

# Simultaneous Pattern Visual Evoked Potential and Pattern Electroretinogram in Strabismic and Anisometric Amblyopia

J Heravian<sup>1\*</sup>, R Daneshvar<sup>2</sup>, F Dashti<sup>1</sup>, A Azimi<sup>1</sup>, H Ostadi Moghaddam<sup>1</sup>, AA Yekta<sup>1</sup>, H Esmaily<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Optometry, School of Paramedical Sciences, <sup>2</sup>Eye Research Center, Khatam Anbia Hospital, <sup>3</sup>Department of Social Medicine, School of Health, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran

## Abstract

**Background:** Amblyopia is a relatively common condition in which visual acuity through an eye is subnormal despite no overt pathology. Pattern visual evoked potential (PVEP) can detect any defect from optic nerve to occipital cortex and pattern electroretinogram (PERG) can detect retinal defects specially the ganglion layers. This study was performed to evaluate the cortical and retinal activity in strabismic and anisometric amblyopia.

**Methods:** PVEP and PERG were recorded simultaneously in 40 amblyopes (20 strabismics and 20 anisometric) and 20 normal control subjects. Normal subjects were age and sex matched with patients.

**Results:** The P<sub>100</sub> latency in PVEP was increased in both groups of patients but the P<sub>100</sub> amplitude was reduced only in anisometric group. In PERG, the amplitude of P<sub>50</sub> was reduced in all patients with no significant change in latency.

**Conclusion:** Beside reduced PVEP responses in strabismic and anisometric amblyopia, the activity of retina reduced too. It is likely that retinal impulses can affect the development of visual system.

**Keywords:** Strabismic amblyopia; Anisometric amblyopia; PVEP; PERG

## Introduction

Amblyopia is an acquired unilateral or bilateral decrease of visual acuity for which no obvious structural or pathologic cause can be detected by physical examination of the eye. The most common types of amblyopia are strabismic and anisometric amblyopia. The purpose of electrophysiologic studies in amblyopia is an understanding of the mechanism of decreased visual acuity and revealing the location of major defects and their depth. In many studies, pattern visual evoked potential (PVEP) recorded from

human amblyopic eyes showed attenuated amplitudes and prolonged latencies.<sup>1-3</sup> Although the loss of visual acuity in amblyopia is considered to be cortical in origin,<sup>4,5</sup> it remains unclear whether the retina is also affected in humans with amblyopia or not.

Feng et al using multifocal pattern visual evoked potential ((MFVEP) and multifocal pattern electroretinogram (MFERG) in 42 amblyopes showed attenuated amplitude of both MFERG and VEP in amblyopic eyes with the prolonged latency of MFVEP but no change in MFERG latency.<sup>6</sup> Arden *et al.* argued that the reduction of pattern electroretinogram (PERG) in amblyopia occurs without a corresponding reduction in focal electroretinogram (ERG) and this reduction may differ according to the type of amblyopia.<sup>7</sup> On the other hand Guttob and his co-workers<sup>8</sup> and Hess *et al.*,<sup>9</sup> stated that PERG is normal in any type of amblyopia. This study was undertaken

\*Correspondence: Javad Heravian, PhD, Department of Optometry, School of Paramedical Sciences, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Malek Abad Square, Mashhad, Iran. Tel: +98-915-5154052, +98-511-7610111, Fax: +98-511-7628088, e-mail: Heravianj@mums.ac.ir  
Received: June 10, 2010 Accepted: August 18, 2010

to investigate the effect of amblyopia on both the retinal and cortical pattern responses.

### Material and Methods

The patients for this study comprised 40 amblyopes (20 strabismic and 20 anisometropic). Amblyopia was defined as at least 2 lines differences in best corrected Snellen acuity between eyes or an absolute best corrected Snellen visual acuity of 20/30 or less, in the absence of obvious structural pathology. The 20 subjects who comprised our normal control group were age and sex matched with the patients and were selected to have no ophthalmologically detected pathology with normal ocular history and normal visual acuity. The study protocol was approved by the Ethical Committee of Mashhad University of Medical Sciences and adhered to tenets of Helsinki Declaration. The procedure was explained to all subjects and informed consent was obtained before examination. Subjective visual acuity was measured monocularly and binocularly by a standard Snellen distance chart (Clement Clark International, UK). The subjects were refracted to ensure an exact optical correction. The orthoptic evaluation included objective measuring of the angle of deviation and determination of fixation characteristics was established using visoscopy. Stereoacuity was measured with the TNO Stereotest (Clement Clark International, UK) for all subjects. Suppression was checked with the worth 4-dot test. Biomicroscopic and ophthalmoscopic investigation showed clear media and no fundus abnormalities. All patients and subjects had stable fixation.

