

## $L$ -ORDERED FUZZIFYING CONVERGENCE SPACES

W. WU AND J. FANG

**ABSTRACT.** Based on a complete Heyting algebra, we modify the definition of lattice-valued fuzzifying convergence space using fuzzy inclusion order and construct in this way a Cartesian-closed category, called the category of  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence spaces, in which the category of  $L$ -fuzzifying topological spaces can be embedded. In addition, two new categories are introduced, which are called the category of principal  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence spaces and that of topological  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence spaces, and it is shown that they are isomorphic to the category of  $L$ -fuzzifying neighborhood spaces and that of  $L$ -fuzzifying topological spaces respectively.

### 1. Introduction

Convergence structures are more general than topological structures. If a convergence structure additionally satisfies proper conditions, it is equivalent to a topological structure. Lowen [12] constructed convergence systems using prefilters, through which Min [13] proposed fuzzy limit structures. Xu [14] proved that topological  $L$ -fuzzifying convergence structures and  $L$ -fuzzifying topologies [17] are equivalent, where classical filters play a crucial role. By stratified  $L$ -filters [7], Jäger [8] introduced stratified  $L$ -fuzzy convergence spaces in the many-valued case. The category of these spaces was developed to a significant extent in the recent years [1,2,4,5,9-11,14,15].

In 2009, Yao [16] defined  $L$ -fuzzifying convergence spaces, and showed the category of  $L$ -fuzzifying topological spaces [17] could be embedded in the category of  $L$ -fuzzifying convergence spaces as a reflective subcategory and the latter is Cartesian-closed.  $L$ -fuzzifying convergence spaces were based on  $L$ -filters of ordinary subsets.

This paper can be seen as a further step towards [16]. It proposes a new lattice-valued fuzzifying convergence structure, called  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence structure, which is compatible with the fuzzy inclusion order of  $L$ -filters of ordinary subsets. The category of  $L$ -fuzzifying topological spaces [17] can be embedded in the resulting category. As a matter of fact, it is easier for a bigger category to be Cartesian-closed, and it makes sense to establish a smaller Cartesian-closed category. Note that the category of  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence spaces is

---

Received: July 2010; Revised: December 2010 and February 2011; Accepted: June 2011

*Key words and phrases:*  $L$ -fuzzifying topology,  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence structure,  $L$ -filter, Cartesian-closed category, Reflective subcategory.

“smaller” than that of  $L$ -fuzzifying convergence spaces [16], and it is Cartesian-closed. In addition, two new categories are introduced, which are called the category of principal  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence spaces and that of topological  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence spaces, and it is shown that they are isomorphic to the category of  $L$ -fuzzifying neighborhood spaces and that of  $L$ -fuzzifying topological spaces respectively.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $(L, \vee, \wedge)$  be a complete lattice. If the finite meets are distributive over arbitrary joins, i.e. for all  $a, b_i \in L, (i \in J)$

$$a \wedge \left( \bigvee_{i \in J} b_i \right) = \bigvee_{i \in J} (a \wedge b_i),$$

$L$  is called a complete Heyting algebra. For  $L$ , we define an implication operator  $\rightarrow: L \times L \rightarrow L$  as follows:

$$\forall a, b \in L, a \rightarrow b = \bigvee \{c \in L \mid a \wedge c \leq b\}.$$

Then it is the right adjoint for  $\wedge$ , i.e.,

$$\forall a, b, c \in L, a \wedge c \leq b \Leftrightarrow c \leq a \rightarrow b.$$

**Theorem 2.1.** [7] *Let  $L$  be a complete Heyting algebra. For all  $a, b, c, d, a_i, b_i \in L, (i \in J)$ , the following holds:*

- (H1)  $a \leq (b \rightarrow c) \Leftrightarrow a \wedge b \leq c$ , and  $a \leq b \Leftrightarrow (a \rightarrow b) = 1$ ,
- (H2)  $a \rightarrow (\bigwedge_{i \in J} b_i) = \bigwedge_{i \in J} (a \rightarrow b_i)$ ,  $(\bigvee_{i \in J} b_i) \rightarrow a = \bigwedge_{i \in J} (b_i \rightarrow a)$ ,
- (H3)  $(b \rightarrow c) \leq (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow (a \rightarrow c)$ ,  $(a \rightarrow c) \wedge (b \rightarrow d) \leq (a \wedge b) \rightarrow (c \wedge d)$ ,
- (H4)  $a \rightarrow b \geq b$ ,  $a \leq (a \rightarrow b) \rightarrow b$ ,
- (H5)  $a \wedge b = a \wedge (a \rightarrow b)$ , therefore,  $b = 1 \rightarrow b$ ,
- (H6)  $a \rightarrow (b \rightarrow c) = (a \wedge b) \rightarrow c$ ,
- (H7)  $\bigwedge_{i \in J} (a_i \rightarrow b_i) \leq (\bigwedge_{i \in J} a_i) \rightarrow (\bigwedge_{i \in J} b_i)$ .

In what follows, we consider  $X$  a nonempty set and  $L$  a complete Heyting algebra unless otherwise stated.

For a given set  $X$ ,  $L^X$  denotes the set of all  $L$ -subsets on  $X$ . Define a binary mapping  $S(-, -): L^X \times L^X \rightarrow L$  by  $S(U, V) = \bigwedge_{x \in X} (U(x) \rightarrow V(x))$  for each pair  $(U, V) \in L^X \times L^X$ .

**Definition 2.2.** [6] A map  $\mathcal{F}: 2^X \rightarrow L$  is called an  $L$ -filter of ordinary subsets of  $X$  if it satisfies  $\forall x \in X, A, B \in 2^X$ ,

- (F1)  $\mathcal{F}(\emptyset) = 0, \mathcal{F}(X) = 1$ ,
- (F2)  $A \subseteq B \Rightarrow \mathcal{F}(A) \leq \mathcal{F}(B)$ ,
- (F3)  $\mathcal{F}(A \cap B) \geq \mathcal{F}(A) \wedge \mathcal{F}(B)$ .

