  $u \in C$  such that

$$
\langle Bu, v - u \rangle \ge 0, \ \forall v \in C.
$$

The set of solutions of this variational inequality is denoted by  $VI(C, B)$ .

For finding an element of  $Fix(S) \cap VI(C, B)$ , Takahashi and Toyoda [27] introduced the following iterative scheme:

$$
x_{n+1} = \epsilon_n x_n + (1 - \epsilon_n) SP_C(x_n - \lambda_n B x_n), \ \ n \ge 0,
$$

where,  $x_0 = x \in C$ ,  $\{\epsilon_n\}$  is a sequence in  $(0, 1)$ , and  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a sequence in  $(0, 2\alpha)$ .

On the other hand, Moudafi [16] introduced the viscosity approximation method for nonexpansive mappings. Let  $f$  be a contraction on a Hilbert space H (i.e.,  $||f(x)-f(y)|| \leq l||x-y||$ ,  $x, y \in H$  and  $0 \leq l < 1$ ). Starting with an arbitrary initial  $x_0 \in H$ , define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  recursively by

(1.1) 
$$
x_{n+1} = (1 - \epsilon_n) S x_n + \epsilon_n f(x_n), \quad n \ge 0,
$$

where,  $\{\epsilon_n\}$  is a sequence in  $(0, 1)$ . It is proved [16, 30] that, under certain appropriate conditions imposed on  $\{\epsilon_n\}$ , the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  generated by (1.1) strongly converges to the unique solution  $x^*$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  :=  $Fix(S)$  of the variational inequality

$$
\langle (I-f)x^*, x - x^* \rangle \ge 0, \ \ x \in \mathcal{F}.
$$

where,  $x_0 = x \in C$ ,  $\{e_n\}$  is a sequence in  $(0,1)$ , and  $\{\lambda_n\}$  is a sequence<br>in  $(0,2\alpha)$ .<br>On the other hand, Moudafi [16] introduced the viscosity approxima-<br>tion method for nonexpansive mappings. Let  $f$  be a contra Iterative methods for nonexpansive mappings have recently been applied to solve convex minimization problems and variational inequalities; see, e.g., [4, 10, , 21, 28, 29, 31, 32]. A typical problem is to minimize a quadratic function over the set of the fixed points of a nonexpansive mapping on a real Hilbert space  $H$ :

(1.2) 
$$
\min_{x \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{2} \langle Ax, x \rangle - \langle x, u \rangle,
$$

where,  $\mathcal F$  is the fixed point set of a nonexpansive mapping S on H and  $u$  is a given point in  $H$ . Assume  $A$  is strongly positive; that is, there is a constant  $\overline{\gamma} > 0$  with the property

$$
\langle Ax, x \rangle \ge \overline{\gamma} ||x||^2
$$
, for all  $x \in H$ .

Xu [28] (see also [31]) proved that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$ , defined by the iterative method

(1.3) 
$$
x_{n+1} = (I - \epsilon_n A) S x_n + \epsilon_n u, \quad n \ge 0,
$$

with the initial guess  $x_0 \in H$  chosen arbitrarily, converges strongly to the unique solution of the minimization problem (1.2) provided that the sequence  $\{\epsilon_n\}$  satisfies certain conditions.

Marino and Xu  $[15]$  combined the iterative method  $(1.3)$  with the viscosity approximation method (1.1) and considered the following general iterative method:

(1.4) 
$$
x_{n+1} = (I - \epsilon_n A) S x_n + \epsilon_n \gamma f(x_n), \quad n \ge 0,
$$

where,  $0 < \gamma < \overline{\gamma}/\alpha$ . They proved that if the sequence  $\{\epsilon_n\}$  satisfies appropriate conditions, then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$ , generated by (1.4), converges strongly to the unique solution of the variational inequality,

(1.5) 
$$
\langle (A - \gamma f)x^*, x - x^* \rangle \ge 0, \quad x \in \mathcal{F},
$$

which is the optimality condition for the minimization problem,

(1.6) 
$$
\min_{x \in \mathcal{F}} \frac{1}{2} \langle Ax, x \rangle - h(x)
$$

where, h is a potential function for  $\gamma f$  (i.e.,  $h'(x) = \gamma f(x)$ , for  $x \in H$ ).

(1.4)  $x_{n+1} = (I - \epsilon_n A) S x_n + \epsilon_n \gamma f(x_n), \quad n \ge 0$ <br>where,  $0 < \gamma < \overline{\gamma}/\alpha$ . They proved that if the sequence  $\{\epsilon_n\}$  satis<br>fies appropriate conditions, then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$ , generated by (1.4<br>converges strongly to the uniq Finding an optimal point in the intersection  $\mathcal F$  of the fixed point sets of a family of nonexpansive mappings is a task frequently arising from various areas of mathematical sciences and engineering. For example, the well-known convex feasibility problem reduces to finding a point in the intersection of the fixed point sets of a family of nonexpansive mappings; see, e.g., [1, 8]. A simple algorithmic solution to the problem of minimizing a quadratic function over  $\mathcal F$  is of an extreme value in many applications including set theoretic signal estimation; see, e.g., [14, 33].

On the other hand, let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $H$ . Let  $F: C \times C \to \mathbb{R}$  be a bifunction. The equilibrium problem for F is to determine its equilibrium points, i.e., the set

$$
EP(F) := \{ x \in C : F(x, y) \ge 0 \,\forall y \in C \}.
$$

Let  $\mathcal{G} = \{F_i\}_{i \in I}$  be a family of bifunctions from  $C \times C$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . The system of equilibrium problems for  $\mathcal{G} = \{F_i\}_{i \in I}$  is to determine common equilibrium points for  $\mathcal{G} = \{F_i\}_{i \in I}$ , i.e., the set

$$
(1.7) \quad EP(\mathcal{G}) := \{x \in C : F_i(x, y) \ge 0 \,\forall y \in C \,\forall i \in I\}.
$$

Many problems in applied sciences, such as monotone inclusion problems, saddle point problems, variational inequality problems, minimization problems, Nash equilibria in noncooperative games, vector equilibrium problems, as well as certain fixed point problems reduce into finding some element of  $EP(F)$ ; see [2, 9, 11]. The formulation (1.7) extends this formalism to systems of such problems, covering, in particular, various forms of feasibility problems [9, 12].

Given any  $r > 0$ , the operator  $J_r^F : H \to C$ , defined by

$$
J_r^F(x) := \{ z \in C : F(z, y) + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - z, z - x \rangle \ge 0 \; \forall y \in C \},\
$$

is called the resolvent of  $F$  (see [9, 12]).

It is shown [5, 7] that under suitable hypotheses on  $F$  ,  $J_{\mathcal{L}}^F : H \to C$  is single-valued and firmly nonexpansive and satisfies  $Fix(J_r^F) = EP(F)$ ,  $\forall r > 0.$ 

Using this result, Takahashi and Takahashi [26] introduced a viscosity approximation method for finding a common element of  $EP(F)$  and  $Fix(S)$ , where S is a nonexpansive mapping. Starting with an arbitrary element  $x_1$  in H, they defined the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  recursively by

(1.8) 
$$
x_{n+1} = \epsilon_n f(x_n) + (1 - \epsilon_n) S J_{r_n}^F x_n.
$$

They proved that, under certain appropriate conditions over  $\epsilon_n$  and  $r_n$ , the sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{J_{r_n}^F x_n\}$  both converge strongly to  $x^* =$  $P_{Fix(S) \cap EP(F)} f(x^*).$ 

By combining the schemes (1.4) and (1.8), Plubtieng and Punpaeng [17] proposed the following iterative scheme:

(1.9) 
$$
x_{n+1} = \epsilon_n \gamma f(x_n) + (I - \epsilon_n A) S J_{r_n}^F x_n.
$$

is called the resolvent of  $F$  (see [9, 12]).<br>
It is shown [5, 7] that under suitable hypotheses on  $F$ ,  $J_F^E : H \to C$  is single-valued and firmly nonexpansive and satisfies  $Fix_L(\tilde{J}_r^E) = EP(F)$ ,  $\forall r > 0$ .<br>
Using this result, They proved that if the sequences  $\{\epsilon_n\}$  and  $\{r_n\}$  of parameters satisfy appropriate conditions, then the sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{J_{r_n}^F x_n\}$  both converge strongly to the unique solution  $x^* \in \mathcal{F} := Fix(S) \cap EP(F)$  of the variational inequality (1.5), being the optimality condition for the minimization problem  $(1.6)$ . Note that the result in [15] is a particular case of this, corresponding to the choice  $F(x, y) = 0$  (so that  $J_{r_n}^F = I$ ).

Very recently, Colao et al. [6] proposed the following explicit scheme with respect to W-mappings for a finite family of nonexpansive mappings:

(1.10) 
$$
x_{n+1} = \epsilon_n \gamma f(x_n) + \beta x_n + ((1-\beta)I - \epsilon_n A)W_n J_{r_n}^F x_n.
$$

They proved, under weaker hypotheses, that both sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{J_{r_n}^F x_n\}$  converge strongly to a point  $x^* \in \mathcal{F}$ , which is the unique solution of the variational inequality (1.5).

nonexpansive mappings  $\varphi = \{S_i : C \to C\}$ , with respect to *W*-mappin<br>defined in [22]. We prove the strong convergence of the proposed it<br>ative process to the unique solution of the variational inequality (1.5,<br>Our results Here, motivated by Colao, et al. [6], Takahashi and Toyoda [27] and some of our previous results [19, 20], we introduce an iterative algorithm (Theorem 3.1) for finding a common element of the set of solutions of a system of equilibrium problems  $EP(G)$  for a family  $G = \{F_i : i =$  $1, \ldots, M$  of bifunctions, the set of solutions of variational inequalities  $VI(C, B_i)$  for a family  $\{B_i : j = 1 \dots N\}$  of  $\alpha$ -inverse-strongly monotone mapping from  $C$  into  $H$  and the set of fixed point for an infinite family of nonexpansive mappings  $\varphi = \{S_i : C \to C\}$ , with respect to W-mappings defined in [22]. We prove the strong convergence of the proposed iterative process to the unique solution of the variational inequality (1.5). Our results cover all previous schemes specified by  $(1.1), (1.3), (1.4),$ (1.8), (1.9) and (1.10). Moreover, we apply our result to the problem of finding a common fixed point of a family of strictly pseudocontractive mappings (Theorem 3.2).