The PVEP recording equipment consisted of a Roland Reti (Model ISXEV 60, Germany) signal averager connected to a 2 to 8 channels amplifier for storing and summing the waves. The stimulus was a black and white check size of 48 min arc at a viewing distance of one meter. The mean screen luminance was 100 cd/m<sup>2</sup> with a contrast of 99% and full field display. The temporal frequency was 1.5 Hz (3 reversals per second). The mean luminance of the test room was 80 cd/m<sup>2</sup> and

recording condition was in accordance with International Society of Clinical Electrophysiology of Vision (ISCEV) standards. The amplifier band-pass filters were set at 1-50Hz. In recording the PVEP, the active electrode was positioned one inch above the inion (o<sub>2</sub>). Referencing was to the centre of the forehead with a ground electrode on the vertex of the head (c<sub>2</sub>). In recording the PERG, the active electrode (DTL fiber) was positioned on the lower fornix in contact with the limbus, referenced to the outer cantus of the ipsilateral eye with a ground electrode on the forehead. The inter electrode impedance was maintained below 5 K Ohm in all recordings. The PVEP was recorded first binocularly and then monocular PVEPs and PERGs were recorded simultaneously for each subject. In each recording, 200 sweeps were averaged. All electrophysiological tests were performed at Electrophysiology Laboratory of Khatam Anbia Eye Hospital, a tertiary eye hospital in northeast of Iran. All tests were performed with the subjects/patients wearing the best refractive correction. Finally the data were analyzed with SPSS Version 11.5 Inc, Chicago, IL, USA. A *p* value of < .05 was considered significant. The data analysis was performed, using Pearson correlation coefficient, Independent t-test, One-way (ANOVA), Dunnet and Tukey tests.

### Results

Table 1 shows the mean and standard deviation of the P<sub>100</sub> latency and amplitude of the amblyopic and non-amblyopic eyes and binocular responses in both strabismic and anisometropic groups. Table 2 shows the normal subjects data for the P<sub>100</sub> amplitudes and latencies. There was a significant difference for the P<sub>100</sub> latency between both group of amblyopes and normal subjects (*p*=0.001 for the anisometropic group and *p*< 0.0·1 for the strabismic group). However, there was no significant difference for the P<sub>100</sub> amplitude between both group of amblyopes and normal subjects (*p*=0.203 for the anisometropic group and *p*=0.935 for the strabismic group).

**Table 1:** Mean and standard deviation of P<sub>100</sub> latency and amplitude for the amblyopic and nonamblyopic eyes and binocular responses in strabismic and anisometropic groups

Eye	Strabismic amblyopia		Anisometropic amblyopia	
	P <sub>100</sub> latency (ms)	P <sub>100</sub> amplitude (µv)	P <sub>100</sub> latency (ms)	P <sub>100</sub> amplitude (µv)
Amblyopic eye	115.5±10.2	17.1±25.1	109.6±9.5	10.8±6.8
Non-amblyopic eye	107.5±7.9	15.1±5.6	104.4±7.3	12.1±0.5
Binocular responses	109±7.448	18.95±7.028	105.2±6.504	13.53±6.739

**Table 2:** Mean and standard deviation of P<sub>100</sub> latency and amplitude in monocular and binocular responses in normal subjects

Eye	P <sub>100</sub> latency (ms)	P <sub>100</sub> amplitude (μv)
Dominant eyes responses	99.9±3.2	18.6±7.1
Binocular responses	94.4±19.1	15.91±4.3

Independent t-test was performed for amplitudes and latencies between the two groups of amblyopes. There was no significant difference between the response of the amblyopic eyes of the two groups of patients ( $p=0.268$ ) for the P<sub>100</sub> amplitude and  $p=0.641$  for the P<sub>100</sub> latency of the two groups of patients).

There was no significant difference between the P<sub>100</sub> amplitude of the binocular response of the anisometrops and normal subjects ( $p=0.446$ ) but the difference was significant for the P<sub>100</sub> latency ( $p=0.026$ ). There was also no significant difference between the P<sub>100</sub> amplitude of the binocular response of the strabismic amblyopes and normal subjects ( $p=0.274$ ), but there was significant difference for the P<sub>100</sub> latency ( $p=0.002$ ) between strabismic amblyopes and normal subjects. There was a significant difference in binocular P<sub>100</sub> amplitude between the two groups of amblyopes ( $p=0.020$ ), with no significant difference for the latencies ( $p=0.626$ ).

