



The Spectrum of Chest CT-Scans in the Hospitalized Patients with the Coronavirus Disease

Maria Shirvani ¹, Alireza Janbakhsh ¹, Feizollah Mansouri ¹, Babak Sayad ¹, Siavash Vaziri ¹, Mandana Afsharian ¹, Mohammad Hossein Zamanian ¹, Ronak Miladi ¹, Zahra Aziziaran ¹, Masoomeh Nouri ² and Zeinab Mohseni Afshar ^{1,*}

¹Infectious Diseases Research Center, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

²Clinical Research Development Center, Imam Reza Hospital, Kermanshah, University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran

*Corresponding author: Infectious Diseases Research Center, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran. Email: zeinab.mohseni.afshar@gmail.com

Received 2020 November 18; Accepted 2020 November 24.

Abstract

Background: Coronaviruses are a large family of RNA viruses, which range from the common cold virus to the causative agent of more severe diseases. Coronavirus was declared a pandemic in December 2019 in Wuhan, China. Iran has been an endemic zone for the spread of the coronavirus since the outset of this global epidemic and has remained among the countries largely affected by high rates of the disease.

Objectives: The present study aimed to investigate the range of the chest computed tomography (CT) scan findings among the hospitalized patients with COVID-19 in Kermanshah, Iran during March-April 2020 to contribute to the accurate diagnosis of the infected patients.

Methods: The sample population consisted of 286 hospitalized patients diagnosed with or suspected of the coronavirus disease. Chest CT-scan images and clinical data were reviewed, and their correlation was analyzed.

Results: In total, 176 patients (61.53%) were male, and 110 (38.47%) were female. The mean age of the patients was 56 years. Polymerase chain reaction (PCR) results showed that 35.31% of the cases had coronavirus, while the results were negative in 64.69% of the cases. In addition, the CT-scan findings indicated 77.27% abnormal and 22.73% normal chest CT-scans. Among the patients, 75.87% recovered completely, and 18.53% died. The major CT abnormalities were diffuse ground-glass opacification (35.66%), peripheral ground-glass opacification (bilateral; 21.33%), and a combination of diffuse and peripheral ground-glass lesions (18.88%). The consolidation lesion of one lobe was detected in 16 patients, and the consolidation lesion of more than one lobe was observed in 40 patients.

Conclusions: According to the results, the most common chest CT-scan findings in COVID-19 include diffuse ground-glass opacification, peripheral ground-glass opacification (bilateral), central ground-glass opacification (bilateral), a combination of diffuse and peripheral ground-glass opacification, a combination of central and peripheral ground-glass opacification, the consolidation lesion of one lobe, and the consolidation lesion of more than one lobe. Furthermore, significant correlations were observed between the CT-scans and the main clinical symptoms, while no significant correlations were denoted between the chest CT-scan and PCR results.

Keywords: Chest CT, Coronavirus, COVID-19

1. Background

Coronaviruses are a large family of RNA viruses, which range from the common cold virus to the causative agent of more severe diseases, such as the severe acute respiratory syndrome (SARS), Middle-East respiratory syndrome (MERS), and COVID-19, which cause diseases in birds and mammals as well (1, 2). Seven human-transmitted coronaviruses have been discovered, the most recent of which is the severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2). SARS-CoV-2 was declared a pandemic in December 2019 in Wuhan, China (1, 3).

According to the World Health Organization (WHO) report, the new coronavirus killed more than 636,576 individuals and infected more than 15,656,884 in different countries until 24th July 2020. The first step to preventing the spread of COVID-19 is rapid and accurate diagnosis (4). The common methods used for the diagnosis and monitoring of this virus are real-time polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR), loop-mediated isothermal amplification (LAMP), ELISA test, and chest computed tomography (CT)-scan (4, 5). RT-PCR is a laboratory technique of molecular biology based on the polymerase chain reaction, which is an accurate detection method. However, it has difficult accessi-

bility given the high cost and complexity due to simultaneous thermal cycling and fluorescence detection (6). On the other hand, LAMP and ELISA tests are simple and inexpensive diagnostic methods for infections, while their accuracy is insufficient (6-8). Chest CT-scan is a quick, easy, and accurate modality with high sensitivity in disease diagnosis (9). In a research in this regard, the comparison of CT-scan and RT-PCR indicated that the sensitivity of CT-scan in the detection of COVID-19 was 98%, while the sensitivity of PCR-assisted diagnosis was 71% (5).

