Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems **Vol. 5, No. 3,** (2008) pp. 71-79 71

METACOMPACTNESS IN L-TOPOLOGICAL SPACES

S. JACOB JOHN AND T. BAIJU

ABSTRACT. In this paper the concept of metacompactness in L -topological spaces is introduced by means of point finite families of L-fuzzy sets. This fuzzy metacompactness is a natural generalization of Lowen fuzzy compactness. Further a characterization of fuzzy metacompactness in the weakly induced L-topological spaces is also obtained.

1. Introduction

In [7] Fu-Gui Shi and Cheng-You Zheng introduced the concept of α -locally finite family to characterize fuzzy compactness and using this they have defined paracompactness in L-topological spaces in [8], which is a natural generalization of the Lowen fuzzy compactness. In this paper we define α -point finite families and metacompactness in L-topological spaces. Besides getting a characterization for metacompactness in the weakly induced L-topological spaces that involve the concept of well monotone and directed α -Q-covers, it is also seen that the metacompactness obtained is closed hereditary.

2. Preliminaries and Basic Definitions

Let L be a complete lattice. Its universal bounds are denoted by \perp and \perp . We presume that L is consistent. ie., \perp is distinct from \top . Thus $\perp \leq \alpha \leq \top$ for all $\alpha \in L$. We note $\bigvee \phi = \bot$ and $\bigwedge \phi = \top$. The two point lattice $\{\bot, \top\}$ is denoted by 2. A unary operation \prime on L is a quasi-complementation. It is an involution (ie., $\alpha'' = \alpha$ for all $\alpha \in L$) that inverts the ordering. (ie., $\alpha \leq \beta$ implies $\beta' \leq \alpha'$). In $(L,')$ the DeMorgan laws hold: $(\bigvee A)' = \bigwedge \{\alpha'; \alpha \in A\}$ and $(\bigwedge A)' = \bigvee \{\alpha'; \alpha \in A\}$ for every $A \subset L$. Moreover, in particular, $\bot' = \top$ and $\top' = \bot$.

A molecule or co-prime element in a lattice L is a join irreducible element in L and the set of all non zero co-prime elements of L is denoted by $M(L)$. A complete lattice L is completely distributive if it satisfies either of the logically equivalent CD1 or CD2 below:

CD1: $\bigwedge_{i \in I} (\bigvee_{j \in J_i} a_{i,j}) = \bigvee_{\phi \in \Pi_{i \in I} J_i} (\bigwedge_{i \in I} a_{i,\phi(i)})$ CD2: $\bigvee_{i \in I} (\bigwedge_{j \in J_i} a_{i,j}) = \bigwedge_{\phi \in \Pi_{i \in I} J_i} (\bigvee_{i \in I} a_{i,\phi(i)})$

Received: September 2006; Revised: September 2007; Accepted: November 2007 Key words and phrases: L-topology, Fuzzy metacompactness.

for all $\{\{a_{ij}; j \in J_i\}; i \in I\} \subset P(L) \setminus \{\phi\}, I \neq \phi$

If L is a complete lattice, then for a set X, L^X is the complete lattice of all maps from X into L, called L-sets or L-subsets of X. Under point-wise ordering, $a \leq b$ in L^X if and only if $a(x) \leq b(x)$ in L for all $x \in X$. If $A \subset X$, $1_A \in \mathbf{2}^X \subset L^X$ is the characteristic function of A. The constant member of L^X with value α is denoted by α itself. We use the same notation to represent crisp set as well as its characteristic function. Wang [9] proved that a complete lattice is completely distributive if and only if for each $a \in L$, there exists $B \subseteq L$ such that (i) $a = \bigvee A$ and (ii) if $A \subseteq L$ and $a \leq \bigvee B$, then for each $b \in B$, there exists $c \in A$ such that $b \leq c$. B is called the minimal set of a and $\beta(a)$ denote the union of all minimal sets of a. Again $\beta^*(a) = \beta(a) \cap M(L)$. Clearly $\beta(a)$ and $\beta^*(a)$ are minimal sets of a.

