Bulletin of the Iranian Mathematical Society Vol. 39 No. 5 (2013), pp 893-901.

# A DEGREE BOUND FOR THE GRAVER BASIS OF NON-SATURATED LATTICES

#### H. SABZROU

Communicated by Ali Reza Ashrafi

ABSTRACT. Let L be a lattice in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  of dimension m. We prove that the total degree of any Graver element of L is not greater than m(n-m+1)MD, where the integer M is defined by the set of circuits of L, and the integer D is defined by the saturation of L. The case M = 1 occurs precisely when L is saturated, and in this case the bound is a reformulation of a well-known bound given by several authors. As a corollary, we show that the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of the corresponding lattice ideal  $I_L$  is not greater than  $\frac{1}{2}m(n-1)(n-m+1)MD$ .

### 1. Introduction

Let k be a field,  $R = k[\mathbf{x}] := k[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  the polynomial ring in n indeterminates, and L a lattice, i.e. a  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . Each monomial  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}} := x_1^{u_1} \cdots x_n^{u_n}$  in R can be identified with vector  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, \ldots, u_n) \in \mathbb{N}^n$  where N stands for the set of non-negative integers. For each vector  $\mathbf{u} := (u_1, \ldots, u_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ , the set  $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{u}) := \{i \mid u_i \neq 0\}$  is called the support of  $\mathbf{u}$ . Every vector  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  can be written uniquely as  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}^+ - \mathbf{u}^-$  where  $\mathbf{u}^+$  and  $\mathbf{u}^-$  are nonnegative and have disjoint supports. For the lattice L, the binomial ideal  $I_L := \langle \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}^+} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}^-} \mid \mathbf{u} \in L \rangle$  is the corresponding lattice ideal in R.

MSC(2010): Primary: 13P10; Secondary: 14M25, 90C10.

Keywords: Non-saturated lattices, Graver bases.

Received: 13 October 2011, Accepted: 15 August 2012.

<sup>© 2013</sup> Iranian Mathematical Society.

<sup>893</sup> 

An element  $\mathbf{u} \in L$  is called primitive if there exists no other element  $\mathbf{v} \in L \setminus \{0, \mathbf{u}\}$  such that  $\mathbf{v}^+ \leq \mathbf{u}^+$ , and  $\mathbf{v}^- \leq \mathbf{u}^-$  where  $\leq$  is the usual coordinatewise order on  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . The set of all primitive elements of L is called the Graver basis of L and is denoted by  $\operatorname{Gr}_L$ . Since the elements  $\mathbf{u} \in L$  correspond to the pure binomials  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}^+} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}^-} \in I_L$ , we can rephrase this definition in terms of pure binomials as follows. A binomial  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}^+} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}^-} \in I_L$  is called primitive if there exists no other binomial  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}^+} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}^-} \in I_L$  such that  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}^+}$  divides  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}^+}$  and  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}^-}$  divides  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{u}^-}$ . The set of all primitive binomials in  $I_L$  is again called the Graver basis of  $I_L$  and is denoted by  $\operatorname{Gr}_L$ .

Graver bases first appeared as a universal test set for integer programming problems [5]. Since then, they have been utilized for counting lattice points of polyhedra, finding the Hilbert basis of a given cone, they are related to the transportation problem and the knapsack problem [8]. They also contain other important finite bases in L: lattice basis  $\subseteq$  Markov basis  $\subseteq$  Gröbner basis  $\subseteq$  universal Gröbner basis  $\subseteq$  Graver basis, where the definitions of the undefined concepts can be found in [4, Section 1.3].