In CT-scan, the volumetric changes in the images could detect the symptoms of the disease (10). The chest CT-scan findings of COVID-19 infection have been observed as bilateral, peripheral, and basal predominant ground-glass opacification, consolidation, pleural effusion, extensive and miniscule pulmonary nodules, and lymphadenopathy (9, 11).

2. Objectives

The present study aimed to investigate the range of CT-scans in the hospitalized patients with the coronavirus disease in Kermanshah, Iran in 2020 to contribute to the accurate diagnosis of the infected patients.

3. Methods

This cross-sectional, observational study was conducted with the approval of the Ethics Committee of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Iran. Convenience sampling was used for the selection of 286 hospitalized patients who were diagnosed with or suspected of the coronavirus disease in Golestan Hospital in Kermanshah City during March-February 2020. Data of the patients were collected using a questionnaire, and data analysis was performed in SPSS version 26. Measures of central tendency and dispersion were calculated for the quantitative variables, relative frequency was estimated for the qualitative variables, and chi-square applied for the statistical comparison. Clinical features were considered as the entry criterion of the study.

4. Results

In total, 286 hospitalized patients who were diagnosed with or suspected of the coronavirus disease were enrolled in the study, including 176 males (61.53%) and 110 females (38.47%). The mean age of the patients was 56 years; the youngest patient was a 13-year-old boy, and the eldest patients were two 90-year-old men. Among the sample population, 237 cases (82.87%) lived in cities, and 110

cases (38.47%) were rural residents. The majority of the patients ($n = 86$; 30.07%) were self-employed, followed by 80 housekeepers (27.97%). The remaining samples were retired (18.53%), employees (12.94%), unemployed (5.24%), and students (3.50%).

According to the PCR results, 101 patients (35.31%) were infected with coronavirus, while 185 cases (64.69%) had negative results. On the other hand, the CT-scan findings indicated that 221 abnormalities (77.27%) and 65 normal CT-scans (22.73%). Of 217 abnormal chest CT findings, only 91 cases had positive PCR results; in other words, only 41.93% of the PCR results showed the coronavirus disease. With regard to the disease status, 217 patients (75.87%) recovered completely, 53 patients (18.53%) died, 10 patients were referred to another center, four cases (1.40%) had partial recovery, and two cases (0.70%) were hospitalized in the ward (Table 1).

In the present study, all the patients were examined by chest CT-scan 7 ± 4 days after the disease onset. According to the chest CT-scan images, 65 patients (22.73%) had normal CT findings. The major CT abnormalities were diffuse ground-glass opacification (102/286; 35.66%), peripheral ground-glass opacification (bilateral) (61/286; 21.33%), and a combination of diffuse and peripheral ground-glass lesions (54/286; 18.88%). Furthermore, three cases (1.05%) presented with a combination of central and peripheral ground-glass opacification, and one case (0.35%) had central ground-glass opacification (bilateral). The consolidation lesion of one lobe was detected in 16 patients (5.59%), and the consolidation lesion of more than one lobe was observed in 40 patients (13.98%). Table 2 shows the frequency and percentage of the CT-scans in the patients.