# 2. Preliminaries

Let C be a nonempty closed and convex subset of H. Let  $F: C \times C \rightarrow$  $\mathbb R$  be a bifunction. The equilibrium problem for  $F$  is to determine its equilibrium points, i.e., the set

$$
EP(F) := \{ x \in C : F(x, y) \ge 0 \,\forall y \in C \}.
$$

Given any  $r > 0$ , the operator  $J_r^F : H \to C$ , defined by

$$
J_r^F(x) := \{ z \in C : F(z, y) + \frac{1}{r} \langle y - z, z - x \rangle \ge 0 \; \forall y \in C \},\
$$

is called the resolvent of  $F$ ; See [9, 12].

**Lemma 2.1.** (19, 12) Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of H and  $F: C \times C \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfy

(A1)  $F(x, x) = 0$ , for all  $x \in C$ ; (A2) F is monotone, i.e.,  $F(x, y) + F(y, x) \leq 0$ , for all  $x, y \in C$ . (A3) for all  $x, y, z \in C$ ,

$$
\liminf_{t \to 0} F(tz + (1-t)x, y) \le F(x, y);
$$

(A4) for all  $x \in C$ ,  $y \mapsto F(x, y)$  is convex and lower semicontinuous. Then,

(1)  $J_{r_{n}}^{F}$  is single-valued;

(2)  $J_r^F$  is firmly nonexpansive, i.e.,

$$
||J_r^F x - J_r^F y||^2 \le \langle J_r^F x - J_r^F y, x - y \rangle, \text{ for all } x, y \in H;
$$

- (3)  $Fix(J_r^F) = EP(F);$
- (4)  $EP(F)$  is closed and convex.

Recall that the metric (nearest point) projection  $P_C$  from a Hilbert space  $H$  to a closed convex subset  $C$  of  $H$  is defined as follows: given  $x \in H$ ,  $P_C x$  is the only point in C with the property,

$$
||x - P_C x|| = \inf{||x - y|| : y \in C}.
$$

It is known that  $P<sub>C</sub>$  is a nonexpansive mapping and satisfies

(2.1) 
$$
||P_Cx - P_Cy||^2 \le \langle P_Cx - P_Cy, x - y \rangle, \forall x, y \in H.
$$

 $P_C$  is characterized as follows:

$$
y = P_C x \iff \langle x - y, y - z \rangle \ge 0, \ \forall z \in C.
$$

In the context of the variational inequality problem, this implies:

(2.2) 
$$
u \in VI(C, B) \iff u = P_C(u - \lambda Bu), \quad \forall \lambda > 0.
$$

space *H* to a closed convex subset *C* of *H* is defined as follows: given<br>  $x \in H$ ,  $P_C x$  is the only point in *C* with the property,<br>  $||x - P_C x|| = \inf\{||x - y|| : y \in C\}$ .<br>
It is known that  $P_C$  is a nonexpansive mapping and sati A set-valued mapping  $T : H \to 2^H$  is said to be monotone if for all  $x, y \in H, f \in Tx$ , and  $g \in Ty$ , we have  $\langle f - g, x - y \rangle \ge 0$ . A monotone mapping  $T: H \to 2^H$  is said to be maximal if the graph  $G(T)$  of T is not properly contained in the graph of any other monotone mapping. It is known that a monotone mapping is maximal if and only if, for  $(x, f) \in H \times H$ ,  $\langle f - g, x - y \rangle \ge 0$ , and  $(y, g) \in G(T)$ , we have  $f \in Tx$ . Let  $B: C \to H$  be an inverse-strongly monotone mapping and let  $N_Cv$ be the normal cone to  $C$  at  $v \in C$ , i.e.,

$$
N_C v = \{ w \in H : \langle v - u, w \rangle \ge 0, \forall u \in C \},
$$

and define

$$
Tv = \begin{cases} Bv + N_C v, & v \in C, \\ \emptyset, & v \notin C. \end{cases}
$$

Then, T is maximal monotone and  $0 \in Tv$  if and only if  $v \in VI(C, B)$ (see [13, 18]).

The following lemma is an immediate consequence of the inner product on  $H$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** For all  $x, y \in H$ , we have

$$
||x + y||^{2} \le ||x||^{2} + 2\langle y, x + y \rangle.
$$

**Lemma 2.3.** ([29]) Assume  $\{a_n\}$  is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that

$$
a_{n+1} \le (1 - \gamma_n)a_n + \delta_n, \ \ n \ge 0,
$$

where,  $\{\gamma_n\}$  is a sequence in  $(0, 1)$  and  $\{\delta_n\}$  is a sequence in  $\mathbb R$  such that (i)  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n = \infty;$ (ii)  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \delta_n/\gamma_n \leq 0$  or  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\delta_n| < \infty$ . Then,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.4.** ([23]) Let  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{z_n\}$  be bounded sequences in a Banach space X and let  $\{\beta_n\}$  be a sequence in [0, 1] with  $0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \beta_n$ and  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \beta_n < 1$ . Suppose

$$
x_{n+1} = \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n) z_n,
$$

for all integers  $n \geq 0$  and

$$
\limsup_{n \to \infty} (\|z_{n+1} - z_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \le 0.
$$

Then,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - y_n|| = 0.$ 

**Definition 2.5.** Let  $\{S_i : C \to C\}$  be an infinite family of nonexpansive mappings and  $\{\mu_i\}$  be a nonnegative real sequence with  $0 \leq \mu_i < 1$ ,  $\forall i \geq 1$ . For any  $n \geq 1$ , define a mapping  $W_n: C \to C$  as follows:

Then, 
$$
\lim_{n\to\infty} a_n = 0
$$
.  
\n**Lemma 2.4.** ([23]) Let  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{z_n\}$  be bounded sequences in a B  
\nnach space X and let  $\{\beta_n\}$  be a sequence in [0, 1] with  $0 < \liminf_{n\to\infty} h$   
\nand  $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \beta_n < 1$ . Suppose  
\n $x_{n+1} = \beta_n x_n + (1 - \beta_n) z_n$ ,  
\nfor all integers  $n \ge 0$  and  
\n $\limsup_{n\to\infty} (\|z_{n+1} - z_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \le 0$ .  
\nThen,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|x_n - y_n\| = 0$ .  
\n**Definition 2.5.** Let  $\{S_i : C \to C\}$  be an infinite family of nonexpansi  
\nmapping and  $\{\mu_i\}$  be a nonnegative real sequence with  $0 \le \mu_i <$   
\n $\forall i \ge 1$ . For any  $n \ge 1$ , define a mapping  $W_n : C \to C$  as follows:  
\n $U_{n,n+1} := I$ ,  
\n $U_{n,n} := \mu_n S_n U_{n,n+1} + (1 - \mu_n) I$ ,  
\n $U_{n,n-1} := \mu_{n-1} S_{n-1} U_{n,n} + (1 - \mu_{n-1}) I$ ,  
\n $\{U_{n,k} := \mu_k S_k U_{n,k+1} + (1 - \mu_k) I$ ,  
\n $U_{n,k} := \mu_k S_k U_{n,k+1} + (1 - \mu_k) I$ ,  
\n $\{U_{n,k} := \mu_k S_k U_{n,k+1} + (1 - \mu_k) I$ ,  
\n $\{U_{n,2} := \mu_2 S_2 U_{n,3} + (1 - \mu_2) I$ ,  
\n $U_{n,2} := \mu_2 S_2 U_{n,3} + (1 - \mu_1) I$ .

Such a mapping  $W_n$  is nonexpansive from C to C and it is called the W-mapping, generated by  $S_n, S_{n-1}, \ldots, S_1$  and  $\mu_n, \mu_{n-1}, \ldots, \mu_1$ .

The concept of W-mappings was introduced in [24, 25]. It is now a main tool in studying convergence of iterative methods to common fixed points of nonlinear mappings.

**Lemma 2.6.** ([22]) Let C be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H,  $\varphi = \{S_i : C \to C\}$  be an infinite family of nonexpansive mappings with  $Fix(\varphi) := \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} Fix(S_i) \neq \emptyset$ , and  $\{\mu_i\}$  be a real sequence such that  $0 < \mu_i \leq b < 1$ ,  $\forall i \geq 1$ . Then,

(1)  $W_n$  is nonexpansive and  $Fix(W_n) = \bigcap_{i=1}^n Fix(S_i)$ , for each  $n \geq 1$ ; (2) for each  $x \in C$  and for each positive integer k,  $\lim_{n\to\infty} U_{n,k}x$  exists; (3) the mapping  $W: C \to C$ , defined by

$$
Wx := \lim_{n \to \infty} W_n x = \lim_{n \to \infty} U_{n,1}x,
$$

is a nonexpansive mapping satisfying  $Fix(W) = Fix(\varphi)$  and it is called the W-mapping, generated by  $S_1, S_2, \ldots$  and  $\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots$ ;  $(4)$  if K is any bounded subset of C, then

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \sup_{x \in K} ||Wx - W_n x|| = 0.
$$

**Lemma 2.7.**  $(15)$  Assume A is a strongly positive linear bounded operator on a Hilbert space H with coefficient  $\overline{\gamma} > 0$  and  $0 < \rho \leq ||A||^{-1}$ . Then,  $||I - \rho A|| \leq 1 - \rho \overline{\gamma}$ .

# 3. Main Results

The following is our main result.