When L is saturated, there is a well-known bound on the total degree of the Graver elements in  $I_L$ . This bound was obtained by many different people from very diverse areas [2, 3, 7, 9]. In this paper we generalize the version given in [9, Theorem 4.7] to the non-saturated lattices. In fact, for a lattice L in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  of dimension m, we prove that the total degree of any Graver element of L is not greater than m(n-m+1)MD, where M and D are integer constants defined by the set of circuits of L, and by a defining matrix of the lattice  $\tilde{L}$ , the saturation of L, respectively (cf. Theorem 2.10). As shown in Theorem 2.8 (cf. Remark 2.9), the integers D and M are basis-independent in the sense that choosing a different basis for  $\tilde{L}$  (resp. L) will result in the same D (resp. M). As a corollary, we will show that the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of the ideal  $I_L$  is not greater than  $\frac{1}{2}m(n-1)(n-m+1)MD$  (cf. Corollary 2.11).

1.1. Notation and Conventions. Let m and n be two positive integers. We denote by  $I_n$  the identity matrix of size n. For an integer  $n \times m$  matrix B, we denote by C(B) and D(B), the greatest common divisor and the maximum of the absolute values of all maximal minors of B, respectively. The integer C(B) is called the content of the matrix B. If B is over a field, and  $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_s \leq n$  and  $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_t \leq m$ 

are arbitrary integer sequences, we denote by  $B[i_1,...,i_s|j_1,...,j_t]$  the submatrix of B whose rows and columns correspond to  $i_1, \ldots, i_s$  and  $j_1, \ldots, j_t$ , respectively. The submatrix of B obtained by deleting the rows and columns corresponding to  $i_1, \ldots, i_s$  and  $j_1, \ldots, j_t$ , respectively, will be denoted by  $B(i_1,...,i_s|j_1,...,j_t)$ .

#### 2. The main result

Let L be a lattice in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  of dimension m. An integer  $n \times m$  matrix B of rank m whose columns generate L as a lattice, is called a defining matrix for L. Such a matrix is of course not unique, but one can see that it is unique up to the action of the general linear group  $\operatorname{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z})$ . For the lattice L, the lattice  $\widetilde{L} := (L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$  is called the saturation of L. In fact,  $\widetilde{L}$  is the set of all  $\mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  for which  $r\mathbf{u} \in L$  for some positive integer r. In general, we have  $\widetilde{L} \supseteq L$ , and if the equality occurs, we say that L is saturated.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let L be a lattice in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  of dimension m, and B a defining matrix of L. The following conditions are equivalent.

- (1) L is saurated.
- (2) The abelian group  $\mathbb{Z}^n/L$  is torsion free.
- (3) There exists an integer  $(n m) \times n$  matrix A such that  $L = \ker_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$ .
- (4) C(B), the content of B, equals 1.

*Proof.*  $(1) \Leftrightarrow (2), (3) \Rightarrow (1)$ : Trivial.

 $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$ : Since  $\mathbb{Z}$  is a PID, and  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}$ -module, there exist a basis  $\{\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n\}$  for  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ , and integers  $c_1, \ldots, c_m$  such that  $\{c_1\mathbf{u}_1, \ldots, c_m\mathbf{u}_m\}$  is a basis for L. Since L is saturated,  $c_i\mathbf{u}_i \in L$  implies that  $\mathbf{u}_i \in L$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, m$ . It follows that  $\{\mathbf{u}_{m+1} + L, \ldots, \mathbf{u}_n + L\}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{Z}^n/L$ , and so  $\mathbb{Z}^n/L \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{n-m}$ , as required.

 $(1) \Leftrightarrow (4)$ : [8, Corollary 4.1c].

**Definition 2.2.** Let *L* be a lattice in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . A non-zero element  $\mathbf{u} \in L$  is said to be a circuit if the support of  $\mathbf{u}$  is minimal with respect to inclusion and  $\frac{1}{d}\mathbf{u} \notin L$  for any positive integer  $d \neq 1$ .

**Remark 2.3.** Definition 2.2 agrees with the original definition of a circuit where the lattice L is assumed to be saturated. Indeed, if L is saturated, then a non-zero element  $\mathbf{u} \in L$  is circuit if  $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{u})$  is minimal, and the coordinates of  $\mathbf{u}$  are relatively prime.