For $\alpha \in L$ and $A \in L^X$, we use the following notations.

$$
A_{[\alpha]} = \{x \in X : A(x) \ge \alpha\}
$$

\n
$$
A^{[\alpha]} = \{x \in X : A(x) \le \alpha\}
$$

\n
$$
A^{(\alpha)} = \{x \in X : A(x) \not\le \alpha\}
$$

\n
$$
A_{(\alpha)} = \{x \in X : \alpha \in \beta(A(x))\}
$$

Clearly L^X has a quasi complementation ' defined point-wisely $\alpha'(x) = \alpha(x)'$ for all $\alpha \in L$ and $x \in X$. Thus the DeMorgan laws are inherited by $(L^X,')$.

Let (L') be a complete lattice equipped with an order reversing involution and X be any non empty set. A subfamily $\tau \subset L^X$ which is closed under the formation of sups and finite infs (both formed in L^X) is called an L-topology on X and its members are called open L-sets. The pair (X, τ) is called an L-topological space $(L$ -ts). The category of all L -topological spaces, together with L -continuous mappings and the composition and identities of Set is denoted by L-Top. Quasi complements of open L-sets are called closed L-sets.

We know that the set of all non zero co-prime elements in a completely distributive lattice is \bigvee -generating. Moreover for a continuous lattice L and a topological space $(X, T), T = \iota_L \omega_L(T)$ is not true in general. By proposition 3.5 in Kubiak [4] we know that one sufficient condition for $T = i_L \omega_L(T)$ is that L is completely distributive.

In [10] Wang extended the Lowen functor ω for completely distributive lattices as follows: For a topological space (X, T) , $(X, \omega(T))$ is called the induced space of (X, T) where $\omega(T) = \{A \in L^X; \forall \alpha \in M(L), A^{(\alpha')} \in T\}$. In 1992 Kubiak also extended the Lowen functor ω_L for a complete lattice L. In fact when L is completely distributive, $\omega_L = \omega$.

An L-topological space (X, τ) is called weakly induced space if $\forall \alpha \in M(L)$, $\forall A \in$ τ it is true that $A^{(\alpha')} \in [\tau]$ where $[\tau]$ is the set of all crisp open sets in τ .

Based on these facts, in this paper we use a complete, completely distributive lattice L in L^X . For a standardized basic fixed-basis terminology, we follow Hoehle and Rodabaugh [3]. Also $L-Pnt(X)$ denote the collection of all L-fuzzy points in the L-ts (X, τ) .

A closed remote neighbourhood (R-nbd) of x_{λ} is a closed L-set P such that $x_{\lambda} \nleq P$. An open L-set Q is called an open Q-neighbourhood (Q-nbd) of x_{λ} if Q' is a closed R-nbd of x_λ . Set of all Q-nbds of x_λ is denoted by $\mathbf{Q}(x_\lambda)$ and the set of all closed R-nbds of x_{λ} is denoted by $\eta(x_{\lambda})$.

A is called α -nonempty if $A_{[\alpha]} \neq \phi$. Moreover if there exists $\gamma \in \beta^*(\alpha)$ such that A is γ -nonempty, then A is called α^- -nonempty. If $A \wedge B$ is α -nonempty (α ⁻-nonempty), we say that A is α -nonempty (α ⁻-nonempty) in B.

Definition 2.1. [9, 10] Let (X, τ) be an L-ts, $D \in L^X$ and $\alpha \in M(L)$. $\mathbf{A} \subseteq \tau'$ is called an α -R neighborhood family of D, briefly α -RF of D, if for each $x_{\alpha} \leq D$, there exists $A \in \mathbf{A}$ such that $x_{\alpha} \nleq A$. A is called an $\alpha^{-} - R$ neighborhood family of D, briefly $\alpha^- - RF$ of D, if there exists $\gamma \in \beta^*(\alpha)$ such that **A** is a γ -RF of D.

If $\{A\}$ is an α - $RF(\alpha^-RF)$ of D, then we call A an α - R -neighborhood (α^- - R neighborhood) of D.