*Archive mapping simples in the call of examples the mapping*  $W : C \to C$ *, defined by*<br> *Archive mapping with*  $G - \infty$ , defined by<br>  $Wx := \lim_{n \to \infty} W_n x = \lim_{n \to \infty} U_{n,1} x$ ,<br> *Archive mapping satisfying Fixt*(*V*) = Fix(*y*) and **Theorem 3.1.** Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H,  $\varphi = \{S_i : C \to C\}$  be an infinite family of nonexpansive mappings,  $\mathcal{G} = \{F_j : j = 1, ..., M\}$  be a finite family of bifunctions from  $C \times C$  into  $\mathbb R$  which satisfy  $(A1)$ - $(A4)$ ,  $\{B_k : k = 1...N\}$  be a finite family of  $\alpha$ -inverse-strongly monotone mappings from C into H, and  $\mathcal{F} := \bigcap_{k=1}^N VI(C, B_k) \cap Fix(\varphi) \cap EP(\mathcal{G}) \neq \emptyset.$ 

Let A be a strongly positive bounded linear operator with coefficient  $\overline{\gamma}$ , f be an l-contraction on H, for some  $0 < l < 1$ ,  $\{\epsilon_n\}$  be a sequence in (0, 1),  $\{\lambda_{k,n}\}_{k=1}^N$  be sequences in [a, b] with  $0 < a \le b < 2\alpha$ ,  $\{r_{j,n}\}_{j=1}^M$  be sequences in  $(0, \infty)$  and  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$  be two real numbers such that  $0 < \beta < 1$ and  $0 < \gamma < \overline{\gamma}/l$ . Assume:

 $(C1)$   $\lim_{n \in \mathbb{R}} \epsilon_n = 0;$  $(C2)$   $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n = \infty;$ (D1)  $\liminf_{n} r_{j,n} > 0$ , for every  $j \in \{1, ..., M\}$ ; (D2)  $\lim_{n} r_{j,n}/r_{j,n+1} = 1$ , for every  $j \in \{1, ..., M\}$ ; (D3)  $\lim_{n} |\lambda_{k,n} - \lambda_{k,n+1}| = 0$ , for every  $k \in \{1, ..., N\}$ . For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $W_n$  be the W-mapping defined by (2.3). If  $\{x_n\}$ is the sequence generated by  $x_1 \in H$  and  $\forall n \geq 1$ ,

(3.1) 
$$
\begin{cases}\nz_n = J_{r_{M,n}}^{F_M} \dots J_{r_{2,n}}^{F_2} J_{r_{1,n}}^{F_1} x_n, \\
y_n = P_C(I - \lambda_{N,n} B_N) \dots P_C(I - \lambda_{2,n} B_2) P_C(I - \lambda_{1,n} B_1) z_n, \\
x_{n+1} = \epsilon_n \gamma f(x_n) + \beta x_n + ((1 - \beta)I - \epsilon_n A) W_n y_n, \\
then \{x_n\} \text{ and } \{J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k} x_n\}_{k=1}^M \text{ converge strongly to } x^* \in \mathcal{F} := \bigcap_{k=1}^N V I(C, B \cap Fix(\varphi) \cap E P(G), which is the unique solution of the variational inequity (1.5). Equivalently, we have  $P_{\mathcal{F}}(I - A + \gamma f)x^* = x^*.$   
\nProof. Since  $\epsilon_n \to 0$ , we shall assume that  $\epsilon_n \leq (1 - \beta) ||A||^{-1}$  at  $1 - \epsilon_n (\overline{\gamma} - l\gamma) > 0$ . Observe that if  $||u|| = 1$ , then  $\langle ((1 - \beta)I - \epsilon_n A)u, u \rangle = (1 - \beta) - \epsilon_n \langle Au, u \rangle \geq (1 - \beta - \epsilon_n ||A||) \geq 0$   
\nBy Lemma 2.7, we have  
\n(3.2)  $|(1-\beta)I - \epsilon_n A|| \leq 1 - \beta - \epsilon_n \overline{\gamma}. \\
\text{Moreover, by taking} \mathcal{J}_n^k := J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k} \dots J_{r_{2,n}}^{F_2} J_{r_{1,n}}^{F_1}, \forall k \in \{1, \dots, M\}, \\
\mathcal{J}_n^0 := I, \\
\text{and} \mathcal{P}_n^k := P_C(I - \lambda_{k,n} B_k) \dots P_C(I - \lambda_{2,n} B_2) P_C(I - \lambda_{1,n} B_1), \forall k \in \{1, \dots, N\}, \\
P_n^0 := I, \\
\text{we write the scheme (3.1) as:} \quad x_{n+1} = \epsilon_n \gamma f(x_n) + \beta x_n + ((1 - \beta)I - \epsilon_n A) W_n P_n^N \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n. \n\end{cases}$
$$

then  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k}x_n\}_{k=1}^M$  converge strongly to  $x^* \in \mathcal{F} := \bigcap_{k=1}^N VI(C, B_k)$  $\cap Fix(\varphi) \cap EP(G)$ , which is the unique solution of the variational inequality (1.5). Equivalently, we have  $P_{\mathcal{F}}(I - A + \gamma f)x^* = x^*$ .

*Proof.* Since  $\epsilon_n \to 0$ , we shall assume that  $\epsilon_n \leq (1 - \beta) ||A||^{-1}$  and  $1 - \epsilon_n(\overline{\gamma} - l\gamma) > 0$ . Observe that if  $||u|| = 1$ , then

$$
\langle ((1 - \beta)I - \epsilon_n A)u, u \rangle = (1 - \beta) - \epsilon_n \langle Au, u \rangle \ge (1 - \beta - \epsilon_n ||A||) \ge 0.
$$

By Lemma 2.7, we have

(3.2) 
$$
|(1-\beta)I - \epsilon_n A|| \leq 1 - \beta - \epsilon_n \overline{\gamma}.
$$

Moreover, by taking  $\qquad \qquad \bullet$ 

$$
\mathcal{J}_n^k := J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k} \dots J_{r_{2,n}}^{F_2} J_{r_{1,n}}^{F_1}, \ \forall k \in \{1, \dots, M\},
$$

$$
\mathcal{J}_n^0 := I,
$$

and

$$
\mathcal{P}_n^k := P_C(I - \lambda_{k,n} B_k) \dots P_C(I - \lambda_{2,n} B_2) P_C(I - \lambda_{1,n} B_1), \ \forall k \in \{1, \dots, N\},
$$

$$
\mathcal{P}_n^0 := I,
$$

we write the scheme  $(3.1)$  as:

(3.3) 
$$
x_{n+1} = \epsilon_n \gamma f(x_n) + \beta x_n + ((1-\beta)I - \epsilon_n A)W_n \mathcal{P}_n^N \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n.
$$

We shall divide the proof into several steps.

Step 1. The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded.

*Proof of Step 1.* Let  $v \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since, for each  $k \in \{1, ..., M\}$ ,  $J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k}$  is nonexpansive, we have

$$
\mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - v \| = \| \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - \mathcal{J}_n^M v \| \le \| x_n - v \|.
$$

On the other hand, since for each  $k \in \{1, \ldots N\}$ , the mapping  $B_k : C \to$ H is an  $\alpha$ -inverse-strongly monotone mapping, for any  $x, y \in C$  and  $\lambda_{k,n} \in [a, b] \subset [0, 2\alpha]$ , we have

$$
||(I - \lambda_{k,n}B_k)x - (I - \lambda_{k,n}B_k)y||^2 = ||(x - y) - \lambda_{k,n}(B_kx - B_ky)||^2
$$
  
=  $||x - y||^2 - 2\lambda_{k,n}\langle x - y, B_kx - B_ky \rangle + \lambda_{k,n}^2 ||B_kx - B_ky||^2$   
 $\le ||x - y||^2 + \lambda_{k,n}(\lambda_{k,n} - 2\alpha) ||B_kx - B_ky||^2 \le ||x - y||^2,$ 

which implies that  $P_C(I - \lambda_{k,n}B_k)$  is nonexpansive, and consequently that the mappings  $\mathcal{P}_n^k$  are nonexpansive. From Lemma 2.6 and (2.2), we have  $\mathcal{P}_n^N v = v = W_n v$ . It follows that

$$
\leq ||x - y||^2 + \lambda_{k,n}(\lambda_{k,n} - 2\alpha)||B_kx - B_ky||^2 \leq ||x - y||^2,
$$
  
which implies that  $P_C(I - \lambda_{k,n}B_k)$  is nonexpansive, and consequently  
that the mappings  $\mathcal{P}_n^k$  are nonexpansive. From Lemma 2.6 and (2.2),  
we have  $\mathcal{P}_n^N v = v = W_n v$ . It follows that  
 $||x_{n+1} - v|| = ||((1 - \beta)I - \epsilon_n A)(W_n \mathcal{P}_n^N \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - W_n \mathcal{P}_n^N \mathcal{J}_n^M v)$   
 $\epsilon_n \gamma(f(x_n) - f(v)) + \epsilon_n(\gamma f(v) - Av) + \beta(x_n - v)||$   
 $\leq (1 - \epsilon_n(\overline{\gamma} - l\gamma))||x_n - v|| + \epsilon_n(\overline{\gamma} - l\gamma) \frac{||\gamma f(v) - Av||}{|\overline{\gamma} - l\gamma|},$   
which gives  
 $||x_n - v|| \leq \max\{||x_1 - v||, \frac{||\gamma f(v) - Av||}{|\overline{\gamma} - l\gamma|}\}, \forall n \geq 1.$   
Step 2. Let  $\{\omega_n\}$  be a bounded sequence in H. Then,  
(3.4)  
for every  $k \in \{1, ..., M\}$ .  
*Proof of Step 2.* From [6, Step 2], we have that  
(3.5)  
 $\lim_{m} ||J_{r_{k,n+1}}^k \omega_n - J_{r_{k,n}}^k \omega_n || = 0,$   
for for every  $k \in \{1, ..., M\}$ . Note that for every  $k \in \{1, ..., M\}$ , we  
have  
 $\mathcal{J}_n^k = J_{r_{k,n}}^F \mathcal{J}_n^{k-1}.$   
So,  
 $\mathcal{J}_n^k = J_{r_{k,n}}^F \mathcal{J}_n^{k-1} \mathcal{J}_n^{k$ 

which gives

$$
||x_n - v|| \le \max\{||x_1 - v||, \frac{||\gamma f(v) - Av||}{\overline{\gamma} - l\gamma}\}, \ \forall n \ge 1.
$$

Step 2. Let  $\{\omega_n\}$  be a bounded sequence in H. Then,

(3.4) 
$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\mathcal{J}_{n+1}^k w_n - \mathcal{J}_n^k \omega_n\| = 0,
$$

for every  $k \in \{1, \ldots, M\}$ .