According to the information in Table 3, out of 65 patients with a normal CT-scan, 38 cases were male, 27 cases were female, 13 cases died, and 44 cases recovered partially or completely. Among the patients with diffuse ground-glass opacification, 67 cases were male, 35 cases were female, 18 cases died, and 73 cases recovered partially or completely. As for the patients with peripheral ground-glass opacification (bilateral), 40 cases were male, 21 cases were female, eight cases died, and 49 cases recovered. Central ground-glass opacification (bilateral) was observed in one male patient who recovered completely. On the other hand, combined diffuse and peripheral ground-glass lesions were detected in 34 males and 20 females, from whom four cases died and 44 cases recovered. Combined central and peripheral ground-glass opacification was also detected in three females, one of whom died, and two cases recovered. Among 16 cases of the consolidation lesion of one lobe, 12 were male, and four were female; four of these patients died, and 11 cases recovered. Out of 40 patients with the consolidation lesion of more than one lobe, 23

Table 1. Characteristics of 286 Patients Diagnosed with or Suspected of COVID-19

Characteristics	Patient (N = 286)
Age, y, mean	
13 - 90	56
Gender, No. (%)	
Male	176 (61.53)
Female	110 (38.47)
Residence, No. (%)	
Urban	237 (82.87)
Rural	49 (17.13)
Occupation Status, No. (%)	
Self-employed	86 (30.07)
Housekeeper	80 (27.97)
Retired/elderly	53 (18.53)
Employee	37 (12.94)
Unemployed	15 (5.24)
Student	10 (3.50)
Other	3 (1.05)
Unknown	2 (0.70)
PCR Results, No. (%)	
Positive	101 (35.31)
Negative	185 (64.69)
CT Findings, No. (%)	
Abnormal	221 (77.27)
Normal	65 (22.73)
Disease Status, No. (%)	
Complete Recovery	217 (75.87)
Death	53 (18.53)
Referral to Another Center	10 (3.50)
Partial Recovery	4 (1.40)
Hospitalization in Ward	2 (0.70)

cases were male, 17 cases were female, one case died, and 30 cases recovered.

5. Discussion

The signs and symptoms of COVID-19 manifest after 1 - 14 days of incubation period in the form of fever, cough, and fatigue at the disease onset (12). If the patient receives no treatment or is irresponsive to treatment, mortality occurs after an average of 6 - 41 days (13). One of the most accurate diagnostic methods for the coronavirus disease is to examine the chest CT-scan of the cases suspected of COVID-

Table 2. Chest CT-Scan Findings of 286 Patients Diagnosed with or Suspected of COVID-19

CT Findings	No. (%)
Normal	65 (22.73)
Diffuse ground-glass opacification	102 (35.66)
Peripheral ground-glass opacification (bilateral)	61 (21.33)
Central ground-glass opacification (bilateral)	1 (0.35)
Combined diffuse and peripheral ground-glass opacification	54 (18.88)
Combined central and peripheral ground-glass opacification	3 (1.05)
Consolidation lesion of one lobe	16 (5.59)
Consolidation lesion of more than one lobe	40 (13.98)

19 symptoms (10). In the present study, 221 patients (77.27%) had abnormalities in the chest CT-scan images.

Compared to other types of pneumonia, COVID-19 seems to cause milder symptoms and severer pulmonary changes on CT (14). In the current research, the majority of the patients had diffuse ground-glass opacification (35.66%) and peripheral ground-glass opacification (bilateral; 21.33%). In addition, multiple lesions were detected in some of the patients, such as combined diffuse and peripheral ground-glass opacification (18.88%). The least amount of lesions belonged to central ground-glass opacification (bilateral; 0.35%) and combined central and peripheral ground-glass opacification (1.05%). On the other hand, the consolidation lesion of more than one lobe (13.98%) was more common compared to the consolidation lesion of one lobe (5.59%). These findings are consistent with the recently published studies in this regard (14-16).