Table 3 shows the mean and standard deviation of the P<sub>50</sub> amplitudes and latencies in the strabismic and anisometropic groups. There was a significant difference between P<sub>50</sub> amplitude for the amblyopic eye of strabismic and normal subjects ( $p<0.001$ ) with no significant difference for P<sub>50</sub> latencies ( $p=0.460$ ). In addition, there was a statistically significant difference between P<sub>50</sub> amplitude for the amblyopic eye of anisometrops and normal subjects ( $p<0.001$ ) but no significant difference between P<sub>50</sub> latencies ( $p=0.871$ ). There was no significant difference between P<sub>50</sub> amplitude ( $p=0.719$ ) and P50 latency ( $p=0.215$ ) for the 2 groups of patients. Table 4 shows the normal subjects data for the P50 amplitudes and latencies.

The correlation coefficient was calculated between the P<sub>100</sub> latency of the PVEP and the P<sub>50</sub> amplitude of the PERG (Pearson correlation=-0.4;  $p=0.002$ ). The correlation coefficient was calculated between the BCVA of the amblyopic eyes and the amplitude of the P<sub>50</sub> of PERG, showing a significant correlation (Pearson correlation=0.58;  $p<0.001$ ).

**Discussion**

The results of the PVEP in anisometropic amblyopia suggest that the mean amplitude of P<sub>100</sub> reduced in comparison with normal subjects and the mean latency was prolonged. These findings confirm previous reports.<sup>1,2,3,10,11</sup> Our findings for the strabismic group, which there was a significant prolonged latency in the amblyopic eyes agree with other studies. A large number of studies using conventional pattern VEP showed both increased latency and reduced amplitude of the responses from the amblyopic eye. These changes are attributed to the cortical pathology. One possibility is that the changes of latency and amplitude are caused by an enhancement of magnocellular to parvocellular responses in strabismic amblyopia. Levi showed that the reduction of P<sub>100</sub> amplitude can be due to reduced cortical neurons which are stimulated by the amblyopic eye.<sup>12</sup> Katz *et al.* showed that the major effect of strabismus may be to alter the balance of excitory and inhibitory connections to a neuron and it is believed that strabismus selectively reduces local and long-range excitatory connections and lead to reduction in response of cortical cells.<sup>13</sup>

**Table 3:** Mean and standard deviation of P<sub>50</sub> amplitude and latency in amblyopic and non-amblyopic eyes of strabismics and anisometropics groups

Eye	Strabismics group		Anisometropics group	
	P <sub>50</sub> latency (ms)	P <sub>50</sub> amplitude (μv)	P <sub>50</sub> latency (ms)	P <sub>50</sub> amplitude (μv)
Amblyopic eye	52.6±4.4	1.7±0.96	50.4±4.9	1.9±1.13
Non-amblyopic eye	52.6±4.9	3.1±1.3	49.4±5.09	3.5±1.6

**Table 4:** Mean and standard deviation of P<sub>50</sub> amplitude and latency in normal subjects

Eye	P <sub>50</sub> latency (ms)	P <sub>50</sub> amplitude (μv)
Monocular responses	51±2.4	3.7±1.01

Demer *et al.* reported that the reduction of amplitude and prolonged latency response of an amblyopic eye is due to inhibitory impulses of the normal eye.<sup>14</sup> In this study, we did not find any significant difference between P<sub>100</sub> latency and amplitude in two groups of amblyopes which is in agreement with other studies. McKee *et al.* have emphasized the similarities between the pattern of visual losses in anisometropic and strabismic amblyopia.<sup>15</sup> This promotes the view that irrespective of cause, amblyopia is a condition that varies more in severity than in kind.<sup>16,17</sup> These results indirectly challenge the notion that anisometropic and strabismic amblyopia are distinct neural anomalies with separate etiologies (chronic unilateral blur versus chronic unilateral suppression).<sup>16</sup>

Our results indicate that the binocular PVEP responses of all patients are reduced in comparison with normal subjects. This confirms the findings of other studies.<sup>18-20</sup> The study of macaques' visual system revealed that the primary visual cortex of both strabismic and anisometropic amblyopes had lower binocular neurons.<sup>21,22</sup> Nevertheless, it has been discussed that abnormal binocular interaction occurs in amblyopia in visual cortex and this means that there are binocular cells in visual cortex but they produce smaller responses.<sup>23,24</sup> However, recent physiological investigations seems to support abnormal binocular interactions in visual cortex of amblyopes as opposed to loss of cortical binocularity that had previously been thought to be the case.