Definition 2.2. [8] Let (X, τ) be an L-ts, $D \in L^X$ and $\alpha \in M(L)$. $\mathbf{A} \subseteq \tau$ is called an α -Q-cover of D, if for each $x_{\alpha} \leq D$, there exists $A \in \mathbf{A}$ such that $x_{\alpha} \not\leq A'$. **A** is called an an α^- -Q cover of D, if there exists $\gamma \in \beta^*(\alpha)$ such that **A** is a γ -Q-cover of D.

If $\{A\}$ is an α -Q-cover (α ⁻-Q-cover) of D, then we call A an α -Q-neighborhood $(\alpha^-$ -Q-neighborhood) of D.

Clearly **A** is an α -RF of D if and only if $\mathbf{A}' = \{A' : A \in \mathbf{A}\}\)$ is an α -Q-cover of D.

Definition 2.3. [7] Let $A = \{A_t : t \in T\} \subseteq L^X$, $D \in L^X$, $\alpha \in M(L)$. If $\forall x_{\alpha} \leq D$, $\exists P \in \eta(x_\alpha)$ and a finite subset T_0 of T such that $\forall t \in T - T_0$, $A_t \leq P$, then **A** is called α -locally finite in D. If there exists $\gamma \in \beta^*(\alpha)$ such that **A** is γ -locally finite in D, then **A** is called α^- -locally finite in D.

Definition 2.4. Let $A = \{A_t : t \in T\} \subseteq L^X$, $D \in L^X$, $\alpha \in M(L)$. Then A is called α -point finite in D if $\forall x_{\alpha} \leq D$, there exists at most finitely many $t \in T$ such that $x_{\alpha} \leq A_t$. If there exists $\gamma \in \beta^*(\alpha)$ such that A is γ -point finite in D, then **A** is called α^- -point finite in D.

Obviously α^- -locally finite implies α -locally finite and α^- -point finite implies α -point finite.

More over we say that **A** is locally finite (point finite) in D if **A** is α -locally finite (α -point finite) in D for every co-prime element $\alpha \in L$.

Proposition 2.5. Every α -locally finite (α ⁻-locally finite) family is α -point finite $(\alpha$ ⁻-point finite).

Proof. Proof of Proposition 2.5 follows immediately from the definitions. \Box

Definition 2.6. A collection **A** refines a collection **B** $(A < B)$ if for every $A \in A$, there exists $B \in \mathbf{B}$ such that $A \leq B$.

Definition 2.7. [7] Let (X, τ) be an L-ts, $D \in L^X$. D is called fuzzy compact if $\forall \alpha \in M(L)$ and $\forall \gamma \in \beta^*(\alpha)$, every constant α -net in D has a cluster point $x_\gamma \leq D$

Definition 2.8. [7] Let (X, τ) be an L-ts, $D \in L^X$. D is called fuzzy countably compact if $\forall \alpha \in M(L)$ and $\forall \gamma \in \beta^*(\alpha)$, each α -sequence in D has a cluster point $x_{\gamma} \leq D$.

3. Fuzzy Metacompactness

Definition 3.1. [8] Let (X, τ) be an L-ts, $D \in L^X$. D is called fuzzy paracompact if for each $\alpha \in M(L)$ and for each α ⁻-Q-cover **A** of D, there exists an α -Q-cover **B** of D such that **B** is a refinement of **A** and $\mathbf{B}_{(0)} \wedge D$ is α -locally finite in D,where $\mathbf{B}_{(0)} = \{B_{(0)} : B \in \mathbf{B}\}.$ When $D = X$, (X, τ) is called fuzzy paracompact.

Definition 3.2. Let (X, τ) be an L-ts, $D \in L^X$. D is called fuzzy metacompact if for each $\alpha \in M(L)$ and for each α^{-} -Q-cover **A** of D, there exists an α -Q-cover **B** of D such that **B** is a refinement of **A** and $\mathbf{B}_{(0)} \wedge D$ is α -point finite in D, where $\mathbf{B}_{(0)} = \{B_{(0)} : B \in \mathbf{B}\}.$ When $D = X$, (X, τ) is called fuzzy metacompact.

Clearly we have the following implications

fuzzy compact \Rightarrow fuzzy paracompact \Rightarrow fuzzy metacompat.