The family of all  $L$ -filters of ordinary subsets on  $X$  will be denoted by  $\mathcal{F}_L(X)$ . An order on  $\mathcal{F}_L(X)$  is defined as follows:  $\forall \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X), \mathcal{F} \leq \mathcal{G} \Leftrightarrow \forall U \in 2^X, \mathcal{F}(U) \leq \mathcal{G}(U)$ .

For every  $x \in X$ ,  $[x] \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)$  is defined by  $\forall A \in 2^X$ ,

$$[x](A) = \begin{cases} 1, & x \in A, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a filter of ordinary subsets on  $X$  and  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a mapping. Then the mapping  $f \Rightarrow (\mathcal{F}) : 2^Y \rightarrow L$ , where  $\forall B \in 2^Y, f \Rightarrow (\mathcal{F})(B) = \mathcal{F}(f \leftarrow (B))$ , is an  $L$ -filter of ordinary subsets on  $Y$  and is called the image of  $\mathcal{F}$  under  $f$ .

For every  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X), \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{F}_L(Y), \mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X \times Y)$  is defined as follows:  $\forall C \in 2^{X \times Y}, (\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G})(C) = \bigvee_{A \times B \subseteq C} \mathcal{F}(A) \wedge \mathcal{G}(B)$ .

**Definition 2.3.** [18] An  $L$ -fuzzifying neighborhood structure on a set  $X$  is a family of functions  $N = \{N_x : 2^X \rightarrow L \mid x \in X\}$  with the following conditions: For all  $x \in X, U, V \in 2^X$ ,

- (LN1)  $N_x(X) = 1$ ,
- (LN2)  $N_x(U) > 0$  implies  $x \in U$ ,
- (LN3)  $N_x(U \cap V) = N_x(U) \wedge N_x(V)$ .

The pair  $(X, N)$  is called an  $L$ -fuzzifying neighborhood space, and it will be called topological if it satisfies moreover: For all  $x \in X, U \in 2^X$ ,

$$(LN4) N_x(U) = \bigvee_{x \in V \subseteq U} \bigwedge_{y \in V} N_y(V).$$

A continuous function between  $L$ -fuzzifying neighborhood spaces  $(X, N^1)$  and  $(Y, N^2)$  is a map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  such that for all  $x \in X, U \in 2^Y, N_x^1(f \leftarrow (U)) \geq N_{f(x)}^2(U)$ .

Let  $L$ -NGH denote the category of  $L$ -fuzzifying neighborhood spaces with continuous maps, and  $L$ -TNGH the full subcategory of  $L$ -NGH consisting of topological  $L$ -fuzzifying neighborhood spaces.

**Definition 2.4.** [17] An  $L$ -fuzzifying topology on  $X$  is a function  $\tau : 2^X \rightarrow L$  which satisfies

- (FO1)  $\tau(\emptyset) = \tau(X) = 1$ ,
- (FO2)  $\tau(A \cap B) \geq \tau(A) \wedge \tau(B)$ ,
- (FO3)  $\tau(\bigcup_{j \in J} A_j) \geq \bigwedge_{j \in J} \tau(A_j)$ .

For an  $L$ -fuzzifying topology  $\tau$  on  $X$ , the pair  $(X, \tau)$  is called an  $L$ -fuzzifying topological space. A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is called continuous with respect to the given  $L$ -fuzzifying topological spaces  $(X, \tau_1)$  and  $(Y, \tau_2)$  iff  $\forall B \in 2^Y, \tau_1(f \leftarrow (B)) \geq \tau_2(B)$ . The category of  $L$ -fuzzifying topological spaces with continuous maps as morphisms will be denoted by  $L$ -FYS.

It was proved in [20] that for any completely distributive lattice  $L$ , topological  $L$ -fuzzifying neighborhood systems and  $L$ -fuzzifying topologies are conceptually equivalent with transferring process  $N_x(U) = \bigvee_{x \in V \subseteq U} \tau(V)$  and  $\tau(U) = \bigwedge_{x \in U} N_x(U)$ .

**Theorem 2.5.** [19] Let  $\varphi : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  be a mapping. If  $L$  is a completely distributive lattice, then  $\varphi$  is continuous iff  $N_x^{\tau_1}(\varphi^{\leftarrow}(U)) \geq N_{\varphi(x)}^{\tau_2}(U), \forall x \in X, U \in 2^Y$ .

### 3. $L$ -ordered Fuzzifying Convergence Structure

In [16], the author developed lattice-valued convergence structure  $\lim : \mathcal{F}_L(X) \rightarrow L^X$  as follows:

**Definition 3.1.** [16] A mapping  $\lim : \mathcal{F}_L(X) \rightarrow L^X$ , subject to the conditions

$$(LY1) \quad \forall x \in X, \lim[x](x) = 1,$$

$$(LY2) \quad \forall \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X), \mathcal{F} \leq \mathcal{G} \Rightarrow \forall x \in X, \lim \mathcal{F}(x) \leq \lim \mathcal{G}(x),$$

is called an  $L$ -fuzzifying convergence structure on  $X$ , and  $(X, \lim)$  an  $L$ -fuzzifying convergence space.

The set of all  $L$ -fuzzifying convergence structures on  $X$  is denoted by  $\lim_{ly}(X)$ . An order on  $\lim_{ly}(X)$  can be defined by  $\lim_1 \leq \lim_2$  iff for all  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $\lim_2 \mathcal{F}(x) \leq \lim_1 \mathcal{F}(x)$ .

In Definition 3.1, the  $L$ -filters in the axiom (LY2) are in nature  $L$ -sets on  $2^X$ . We use the method in [3] and define an  $L$ -partial order  $S_F(-, -)$  on  $\mathcal{F}_L(X)$  as follows:  $S_F(-, -) : \mathcal{F}_L(X) \times \mathcal{F}_L(X) \rightarrow L$

$$\forall \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X), S_F(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) = \bigwedge_{U \in 2^X} (\mathcal{F}(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)).$$

In this case, we can redefine the axiom (LY2) in Definition 3.1, proposing the following new lattice-valued convergence structure.

**Definition 3.2.** An  $L$ -fuzzifying convergence structure  $\lim : \mathcal{F}_L(X) \rightarrow L^X$ , satisfying the following condition:

$$(OLY2) \quad \forall \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X), S_F(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \leq S(\lim \mathcal{F}, \lim \mathcal{G}),$$

is called an  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence structure, and the pair  $(X, \lim)$  an  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence space.