 $\mathbb{I}$ 

Proof of Step 2. From [6, Step 2], we have that

(3.5) 
$$
\lim_{n} \|J_{r_{k,n+1}}^{F_k} \omega_n - J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k} \omega_n \| = 0,
$$

for for every  $k \in \{1, ..., M\}$ . Note that for every  $k \in \{1, ..., M\}$ , we have

$$
\mathcal{J}_n^k = J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k} \mathcal{J}_n^{k-1}.
$$

So,

$$
\label{eq:20} \begin{split} &\left\| \mathcal{J}_{n+1}^k w_n - \mathcal{J}_{n}^k \omega_n \right\| \leq \left\| J_{r_{k,n+1}}^{F_k} \mathcal{J}_{n+1}^{k-1} w_n - J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k} \mathcal{J}_{n+1}^{k-1} w_n \right\| \\ &+ \left\| J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k} J_{r_{k-1,n+1}}^{F_{k-1}} \mathcal{J}_{n+1}^{k-2} w_n - J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k} J_{r_{k-1,n}}^{F_{k-1}} \mathcal{J}_{n+1}^{k-2} w_n \right\| + \dots \\ &+ \left\| J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k} J_{r_{k-1,n}}^{F_{k-1}} \dots J_{r_{3,n}}^{F_3} J_{r_{2,n+1}}^{F_2} J_{r_{1,n+1}}^{F_1} \omega_n - J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k} J_{r_{k-1,n}}^{F_{k-1}} \dots J_{r_{3,n}}^{F_3} J_{r_{2,n}}^{F_2} J_{r_{1,n+1}}^{F_1} \omega_n \right\| \end{split}
$$

+ 
$$
||J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k} J_{r_{k-1,n}}^{F_{k-1}} \dots J_{r_{3,n}}^{F_3} J_{r_{2,n}}^{F_2} J_{r_{1,n+1}}^{F_1} \omega_n - J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k} J_{r_{k-1,n}}^{F_{k-1}} \dots J_{r_{3,n}}^{F_3} J_{r_{2,n}}^{F_2} J_{r_{1,n}}^{F_1} \omega_n
$$
  
\n $\leq ||J_{r_{k,n+1}}^{F_k} J_{n+1}^{k-1} w_n - J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k} J_{n+1}^{k-1} w_n||$   
\n+  $||J_{r_{k-1,n+1}}^{F_{k-1}} J_{n+1}^{k-2} w_n - J_{r_{k-1,n}}^{F_{k-1}} J_{n+1}^{k-2} w_n||$   
\n+  $\cdots$  +  $||J_{r_{2,n+1}}^{F_2} J_{r_{1,n+1}}^{F_1} \omega_n - J_{r_{2,n}}^{F_2} J_{r_{1,n+1}}^{F_1} \omega_n|| + ||J_{r_{1,n+1}}^{F_1} \omega_n - J_{r_{1,n}}^{F_1} \omega_n||$   
\n=  $\sum_{j=1}^k ||J_{r_{j,n+1}}^{F_j} (J_{n+1}^{j-1} w_n) - J_{r_{j,n}}^{F_j} (J_{n+1}^{j-1} w_n)||$ .

Now, apply (3.5) to conclude (3.4).

Step 3. Let  $\{\omega_n\}$  be a bounded sequence in C. Then,

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} ||P_C(I - \lambda_{k,n+1} B_k) w_n - P_C(I - \lambda_{k,n} B_k) \omega_n|| = 0,
$$

and

$$
\lim_{n \to \infty} \|\mathcal{P}_{n+1}^k w_n - \mathcal{P}_n^k \omega_n\| = 0,
$$

for every  $k \in \{1, \ldots, N\}.$ 

*Proof of Step 3.* Since  $\{\omega_n\}$  is bounded and  $B_k$ , for  $k \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ , is a Lipschitzian mapping, we know that

$$
L := \sup_n \{ \| B_k \omega_n \| \} < \infty.
$$

Now,

$$
||P_C(I - \lambda_{k,n+1}B_k)w_n - P_C(I - \lambda_{k,n}B_k)w_n||
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq ||(I - \lambda_{k,n+1}B_k)w_n - (I - \lambda_{k,n}B_k)w_n||
$$

$$
= |\lambda_{k,n+1} - \lambda_{k,n}| \|B_k \omega_n\| \le |\lambda_{k,n+1} - \lambda_{k,n}| L \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty.
$$

From this and applying a technique similar to that used in proof of Step 2, it is easy to prove the second assertion.

Step 4.  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_{n+1} - x_n|| = 0.$ 

*Proof of Step 4.* Define a sequence  $\{z_n\}$  by  $z_n = (x_{n+1} - \beta x_n)/(1 - \beta)$ so that  $x_{n+1} = \beta x_n + (1 - \beta) z_n$ .

Now, compute

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty} ||P_C(1-\lambda_{k,n+1}B_k)w_n - P_C(1-\lambda_{k,n}B_k)\omega_n|| = 0,
$$
  
and  

$$
\lim_{n\to\infty} ||P_{n+1}^k w_n - P_{n}^k \omega_n|| = 0,
$$
  
for every  $k \in \{1, ..., N\}.$   
Proof of Step 3. Since { $\omega_n$ } is bounded and  $B_k$ , for  $k \in \{1, ..., N\}$ ,  
is a Lipschitzian mapping, we know that  

$$
L := \sup_{n} {||B_k \omega_n||} < \infty.
$$
  
Now,  

$$
||P_C(1-\lambda_{k,n+1}B_k)w_n - P_C(1-\lambda_{k,n}B_k)\omega_n||
$$

$$
\leq ||(1-\lambda_{k,n+1}B_k)w_n - (1-\lambda_{k,n}B_k)\omega_n||
$$

$$
= |\lambda_{k,n+1} - \lambda_{k,n}||B_k \omega_n|| \leq |\lambda_{k,n+1} - \lambda_{k,n}|L \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty.
$$
  
From this and applying a technique similar to that used in proof of Step 2, it is easy to prove the second assertion.  
Step 4.  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_{n+1} - x_n|| = 0.$   
Proof of Step 4. Define a sequence { $z_n$ } by  $z_n = (x_{n+1} - \beta x_n)/(1 - \beta)$   
so that  $x_{n+1} = \beta x_n + (1 - \beta) z_n$ .  
Now, compute  
(3.6)  

$$
||z_{n+1} - z_n|| = \frac{1}{1-\beta} ||(x_{n+2} - \beta x_{n+1} - (x_{n+1} - \beta x_n)||
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{1-\beta} ||\gamma(\epsilon_n f(x_{n+1}) - \epsilon_n f(x_n)) + ((1-\beta)I - \epsilon_{n+1}A)W_{n+1}P_{n+1}^N J_{n+1}^M x_{n+1} - ((1-\beta)I - \epsilon_nA)W_nP_n^N J_n^M x_n||
$$

$$
= ||\frac{\gamma}{1-\beta}(\epsilon_n f(x_{n+1}) - \epsilon_n f(x_n)) - \frac{1}{1-\beta}(\epsilon_{n+1}A W_{n+1}P_{n+1}^N J_{n+1}^M
$$

$$
-\epsilon_n AW_n \mathcal{P}_n^N \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n) + W_{n+1} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}^N \mathcal{J}_{n+1}^M x_{n+1} - W_n \mathcal{P}_n^N \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n \|.
$$

Since  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded and by (3.6), we have for some big enough constant  $K > 0$ ,

$$
(3.7) \|z_{n+1} - z_n\| \le \|W_{n+1} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}^N \mathcal{J}_{n+1}^M x_{n+1} - W_n \mathcal{P}_n^N \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n\| + K(\epsilon_{n+1} + \epsilon_n)
$$
  
\n
$$
\le \|W_{n+1} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}^N \mathcal{J}_{n+1}^M x_{n+1} - W_{n+1} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}^N \mathcal{J}_{n+1}^M x_n\|
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \|W_{n+1} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}^N \mathcal{J}_{n+1}^M x_n - W_{n+1} \mathcal{P}_{n+1}^N \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n\|
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \|W_{n+1} \mathcal{P}_n^N \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - W_{n+1} \mathcal{P}_n^N \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n\|
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \|W_{n+1} \mathcal{P}_n^N \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - W_n \mathcal{P}_n^N \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n\| + K(\epsilon_{n+1} + \epsilon_n)
$$
  
\n
$$
\le \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \|\mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n\| + \|\mathcal{P}_{n+1}^N \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - \mathcal{P}_n^N \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n\|
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \|W_{n+1} \mathcal{P}_n^N \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - W_n \mathcal{P}_n^N \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n\| + K(\epsilon_{n+1} + \epsilon_n).
$$

Now, since  $\epsilon_n \to 0$  and by Steps 2, 3 and Lemma 2.6, we immediately conclude from (3.7) that

$$
\limsup_{n} (\|z_{n+1} - z_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|)
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \limsup_{n} {\{\| \mathcal{J}_{n+1}^M x_n - \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n \| + \| \mathcal{P}_{n+1}^N \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - \mathcal{P}_n^N \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n \|}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \|W_{n+1} \mathcal{P}_n^N \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - W_n \mathcal{P}_n^N \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n \| + K(\epsilon_{n+1} + \epsilon_n) \} \leq 0.
$$

Apply Lemma 2.4 to get  $\lim_{n} ||x_{n+1} - x_n|| = (1 - \beta) \lim_{n} ||x_n - x_n|| = 0.$ 

Step 5.  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \|\mathcal{J}_n^k x_n - \mathcal{J}_n^{k+1} x_n\| = 0, \forall k \in \{0, 1, ..., M-1\}.$ *Proof of Step 5.* Let  $v \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, M-1\}$ . Since  $J_{r_{k+1,n}}^{F_{k+1}}$  is

firmly nonexpansive, we obtain

$$
\leq ||x_{n+1} - x_n|| + ||\mathcal{J}_{m+1}^{M} x_n - \mathcal{J}_{m}^{M} x_n|| + ||\mathcal{P}_{n+1}^{N} \mathcal{J}_{m}^{M} x_n - \mathcal{P}_{n}^{N} \mathcal{J}_{m}^{M} x_n||
$$
\n
$$
+ ||W_{n+1} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{N} \mathcal{J}_{n}^{M} x_n - W_{n} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{N} \mathcal{J}_{n}^{M} x_n|| + K(\epsilon_{n+1} + \epsilon_n).
$$
\nNow, since  $\epsilon_n \to 0$  and by Steps 2, 3 and Lemma 2.6, we immediately conclude from (3.7) that\n
$$
\limsup_{n} (||z_{n+1} - z_n|| - ||x_{n+1} - x_n||)
$$
\n
$$
\leq \limsup_{n} (||\mathcal{J}_{m+1}^{M} x_n - \mathcal{J}_{m}^{M} x_n|| + ||\mathcal{P}_{n+1}^{N} \mathcal{J}_{m}^{M} x_n - \mathcal{P}_{n}^{N} \mathcal{J}_{n}^{M} x_n||
$$
\n
$$
+ ||W_{n+1} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{N} \mathcal{J}_{n}^{M} x_n - W_{n} \mathcal{P}_{n}^{N} \mathcal{J}_{n}^{M} x_n|| + K(\epsilon_{n+1} + \epsilon_n) \} \leq 0.
$$
\nApply Lemma 2.4 to get  $\lim_{n} ||x_{n+1} - x_n|| = (1 - \beta) \lim_{n} ||x_n - z_n|| = 0.$   
\nStep 5.  $\lim_{n \to \infty} ||\mathcal{J}_{n}^{k} x_n - \mathcal{J}_{n}^{k+1} x_n|| = 0, \forall k \in \{0, 1, ..., M - 1\}.$   
\n*Proof of Step 5.* Let  $v \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $k \in \{0, 1, ..., M - 1\}$ . Since  $\mathcal{J}_{r_{k+1,n}}^{F_{k+1}}$  is  
\nfirstly nonexpansive, we obtain\n
$$
||v - \mathcal{J}_{n}^{k+1} x_n||^{2} = ||\mathcal{J}_{r_{k+1,n}}^{F_{k+1}} \mathcal{J}_{n}^{k} x_n - v \}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac
$$