From the above implication and the fact that the fuzzy unit interval $I(L)$ is fuzzy compact, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. The fuzzy unit interval $I(L)$ is fuzzy metacompact.

Now we give an example of a fuzzy metacompact space which is not fuzzy paracompact.

Example 3.4. Let X be the deleted Tychnoff plank $T_{\infty} = T - (\omega_1, \omega)$ where T is the Tychnoff's plank given by $[0, \omega_1] \times [0, \omega]$ where ω_1 is the first uncountable ordinal and ω is the first infinite ordinal. Let $\alpha \in [0,1)$,. Define for each $\varsigma \in [0, \omega)$ and $\beta \in [0, \omega_1), U_{\varsigma}^{\beta} = \{(\beta, \gamma) : \varsigma < \gamma \leq \omega\}$ and for each $\lambda \in [0, \omega_1)$ and $\delta \in [0, \omega), V^{\delta}_{\lambda} = \{(\gamma, \delta) : \lambda < \gamma \leq \omega_1\}.$ Let T be the I-topology generated by taking each point p of $[0, \omega_1] \times [0, \omega]$ as fuzzy points with value η with $\alpha < \eta \leq 1$ and U^{β}_{ς} and V^{δ}_{λ} as the open sets. Now (X,T) is fuzzy metacompact. For, any α^{-} -Q-cover of X by open I-sets has an α -Q-cover refinement consisting of one basic neighbourhood for each fuzzy point of X. Any such α -Q-cover refinement U is point finite, since an arbitrary fuzzy point x_{α} can have at most three members of U such that $x_{\alpha} \leq U$, where $U \in \mathbf{U}$.

Now the space (X, T) is not fuzzy paracompact. For, consider the α^- -Q-cover of X by sets $U_0 = X - B$ and $U_n = V_0^{n-1}$ for $n = 1, 2, 3$, where $A = \{(\omega, n) :$ $0 \leq n \lt \omega$, has no locally finite refinement. For, if possible let $\{W_\mu\}$ be a locally finite refinement. Now for each $n \in N$, we may define an ordinal α_n to be the least ordinal such that characterestic function of $V_{\alpha_n}^n$ is contained in just one W_μ . If $\alpha = Sup{\{\alpha_n\}} < \omega_1$, every R-neighbourhood of (α, ω) will contain infinitely many members of $\{W_\mu\}.$

Theorem 3.5. Let (X, τ) be an L-ts and $D \in L^X$. Then D is fuzzy metacompact if and only if for each $\alpha \in M(L)$ and for each α^- -Q-cover **A** of D, there exists an α^- -Q-cover **B** of D such that **B** is a refinement of **A** and $B_{(0)} \wedge D$ is α^- -point finite in D.

Proof. Sufficiency part: Since every α^- -Q-cover of D, is an α -Q-cover of D, and every α^- -point finite family is α -point finite, sufficiency part follows clearly.

Necessary part: Assume that D is metacompact. Let $\alpha \in M(L)$ and **A** be an α^- -Q-cover of D. Then by definition $\exists \gamma \in \beta^*(\alpha)$ such that **A** is a γ -Q-cover of D. Now we have $\gamma \in \beta^*(\alpha) = \beta^*(\bigvee {\{\lambda : \lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)\}}) = \bigcup {\{\beta^*(\lambda) : \lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)\}}.$ Therefore it follows that there is a $\lambda \in \beta^*(\alpha)$ such that $\gamma \in \beta^*(\lambda)$. Thus **A** is a λ^- -Q-cover of D. Since D is fuzzy metacompact, \exists a λ -Q-cover **B** of D which refines **A** and $\mathbf{B}_{(0)} \wedge D$ is α^- -point finite in D.

Theorem 3.6. Let (X, τ) be an L-ts and $D \in L^X$. Then if D is fuzzy metacompact, then $\forall B \in \tau'$, $D \wedge B$ is fuzzy metacompact.