A function  $\varphi : (X, \lim^X) \rightarrow (Y, \lim^Y)$ ,  $(X, \lim^X), (Y, \lim^Y)$   $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence spaces, is called continuous iff for all  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $\lim^Y \varphi^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F})(\varphi(x)) \leq \lim^X \mathcal{F}(x)$ .

We do not go into details here, but only remark that (OLY2) implies (LY2).

The next example shows there exists an  $L$ -fuzzifying convergence structure  $\lim$  which is not an  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence structure.

**Example 3.3.** Let  $X = \{x, y\}$ ,  $L = \{0, \alpha, 1\}$  be a chain. Define a map  $\lim : \mathcal{F}_L(X) \rightarrow L^X, \forall \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X), z \in X$ ,

$$\lim \mathcal{F}(z) = \begin{cases} 1, & \mathcal{F} \geq [z], \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is obvious that  $\lim$  is an  $L$ -fuzzifying convergence structure. Define a mapping  $\mathcal{F}^* : 2^X \rightarrow L$  as follows:  $\forall A \in 2^X$ ,

$$\mathcal{F}^*(A) = \begin{cases} 1, & A = X, \\ \alpha, & A = \{x\}, \\ 0, & A = \{y\} \text{ or } A = \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

It can be verified that  $\mathcal{F}^*$  is an  $L$ -filter of ordinary subsets on  $X$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} S_F([x], \mathcal{F}^*) &= \bigwedge_{A \in 2^X} ([x](A) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*(A)) \\ &= ([x](\emptyset) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*(\emptyset)) \wedge ([x](\{x\}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*(\{x\})) \\ &\quad \wedge ([x](\{y\}) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*(\{y\})) \wedge ([x](X) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^*(X)) \\ &= 1 \wedge \alpha \wedge 1 \wedge 1 \\ &= \alpha \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} S(\lim[x], \lim \mathcal{F}^*) &= \bigwedge_{z \in X} (\lim[x](z) \rightarrow \lim \mathcal{F}^*(z)) \\ &= (\lim[x](x) \rightarrow \lim \mathcal{F}^*(x)) \wedge (\lim[x](y) \rightarrow \lim \mathcal{F}^*(y)) \\ &= (1 \rightarrow 0) \wedge (0 \rightarrow 0) \\ &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

We can see that  $S_F([x], \mathcal{F}^*) \not\leq S(\lim[x], \lim \mathcal{F}^*)$ , hence  $\lim$  is not an  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence structure.

**Example 3.4.** Let  $(X, \tau) \in L\text{-FYS}$  and define a mapping  $\lim_\tau: \mathcal{F}_L(X) \rightarrow L^X$ ,  $\forall \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $\lim_\tau \mathcal{F}(x) = S_F(N_\tau^x, \mathcal{F})$ . Here, the  $L$ -fuzzifying neighborhood system  $N_\tau^x$  of  $x \in X$  is defined by  $N_\tau^x(A) = \bigvee_{x \in B \subseteq A} \tau(B)$ . Then  $\lim_\tau$  is an  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence structure.

From Example 3.4, we see that an  $L$ -fuzzifying topology can induce an  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence structure. The following theorem shows that the induced  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence structure from the  $L$ -fuzzifying topology can determine the induced  $L$ -fuzzifying neighborhood structure from the  $L$ -fuzzifying topology. This idea has been presented in [8].

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let  $(X, \tau) \in L\text{-FYS}$ . Then the following holds:*

$$N_\tau^x(U) = \bigwedge_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)} (\lim_\tau \mathcal{F}(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U)), \forall x \in X, U \in 2^X.$$

Let  $L\text{-FYCS}$  [16] denote the category of  $L$ -fuzzifying convergence spaces with continuous maps and  $L\text{-OFYC}$  the full subcategory of  $L\text{-FYCS}$  formed by all  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence spaces.

The set of all  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence structures on  $X$  is denoted by  $\lim_{loy}(X)$ . An order on  $\lim_{loy}(X)$  can be defined by  $\lim_1 \leq \lim_2$  iff for all  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $\lim_2 \mathcal{F}(x) \leq \lim_1 \mathcal{F}(x)$ . For  $\lim_{loy}(X)$  here, we immediately

obtain that there are a maximum element and a minimum element in  $(\lim_{loy}(X), \leq)$ , denoted by  $\lim_{sm}$  and  $\lim_m$  respectively:  $\forall \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $\lim_{sm} \mathcal{F} = 1_X$ ;  $\lim_m \mathcal{F}(x) = S_F([x], \mathcal{F})$ . The supremum element of a family of  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence structures  $(\lim_j)_{j \in J} \subseteq \lim_{loy}(X)$  is defined by  $(\sup_{j \in J} \lim_j) \mathcal{F}(x) = \bigwedge_{j \in J} \lim_j \mathcal{F}(x)$ ,  $\forall \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)$ ,  $x \in X$ . Obviously,  $\sup_{j \in J} \lim_j \in \lim_{loy}(X)$ . Therefore, the following proposition holds.

**Proposition 3.6.**  $(\lim_{loy}(X), \leq)$  is a complete lattice.

We will next address the result that the category of  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence spaces is a topological category. To this end, we note the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.7.** The category  $L$ -OFYC is a full reflective subcategory in the category  $L$ -FYCS.

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \overline{\lim}) \in L$ -FYCS and  $E_{\overline{\lim}} = \{ \lim \mid (X, \lim) \in L$ -OFYC,  $\lim \leq \overline{\lim} \}$ . Note that  $E_{\overline{\lim}}$  is not empty because it always contains  $\lim_{sm}$ . Then with Proposition 3.6, we can construct an  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence structure  $\overline{\lim}_* : \mathcal{F}_L(X) \rightarrow L^X$  as follows: For all  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $\overline{\lim}_* \mathcal{F}(x) = \bigwedge_{\lim \in E_{\overline{\lim}}} \lim \mathcal{F}(x)$ . From this, we have

(1)  $id_X : (X, \overline{\lim}) \rightarrow (X, \overline{\lim}_*)$  is trivially continuous;

(2) For an  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence space  $(Y, \lim^Y)$ , if  $f : (X, \overline{\lim}) \rightarrow (Y, \lim^Y)$  is a continuous mapping, then  $f : (X, \overline{\lim}_*) \rightarrow (Y, \lim^Y)$  is also continuous. We leave the above check to the reader.