(3.8) 
$$
|\mathcal{J}_n^{k+1}x_n-v||^2 \le ||x_n-v||^2 - ||\mathcal{J}_n^kx_n - \mathcal{J}_n^{k+1}x_n||^2.
$$
  
Set  $t_n = \gamma f(x_n) - AW_n \mathcal{P}_n^N \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n$  and let  $\lambda > 0$  be a constant such that  $\lambda > \sup_{n,k} {\|t_n\|, \|x_k - v\|\}.$ 

Using Lemma 2.2 and noting that  $\Vert . \Vert^2$  is convex, we derive, using (3.8), (3.9)  $||x_{n+1} - v||^2 = ||(1 - \beta)(W_n \mathcal{P}_n^N \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - v) + \beta(x_n - v) + \epsilon_n t_n||^2$ 

$$
\leq ||(1 - \beta)(W_n \mathcal{P}_n^N \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - v) + \beta(x_n - v)||^2 + 2\epsilon_n \langle t_n, x_{n+1} - v \rangle
$$
  

$$
\leq (1 - \beta) ||W_n \mathcal{P}_n^N \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - v||^2 + \beta ||x_n - v||^2 + 2\lambda^2 \epsilon_n.
$$

So,

$$
||x_{n+1} - v||^2 \le (1 - \beta) ||\mathcal{J}_n^{k+1} x_n - v||^2 + \beta ||x_n - v||^2 + 2\lambda^2 \epsilon_n
$$
  
\n
$$
\le (1 - \beta) (||x_n - v||^2 - ||\mathcal{J}_n^k x_n - \mathcal{J}_n^{k+1} x_n||^2) + \beta ||x_n - v||^2 + 2\lambda^2 \epsilon_n
$$
  
\n
$$
= ||x_n - v||^2 - (1 - \beta) ||\mathcal{J}_n^k x_n - \mathcal{J}_n^{k+1} x_n||^2 + 2\lambda^2 \epsilon_n.
$$

It follows, by Step 4 and condition (C1), that

$$
\|\mathcal{J}_n^k x_n - \mathcal{J}_n^{k+1} x_n\|^2 \le \frac{1}{1-\beta} (\|x_n - v\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - v\|^2 + 2\lambda^2 \epsilon_n)
$$
  

$$
\le \frac{1}{1-\beta} (2\lambda \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| + 2\lambda^2 \epsilon_n) \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty.
$$

Step 6.  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||x_n - W_n \mathcal{P}_n^N \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n|| = 0.$ Proof of Step 6. Observe that

$$
||J_n^k x_n - J_n^{k+1} x_n||^2 \le \frac{1}{1-\beta} (||x_n - v||^2 - ||x_{n+1} - v||^2 + 2\lambda^2 \epsilon_n)
$$
  
\n
$$
\le \frac{1}{1-\beta} (2\lambda ||x_n - x_{n+1}|| + 2\lambda^2 \epsilon_n) \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty.
$$
  
\nStep 6.  $\lim_{n \to \infty} ||x_n - W_n P_n^N J_n^M x_n|| = 0$ .  
\n*Proof of Step 6.* Observe that  
\n
$$
||x_n - W_n P_n^N J_n^M x_n|| \le ||x_n - x_{n+1}|| + ||x_{n+1} - W_n P_n^N J_n^M x_n||
$$
  
\n
$$
= ||x_n - x_{n+1}|| + ||\epsilon_n (\gamma f(x_n) - AW_n P_n^N J_n^M x_n) + \beta (x_n - W_n P_n^N J_n^M x_n)||
$$
  
\n
$$
\le ||x_n - x_{n+1}|| + \epsilon_n (\gamma ||f(x_n)|| + ||AW_n P_n^N J_n^M x_n||) + \beta ||x_n - W_n P_n^N J_n^M x_n||
$$
  
\nIt follows from Step 4 that  
\n
$$
||x_n - W_n P_n^N J_n^M x_n||
$$
  
\n
$$
\le \frac{1}{1-\beta} (||x_n - x_{n+1}|| + \epsilon_n (\gamma ||f(x_n)|| + ||AW_n P_n^N J_n^M x_n||) \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty.
$$
  
\nStep 7.  $\lim_{n \to \infty} ||P_n^k J_n^M x_n - P_n^{k+1} J_n^M x_n|| = 0, \forall k \in \{0, 1, ..., N - 1\}.$   
\n*Proof of Step 7.* Since  $\{B_k : k = 1...N\}$  are  $\alpha$ -inverse-strongly monotone, by the assumptions imposed on  $\{\lambda_n\}$ , for given  $v \in \mathcal{F}$  and  
\n
$$
W_n P_n^N J_n^M x_n - v||^2 \le ||P_n^{k+1} J_n^M x_n - v||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
= ||P_C(I - \lambda_{k+1,n} B_{k+1}) P_n^M J_n^M x_n - P_C(I - \lambda_{k+1,n}
$$

$$
||x_n + W_n \mathcal{P}_n^N \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n||
$$
  

$$
\leq \frac{1}{1-\beta} (||x_n - x_{n+1}|| + \epsilon_n (\gamma ||f(x_n)|| + ||AW_n \mathcal{P}_n^N \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n||) \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty.
$$

Step 7.  $\lim_{n\to\infty} ||\mathcal{P}_n^k \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - \mathcal{P}_n^{k+1} \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n|| = 0, \forall k \in \{0, 1, ..., N-1\}.$ *Proof of Step 7.* Since  ${B_k : k = 1...N}$  are  $\alpha$ -inverse-strongly monotone, by the assumptions imposed on  $\{\lambda_n\}$ , for given  $v \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $k \in \{0, 1, ..., N - 1\}$ , we have

$$
\|W_n \mathcal{P}_n^N \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - v\|^2 \leq \|\mathcal{P}_n^{k+1} \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - v\|^2
$$
  
\n
$$
= \|P_C(I - \lambda_{k+1,n} B_{k+1}) \mathcal{P}_n^k \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - P_C(I - \lambda_{k+1,n} B_{k+1}) v\|^2
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \|(I - \lambda_{k+1,n} B_{k+1}) \mathcal{P}_n^k \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - (I - \lambda_{k+1,n} B_{k+1}) v\|^2
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \| \mathcal{P}_n^k \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - v\|^2 + \lambda_{k+1,n} (\lambda_{k+1,n} - 2\alpha) \|B_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_n^k \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - B_{k+1} v\|^2
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \|x_n - v\|^2 + a(b - 2\alpha) \|B_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_n^k \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - B_{k+1} v\|^2.
$$

## Thus, by (3.9), we have

$$
||x_{n+1} - v||^2 \le (1 - \beta) ||W_n \mathcal{P}_n^N \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - v||^2 + \beta ||x_n - v||^2 + 2\lambda^2 \epsilon_n
$$
  
\n
$$
\le (1 - \beta) \{ ||x_n - v||^2 + a(b - 2\alpha) ||B_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_n^k \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - B_{k+1} v||^2 \}
$$
  
\n
$$
+ \beta ||x_n - v||^2 + 2\lambda^2 \epsilon_n
$$
  
\n
$$
= (1 - \beta) a(b - 2\alpha) ||B_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_n^k \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - B_{k+1} v||^2
$$
  
\n
$$
+ ||x_n - v||^2 + 2\lambda^2 \epsilon_n.
$$

So,

$$
(1 - \beta)a(2\alpha - b) \|B_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_n^k \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - B_{k+1} v\|^2
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \|x_n - v\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - v\|^2 + 2\lambda^2 \epsilon_n
$$
  
\n
$$
\leq \|x_n - x_{n+1}\| (\|x_n - v\| + \|x_{n+1} - v\|) + 2\lambda^2 \epsilon_n.
$$

Since  $0 < \beta < 1$ , and  $||x_n - x_{n+1}|| \to 0$ , we obtain:

$$
(3.10) \t\t |B_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_n^k \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - B_{k+1} v \| \to 0 \ (n \to \infty).
$$

Again from (2.1) and the fact that  $I - \lambda_{k+1,n} B_{k+1}$  is nonexpansive, we have

$$
\leq ||x_n - v||^2 - ||x_{n+1} - v||^2 + 2\lambda^2 \epsilon_n
$$
\n
$$
\leq ||x_n - x_{n+1}|| (||x_n - v|| + ||x_{n+1} - v||) + 2\lambda^2 \epsilon_n.
$$
\nSince  $0 < \beta < 1$ , and  $||x_n - x_{n+1}|| \to 0$ , we obtain:  
\n(3.10)  $|B_{k+1}P_n^k J_n^M x_n - B_{k+1}v|| \to 0$   $(n \to \infty).$ \nAgain from (2.1) and the fact that  $I - \lambda_{k+1,n}B_{k+1}$  is nonexpansive, we have\n
$$
||P_n^{k+1} J_n^M x_n - v||^2
$$
\n
$$
\leq (|P_n^k J_n^M x_n - \lambda_{k+1,n} B_{k+1} P_n^k J_n^M x_n - P_C (I - \lambda_{k+1,n} B_{k+1} v) ||^2
$$
\n
$$
\leq (|P_n^k J_n^M x_n - \lambda_{k+1,n} B_{k+1} P_n^k J_n^M x_n) - (v - \lambda_{k+1,n} B_{k+1} v) \cdot P_n^{k+1} J_n^M x_n - v
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \{ ||(P_n^k J_n^M x_n - \lambda_{k+1,n} B_{k+1} P_n^k J_n^M x_n) - (v - \lambda_{k+1,n} B_{k+1} v) \cdot P_n^{k+1} J_n^M x_n - v
$$
\n
$$
+ ||P_n^{k+1} J_n^M x_n - v||^2
$$
\n
$$
+ ||P_n^{k+1} J_n^M x_n - v||^2 + ||P_n^{k+1} J_n^M x_n - v||^2
$$
\n
$$
- ||P_n^k J_n^M x_n - P_n^{k+1} J_n^M x_n - v||^2
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \{ ||P_n^k J_n^M x_n - P_n^{k+1} J_n^M x_n - v ||^2 - ||P_n^k J_n^M x_n - B_{k+1} v ||^2 \}
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \{ ||P_n^k J_n^M x_n - P_n^{k+1} J_n^M x_n - v ||^2 - ||P_n
$$