**Proposition 2.4.** There is a one to one correspondence between the circuits of L and those of  $\widetilde{L}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{v}$  be a circuit of L. Since  $L \subseteq \widetilde{L}$ , and  $\widetilde{L}$  is saturated, then  $\frac{1}{\operatorname{gcd}(\mathbf{v})}\mathbf{v} \in \widetilde{L}$ . On the contrary suppose that  $\frac{1}{\operatorname{gcd}(\mathbf{v})}\mathbf{v}$  is not a circuit in  $\widetilde{L}$ , then there exists  $\mathbf{v}' \in \widetilde{L}$  such that  $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{v}') \subsetneqq \operatorname{supp}(\frac{1}{\operatorname{gcd}(\mathbf{v})}\mathbf{v})$ . Since  $\mathbf{v}' \in \widetilde{L}$ , there exits a positive integer m such that  $m\mathbf{v}' \in L$  and  $\operatorname{supp}(m\mathbf{v}') = \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{v}') \subsetneqq \operatorname{supp}(\frac{1}{\operatorname{gcd}(\mathbf{v})}\mathbf{v}) = \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{v})$ . This contradicts the assumption that  $\mathbf{v}$  is a circuit in L.

Conversely, if  $\mathbf{v} \in L$  is circuit, and m is the smallest positive integer such that  $m\mathbf{v} \in L$ , then  $m\mathbf{v}$  is circuit in L because otherwise there exists  $\mathbf{v}' \in L \subseteq \tilde{L}$  such that  $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{v}') \subsetneqq \operatorname{supp}(m\mathbf{v}) = \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{v})$  which is impossible.  $\Box$ 

**Remark 2.5.** Let *L* be a lattice in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$ . By Proposition 2.4, and [9, Lemma 4.9], the set of all circuits of *L* is finite. One of the main reasons that the finite set of circuits is useful, is Proposition 2.6 below, which shows that this set is a special generating set of *L*. Here we need to recall that a vector  $\mathbf{u} \in L$  is conformal to a vector  $\mathbf{v} \in L$  if  $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{u}^+) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{v}^+)$  and  $\operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{u}^-) \subseteq \operatorname{supp}(\mathbf{v}^-)$ .

**Proposition 2.6.** Let *L* be a lattice in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  of dimension *m*. Then for every vector  $\mathbf{v} \in L$ , there exist non-negative rational coefficients  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m$ , and circuits  $\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_m$  in *L* such that  $\mathbf{v} = \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + \lambda_m \mathbf{v}_m$ , and each  $\mathbf{v}_i$  is conformal to  $\mathbf{v}$ . If in addition  $\mathbf{v}$  is primitive, then  $\lambda_i \leq 1$ for each *i*.

Proof. Since  $\mathbf{v} \in L \subseteq \widetilde{L}$ , it follows from [9, Lemma 4.10] that there exist non-negative rational coefficients  $\lambda'_1, \ldots, \lambda'_m$  and circuits  $\mathbf{v}'_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}'_m \in \widetilde{L}$ such that  $\mathbf{v} = \lambda'_1 \mathbf{v}'_1 + \cdots + \lambda'_m \mathbf{v}'_m$  and each  $\mathbf{v}'_i$  is conformal to  $\mathbf{v}$ . Let  $\mathbf{v}_i :=$  $m_i \mathbf{v}'_i$  where  $m_i$  is the smallest positive integer such that  $m_i \mathbf{v}'_i \in L$ , and  $\lambda_i := \lambda'_i/m_i$ . Then by Proposition 2.4,  $\mathbf{v}_i$  is a circuit of L, and we have  $\mathbf{v} = \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + \lambda_m \mathbf{v}_m$  as requested. The fact that each  $\mathbf{v}_i$  is conformal to  $\mathbf{v}$  implies that  $\mathbf{v}^+ = \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1^+ + \cdots + \lambda_m \mathbf{v}_m^+$ , and  $\mathbf{v}^- = \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1^- + \cdots + \lambda_m \mathbf{v}_m^-$ . Suppose the contrary that  $\lambda_i > 1$  for some i. Then  $\lambda_i = k + \lambda'_i$  where  $k \ge 1$  is an integer and  $0 \le \lambda'_i \le 1$ . Therefore  $\mathbf{v}^+ - k\mathbf{v}_i^+$  and  $\mathbf{v}^- - k\mathbf{v}_i^$ are non-negative vectors. Hence  $\mathbf{v}_i^+ \le \mathbf{v}^+$  and  $\mathbf{v}_i^- \le \mathbf{v}^-$ , contradicting the fact that  $\mathbf{v}$  is primitive.