From the above facts, we immediately obtain that  $L$ -OFYC is a full reflective subcategory in  $L$ -FYCS.  $\square$

In [16] Yao proved that the category  $L$ -FYCS is topological. By Proposition 3.7, we have the following main result.

**Theorem 3.8.** The category of  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence spaces  $L$ -OFYC is topological.

#### 4. The Relations Between Categories of $L$ -FYS and $L$ -OFYC

This section is motivated by reference [8]. In this section, we will resolve the embedding of  $L$ -FYS into  $L$ -OFYC. By Example 3.4 and Theorem 3.5, we see that  $L$ -ordered convergence structures can be induced from  $L$ -fuzzifying topologies. Moreover, they are unique. In order to show that  $L$ -FYS can be embedded in the category of  $L$ -OFYC, the following theorem is necessary.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $L$  be a completely distributive lattice. Then the map  $f : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  between two  $L$ -fuzzifying topological spaces is continuous iff  $f : (X, \lim_{\tau_1}) \rightarrow (Y, \lim_{\tau_2})$  is continuous.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  is continuous, by Theorem 2.5, we have for all  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)$ ,  $x \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\tau_2} \varphi^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F})(\varphi(x)) &= \bigwedge_{V \in 2^Y} (N_{\tau_2}^{\varphi(x)}(V) \rightarrow \varphi^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F})(V)) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{V \in 2^Y} (N_{\tau_1}^x(\varphi^{\leftarrow}(V)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\varphi^{\leftarrow}(V))) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{U \in 2^X} (N_{\tau_1}^x(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U)) \\ &= \lim_{\tau_1} \mathcal{F}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $f : (X, \lim_{\tau_1}) \rightarrow (Y, \lim_{\tau_2})$  is continuous.

Conversely, if  $f : (X, \lim_{\tau_1}) \rightarrow (Y, \lim_{\tau_2})$  is continuous, by Theorem 3.5, we have  $\forall x \in X, U \in 2^Y$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\tau_1}^x(\varphi^{\leftarrow}(U)) &= \bigwedge_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)} (\lim_{\tau_1} \mathcal{F}(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\varphi^{\leftarrow}(U))) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)} (\lim_{\tau_2} (\varphi^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F})(\varphi(x)) \rightarrow (\varphi^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F}))(U))) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{F}_L(Y)} (\lim_{\tau_2} \mathcal{G}(\varphi(x)) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)) \\ &= N_{\tau_2}^{\varphi(x)}(U). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, by Theorem 2.5,  $f : (X, \tau_1) \rightarrow (Y, \tau_2)$  is continuous. □

As a consequence of the above theorems, we have the following result.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $L$  be a completely distributive lattice.  $L$ -FYS can be embedded in the category of  $L$ -OFYC.*

In Theorem 3.8 we know that  $L$ -OFYC is topological. So, in order to show that it is Cartesian-closed, the following results are necessary. Similar to the definition of product spaces in  $L$ -FYCS, it can be shown that there are also product spaces in  $L$ -OFYC. We refer the reader to [16]. Here, we only present the main results. Note that for two  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence spaces  $(X, \lim_X), (Y, \lim_Y)$ , let  $[X \rightarrow Y]$  denote the set of all continuous maps from  $(X, \lim_X)$  to  $(Y, \lim_Y)$ .

**Lemma 4.3.** [16] *Let  $g : X \rightarrow Y$  and  $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)$ , then  $g^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{G}) \leq ev^{\Rightarrow}([g] \times \mathcal{G})$ , where  $ev : [X \rightarrow Y] \times X \rightarrow Y$  is the evaluation map.*

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $(X, \lim_X), (Y, \lim_Y)$  be  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence spaces, then  $\lim_{[X \rightarrow Y]} : F_L([X \rightarrow Y]) \rightarrow L^{[X \rightarrow Y]}$ ,  $\forall \mathcal{F} \in F_L([X \rightarrow Y]), \forall f \in [X \rightarrow Y]$ ,  $\lim_{[X \rightarrow Y]} \mathcal{F}(f) = \bigwedge_{(\mathcal{G}, x) \in \mathcal{F}_L(X) \times X} (\lim_X \mathcal{G}(x) \rightarrow \lim_Y ev^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G})(f(x)))$  is an  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence structure on  $[X \rightarrow Y]$ .*

*Proof.* For (LY1),  $\forall g \in [X \rightarrow Y]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{[X \rightarrow Y]}[g](g) &= \bigwedge_{(\mathcal{G}, x) \in \mathcal{F}_L(X) \times X} \lim_X \mathcal{G}(x) \rightarrow \lim_Y (ev^{\Rightarrow}([g] \times \mathcal{G}))(g(x)) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{(\mathcal{G}, x) \in \mathcal{F}_L(X) \times X} \lim_X \mathcal{G}(x) \rightarrow \lim_Y (g^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{G}))(g(x)) \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

For (OLY2),  $\forall \mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{F}_L([X \rightarrow Y])$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &S(\lim_{[X \rightarrow Y]} \mathcal{F}, \lim_{[X \rightarrow Y]} \mathcal{G}) \\ &= \bigwedge_{g \in [X \rightarrow Y]} \left( \left( \bigwedge_{(\mathcal{E}, x) \in \mathcal{F}_L(X) \times X} \lim_X \mathcal{E}(x) \rightarrow \lim_Y (ev^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{E}))(g(x)) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \rightarrow \left( \bigwedge_{(\mathcal{H}, x) \in \mathcal{F}_L(X) \times X} \lim_X \mathcal{H}(x) \rightarrow \lim_Y (ev^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}))(g(x)) \right) \right) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{g \in [X \rightarrow Y]} \bigwedge_{(\mathcal{H}, x) \in \mathcal{F}_L(X) \times X} \left( \left( \lim_X \mathcal{H}(x) \rightarrow \lim_Y (ev^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{H}))(g(x)) \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \rightarrow \left( \lim_X \mathcal{H}(x) \rightarrow \lim_Y (ev^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}))(g(x)) \right) \right) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)} S(\lim_Y (ev^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{H})), \lim_Y (ev^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H}))) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)} S_F(ev^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{H}), ev^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H})). \end{aligned}$$