This implies:

$$
\begin{aligned}\n\|\mathcal{P}_n^{k+1} \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - v\|^2 &\leq \|\mathcal{P}_n^k \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - v\|^2 - \|\mathcal{P}_n^k \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - \mathcal{P}_n^{k+1} \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\lambda_{k+1,n} \langle \mathcal{P}_n^k \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - \mathcal{P}_n^{k+1} \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n, B_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_n^k \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - B_{k+1} v \rangle \\
&\quad - \lambda_{k+1,n}^2 \|B_{k+1} \mathcal{P}_n^k \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - B_{k+1} v\|^2.\n\end{aligned}
$$

Then, by  $(3.9)$ , we have

$$
||x_{n+1} - v||^2 \le (1 - \beta) ||W_n \mathcal{P}_n^N \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - v||^2 + \beta ||x_n - v||^2 + 2\lambda^2 \epsilon_n
$$
  
\n
$$
\le (1 - \beta) ||\mathcal{P}_n^{k+1} \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - v||^2 + \beta ||x_n - v||^2 + 2\lambda^2 \epsilon_n
$$
  
\n
$$
\le (1 - \beta) \{ ||\mathcal{P}_n^k \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - v||^2 - ||\mathcal{P}_n^k \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - \mathcal{P}_n^{k+1} \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n ||^2
$$

*<www.SID.ir>*

$$
+2\lambda_{k+1,n}\langle \mathcal{P}_{n}^{k}\mathcal{J}_{n}^{M}x_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1}\mathcal{J}_{n}^{M}x_{n},B_{k+1}\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k}\mathcal{J}_{n}^{M}x_{n}-B_{k+1}v\rangle -\lambda_{k+1,n}^{2}||B_{k+1}\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k}\mathcal{J}_{n}^{M}x_{n}-B_{k+1}v||^{2}\}+\beta||x_{n}-v||^{2}+2\lambda^{2}\epsilon_{n} \leq ||x_{n}-v||^{2}-(1-\beta)||\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k}\mathcal{J}_{n}^{M}x_{n}-\mathcal{P}_{n}^{k+1}\mathcal{J}_{n}^{M}x_{n}||^{2}
$$

 $+2(1-\beta)\lambda_{k+1,n}\langle \mathcal{P}_n^k\mathcal{J}_n^M x_n-\mathcal{P}_n^{k+1}\mathcal{J}_n^M x_n,B_{k+1}\mathcal{P}_n^k\mathcal{J}_n^M x_n-B_{k+1}v\rangle+2\lambda^2\epsilon_n,$ which implies:

$$
(1 - \beta) \|\mathcal{P}_n^k \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - \mathcal{P}_n^{k+1} \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n\|^2 \le \|x_n - v\|^2 - \|x_{n+1} - v\|^2 + 2\lambda^2 \epsilon_n
$$
  
+2(1 - \beta)\lambda\_{k+1,n} \|\mathcal{P}\_n^k \mathcal{J}\_n^M x\_n - \mathcal{P}\_n^{k+1} \mathcal{J}\_n^M x\_n\| \|B\_{k+1} \mathcal{P}\_n^k \mathcal{J}\_n^M x\_n - B\_{k+1} v\|.

Hence, it follows from Step 4 and (3.10) that

$$
\|\mathcal{P}_n^k \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - \mathcal{P}_n^{k+1} \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n\| \to 0.
$$

Step 8. The weak  $\omega$ -limit set of  $\{x_n\}$ ,  $\omega_w(x_n)$ , is a subset of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

*Proof of Step 8.* Let  $z_0 \in \omega_w(x_n)$  and let  $\{x_{n_m}\}\$ be a subsequence of  ${x_n}$  weakly converging to  $z_0$ . From steps 5 and 7, we also obtain that

$$
\mathcal{J}_{n_m}^k x_{n_m} \rightharpoonup z_0,
$$

for all  $k \in \{1, \ldots, M\}$ , and

$$
\mathcal{P}^k_{n_m}\mathcal{J}^M_{n_m}x_{n_m}\rightharpoonup z_0,
$$

 $\label{eq:4.1} \begin{array}{ll} &\|\mathcal{P}_n^k\mathcal{I}_n^Mx_n-\mathcal{P}_n^{k+1}\mathcal{I}_n^Mx_n\|\to 0.\\ &\text{Step 8. The weak $\omega$-limit set of $\{x_n\}$, $\omega_w(x_n)$, is a subset of $\mathcal{F}$.} \\ &\text{Proof of Step $8$. Let $z_0\in\omega_w(x_n)$ and let $\{x_{n_m}\}$ be a subsequence} \{x_n\} \text{ weakly converging to $z_0$. From steps 5 and 7, we also obtain the $\mathcal{I}_{n_m}^kx_{n_m}\to z_0$,} \\ &\text{for all $k\in\{1,\dots,M\$ for all  $k \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$ . We need to show that  $z_0 \in \mathcal{F}$ . First, we prove  $z_0 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^N VI(C, B_i)$ . For this purpose, let  $k \in \{1, \ldots, N\}$  and  $T_k$  be the maximal monotone mapping defined by

$$
T_k x = \begin{cases} B_k x + N_C x, & x \in C; \\ \emptyset, & x \notin C. \end{cases}
$$

For any given  $(x, u) \in G(T_k)$ ,  $u - B_k x \in N_C x$ . Since  $\mathcal{P}_n^k \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n \in C$ , by the definition of  $N_C$ , we have

$$
(3.11) \t\t \langle x+\mathcal{P}_n^k \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n, u-B_k x \rangle \geq 0.
$$

On the other hand, since  $\mathcal{P}_n^k \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n = P_C(\mathcal{P}_n^{k-1} \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - \lambda_{k,n} B_k \mathcal{P}_n^{k-1} \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n),$ we have  $\bullet$ 

$$
\langle x - \mathcal{P}_n^k \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n, \mathcal{P}_n^k \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - (\mathcal{P}_n^{k-1} \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - \lambda_{k,n} B_k \mathcal{P}_n^{k-1} \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n) \rangle \ge 0.
$$
  
So,

$$
\langle x-\mathcal{P}_n^k \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n, \frac{\mathcal{P}_n^k \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - \mathcal{P}_n^{k-1} \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n}{\lambda_{k,n}} + B_k \mathcal{P}_n^{k-1} \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n \rangle \geq 0.
$$

By (3.11) and the  $\alpha$ -inverse monotonicity of  $B_k$ , we have

$$
\langle x - P_{n_m}^k \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^M x_{n_m}, u \rangle \ge \langle x - P_{n_m}^k \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^M x_{n_m}, B_k x \rangle
$$

$$
\geq \langle x - P_{n_m}^k \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^M x_{n_m}, B_k x \rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
-\langle x - P_{n_m}^k \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^M x_{n_m}, \frac{P_{n_m}^k \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^M x_{n_m} - P_{n_m}^{k-1} \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^M x_{n_m}}{\lambda_{k, n_m}} + B_k P_{n_m}^{k-1} \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^M x_{n_m} \rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
= \langle x - P_{n_m}^k \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^M x_{n_m}, B_k x - B_k P_{n_m}^k \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^M x_{n_m} \rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
+\langle x - P_{n_m}^k \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^M x_{n_m}, B_k P_{n_m}^k \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^M x_{n_m} - B_k P_{n_m}^{k-1} \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^M x_{n_m} \rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
-\langle x - P_{n_m}^k \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^M x_{n_m}, \frac{P_{n_m}^k \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^M x_{n_m} - P_{n_m}^{k-1} \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^M x_{n_m}}{\lambda_{k, n_m}} \rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
\geq \langle x - P_{n_m}^k \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^M x_{n_m}, B_k P_{n_m}^k \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^M x_{n_m} - B_k P_{n_m}^{k-1} \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^M x_{n_m} \rangle
$$
  
\n
$$
-\langle x - P_{n_m}^k \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^M x_{n_m}, \frac{P_{n_m}^k \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^M x_{n_m} - P_{n_m}^{k-1} \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^M x_{n_m}}{\lambda_{k, n_m}} \rangle
$$
  
\nSince  $||P_{n}^k \mathcal{J}_{n}^M x_n - P_{n}^{k-1} \mathcal{J}_{n}^M x_n|| \to 0, P_{n_m}^k \mathcal{J}_{m_m}^M x_{n_m} \to z_0 \text{ and } \{B_k : k = 1, ..., N\}$  are Lipschitz continuous, we have  
\n
$$
\lim_{m \
$$

Since  $\|\mathcal{P}_n^k \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n - \mathcal{P}_n^{k-1} \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n\| \to 0$ ,  $\mathcal{P}_{n_m}^k \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^M x_{n_m} \to z_0$  and  $\{B_k : k =$  $1, \ldots, N$  are Lipschitz continuous, we have

$$
\lim_{m \to \infty} \langle x - \mathcal{P}_{n_m}^k \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^M x_{n_m}, u \rangle = \langle x - z_0, u \rangle \ge 0.
$$