We did not find any change in PERG latencies between both groups of amblyopes and normal subjects. This finding supports the results of previous studies.<sup>25,26</sup> Also, we did not find any significant difference on P<sub>50</sub> latencies between two eyes of patients. This finding confirms that the latencies of PERG responses are mostly constant and rarely change in different diseases of retina and optic nerve.

Tepping *et al.* in their simultaneous study of PVEP and PERG in amblyopic eyes reported no significant delay in peak latencies in PERG, whereas in the PVEP, latencies were significantly prolonged. They confirmed that a conduction delay of visual information appears unlikely to occur on a retinal level and the total latency delay in the VEP of amblyopic eye is caused by a prolongation of retin-cortical time.<sup>27</sup>

Our results showed that the amplitude of P<sub>50</sub> was reduced in all patients which agree with other studies.<sup>28-30</sup> Manny *et al.* showed that reduced P<sub>50</sub> amplitude could be due to reduced function of retinal ganglion cells.<sup>28</sup> It is believed that X ganglion cells are the

major origin of generating PERG responses.

Armington *et al.* reported that the reduced PERG responses in amblyopia is indicative of malfunction of photopic mechanism of amblyopic eye. It is believed that process of contrast information in human visual system begins from retinal ganglion cells.<sup>31</sup> Jacobson *et al.* showed that the X ganglion cells need optimal optical focus to develop properly as cortical neurons need.<sup>32</sup> We also found that the P<sub>50</sub> amplitude increases parallel to the visual acuity.

The study of mice PERG suggests that although retinal ganglion cell axons activity plays a role in PERG generation, a Muller cell component can not be excluded, since Muller cells can passively generate electric currents in response to extracellular modulation of K<sup>+</sup> ions produced by active retinal neurons.<sup>33</sup>

Biological studies have shown evidences that in amblyopic eye, the function of neurotransmitters changes and the reduction of P<sub>50</sub> amplitude can be due to this dysfunction.<sup>25</sup> It has been reported that retinal neurotransmitters have a major role in generating retinal responses. Glutamate is the transmitter released by rods and cones and some bipolar cells. Glutamate acts on ganglion cells to increase the visually evoked responses of both on- and off-sustained ganglion cells but not of transient cells. On the other hand, Acetylcholine enhances the firing of on- and off-transient ganglion cells. Acetylcholine is released by amacrine cells.

The present study showed that there was a significant correlation between P<sub>50</sub> amplitude of PERG and P<sub>100</sub> latency of PVEP and as the P<sub>50</sub> amplitude increased, the P<sub>100</sub> latency decreased which is in agreement with other studies.<sup>34,35</sup> This result brings the hypothesis that reduced visual cortex function is not only due to reduced cortical cells but also due to reduced retinal responses of the amblyopic eyes.

Yin *et al.* reported that beside prolonged VEP latencies, the amplitude of PERG reduced in isotropic amblyopic cats. They believed that retinal defect is associated with cortical defects although the retinal defect has a smaller depth.<sup>34</sup> Porciatty *et al.* reported that the PERG acuity in mice develops postnatally<sup>36</sup> in parallel with visual acuity determined with VEPs.<sup>37</sup> These studies reveal that the defect of retina can eventuate to the malfunction of visual cortex. Tsutsui and coworkers also reported that the reduced amplitude of PVEP can be due to reduction of electrical activity from retina and sites of transmission along the optic pathway.<sup>38</sup>

In mammalian visual system, the information of

eye specific layers at the thalamic level depends on retinal waves of spontaneous activity,<sup>39</sup> which rely on nicotinic acetylcholine receptor activation.

Porciatti in his study on mouse PERG has indicated that cholinergic mediated activity in the developing retina is not required for the normal postnatal development of ganglion cells, but is necessary for the anatomical and functional development of the post retinal visual system.<sup>33,40</sup>

The results of this study showed that beside reduced PVEP responses in strabismic and anisometropic amblyopia, the activity of retina is also

reduced. It is probable that retinal impulses can affect visual system development.

### Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the Vice Chancellor for Research of Mashhad University of Medical Science for financial support and Mrs Maryam kakhoda for her assistance in recording electrophysiological testing.