$\forall \mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} &S_F(ev^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{H}), ev^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H})) \\ &= \bigwedge_{U \in 2^Y} \left( (\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{H})(ev^{\leftarrow}(U)) \rightarrow (\mathcal{G} \times \mathcal{H})(ev^{\leftarrow}(U)) \right) \\ &= \bigwedge_{U \in 2^Y} \left( \left( \bigvee_{A \times B \subseteq ev^{\leftarrow}(U)} \mathcal{F}(A) \wedge \mathcal{H}(B) \right) \rightarrow \left( \bigvee_{C \times D \subseteq ev^{\leftarrow}(U)} \mathcal{G}(C) \wedge \mathcal{H}(D) \right) \right) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{U \in 2^Y} \bigwedge_{A \times B \subseteq ev^{\leftarrow}(U)} \left( (\mathcal{F}(A) \wedge \mathcal{H}(B)) \rightarrow (\mathcal{G}(A) \wedge \mathcal{H}(B)) \right) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{U \in 2^Y} \bigwedge_{A \times B \subseteq ev^{\leftarrow}(U)} \left( \mathcal{F}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(A) \right) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{C \in 2^{[X \rightarrow Y]}} \left( \mathcal{F}(C) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(C) \right) \\ &= S_F(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the above completes the proof. In other words,  $\lim_{[X \rightarrow Y]}$  is an  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence structure on  $[X \rightarrow Y]$ .  $\square$



**Remark 4.5.** The evaluation map  $ev : [X \rightarrow Y] \times X \rightarrow Y$  mentioned above is continuous. Let  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  be a map,  $\forall x \in X$ , define a map  $f_x : Y \rightarrow Z$ ,  $\forall y \in Y$ ,  $f_x(y) = f(x, y)$ ,  $f^* : X \rightarrow Z^Y$ ,  $\forall x \in X$ ,  $f^*(x) = f_x$ , and  $\varphi : Z^{(X \rightarrow Y)} \rightarrow (Z^Y)^X$ ,  $\forall f \in Z^{(X \rightarrow Y)}$ ,  $\varphi(f) = f^*$ . Then it can be proved that

- (1) If  $f : (X, \lim_X) \times (Y, \lim_Y) \rightarrow (Z, \lim_Z)$  is continuous, then for each  $x \in X$ ,  $f_x : (Y, \lim_Y) \rightarrow (Z, \lim_Z)$  is continuous.
- (2) For all  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)$ ,  $\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{F}_L(Y)$ ,  $ev \Rightarrow (\varphi(f) \Rightarrow (\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G})) = f \Rightarrow (\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G})$ .
- (3) If  $f : X \times Y \rightarrow Z$  is continuous, then  $\varphi(f) : X \rightarrow [Y \rightarrow Z]$  is continuous. (We refer to [16] for a detail proof of the above results.)

We collect our findings in the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.6.**  $L$ -OFYC is a Cartesian-closed category.

### 5. The Relations Between $L$ -fuzzifying Neighborhood Spaces and Principle $L$ -ordered Fuzzifying Convergence Spaces

In this section, we define a subcategory of the category of  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence spaces: the category of principle  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence spaces and show that the new category and that of  $L$ -fuzzifying neighborhood spaces are isomorphic. Furthermore, each fibre on a fixed set of the category of  $L$ -fuzzifying neighborhood spaces and that of the category of principal  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence spaces are isomorphic. At the end of the section, we propose that the category of principle  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence spaces is a reflective subcategory of  $L$ -OFYC and it is a topological category. Again, this section is mostly motivated by reference [8].

**Proposition 5.1.** Let  $(X, \lim) \in L$ -OFYC. The structure  $\{N_{\lim}^x : 2^X \rightarrow L\}_{x \in X}$  defined by: For  $x \in X$ ,  $\forall U \in 2^X$ ,  $N_{\lim}^x(U) = \bigwedge_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)} (\lim \mathcal{F}(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U))$  is an  $L$ -fuzzifying neighborhood structure. We call it the induced  $L$ -fuzzifying neighborhood structure of  $(X, \lim)$ .

Theorem 3.5 suggests for  $(X, \lim) \in L$ -OFYC the following definition.

**Definition 5.2.** Let  $\lim$  be an  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence structure. If in addition the following condition (LYP) holds,

$$(LYP) \forall \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X), x \in X, \lim \mathcal{F}(x) = S_F(N_{\lim}^x, \mathcal{F}),$$

then  $\lim$  is called a principal  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence structure, and the pair  $(X, \lim)$  is called a principle  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence space.

The full subcategory of  $L$ -OFYC consisting of all principle  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence spaces is denoted by  $L$ -POFYC.

If an  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence spaces satisfies (LYP), then a nice characterization of principle  $L$ -ordered convergence spaces in terms of  $L$ -fuzzifying neighborhood spaces is possible. We first need three theorems for preparation.

**Theorem 5.3.** *Let  $(X, N)$  be an  $L$ -fuzzifying neighborhood space. Then there exists a principle  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence structure  $\lim$  on  $X$  satisfying  $\forall x \in X, N_{\lim}^x = N^x$ .*

*Proof.* For the  $L$ -fuzzifying neighborhood space  $(X, N)$ , define  $\lim_N : \mathcal{F}_L(X) \rightarrow L^X$

$$\forall \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X), x \in X, \lim_N \mathcal{F}(x) = \bigwedge_{A \in 2^X} (N^x(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(A)) = S_F(N^x, \mathcal{F}).$$

It is then readily checked that for  $(X, \lim_N)$ , the axiom (LY1), (OLY2), (LYP) hold. The properties of the residual implication of Theorem 2.1 are used.

$$(LY1): \forall x \in X, \lim_N [x](x) = \bigwedge_{A \in 2^X} (N^x(A) \rightarrow [x](A)) = 1.$$