Proof. Let **A** be an α^- -Q-cover of $D \wedge B$, where $\alpha \in M(L)$. By the definition of $\alpha^- \text{-} Q$ -cover, $\exists \gamma \in \beta^*(\alpha)$ such that **A** is a γ - Q -cover of $D \wedge B$. Take **B** = **A** $\bigcup \{B'\}.$ Then clearly **B** is a γ -Q-cover of D. Since D is fuzzy metacompact, it follows that **B** has a refinement **C** which is an α -Q-cover of D and **C**₍₀₎ \land D is point finite in D. Let $\mathbf{F} = \{C \in \mathbf{C} : B' \not\geq C\}$. Now **F** is an α -Q-cover of $D \wedge B$ and **F** is a refinement of **A**. Obviously $\mathbf{F}_{(0)} \wedge D$ is α -point finite in D and hence in $D \wedge B$. Hence $\mathbf{F}_{(0)} \wedge D \wedge B$ is α -point finite in $D \wedge B$. Thus $D \wedge B$ is fuzzy metacompact. \square

Definition 3.7. Let (X, τ) be an L-ts. $A = \{A_t : t \in T\} \subseteq L^X$ is a closure preserving collection if for every subfamily \mathbf{A}_0 of \mathbf{A} , $cl[\vee \mathbf{A}_0] = \vee [cl\mathbf{A}_0]$.

Proposition 3.8. A point finite closure preserving closed collection is always locally finite.

Proof. Proof follows clearly from Definitions 2.3, 2.4 and 3.7.

Remark 3.9. A collection U is locally finite implies that so is $\{clU: U \in U\}$. But this does not hold for point finite families.

Definition 3.10. [5] Let (X, τ) be an L-ts. Then by $[\tau]$ we denote the family of support sets of all crisp subsets in τ . $(X, [\tau])$ is a topology and it is the background space. (X, τ) is weakly induced if each $U \in \tau$ is a lower semi continuous function from the background space $(X, \lceil \tau \rceil)$ to L.

Theorem 3.11. If (X, τ) is a weakly induced L-ts, then (X, τ) is fuzzy metacompact if and only if $(X, \lceil \tau \rceil)$ is metacompact.

Proof. Let (X, τ) be weakly induced and fuzzy metacompact. Let **A** be an open cover of $(X, [\tau])$. Take $\alpha \in M(L)$ and $\gamma \in \beta^*(\alpha)$. Then clearly **A** is a γ -Q-cover of (X, τ) . Since (X, τ) is fuzzy metacompact, \exists an α -Q-cover **B** which refines **A** and $\mathbf{B}_{(0)}$ is α -point finite. Now we can easily show that $\mathbf{U} = \{B^{(\alpha')} : B \in \mathbf{B}\}\)$ is a point finite open refinement of **A**, proving that $(X, [\tau])$ is metacompact.

Conversely assume that $(X, [\tau])$ is metacompact. Let **A** be an $\alpha^{-}Q$ -cover of (X, τ) , where $\alpha \in M(L)$. Then $\exists \gamma \in \beta^*(\alpha)$ such that A is a γ -Q-cover of (X, τ) . Hence $\mathbf{A}^{(\gamma')} = \{A^{(\gamma')} : A \in \mathbf{A}\}\$ is an open cover of $(X, [\tau])$ by the weakly induced property. Since $(X, [\tau])$ is metacompact, this cover has a point finite open refinement say $\mathbf{B} = \{B_t : t \in T\}$. Let $\Omega = \{B_t \wedge A : B_t \leq A^{(\gamma')} , B_t \in \mathbf{B}, A \in \mathbf{A}\}$. Now clearly Ω is a refinement of **A** which is a γ -Q-cover also. Hence it is an α ⁻-Q-cover of (X, τ) . Now we will show that $\Omega_{(0)}$ is γ -point finite in (X, τ) . For, any $x_{\gamma} \in M(L^X)$, since **B** is point finite in $(X, [\tau])$, \exists at the most finitely many $t \in T$ such that $x \in B_t$. Now $\Omega_{(0)} = \{ (B_t \wedge A)_{(0)} : B_t \leq A^{(\gamma')} , B_t \in \mathbf{B}, A \in \mathbf{A} \}$ and it follows that $x_{\gamma} \leq (B_t \wedge A)_{(0)}$ for at the most finitely many $t \in T$. Hence $\Omega_{(0)}$ is γ ⁻-point finite and hence (X, τ) is fuzzy metacompact.