**Conflict of interest:** None declared.

### References

- 1 Heravian J , Ostadimoghadam H , Yekta AA , Hasanabadi H , Mahjoob M. Pattern VEP in response to monocular & binocular stimulation in normal and amblyope subjects. *Iran Red Crescent Med J* 2008;**10**:69-74.
- 2 Wright KW, Erikson KJ, Shors TJ. Detection of amblyopia with PVEP during chloral hydrate sedation . *J Pediatric Ophthalmol Strabismus* 2000;**24**:170-8.
- 3 Sokol S. Abnormal evoked potential latencies in amblyopia. *Br J Ophthalmol* 1983;**67**:310-4. [6838802] [doi:10.1136/bjo.67.5.310]
- 4 Blakemore C, Van Sluyters RC. Innate and environmental factors in the development of the kitten's visual cortex. *J Physiol* 1975;**248**:663-716. [1151843]
- 5 Hubel DH, Wiesel TN. Binocular interaction in striate cortex of kittens reared with artificial squint. *J Neurophysiol* 1965;**28**:1041-59. [5883731]
- 6 Feng LX, Zhao KX. Study on anisometropic amblyopia by simultaneously recording multifocal VEP and multifocal ERG. *Zhonghua Yan Ke Za Zhi* 2005;**41**:41-6. [15774113]
- 7 Arden GB, Vaegan, Hogg CR, Powell DJ, Carter RM. Pattern ERGs are abnormal in many amblyopes. *Trans Ophthalmol Soc U K* 1980;**100**:453-60. [6947588]
- 8 Gottlob I, Welge-Lüssen L. Normal pattern electroretinograms in amblyopia. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 1987;**28**:187-91. [3804650]
- 9 Hess RF, Baker CL Jr, Verhoeve JN, Keesey UT, France TD. The pattern evoked electroretinogram: its variability in normals and its relationship to amblyopia. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 1985;**26**:1610-23. [4055293]
- 10 Amigo G, Fiorentini A, Pirchio M, Spinelli D. Binocular vision tested with visual evoked potentials in children and infants. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 1978;**17**:910-15. [700970]
- 11 Sokol S. Pattern visual evoked potentials: their use in pediatric ophthalmology. *Int Ophthalmol Clin* 1980;**20**:251-68. [6995378] [doi:10.1097/00004397-198002010-00012]
- 12 Levi DM. Do visual evoked potentials studies reveal amblyopic abnormalities not readily apparent in psychophysical tests? *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1982;**388**:615-21. [6953892] [doi:10.1111/j.1749-6632.1982.tb50822.x]
- 13 Katz LC, Crowley JC. Development of cortical circuits: lessons from ocular dominance columns. *Nat Rev Neurosci* 2002;**3**:34-42. [11823803] [doi:10.1038/nrn703]
- 14 Demer JL. Positron emission tomographic studies of cortical function in human amblyopia. *Neurosci Biobehav Rev* 1993;**17**:469-76. [8309655] [doi:10.1016/S0149-7634(05)80125-3]
- 15 McKee SP, Levi DM, Movshon JA. The pattern of visual deficits in amblyopia. *J Vis* 2003;**3**:380-405. [12875634] [doi:10.1167/3.5.5]
- 16 Barrett BT, Bradley A, McGraw PV. Understanding the neural basis of amblyopia. *Neuroscientist* 2004;**10**:106-17. [15070485] [doi:10.1177/1073858403262153]
- 17 Kiorpes L, Kiper DC, O'Keefe LP, Cavanaugh JR, Movshon JA. Neuronal correlates of amblyopia in the visual cortex of macaque monkeys with experimental strabismus and anisometropia. *J Neurosci* 1998;**18**:6411-24. [9698332]
- 18 Fawcett SL, Birch EE. Motion VEPs, stereopsis, and bifoveal fusion in children with strabismus. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 2000;**41**:411-6. [10670470]
- 19 Odom JV, Bach M, Barber C, Brigell M, Marmor MF, Tormene AP, Holder GE, Vaegan N. Visual evoked potentials standard (2004). *Doc Ophthalmol* 2004;**108**:115-23. [15455794] [doi:10.1023/B:DOOP.0000036790.67234.22]
- 20 Heravian JS, Jenkins TC, Douthwaite WA. Binocular summation in visually evoked responses and visual acuity. *Ophthalmic Physiol Opt* 1990;**10**:257-61. [2216474]
- 21 Kiorpes L, Kiper DC, O'Keefe LP, Cavanaugh JR, Movshon JA. Neuronal correlates of amblyopia in the visual cortex of macaque monkeys with experimental strabismus and anisometropia. *J Neurosci* 1998;**18**:6411-24. [9698332]
- 22 Kiorpes L, Boothe RG, Hendrickson AE, Movshon JA, Eggers HM, Gizzi MS. Effects of early unilateral blur on the macaque's visual system. I. Behavioral observations. *J Neurosci* 1987;**7**:1318-26. [3572483]
- 23 Demer JL, von Noorden GK, Volkow ND, Gould KL. Imaging of cerebral blood flow and metabolism in amblyopia by positron emission tomography. *Am J Ophthalmol* 1988;**105**:337-47. [3258733] [doi:10.1016/0002-9394(88)90294-2]
- 24 Sengpiel F, Blakemore C, Kind PC, Harrad R. Interocular suppression in the visual cortex of strabismic cats. *J Neurosci* 1994;**14**:6855-71. [7965083]
- 25 Berninger T, Arden GB. Pattern electroretinogram. 1th ed. NewYork: Mosby-year book; 1991.
- 26 Sokol S, Nadler D. Simultaneous ERG and VEP from adult amblyopia in response to pattern stimuli. *Invest Oph Vis Sci* 1978;**18**:848-55.
- 27 Teping C, Kamps I, Silny J. Retinal and retinocortical conduction times in pattern stimulation of amblyopic children. *Fortschr Ophthalmol* 1987;**84**:496-9. [2828204]
- 28 Manny RE. Psychophysical and electrophysiological investigations of