A degree bound for the Graver basis

The next elementary lemma is a folklore result in linear algebra. We present a proof for it for the convenience of the reader.

**Lemma 2.7.** Let M be a  $n \times n$  matrix over a field partitioned as

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} I_d & | & C \\ \hline -C^T & | & I_{n-d} \end{bmatrix}$$

where C is a  $d \times (n-d)$  matrix, and  $C^T$  is the transpose of C. Then

$$\det M(1,...,d|j_1,...,j_d) = (-1)^{1+\cdots+d+j_1+\cdots+j_d} \det M[1,...,d|j_1,...,j_d].$$

Proof. We consider the submatrix  $N := M[1,...,d|j_1,...,j_d]$  of M, and assume that  $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_\ell \leq d < j_{\ell+1} < \cdots < j_d \leq n$ . Let  $\{j'_1, \ldots, j'_\ell\}$  be a subset of  $\{j_1, \ldots, j_d\}$  such that  $j'_1 < \cdots < j'_\ell$ . If  $(j_1, \ldots, j_\ell) \neq (j'_1, \ldots, j'_\ell)$ , then one of the columns of the matrix  $N(j_1, \ldots, j_\ell) \neq (j'_1, \ldots, j'_\ell)$ , then one of the columns of  $j_1 \ldots, j_\ell$ , and is zero. Hence, in this case, we have det  $N(j_1, \ldots, j_\ell | j'_1, \ldots, j'_\ell) = 0$ . On the other hand, if  $(j_1, \ldots, j_\ell) = (j'_1, \ldots, j'_\ell)$ , then det  $N[j_1, \ldots, j_\ell | j'_1, \ldots, j'_\ell] = \det I_\ell = 1$ , and  $N(j_1, \ldots, j_\ell | j'_1, \ldots, j'_\ell) = M[\sigma_1 | \sigma_2]$  where  $\sigma_1 := \{1, \ldots, d\} \setminus \{j_1, \ldots, j_\ell\}$  and  $\sigma_2 := \{j_{\ell+1}, \ldots, j_d\}$ . Thus using the Laplace expansion for the matrix N with respect to the rows indexed by  $j_1, \ldots, j_\ell$ , we have

 $\det M[1,...,d|j_1,...,j_d] = \det N = (-1)^{1+\cdots+\ell+j_1+\cdots+j_\ell} \det M[\sigma_1|\sigma_2].$ 

Now let  $N' := M(1,...,d|j_1,...,j_d)$ , and  $\{j'_1,\ldots,j'_{d-\ell}\}$  be a subset of  $\{1,\ldots,n\}$  such that  $j'_1 < \cdots < j'_{d-\ell}$  and  $j'_i \notin \{j_1,\ldots,j_d\}$ . If the columns of N' indexed by  $j'_1,\ldots,j'_{d-\ell}$  are not the first  $d-\ell$  columns of N', then the matrix  $N'[j_{\ell+1}-d,\ldots,j_d-d|j'_1,\ldots,j'_{d-\ell}]$  has at least one zero column which implies det  $N'[j_{\ell+1}-d,\ldots,j_d-d|j'_1,\ldots,j'_{d-\ell}] = 0$ . On the other hand, if the columns of N' indexed by  $j'_1,\ldots,j'_{d-\ell} = 0$ . On the other hand, if the columns of N' indexed by  $j'_1,\ldots,j'_{d-\ell} = 0$ . The first  $d-\ell$  columns of N', then we have det  $N'(j_{\ell+1}-d,\ldots,j_d-d|j'_1,\ldots,j'_{d-\ell}) = 1$  and