(OLY2): In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} S(\lim_N \mathcal{F}, \lim_N \mathcal{G}) &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} (S_F(N^x, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow S_F(N^x, \mathcal{G})) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \left( \bigwedge_{A \in 2^X} (N^x(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(A)) \rightarrow \bigwedge_{B \in 2^X} (N^x(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(B)) \right) \\ &= \bigwedge_{x \in X} \bigwedge_{B \in 2^X} \left( \bigwedge_{A \in 2^X} (N^x(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(A)) \rightarrow (N^x(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(B)) \right) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{x \in X} \bigwedge_{B \in 2^X} ((N^x(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(B)) \rightarrow (N^x(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(B))) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{x \in X} \bigwedge_{B \in 2^X} ((\mathcal{F}(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(B))) \\ &= S_F(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}). \end{aligned}$$

(LYP): For all  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)$ , we prove  $\lim_N \mathcal{F}(x) = S_F(N_{\lim_N}^x, \mathcal{F})$ . By the definition of  $\lim_N$ ,  $\lim_N \mathcal{F}(x) = S_F(N^x, \mathcal{F})$ . It remains to verify that  $N_{\lim_N}^x = N^x$ . On one hand, for all  $A \in 2^X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\lim_N}^x(A) &= \bigwedge_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)} (\lim_N \mathcal{F}(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(A)) \\ &\leq \lim_N N^x(x) \rightarrow N^x(A) \\ &= N^x(A). \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\lim_N}^x(A) &= \bigwedge_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)} (\lim_N \mathcal{F}(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(A)) \\ &= \bigwedge_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)} \left( \bigwedge_{B \in 2^X} (N^x(B) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(B)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(A) \right) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)} (N^x(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(A)) \\ &\geq N^x(A). \end{aligned}$$

From this, the result follows by a standard argument.  $\square$

In view of the above theorem, if  $N$  is an  $L$ -fuzzifying neighborhood structure, then there exists a principle  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence structure  $\lim_N$  on  $X$ . Moreover,  $N_{\lim_N}$  is also an  $L$ -fuzzifying neighborhood structure and  $N_{\lim_N} = N$ . Conversely, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 5.4.** *If  $\lim$  is a principle  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence structure on  $X$ , then  $\lim_{N_{\lim}} = \lim$ .*

*Proof.* For all  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X), x \in X$ , by (LYP), we have,  
 $\lim_{N_{\lim}} \mathcal{F}(x) = \bigwedge_{A \in 2^X} (N_{\lim}^x(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(A)) = S_F(N_{\lim}^x, \mathcal{F}) = \lim \mathcal{F}(x).$   $\square$

With respect to Theorem 5.3 and Theorem 5.4, we have a one-one correspondence between the objects of  $L$ -NGH and  $L$ -POFYC. The following theorem is about the relation between morphisms of them.

**Theorem 5.5.** *Let  $(X, \lim^X), (Y, \lim^Y)$  be principle  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence spaces,  $(X, N_1), (Y, N_2)$  be  $L$ -fuzzifying neighborhood spaces, then we have*

(1) *If  $f : (X, \lim^X) \rightarrow (Y, \lim^Y)$  is continuous, then  $f : (X, N_{\lim^X}) \rightarrow (Y, N_{\lim^Y})$  is also continuous;*

(2) *If  $f : (X, N_1) \rightarrow (Y, N_2)$  is continuous, then  $f : (X, \lim_{N_1}^X) \rightarrow (Y, \lim_{N_2}^Y)$  is also continuous.*

*Proof.* (1) By the fact that  $f : (X, \lim^X) \rightarrow (Y, \lim^Y)$  is continuous, we have  $\forall x \in X, U \in 2^Y$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\lim^X}^x(f^{\leftarrow}(U)) &= \bigwedge_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)} (\lim^X \mathcal{F}(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(f^{\leftarrow}(U))) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)} (\lim^Y f^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F})(f(x)) \rightarrow f^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F})(U)) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{\mathcal{G} \in \mathcal{F}_L(Y)} (\lim^Y \mathcal{G}(f(x)) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}(U)) \\ &= N_{\lim^Y}^{f(x)}(U), \end{aligned}$$

as desired.

(2) Conversely, by the fact that  $f : (X, N_1) \rightarrow (Y, N_2)$  is continuous, we have  $\forall \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X), x \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N_2}^Y f^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F})(f(x)) &= \bigwedge_{B \in 2^Y} (N_2^{f(x)}(B) \rightarrow f^{\Rightarrow}(\mathcal{F})(B)) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{B \in 2^Y} (N_1^x(f^{\leftarrow}(B)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(f^{\leftarrow}(B))) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{A \in 2^X} (N_1^x(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(A)) \\ &= \lim_{N_1}^X \mathcal{F}(x), \end{aligned}$$

as desired.  $\square$

By Theorems 5.3, 5.4 and 5.5, we actually have proved the following comprehensive theorem.

**Theorem 5.6.**  *$L$ -NGH is isomorphic to  $L$ -POFYC.*

Let  $X$  be a set. A fibre on  $X$  of the category of  $L$ -fuzzifying neighborhood spaces is denoted by  $\mathbf{PrFN}_L(\mathbf{X})$ . An order " $\leq$ " on  $\mathbf{PrFN}_L(\mathbf{X})$  can be defined by

$$N^1 \leq N^2 \Leftrightarrow \forall x \in X, A \in 2^X, N_x^1(A) \leq N_x^2(A).$$

A fibre on  $X$  of the category of principle  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence spaces is denoted by  $\mathbf{PFYC}_L(\mathbf{X})$ . An order " $\leq$ " on  $\mathbf{PFYC}_L(\mathbf{X})$  can be defined as follows:

$$\lim_1 \leq \lim_2 \Leftrightarrow \forall \mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X), x \in X, \lim_2 \mathcal{F}(x) \leq \lim_1 \mathcal{F}(x).$$

Then we have the following result.

**Theorem 5.7.**  *$(\mathbf{PFYC}_L(\mathbf{X}), \leq)$  and  $(\mathbf{PrFN}_L(\mathbf{X}), \leq)$  are isomorphic.*

*Proof.* Define a mapping:  $h : \mathbf{PFYC}_L(\mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{PrFN}_L(\mathbf{X})$ ,  $\forall \lim \in \mathbf{PFYC}_L(\mathbf{X})$ ,  $h(\lim) = N_{\lim}$ , and a mapping:  $k : \mathbf{PrFN}_L(\mathbf{X}) \rightarrow \mathbf{PFYC}_L(\mathbf{X})$ ,  $\forall N \in \mathbf{PrFN}_L(\mathbf{X})$ ,  $k(N) = \lim_N$ . It has been verified in Theorems 5.3 and 5.4 that  $h \circ k = id_{\mathbf{PrFN}_L(\mathbf{X})}$ ,  $k \circ h = id_{\mathbf{PFYC}_L(\mathbf{X})}$ . So  $h$  and  $k$  are both bijective. Furthermore,  $k = h^{-1}$ .