Definition 3.12. A collection U of fuzzy subsets of an L-topological space (X, τ) is said to be well monotone if the subset relation $' <'$ is a well order on U.

Definition 3.13. A collection U of fuzzy subsets of an L-topological space (X, τ) is said to be directed if $U, V \in U$ implies there exists $W \in U$ such that $U \vee V \leq W$.

Theorem 3.14. If (X, τ) is a weakly induced L-ts, then the following are equivalent.

(i) (X, τ) is fuzzy metacompact.

(ii) For every $\alpha \in M(L)$, every well monotone open α^- -Q-cover of X has an α^- point finite open refinement which is also an α^- -Q-cover of X.

Proof. $(i) \Rightarrow (ii)$ Obvious

 $(ii) \Rightarrow (i)$ By Theorem 3.11 it is enough to prove that $(X,[\tau])$ is metacompact. But by a characterization of metacompactness (Burke Dennis [1]), it is enough to prove that every well monotone open cover of $(X, \lceil \tau \rceil)$ has a point finite refinement. Let $U = \{U_t : t \in T\}$ be a well monotone open cover of $(X, [\tau])$. Then clearly U is an open well monotone α^- -Q-cover of X for every $\alpha \in M(L)$. So it has an α -point finite refinement say $\mathbf{A} = \{A_t : t \in T\}$. Take $\mathbf{B} = \{A_t^{(\alpha')} : t \in T\}$. Since (X, τ) is weakly induced, $\mathbf{B} \subset [\tau]$. Now if possible let there be some $x \in X$ such that $x \in B$ for infinitely many $B \in \mathbf{B}$. ie., $x_{\alpha} \leq A_t$ for infinitely many $t \in T$. This is a contradiction to that **A** is point finite. Again let $x \in A_t^{(\alpha')}$ for some $t \in T$. Since $\{A_t : t \in T\}$ refines $\{U_t : t \in T\}$, it follows that $\alpha \leq A_t(x) \leq U_t$. This implies that $U_t \neq 0$. Thus $x \in U_t$ and hence **B** is a refinement of $\{U_t : t \in T\}$. This completes the proof.

 \Box

Lemma 3.15. Let (X, τ) be a weakly induced L-ts, and $\alpha \in M(L)$. Then if every directed open α^- -Q-cover of X has a closure preserving closed refinement which is also an α^- -Q-cover of X, then (X, τ) is metacompact.

Proof. Let $U = \{U_t : t \in T\}$ be a directed open cover of X. Then clearly U is a directed α^- -Q-cover of X for every $\alpha \in M(L)$ and hence it is having a closure preserving closed refinement say $\mathbf{A} = \{A_t : t \in T\}$, which is also an α^- -Q-cover of X. Now consider the collection $\mathbf{B} = \{A_t^{[\alpha']} : t \in T\}$. Since X is weakly induced, clearly $B \subset \tau'$ and we will show that B is the required closure preserving closed refinement. For, let $x \in A_t^{[\alpha']}$. Since **A** refines **U**, it follows that $U_t(x) \neq 0$ for any $t \in T$. And hence $x \in U_t$ and $A_t^{[\alpha']} \subset U_t$. Hence **B** refines **U**. Moreover it easily follows that \bf{B} is closure preserving from the fact that \bf{A} is closure preserving. This completes the proof.

Lemma 3.16. Let (X, τ) be a weakly induced metacompact L-ts and $\alpha \in M(L)$. Then every directed open α^- -Q-cover of X has a closure preserving closed refinement which is also an α^- -Q-cover of X.

Proof. Let **U** be a directed α^- -Q-cover of X. Now $V = \{U^{(\alpha')} : U \in U\}$ is a directed open cover of $(X, [\tau])$ and since $(X, [\tau])$ is metacompact, it follows that V has a closure preserving closed refinement say W . This W is the required closure preserving closed refinement of U, which is also an α^- -Q-cover of X.

Theorem 3.17. Let (X, τ) be an L-ts and $\alpha \in M(L)$. Then the following are equivalent.