 $\det N'[j_{\ell+1}-d,...,j_d-d|j'_1,...,j'_{d-\ell}] = \det N'[j_{\ell+1}-d,...,j_d-d|1,...,d-\ell].$ 

Thus the Laplace expansion for the matrix N' with respect to the rows  $j_{\ell+1}-d, \ldots, j_d-d$  implies det  $N' = (-1)^{(j_{\ell+1}-d)+\cdots+(j_d-d)+1\cdots+(d-\ell)} \det P$  where  $P = -C^T[j_{\ell+1}-d,\ldots,j_d-d|\{1,\ldots,d\}\setminus\{j_1,\ldots,j_\ell\}] = -M[\sigma_1|\sigma_2]^T$ . Therefore we have

$$\det N' = (-1)^{(j_{\ell+1}-d)+\dots+(j_d-d)+1\dots+(d-\ell)}(-1)^{d-\ell} \det M[\sigma_1|\sigma_2]$$
  
=  $(-1)^{j_{\ell+1}+\dots+j_d+d(d-\ell)+1+\dots+(d-\ell)+(d-\ell)} \det M[\sigma_1|\sigma_2]$   
=  $(-1)^{(j_1+\dots+j_d)+(1+\dots+d)+(j_1+\dots+j_\ell)+(1+\dots+\ell)} \det M[\sigma_1|\sigma_2]$   
=  $(-1)^{j_1+\dots+j_d+1+\dots+d} \det N$ 

www.SID.ir

where the second equality holds because  $(-1)^{-d(d-\ell)} = (-1)^{d(d-\ell)}$ , and the third equality holds because the sum of the exponents of (-1) on both sides of the equality is even.

**Theorem 2.8.** Let m, n be two integers with 0 < m < n, B an integer  $n \times m$  matrix with  $\operatorname{rank}(B) = m$ , and A an integer  $d \times n$  matrix with  $d := \operatorname{rank}(A) = n - m$  such that the sequence  $0 \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}^m \xrightarrow{B} \mathbb{Z}^n \xrightarrow{A} \mathbb{Z}^d$  of abelian groups is exact. Let  $\sigma := \{j_1, \ldots, j_d\}$  be a subset of  $\{1, \ldots, n\}$  with  $j_1 < \cdots < j_d$ , and  $\bar{\sigma}$  be its complement. Then

$$\det A[1,...,d|\sigma] = (-1)^{1+\dots+d+j_1+\dots+j_d} C(A) \det B[\bar{\sigma}|_{1,\dots,m}].$$

Consequently, D(A) = C(A)D(B), and if B' is another matrix with the same property as B, then C(B) = C(B') and D(B) = D(B').

*Proof.* We consider the  $n \times n$  partitioned matrix

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} A \\ B^T \end{bmatrix}$$

over the field of rational numbers. Since the matrix  $B^T$  is full row rank, there exists an integer sequence  $1 \leq i_1 < \cdots < i_d \leq n$  such that det  $M(1,...,d|_{i_1},...,i_d) \neq 0$ . Without loss of generality, we assume that  $(i_1,\ldots,i_d) = (1,\ldots,d)$ . Let  $\alpha := \det M(1,\ldots,d|_{1,\ldots,d})$ , and  $\beta :=$ det  $M[1,\ldots,d|_{1,\ldots,d}]$ . For any sequence  $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_d \leq n$ , we claim that

$$\det M[1,...,d|j_1,...,j_d] = (-1)^{1+\cdots+d+j_1+\cdots+j_d} \frac{\beta}{\alpha} \det M(1,...,d|j_1,...,j_d).$$