The findings of the current research demonstrated significant correlations between the CT-scans and the main clinical symptoms. Notably, 20% of the cases with a normal chest CT-scan died due to coronavirus. Among 217 abnormal chest CT findings, only 91 cases had positive PCR results; in other words, only 41.93% of the PCR results showed the coronavirus disease. Therefore, no correlations were observed between the PCR results and chest CT findings, and CT-scan could diagnose the coronavirus disease more effectively than PCR. The most important reasons that may affect PCR accuracy are the improper sample collection from infected patients, accidental contamination of the samples during collection or analysis, and not maintaining the samples at the proper temperature; such issues are not the case in chest CT-scans (5).

The main limitation of the present study was that none of the patients had a lung biopsy or autopsy to reflect histopathological changes.

Table 3. Comparison of CT-Scans in Terms of Gender and Disease Status

CT Findings	Numbers
Normal	
Male	38
Female	27
Death	13
Recovery	44
Diffuse ground-glass opacification	
Male	67
Female	35
Death	18
Recovery	73
Peripheral ground-glass opacification (bilateral)	
Male	40
Female	21
Death	8
Recovery	49
Central ground-glass opacification (bilateral)	
Male	1
Female	0
Death	0
Recovery	1
Combined diffuse and peripheral ground-glass opacification	
Male	34
Female	20
Death	4
Recovery	44
Combined central and peripheral ground-glass opacification	
Male	0
Female	3
Death	1
Recovery	2
Consolidation lesion of one lobe	
Male	12
Female	4
Death	4
Recovery	11
Consolidation lesion of more than one lobe	
Male	23
Female	17
Death	9
Recovery	30

5.1. Conclusions

According to the results, the most common chest CT findings in the COVID-19 patients included diffuse ground-glass opacification, peripheral ground-glass opacification (bilateral), central ground-glass opacification (bilateral), combined diffuse and peripheral ground-glass opacification, combined central and peripheral ground-glass opacification, consolidation lesion of one lobe, and consolidation lesion of more than one lobe. Furthermore, significant correlations were observed between the CT-scans and

the main clinical symptoms.

Footnotes

Authors' Contribution: Study concept and design: MS and ZMA. Analysis and interpretation of data: MS. Drafting of the manuscript: MS. Critical revision of the manuscript for important intellectual content: MS and ZMA. Statistical analysis: MS and ZAA.

Conflict of Interests: There is no conflict of interest.

Ethical Approval: This cross-sectional, observational study was conducted with the approval of the Ethics Committee of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Iran.

Funding/Support: It was funded by Infectious Diseases Research Center, Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences, Kermanshah, Iran.