(1) For all  $\lim_1, \lim_2 \in \mathbf{PFYC}_L(\mathbf{X})$ , if  $\lim_1 \leq \lim_2$ , then for all  $x \in X, A \in 2^X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} N_{\lim_1}^x(A) &= \bigwedge_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)} \left( \lim_1 \mathcal{F}(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(A) \right) \\ &\leq \bigwedge_{\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)} \left( \lim_2 \mathcal{F}(x) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(A) \right) \\ &= N_{\lim_2}^x(A). \end{aligned}$$

So,  $N_{\lim_1} \leq N_{\lim_2}$ . i.e.  $h(\lim_1) \leq h(\lim_2)$ . Therefore,  $h$  is an order preserving map.

(2) For all  $N_1, N_2 \in \mathbf{PrFN}_L(\mathbf{X})$ , if  $N_1 \leq N_2$ , then for all  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X), x \in X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{N_1} \mathcal{F}(x) &= \bigwedge_{A \in 2^X} \left( N_1^x(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(A) \right) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{A \in 2^X} \left( N_2^x(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(A) \right) \\ &= \lim_{N_2} \mathcal{F}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,  $\lim_{N_1} \leq \lim_{N_2}$ , i.e.  $h^{-1}(N_1) \leq h^{-1}(N_2)$ . So  $h^{-1}$  is also an order preserving mapping.

From the above proof, we conclude that  $(\mathbf{PFYC}_L(\mathbf{X}), \leq)$  and  $(\mathbf{PrFN}_L(\mathbf{X}), \leq)$  are isomorphic.  $\square$

At the end of this section, we propose the following results.

**Theorem 5.8.** *The category  $L$ -POFYC is a reflective subcategory of  $L$ -OFYC.*

*Proof.* Let  $(X, \overline{\text{lim}}) \in L$ -OFYC and  $E_{\overline{\text{lim}}} = \{ \text{lim} \mid (X, \text{lim}) \in L$ -POFYC,  $\text{lim} \leq \overline{\text{lim}} \}$ . Note that  $E_{\overline{\text{lim}}}$  is not empty because it always contains  $\text{lim}_{sm}$ . Then we can construct a principal  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence structure  $\overline{\text{lim}}_* : \mathcal{F}_L(X) \rightarrow L^X$  as follows: For all  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X)$ ,  $x \in X$ ,  $\overline{\text{lim}}_* \mathcal{F}(x) = \bigwedge_{\text{lim} \in E_{\overline{\text{lim}}}} \text{lim} \mathcal{F}(x)$ . From this, we have

- (1)  $id_X : (X, \overline{\text{lim}}) \rightarrow (X, \overline{\text{lim}}_*)$  is trivially continuous;
- (2) For a principal  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence space  $(Y, \text{lim}^Y)$ , if  $f : (X, \overline{\text{lim}}) \rightarrow (Y, \text{lim}^Y)$  is a continuous mapping, then  $f : (X, \overline{\text{lim}}_*) \rightarrow (Y, \text{lim}^Y)$  is also continuous.

From the above facts, we immediately obtain that  $L$ -POFYC is a full reflective subcategory in  $L$ -OFYC. □

**Corollary 5.9.** *The category  $L$ -POFYC is topological.*

### 6. The Relations Between $L$ -fuzzifying Topological Spaces and Topological $L$ -ordered Fuzzifying Convergence Spaces

In this section, we define another important subcategory of  $L$ -OFYC: the category of topological  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence spaces. We will find out that the category mentioned above is isomorphic to  $L$ -FYS and to  $L$ -TNGH in case of a completely distributive lattice  $L$ . Furthermore, each fibre on  $X$  of the category of topological  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence spaces is isomorphic to that of  $L$ -fuzzifying topological spaces and that of topological  $L$ -fuzzifying neighborhood spaces.

**Definition 6.1.** Let  $(X, \text{lim}) \in L$ -POFYC, if in addition the mapping  $\text{lim} : \mathcal{F}_L(X) \rightarrow L^X$  satisfies the following axiom:

$$(LYT) \forall U \in 2^X, N_{\text{lim}}^x(U) \leq \bigvee_{x \in V \subseteq U} \bigwedge_{y \in V} N_{\text{lim}}^y(V),$$

then  $\text{lim}$  is called a topological  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence structure, and  $(X, \text{lim})$  is a topological  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence space. The full subcategory of  $L$ -OFYC consisting of all topological  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence spaces is denoted by  $L$ -TOFYC.

If  $\text{lim}$  is a topological  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence structure, then a nice characterization of  $L$ -fuzzifying topologies is possible. We need two lemmas for preparation.

**Lemma 6.2.** *Let  $(X, N)$  be a topological  $L$ -fuzzifying neighborhood space, then  $(X, \text{lim}_N)$  is a topological  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence space.*

*Proof.* As for  $(X, N)$  is a topological  $L$ -fuzzifying neighborhood space,  $(X, N)$  is an  $L$ -fuzzifying neighborhood space. By Theorem 5.3, we see  $N = N_{\text{lim}_N}$ . For  $N$  is a topological  $L$ -fuzzifying neighborhood structure, we know for all  $x \in$

$X, \forall U \in 2^X, N^x(U) \leq \bigvee_{x \in V \subseteq U} \bigwedge_{y \in V} N^y(V)$ . Therefore,  $N_{\lim_N}$  satisfies (LYT). With Definition 6.1, the lemma holds.  $\square$

**Lemma 6.3.** *Let  $(X, \lim) \in L\text{-TOFYC}$ , then there exists an  $L$ -fuzzifying topology  $\tau$  on  $X$  such that  $\lim_\tau = \lim$ .*

*Proof.* Firstly, by Definition 6.1,  $(X, \lim) \in L\text{-TOFYC}$  implies that  $N_{\lim}$  satisfies (N1) – (N4).