(i)Every directed α^- -Q-cover of X has a closure preserving closed refinement which is also an α^- -Q-cover of X.

(ii)For every α^- -Q-cover **U** of X, \mathbf{U}^F has a closure preserving closed refinement which is also an α^- -Q-cover of X. (Where \mathbf{U}^F is the collection of all unions of finite sub collections from U).

Proof. (i) \Rightarrow (ii) Clearly U^F is directed and hence has a closure preserving refinement.

(ii) \Rightarrow (i) Let U be a directed α^- -Q-cover of X. Since U is directed, U^F is a refinement of U. Then by (ii), U^F has a closure preserving closed refinement say V

which is also an α^- -Q-cover of X. Now V refines \mathbf{U}^F and \mathbf{U}^F refines U. Hence it follows that **V** is the required closure preserving closed refinement of **U**.

Combining the results in 3.14, 3.15, 3.16 and 3.17 , we have the following characterization of metacompactness in L-topological spaces.

Theorem 3.18. If (X, τ) is a weakly induced L-ts, then the following are equivalent.

(i) (X, τ) is fuzzy metacompact.

(ii) $(X, [\tau])$ is metacompact.

(iii) For every $\alpha \in M(L)$, every well monotone open α^- -Q-cover of X has an α^- point finite open refinement which is also an α^- -Q-cover of X.

(iv)For every $\alpha \in M(L)$, every directed open α^- -Q-cover of X has a closure preserving closed refinement which is also an α^- -Q-cover of X.

(v) For every $\alpha \in M(L)$ and every α^- -Q-cover **U** of X, \mathbf{U}^F has a closure preserving closed refinement which is also an α^- -Q-cover of X.

Acknowledgements. Authors are very much indebted to Prof. T.Thrivikraman, former head of Department of Mathematics, Cochin University of Science and Technology, for his constant encouragement in the preparation of this paper. The authors are also thankful to the two referees who suggested some changes in the original version of the paper.

REFERENCES

- [1] K. D. Burke, Covering properties, in K.Kunen, J.E Vaughan(Eds.), Hand Book of Set Theoretic Topology, Elsevier Science Publishers, 1984, 349–422.
- [2] J. L. Fan, Paracompactness and strong paracompactness in L-fuzzy topological spaces, Fuzzy Systems and Mathematics, 4 (1990), 88–94.
- [3] u. Hoehle and S. E. Rodabaugh (Eds.), Mathematics of fuzzy sets: logic, topology and measure theory, The Hand Book of Fuzzy Set Series 3, Kluwer Academic Pub., 1999.
- [4] T. Kubiak, The topological modification of the L-fuzzy unit interval, in: S. E. Rodabaugh, E. P. Klement, U. Hoehle (Eds.), Applications of Category Theory to Fuzzy Subsets, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1992, 275 – 305.
- [5] Y. M. Liu and M. K. Luo, Fuzzy topology, Advances in Fuzzy Systems Applications and Theory , World Scientific, 9 (1997).
- [6] M. K. Luo, *Pracompactness in fuzzy topological spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **130** (1988), 88–94.
- [7] F. G. Shi, et al., Fuzzy countable compactness in L-fuzzy topological Spaces , J. Harbin Sci. Technol. Univ., 3 (1992), 63–67.
- [8] F. G. Shi and C. Y. Zheng, Pracompactness in L-topological Spaces, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 129 (2002), 29−37.
- [9] G. J. Wang, On the structure of fuzzy lattices, Acta Math. Sinica, 29 (1986), 539–543.
- [10] G. J. Wang, Theory of L-fuzzy topological spaces, Shaanxi Normal University Pub., Xian, 1988.

Sunil Jacob John∗, Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology Calicut, Calicut-673601, Kerala, India

E-mail address: sunil@nitc.ac.in

$\hbox{Metacompactness in L-topological Spaces} \hskip 2 cm \hbox{79}$

T Baiju, Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology Calicut, Calicut-673601, Kerala, India $\it E\mbox{-}mail\,\,address:$ bethelbai@yahoo.co.in

∗Corresponding author