Again, since  $T_k$  is maximal monotone, then  $0 \in T_k z_0$ . This shows that  $z_0 \in VI(C, B_k)$ . From this, it follows:

$$
(3.12) \t\t z_0 \in \cap_{i=1}^N VI(C, B_i).
$$

Note that by  $(A2)$  and given  $y \in C$  and  $k \in \{0, 1, ..., M - 1\}$ , we have

$$
\frac{1}{r_{n,k+1}}\langle y-\mathcal{J}_n^{k+1}x_n,\mathcal{J}_n^{k+1}x_n-\mathcal{J}_n^kx_n\rangle \ge F_{k+1}(y,\mathcal{J}_n^{k+1}x_n).
$$

Thus,

$$
(3.13) \quad \langle y - \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^{k+1} x_{n_m}, \frac{\mathcal{J}_{n_m}^{k+1} x_{n_m} - \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^k x_{n_m}}{r_{n_m,k+1}} \rangle \ge F_{k+1}(y, \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^{k+1} x_{n_m}).
$$

By condition  $(A4)$ ,  $F_i(y,.)$ ,  $\forall i$ , is lower semicontinuous and convex, and thus weakly semicontinuous. Step 5 and condition  $\liminf_{n} r_{n,j} > 0$  imply that

$$
\frac{\mathcal{J}_{n_m}^{k+1} x_{n_m} - \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^k x_{n_m}}{r_{n_m,k+1}} \to 0,
$$

in norm. Therefore, letting  $m \to \infty$  in (3.13), yields:

$$
F_{k+1}(y, z_0) \le \lim_m F_{k+1}(y, \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^{k+1} x_{n_m}) \le 0,
$$

for all  $y \in C$  and  $k \in \{0, 1, \ldots, M-1\}$ . Replacing y with  $y_t := ty + (1$ t)z<sub>0</sub> with  $t \in (0,1)$  and using  $(A1)$  and  $(A4)$ , we obtain:

$$
0 = F_{k+1}(y_t, y_t) \le t F_{k+1}(y_t, y) + (1-t)F_{k+1}(y_t, z_0) \le t F_{k+1}(y_t, y).
$$

Hence,  $F_{k+1}(ty + (1-t)z_0, y) \ge 0$ , for all  $t \in (0,1)$  and  $y \in C$ . Letting  $t \to 0^+$  and using (A3), we conclude  $F_{k+1}(z_0, y) \ge 0$ , for all  $y \in C$  and  $k \in \{0, \ldots, M-1\}$ . Therefore,

(3.14) 
$$
z_0 \in \bigcap_{k=1}^M EP(F_k) = EP(\mathcal{G}).
$$

We next show  $z_0 \in \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} Fix(S_i)$ . By Lemma 2.6, we have, for every  $z \in C$ ,

$$
(3.15) \t W_{n_m} z \to W z,
$$

and  $Fix(W) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} Fix(T_i)$ . Assume that  $z_0 \notin Fix(W)$ . Then,  $z_0 \neq$  $Wz_0$ . From Opial's property of Hilbert space,  $(3.12)$ ,  $(3.14)$ ,  $(3.15)$  and Step 6, we have

We next show 
$$
z_0 \,\in \,\bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} Fix(S_i)
$$
. By Lemma 2.6, we have, for even  $z \in C$ ,  
\n(3.15)  $W_{n_m} z \to W z$ ,  
\nand  $Fix(W) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} Fix(T_i)$ . Assume that  $z_0 \notin Fix(W)$ . Then,  $z_0$   
\n $Wz_0$ . From Opial's property of Hilbert space, (3.12), (3.14), (3.15) an  
\nStep 6, we have  
\n
$$
\liminf_{m} ||x_{n_m} - z_0|| < \liminf_{m} ||x_{n_m} - Wz_0||
$$
\n
$$
\leq \liminf_{m} (||x_{n_m} - W_{n_m} \mathcal{P}_{n_m}^N \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^M x_{n_m}||
$$
\n
$$
+ ||W_{n_m} \mathcal{P}_{n_m}^N \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^M x_{n_m} - W_{n_m} \mathcal{P}_{n_m}^N \mathcal{J}_{n_m}^M z_0|| + ||W_{n_m} z_0 - Wz_0||)
$$
\n
$$
\leq \liminf_{m} (||x_{n_m} - z_0|| + ||W_{n_m} z_0 - Wz_0||) = \liminf_{m} ||x_{n_m} - z_0||.
$$
\nThis is a contradiction. Therefore,  $z_0$  belongs to  $Fix(W) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} Fix(S_i)$ .  
\nStep 9. Let  $x^*$  be the unique solution of the variational inequality,  
\n(3.16)  $\langle (\gamma f - A)x^*, x - x^* \rangle \leq 0, x \in \mathcal{F}$ .  
\nThen,  
\n(3.17)  $\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle (\gamma f - A)x^*, x_n - x^* \rangle \leq 0$ .  
\n*Proof of Step 9*. Lemma 2.3 of Marino and Xu [15] guarantees the  
\n $P_{\mathcal{F}}(\gamma f + (I - A))$  has a unique fixed point  $x^*$ , which is the unique solution

This is a contradiction. Therefore,  $z_0$  belongs to  $Fix(W) = \bigcap_{i=1}^{\infty} Fix(S_i)$ .

Step 9. Let  $x^*$  be the unique solution of the variational inequality,

(3.16) 
$$
\langle (\gamma f - A)x^*, x - x^* \rangle \leq 0, \ x \in \mathcal{F}.
$$

Then,

(3.17) 
$$
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle (\gamma f - A)x^*, x_n - x^* \rangle \le 0.
$$

Proof of Step 9. Lemma 2.3 of Marino and Xu [15] guarantees that  $P_{\mathcal{F}}(\gamma f + (I - A))$  has a unique fixed point  $x^*$ , which is the unique solution of (3.16). Let  $\{x_{n_k}\}\)$  be a subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  such that

(3.18) 
$$
\lim_{k} \langle (\gamma f - A)x^*, x_{n_k} - x^* \rangle = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle (\gamma f - A)x^*, x_n - x^* \rangle.
$$

Without loss of generality, we can assume that  $\{x_{n_k}\}\$  weakly converges to some  $z \in C$ . By Step 8,  $z \in \mathcal{F}$ . Thus, combining (3.16) and (3.18), we get

$$
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle (\gamma f - A)x^*, x_n - x^* \rangle \le \langle (\gamma f - A)x^*, z - x^* \rangle \le 0,
$$

as required.

Step 10. The sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k}x_n\}_{k=1}^M$  converge strongly to  $x^*$ . Proof of Step 10. Taking

$$
u_n = W_n \mathcal{P}_{n_m}^N \mathcal{J}_n^M x_n, \ \ \forall n \ge 1,
$$

we have  $||u_n - x^*|| \le ||x_n - x^*||$ . By using lemmas 2.2 and 2.7, we have

we have 
$$
||u_n - x^*|| \le ||x_n - x^*||
$$
. By using lemmas 2.2 and 2.7, we have  
\n $||x_{n+1} - x^*||^2 = ||[((1 - \beta)I - \epsilon_n A)(u_n - x^*) + \beta(x_n - x^*)]$   
\n $+ \epsilon_n (\gamma f(x_n) - Ax^*)||^2$   
\n $\le ||((1 - \beta)I - \epsilon_n A)(u_n - x^*) + \beta(x_n - x^*)||^2$   
\n $+ 2\epsilon_n (\gamma f(x_n) - Ax^*, x_{n+1} - x^*)$   
\n $\le (1 - \beta) ||\frac{((1 - \beta)I - \epsilon_n A)}{1 - \beta}(u_n - x^*)||^2 + \beta ||x_n - x^*||^2$   
\n $+ 2\epsilon_n \gamma \langle f(x_n) - f(x^*), x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle + 2\epsilon_n \langle \gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle$   
\n $\le \frac{||(1 - \beta)I - \epsilon_n A||^2}{1 - \beta} ||u_n - x^*||^2 + \beta ||x_n - x^*||^2$   
\n $+ 2\epsilon_n \gamma l ||x_n - x^*|| ||x_{n+1} - x^*|| + 2\epsilon_n \langle \gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle$   
\n $\le \frac{||(1 - \beta)I - \epsilon_n A||^2}{1 - \beta} ||x_n - x^*||^2 + \beta ||x_n - x^*||^2$   
\n $+ \epsilon_n \gamma l (||x_n - x^*||^2 + ||x_{n+1} - x^*||^2) + 2\epsilon_n \langle \gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle$   
\n $\le (\frac{((1 + \beta) - \gamma \epsilon_n)^2}{1 - \beta} + \beta + \epsilon_n \gamma l) ||x_n - x^*||^2$   
\n $+ \epsilon_n \gamma l ||x_{n+1} - x^*||^2 + 2\epsilon_n \langle \gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle$ .  
\nIt follows that  
\n $||x_{n+1} - x^*||^2 \le (1 - \frac{2(\gamma - l)\epsilon_n}{1 - l\gamma \epsilon_n}) ||x_n - x^*||^2$ .

It follows that

$$
||x_{n+1} - x^*||^2 \le (1 - \frac{2(\overline{\gamma} - l\gamma)\epsilon_n}{1 - l\gamma\epsilon_n}) ||x_n - x^*||^2
$$
  

$$
\frac{\epsilon_n}{1 - l\gamma\epsilon_n} (2\langle \gamma f(x^*) - Ax^*, x_{n+1} - x^* \rangle + \frac{\overline{\gamma}^2 \epsilon_n}{1 - \beta} ||x_n - x^*||^2).
$$

Now, from conditions (C1) and (C2), Step 8 and Lemma 2.3, we get  $||x_n - x^*|| \to 0$ ; namely,  $x_n \to x^*$ , in norm. Finally, noticing  $||J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k} x_n -$ 

 $x^* \parallel \leq ||x_n - x^*||$ , we have that, for all  $k \in \{1, \ldots, M\}$ ,  $J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k} x_n \to x^*$ , in norm.  $\square$ 

A mapping  $T: C \to H$  is called *strictly pseudocontractive* on C if there exists k with  $0 \leq k < 1$  such that

$$
||Tx - Ty||2 \le ||x - y||2 + k||(I - T)x - (I - T)y||2, for all x, y \in C.
$$

If  $k = 0$ , then T is nonexpansive. Put  $B = I - T$ , where,  $T : C \to H$ is a strictly pseudocontractive mapping with  $k$ . It is known that  $B$  is  $1-k$  $\frac{-k}{2}$ -inverse-strongly monotone and  $B^{-1}(0) = Fix(T)$ .