References

1. Epidemiology Working Group for Ncip Epidemic Response CCFDC; Prevention. [The epidemiological characteristics of an outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus diseases (COVID-19) in China]. *Zhonghua Liu Xing Bing Xue Za Zhi.* 2020;**41**(2):145–51. doi: [10.3760/cma.j.issn.0254-6450.2020.02.003](https://doi.org/10.3760/cma.j.issn.0254-6450.2020.02.003). [PubMed: [32064853](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32064853/)].
2. van der Hoek L, Pyrc K, Jebbink MF, Vermeulen-Oost W, Berkhout RJ, Wolthers KC, et al. Identification of a new human coronavirus. *Nat Med.* 2004;**10**(4):368–73. doi: [10.1038/nm1024](https://doi.org/10.1038/nm1024). [PubMed: [15034574](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15034574/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC7095789](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC7095789/)].
3. Abroug F, Slim A, Ouannes-Besbes L, Hadj Kacem MA, Dachraoui F, Ouanes I, et al. Family cluster of Middle East respiratory syndrome coronavirus infections, Tunisia, 2013. *Emerg Infect Dis.* 2014;**20**(9):1527–30. doi: [10.3201/eid2009.140378](https://doi.org/10.3201/eid2009.140378). [PubMed: [25148113](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/25148113/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC4178422](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC4178422/)].
4. Li Y, Xia L. Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): Role of Chest CT in Diagnosis and Management. *AJR Am J Roentgenol.* 2020;**214**(6):1280–6. doi: [10.2214/AJR.20.22954](https://doi.org/10.2214/AJR.20.22954). [PubMed: [32130038](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32130038/)].
5. Ai T, Yang Z, Hou H, Zhan C, Chen C, Lv W, et al. Correlation of Chest CT and RT-PCR Testing for Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in China: A Report of 1014 Cases. *Radiology.* 2020;**296**(2):E32–40. doi: [10.1148/radiol.202000642](https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.202000642). [PubMed: [32101510](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32101510/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC7233399](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC7233399/)].
6. Sheridan C. Coronavirus and the race to distribute reliable diagnostics. *Nat Biotechnol.* 2020;**38**(4):382–4. doi: [10.1038/d41587-020-00002-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/d41587-020-00002-2). [PubMed: [32265548](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32265548/)].
7. Point-of-Care. *Test to Detect Novel Coronavirus in as Little as Five Minutes.* 2020.
8. Zhang W, Du RH, Li B, Zheng XS, Yang XL, Hu B, et al. Molecular and serological investigation of 2019-nCoV infected patients: implication of multiple shedding routes. *Emerg Microbes Infect.* 2020;**9**(1):386–9. doi: [10.1080/22221751.2020.1729071](https://doi.org/10.1080/22221751.2020.1729071). [PubMed: [32065057](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32065057/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC7048229](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC7048229/)].
9. Kanne JP, Little BP, Chung JH, Elicker BM, Ketani LH. Essentials for Radiologists on COVID-19: An Update-Radiology Scientific Expert Panel. *Radiology.* 2020;**296**(2):E113–4. doi: [10.1148/radiol.202000527](https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.202000527). [PubMed: [32105562](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32105562/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC7233379](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC7233379/)].
10. Pan F, Ye T, Sun P, Gui S, Liang B, Li L, et al. Time Course of Lung Changes at Chest CT during Recovery from Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Radiology.* 2020;**295**(3):715–21. doi: [10.1148/radiol.202000370](https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.202000370). [PubMed: [32053470](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32053470/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC7233367](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC7233367/)].

11. Bernheim A, Mei X, Huang M, Yang Y, Fayad ZA, Zhang N, et al. Chest CT Findings in Coronavirus Disease-19 (COVID-19): Relationship to Duration of Infection. *Radiology*. 2020;295(3):200463. doi: [10.1148/radiol.2020200463](https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2020200463). [PubMed: [32077789](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32077789/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC7233369](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC7233369/)].
12. Huang C, Wang Y, Li X, Ren L, Zhao J, Hu Y, et al. Clinical features of patients infected with 2019 novel coronavirus in Wuhan, China. *Lancet*. 2020;395(10223):497-506. doi: [10.1016/S0140-6736\(20\)30183-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(20)30183-5). [PubMed: [31986264](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31986264/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC7159299](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC7159299/)].
13. Wang W, Tang J, Wei F. Updated understanding of the outbreak of 2019 novel coronavirus (2019-nCoV) in Wuhan, China. *J Med Virol*. 2020;92(4):441-7. doi: [10.1002/jmv.25689](https://doi.org/10.1002/jmv.25689). [PubMed: [31994742](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/31994742/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC7167192](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC7167192/)].
14. Wu J, Wu X, Zeng W, Guo D, Fang Z, Chen L, et al. Chest CT Findings in Patients With Coronavirus Disease 2019 and Its Relationship With Clinical Features. *Invest Radiol*. 2020;55(5):257-61. doi: [10.1097/RLI.0000000000000670](https://doi.org/10.1097/RLI.0000000000000670). [PubMed: [32091414](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/32091414/)]. [PubMed Central: [PMC7147284](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/PMC7147284/)].
15. Zheng C. Time course of lung changes at chest CT during recovery from Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19). *Radiology*. 2020;295:715-21.
16. Jeffrey P. *Chest CT Findings in 2019 Novel Coronavirus (2019-nCoV) Infections from Wuhan, China: Key Points for the Radiologist*; 2020. 1 p.