To prove the claim, we note that the equality remains unchanged when we apply the elementary row operations to the first d rows or the second n-d rows. Note that, for example, permuting two of the first d rows changes the sign of  $\beta$  as well as det  $M[1,...,d|_{j_1},...,j_d]$ . Hence using the hypotheses on the matrices A and B, we may assume that

$$M = \begin{bmatrix} I_d & | & C \\ \hline -C^T & | & I_{n-d} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Therefore the claim follows from Lemma 2.7. Now let  $\gamma := \text{gcd}(\alpha, \beta)$ . By the claim  $\alpha/\gamma$  divides det  $M(1,...,d|j_1,...,j_d)$  for all sequences  $1 \leq j_1 < \cdots < j_d \leq n$ . Since the lattice  $L = \text{ker}_{\mathbb{Z}}(A) = \text{Im}_{\mathbb{Z}}(B)$  is saturated, it follows from Proposition 2.1 that  $\alpha/\gamma = 1$  which implies that  $\beta = \alpha\beta'$  for some integer  $\beta'$ . Therefore

$$\det M[1,...,d|j_1,...,j_d] = (-1)^{1+\cdots+d+j_1+\cdots+j_d} \beta' \det M(1,...,d|j_1,...,j_d).$$

A degree bound for the Graver basis

Since  $gcd(M(1,...,d|j_1,...,j_d) \mid 1 \le j_1 < \cdots < j_d \le n) = 1$ , we conclude  $\beta' = C(A)$ .

**Remark 2.9.** Let L be a lattice in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  of dimension m, and B a defining matrix of  $\tilde{L}$ . Then by Theorem 2.8, the integer D(B) does not depend on B and hence we can set  $D(\tilde{L}) := D(B)$ . Furthermore, if L is saturated, we may assume that  $L = \ker_{\mathbb{Z}}(A)$  for some integer  $(n - m) \times n$  matrix A, by Proposition 2.1. We may also assume that C(A) = 1, by [6, Propositions 1.1 and 1.2]. Hence, in this case, D(L) = D(A), by Theorem 2.8.

**Theorem 2.10.** Let L be a lattice in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  of dimension m, M the maximum of the values  $gcd(\mathbf{v})$  where  $\mathbf{v}$  runs over the set of circuits of L, and  $D := D(\tilde{L})$ . Then the total degree of any Graver element of L is less than or equal to m(n-m+1)MD. Furthermore, M = 1 if and only if L is saturated.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathbf{v}$  be a circuit in L. Then by Proposition 2.4,  $\mathbf{v} = \gcd(\mathbf{v})\mathbf{v}'$  where  $\mathbf{v}'$  is a circuit of  $\tilde{L}$ . Therefore,  $\|\mathbf{v}\|_1 = \gcd(\mathbf{v})\|\mathbf{v}'\|_1$  where  $\|\mathbf{v}\|_1$  is the sum of the absolute values of coordinates of  $\mathbf{v}$ . Then

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_1 \le \gcd(\mathbf{v})(n-m+1)D \le M(n-m+1)D$$

where the first inequality holds by Remark 2.9, and [9, Lemma 4.8, 4.9]. Let  $\mathbf{v} \in L$  be a Graver element, i.e. a primitive vector of L. By Theorem 2.6, there exist non-negative rational coefficients  $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m$ , and circuits  $\mathbf{v}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{v}_m$  in L such that  $\mathbf{v} = \lambda_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + \cdots + \lambda_m \mathbf{v}_m$  and  $\lambda_i \leq 1$ . Therefore

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{1} \le \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_{i} \|\mathbf{v}_{i}\|_{1} \le \sum_{i=1}^{m} \|\mathbf{v}_{i}\|_{1} \le m(n-m+1)MD.$$

Since the degree of  $\mathbf{v}$  or equivalently the degree of  $\mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}^+} - \mathbf{x}^{\mathbf{v}^-}$  is equal to max{ $\|\mathbf{v}^+\|_1, \|\mathbf{v}^-\|_1$ }, the first part follows.

To prove the last part, we note that if M = 1, then by Proposition 2.4, the sets of circuits of L and  $\tilde{L}$  coincide. Hence by Proposition 2.6, we have  $L = \tilde{L}$ , as required. The converse is also obvious.