- amblyopia. University of Houston; 1987.
- 29 Persson HE, Wanger P. Pattern-reversal electroretinograms in squint amblyopia, artificial anisometropia and simulated eccentric fixation. *Acta Ophthalmol (Copenh)* 1982; **60**:123-32. [7136523] [doi:10.1111/j.1755-3768.1982.tb05788.x]
- 30 Arden GB, Vaegan, Hogg CR, Powell DJ, Carter RM. Pattern ERGs are abnormal in many amblyopes. *Trans Ophthalmol Soc U K* 1980; **100**:453-60. [6947588]
- 31 Armington JC, Corwin TR, Marsetta R. Simultaneously recorded retinal and cortical responses to patterned stimuli. *J Opt Soc Am* 1971; **61**: 1514-21. [5111048] [doi:10.1364/JOSA.61.001514]
- 32 Jacobson SG, Ikeda H. Behavioural studies of spatial vision in cats reared with convergent squint: is amblyopia due to arrest of development? *Exp Brain Res* 1979; **34**:11-26. [759219] [doi:10.1007/BF00238338]
- 33 Porciatti V. The mouse pattern electroretinogram. *Doc Ophthalmol* 2007; **115**:145-53. [17522779] [doi:10.1007/s10633-007-9059-8]
- 34 Yin ZQ, Li CY, Pei X, Vaegan, Fang QX. Development of pattern ERG and pattern VEP spatial resolution in kittens with unilateral esotropia. *Invest Ophthalmol Vis Sci* 1994; **35**:626-34. [8113013]
- 35 Porciatti V, Pizzorusso T, Maffei L. Electrophysiology of the postreceptoral visual pathway in mice. *Doc Ophthalmol* 2002; **104**:69-82. [11949810] [doi:10.1023/A:1014463212001]
- 36 Porciatti V, Pizzorusso T, Maffei L. The visual physiology of the wild type mouse determined with pattern VEPs. *Vision Res* 1999; **39**:3071-81. [10664805] [doi:10.1016/S0042-6989(99)00022-X]
- 37 Huang ZJ, Kirkwood A, Pizzorusso T, Porciatti V, Morales B, Bear MF, Maffei L, Tonegawa S. BDNF regulates the maturation of inhibition and the critical period of plasticity in mouse visual cortex. *Cell* 1999; **98**:739-55. [10499792]
- 38 Tsutsui J, Kawashima S, Fukai S. Short latency visual evoked potentials in functional amblyopia shown using moving topography. *Graefes Arch Clin Exp Ophthalmol* 1988; **26**:301-3. [3169578] [doi:10.1007/BF02172954]
- 39 Wong RO. Retinal waves and visual system development. *Annu Rev Neurosci* 1999; **22**:29-47. [10202531] [doi:10.1146/annurev.neuro.22.1.29]
- 40 Feller MB, Wellis DP, Stellwagen D, Werblin FS, Shatz CJ. Requirement for cholinergic synaptic transmission in the propagation of spontaneous retinal waves. *Science* 1996; **272**: 1182-7. [8638165] [doi:10.1126/science.272.5265.1182]