Now, using Theorem 3.1, we state a strong convergence theorem for a family of strictly pseudocontractive mappings as follows.

a family of strictly pseudocontractive mappings as follows.<br> **Theorem 3.2.** Let *C* be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilb<br>
space *H*,  $\varphi = \{S_i : C \to C\}$  be an infinite family of nonexpansi<br>
mappings,  $G = \{F_j : j = 1, ..., M$ **Theorem 3.2.** Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a Hilbert space H,  $\varphi = \{S_i : C \to C\}$  be an infinite family of nonexpansive mappings,  $G = \{F_j : j = 1, ..., M\}$  be a finite family of bifunctions from  $C \times C$  into  $\mathbb R$  which satisfy (A1)-(A4),  $\psi = \{T_i : j = 1, \dots N\}$  be a finite family of strictly pseudocontractive mappings with  $0 \leq k \leq 1$  from C into C, and  $\mathcal{F} := Fix(\varphi) \cap EP(\mathcal{G}) \cap Fix(\psi) \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $A$  be a strongly positive bounded linear operator with coefficient  $\overline{\gamma}$ , f be an *l*-contraction on H for some  $0 < l < 1$ ,  $\{\epsilon_n\}$  be a sequence in  $(0,1)$ ,  $\{\lambda_{j,n}\}_{j=1}^N$  be sequences in  $[a,b]$ , with  $0 < a \leq b < 1 - k$ ,  ${r_{j,n}}_{j=1}^M$  be sequences in  $(0, \infty)$  and  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$  be two real numbers such that  $0 < \beta < 1$  and  $0 < \gamma < \overline{\gamma}/l$ . Assume:

 $(C1)$   $\lim_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \epsilon_n = 0;$ 

 $(C2)$   $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_n = \infty;$ 

(D1)  $\liminf_{n} r_{j,n} > 0$ , for every  $j \in \{1, ..., M\}$ ;

(D2)  $\lim_{n} r_{j,n}/r_{j,n+1} = 1$ , for every  $j \in \{1, ..., M\}$ ;

(D3)  $\lim_{n} |\lambda_{j,n} - \lambda_{j,n+1}| = 0$ , for every  $j \in \{1, ..., N\}$ .

For every  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $W_n$  be the W-mapping defined by (2.3). If  $\{x_n\}$ is the sequence generated by  $x_1 \in H$  and  $\forall n \geq 1$ ,

$$
\begin{cases}\nz_n = J_{r_{M,n}}^{F_M} \dots J_{r_{2,n}}^{F_2} J_{r_{1,n}}^{F_1} x_n, \ny_n = ((1 - \lambda_{N,n})I + \lambda_{N,n} T_N) \dots ((1 - \lambda_{2,n})I + \lambda_{2,n} T_2) \n\times ((1 - \lambda_{1,n})I + \lambda_{1,n} T_1) z_n, \nx_{n+1} = \epsilon_n \gamma f(x_n) + \beta x_n + ((1 - \beta)I - \epsilon_n A) W_n y_n,\n\end{cases}
$$

then  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{J_{r_{k,n}}^{F_k}x_n\}_{k=1}^M$  converge strongly to  $x^* \in \mathcal{F} := Fix(\varphi) \cap$  $EP(\mathcal{G}) \cap Fix(\psi)$ , which is the unique solution of the variational inequality (1.5). Equivalently, we have  $P_{\mathcal{F}}(I - A + \gamma f)x^* = x^*$ .

*Proof.* Put  $B_j = I - T_j$ , for every  $j \in \{1, ..., N\}$ . Then,  $B_j$  is  $\frac{1-k}{2}$ . inverse-strongly monotone. We have that  $Fix(T_i)$  is the solution set of  $VI(C, B_i);$  i.e.,  $Fix(T_i) = VI(C, B_i)$ . Therefore, by Theorem 3.1, the result follows.  $\hfill \square$ 

### Remark 3.3. We may put

 $v_n = P_C(I-\lambda_{N,n}(I-T_N))\dots P_C(I-\lambda_{2,n}(I-T_2))P_C(I-\lambda_{1,n}(I-T_1))u_n,$ in the scheme of Theorem 3.2, and obtain a scheme for families of nonself strictly pseudocontractive mappings.

#### **REFERENCES**

- [1] H. H. Bauschke and J. M. Borwein, On projection algorithms for solving convex feasibility problems, SIAM Rev. 38 (1996) 367-426.
- [2] E. Blum and W. Oettli, From optimization and variational inequalities to equilibrium problems, Math. Student 63 (1994) 123-145.
- [3] F. E. Browder and W. V. Petryshyn, Construction of fixed points of nonlinear mappings in Hilbert space, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 20 (1967) 197-228.
- [4] L. C. Ceng, H. K. Xu and J. C. Yao, A hybrid steepest-descent method for variational inequalities in Hilbert spaces, Appl. Anal. 87 (2008) 575-589.
- [5] L. C. Ceng and J. C. Yao, A hybrid iterative scheme for mixed equilibrium problems and fixed point problems, J. Comput. Appl. Math. 214 (2008) 186- 201.
- [6] V. Colao, G. Marino and H. K. Xu, An iterative method for finding common solutions of equilibrium and fixed point problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 344 (2008) 340-352.
- REFERENCES (1) H. H. Bauschke and J. M. Borwein, On projection algorithms for solving convex [2] E. Blum and W. Octi, Fron optimization and variational inequalities to equilibrium problems, *Math. Student 6*3 (1994) 123-1 [7] P. L. Combettes, Quasi-Fejérian analysis of some optimization algorithms, in Inherently Parallel Algorithms for Feasibility and Optimization and Their Applications (D. Butnariu, Y. Censor, and S. Reich, eds.), 115-152, Stud. Comput. Math., 8, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2001.
- [8] P. L. Combettes, The foundations of set theoretic estimation, Proc. IEEE 81 (1993) 182-208.
- [9] P. L. Combettes and S. A. Hirstoaga, Equilibrium programming in Hilbert spaces, J. Nonlinear Convex Anal. 6 (2005) 117-136.
- [10] F. Deutsch and I. Yamada, Minimizing certain convex functions over the intersection of the fixed point sets of nonexpansive mappings, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 19 (1998) 33-56.
- [11] A. Göpfert, H. Riahi, C. Tammer and C. Zălinescu, Variational Methods in Partially Ordered Spaces, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2003.
- [12] N. Hadjisavvas and H. Khatibzadeh, Maximal monotonicity of bifunctions, Optimization 59 (2010) 147-160.
- [13] H. Iiduka and W. Takahashi, Strong convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings and inverse-strongly monotone mappings, Nonlinear Anal. 61 (2005) 341-350.

- [14] A. N. Iusem and A. R. De Pierro, On the convergence of Han's method for convex programming with quadratic objective, Math. Programming Ser. B 52 (1991) 265-284.
- [15] G. Marino and H. K. Xu, A general iterative method for nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 318 (2006) 43-52.
- [16] A. Moudafi, Viscosity approximation methods for fixed-points problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 241 (2000) 46-55.
- [17] S. Plubtieng and R. Punpaeng, A general iterative method for equilibrium problems and fixed point problems in Hilbert spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 336 (2007) 455-469.
- [18] R. T. Rockafellar, On the maximality of sums of nonlinear monotone operators, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 149 (1970) 75-88.
- [19] S. Saeidi, Iterative algorithms for finding common solutions of variational inequalities and systems of equilibrium problems and fixed points of families and semigroups of nonexpansive mappings, *Nonlinear Anal*. **70** (2009) 4195-4208.
- [20] S. Saeidi, Iterative methods for equilibrium problems, variational inequalities and fixed points, Bull. Iranian. Math. Soc. 36 (2010) 117-135.
- [21] S. Saeidi, Modified hybrid steepest-descent methods for variational inequalities and fixed points, Math. Comput. Modelling 52 (2010) 134-142.
- 1919 S. Saeidi, Iterative algorithms for finding common solutions of variational sequelities and systems of equilibrium problems and fixed points of families a semigroups of nonexpansive mappings, Nonlinear Anal. **70** (20 [22] K. Shimoji and W. Takahashi, Strong convergence to common fixed points of infinite nonexpansive mappings and applications, Taiwanese J. Math. 5 (2001) 387-404.
	- [23] T. Suzuki, Strong convergence of Krasnoselskii and Mann's type sequences for one-parameter nonexpansive semigroups without Bochner integrals, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 305 (2005) 227-239.
	- [24] W. Takahashi, Weak and strong convergence theorems for families of nonexpansive mappings and their applications, Ann. Univ. Mariae Curie-Sklodowska Sect. A 51 (1997) 277-292.
	- [25] W. Takahashi and K. Shimoji, Convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings and feasibility problems, Math. Comput. Modelling 32 (2000), 1463-1471
	- [26] S. Takahashi and W. Takahashi, Viscosity approximation methods for equilibrium problems and fixed point problems in Hilbert spaces, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 331 (2007) 506-515.
	- [27] W. Takahashi and M. Toyoda, Weak convergence theorems for nonexpansive mappings and monotone mappings, J. Optim. Theory Appl. 118 (2003) 417-428.
	- [28] H. K. Xu, An iterative approach to quadratic optimization, J. Optim. Theory Appl. **116** (2003) 659-678.
	- [29] H. K. Xu, Iterative algorithms for nonlinear operators, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 66 (2002) 240-256.
	- [30] H. K. Xu, Viscosity approximation methods for nonexpansive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 298 (2004) 279-291.
	- [31] I. Yamada, The hybrid steepest descent method for the variational inequality problem over the intersection of the fixed point sets of nonexpansive mappings, in Inherently Parallel Algorithms in Feasibility and Optimization and Their Applications, D. Butnariu, Y. Censor, and S. Reich, eds., North-Holland, Amsterdam, 2001, 473-504.
- [32] I. Yamada, N. Ogura, Y. Yamashita, and K. Sakaniwa, Quadratic optimization of fixed points of nonexpansive mappings in Hilbert space, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 19 (1998) 165-190.
- [33] D. C. Youla, Mathematical theory of image restoration by the method of convex projections, in H. Stark, ed., Image Recovery Theory and Applications, Academic Press, Florida, 1987, 29-78.

#### S. Saeidi

Department of Mathematics, University of Kurdistan, P.O.Box 66177-15175, Sanandaj 416, Iran

Email: sh.saeidi@uok.ac.ir and shahram saeidi@yahoo.com

**Archive of SID**