Secondly, let  $\tau : 2^X \rightarrow L, \forall A \in 2^X, \tau(A) = \bigwedge_{x \in A} N_{\lim}^x(A)$ . It can be easily proved that  $\tau$  is an  $L$ -fuzzifying topology on  $X$ . Moreover,  $N_\tau = N_{\lim}$ . In fact, for all  $x \in X, A \in 2^X$ , we have by the axiom (LYT),

$$\begin{aligned} N_\tau^x(A) &= \bigvee_{x \in B \subseteq A} \tau(B) \\ &= \bigvee_{x \in B \subseteq A} \bigwedge_{y \in B} N_{\lim}^y(B) \\ &= N_{\lim}^x(A). \quad (\text{LYT}) \end{aligned}$$

With this and (LYP), we obtain for all  $\mathcal{F} \in \mathcal{F}_L(X), x \in X, \lim_\tau \mathcal{F}(x) = S_F(N_\tau^x, \mathcal{F}) = S_F(N_{\lim}^x, \mathcal{F}) = \lim \mathcal{F}(x)$ .

Therefore,  $\lim_\tau = \lim$  holds.  $\square$

Lemmas 6.2, 6.3 together with the relations between topological  $L$ -fuzzifying neighborhood spaces and  $L$ -fuzzifying topological spaces in case that  $L$  is a completely distributive lattice show the following result.

**Theorem 6.4.** *If  $L$  is a completely distributive lattice, then  $L\text{-FYS}, L\text{-TOFYC}$  and  $L\text{-TNGH}$  are isomorphic to each other.*

We denote a fibre on  $X$  of the category of  $L$ -fuzzifying topological spaces by  $\mathbf{FY}_L(\mathbf{X})$ , and an order “ $\leq$ ” on it is defined as follows:  $\forall \tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathbf{FY}_L(\mathbf{X})$ ,

$$\tau_1 \leq \tau_2 \Leftrightarrow \forall A \in 2^X, \tau_1(A) \leq \tau_2(A).$$

Denote a fibre on  $X$  of the category of topological  $L$ -fuzzifying neighborhood spaces by  $\mathbf{FN}_L(\mathbf{X})$  and a fibre on  $X$  of the category of topological  $L$ -ordered fuzzifying convergence spaces by  $\mathbf{TFYC}_L(\mathbf{X})$ . In the same way as in the proof of Theorem 5.7, we obtain the following theorem trivially, and leave the straightforward proof for the interested reader.

**Theorem 6.5.**  *$(\mathbf{FN}_L(\mathbf{X}), \leq), (\mathbf{FY}_L(\mathbf{X}), \leq), (\mathbf{TFYC}_L(\mathbf{X}), \leq)$  are isomorphic.*

## REFERENCES

- [1] H. Boustique, R. N. Mohapatra and G. Richardson, *Lattice-valued fuzzy interior operators*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **160** (2009), 2947-2955.
- [2] H. Boustique and G. Richardson, *A note on regularity*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **162** (2011), 64-66.
- [3] J. Fang, *Stratified  $L$ -ordered convergence structures*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **161** (2010), 2130-2149.

- [4] P. V. Flores, R. N. Mohapatra and G. Richardson, *Lattice-valued spaces: fuzzy convergence*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **157** (2006), 2706-2714.
- [5] P. V. Flores and G. Richardson, *Lattice-valued convergence: diagonal axioms*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **159** (2008), 2520-2528.
- [6] U. Höhle, *Characterization of L-topologies by L-valued neighborhoods*, Chapter 5, In: Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets: Logic, Topology, and Measure Theory, The Handbooks of Fuzzy Sets Series, (U. Höhle, S. E. Rodabaugh, eds.), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, Dordrecht, London, **3** (1999), 389-432.
- [7] U. Höhle and A. P. Sostak, *Axiomatic foundations of fixed-basis fuzzy topology*, In: Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets: Logic, Topology, and Measure Theory, The Handbooks of Fuzzy Sets Series, (U. Höhle, S. E. Rodabaugh, eds.), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Boston, Dordrecht, London, **3** (1999), 123-173.
- [8] G. Jäger, *A category of L-fuzzy convergence spaces*, Quaestiones Mathematicae, **24** (2001), 501-517.
- [9] G. Jäger, *Subcategories of lattice-valued convergence spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **156** (2005), 1-24.
- [10] G. Jäger, *Pretopological and topological lattice-valued convergence spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **158** (2007), 424-435.
- [11] G. Jäger, *Fischer's diagonal condition for lattice-valued convergence spaces*, Quaestiones Mathematicae, **31** (2008), 11-25.
- [12] R. Lowen, *Convergence in fuzzy topological spaces*, Gen. Top. Appl., **10** (1979), 147-160.
- [13] K. C. Min, *Fuzzy limit spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **32** (1989), 343-357.
- [14] L. Xu, *Characterizations of fuzzifying topologies by some limit structures*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **123** (2001), 169-176.
- [15] W. Yao, *On many-valued stratified L-fuzzy convergence spaces*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **159** (2008), 2503-2519.
- [16] W. Yao, *On L-fuzzifying convergence spaces*, Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems, **6(1)** (2009), 63-80.
- [17] M. S. Ying, *A new approach to fuzzy topology (I)*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **39** (1991), 303-321.
- [18] D. Zhang, *On the reflectivity and coreflectivity of L-fuzzifying topological spaces in L-topological spaces*, Acta Mathematica Sinica (English Series), **18(1)** (2002), 55-68.
- [19] D. Zhang, *L-fuzzifying topologies as L-topologies*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **125** (2002), 135-144.
- [20] D. Zhang and L. Xu, *Categories isomorphic to FNS*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **104** (1999), 373-380.

WENCHAO WU\*, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OCEAN UNIVERSITY OF CHINA, 266100 QING-DAO, P. R. CHINA

E-mail address: wuwenchao107@163.com

JINMING FANG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, OCEAN UNIVERSITY OF CHINA, 266100 QING-DAO, P. R. CHINA

E-mail address: jinming-fang@163.com

\*CORRESPONDING AUTHOR