Let *I* be a homogeneous ideal in the polynomial ring  $R = \Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ graded with deg $(x_i) = 1$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n$ . Then the *i*th Betti number of the ideal *I* in degree *j* is defined to be the vector space dimension dim<sub>k</sub> Tor<sub>*i*</sub>( $\Bbbk$ , *I*)<sub>*j*</sub> and is denoted by  $\beta_{i,j}(I)$ . The integer reg $(I) := \max\{j = 1, \ldots, n\}$   $i \mid \beta_{i,j}(I) \neq 0$  is called the Castelnuovo-Mumford regularity of I, and is an important measure of the complexity of I.

**Corollary 2.11.** Let  $I_L$  be a homogeneous lattice ideal in  $R = \Bbbk[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$  where L is a lattice in  $\mathbb{Z}^n$  of dimension m. Then we have the inequality

$$\operatorname{reg}(I_L) \le \frac{1}{2}m(n-1)(n-m+1)MD$$

where  $reg(I_L)$  is the Caselnuovo-Mumford regularity of  $I_L$ , and the constants M and D are as in Theorem 2.10.

Proof. Let  $p := \frac{1}{2}m(n-m+1)MD$ , and  $\mathbf{u} \in L$  a Graver element of L. Since  $I_L$  is homogeneous, it follows from Theorem 2.10 that  $\|\mathbf{u}^+\|_1 = \|\mathbf{u}^-\|_1 \leq p$ . Let < be a term order. Since the Graver basis contains the universal Gröbner basis, it follows that p is an upper bound for the degree of any minimal monomial generator of  $\operatorname{in}_{<}(I_L)$ . By the Taylor resolution [1, Section 2], we have  $\beta_{i,j}(\operatorname{in}_{<}(I_L)) = 0$  for j > (i+1)p. Since  $\beta_{i,j}(I_L) \leq \beta_{i,j}(\operatorname{in}_{<}(I_L))$ , we have  $\beta_{i,j}(I_L) = 0$  for j > (i+1)p. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{reg}(I_L) &\leq \max\{(i+1)p - i \mid 0 \leq i \leq \operatorname{pd}_R(I_L)\} \\ &\leq (\operatorname{pd}_R(I_L) + 1)p \\ &\leq \operatorname{pd}_R(R/I_L)p. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\operatorname{reg}(I_L) \leq \operatorname{pd}_R(R/I_L)p$ . Since each monomial is regular over  $R/I_L$ , we have  $\operatorname{depth}(R/I_L) > 0$  which implies that  $\operatorname{pd}_R(R/I_L) \leq n - 1$ .

## Acknowledgments

This research was supported by a grant from the Research Council of University of Tehran.

### References

- D. Bayer, I. Peeva and B. Sturmfels, Monomial resolutions, Math. Res. Lett. 5 (1998), no. 1-2, 31–46
- [2] I. Borosh and L. B. Treybig, Bounds on positive integral solutions of linear Diophantine equations, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 55 (1976), no. 2, 299–304.
- [3] E. Domenjoud, Solving Systems of Linear Diophantine Equations: An Algebraic Approach, Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science, 141–150, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., 520, Springer, Berlin, 1991.

A degree bound for the Graver basis

- [4] M. Drton, B. Sturmfels and S. Sullivant, Lectures on Algebraic Statistics, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel, 2009.
- [5] J. Graver, On the foundations of linear and integer linear programming, I, *Math. Programming* **9** (1975), no. 2, 207–226.
- [6] H. Ohsugi and T. Hibi, Centrally symmetric configurations of integer matrices, arXiv:1105.4322v1 (2001).
- [7] L. Pottier, Minimal Solutions of Linear Diophantine Systems: Bounds and Algorithms, Rewriting Techniques and Applications, 162–173, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., 488, Springer, Berlin, 1991.
- [8] A. Schrijver, Theory of Linear and Integer Programming, Wiley-Interscience Series in Discrete Mathematics, John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, 1986.
- [9] B. Sturmfels, Gröbner Bases and Convex Polytopes, University Lecture Series, 8, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, 1996.

#### Hossein Sabzrou

Department of Mathematics, University of Tehran, P.O. Box 14155-6455, Tehran, Iran

Email: sabzrou@ut.ac.ir