

Iranian Journal of Fuzzy Systems

Volume 19, Number 1, (2022), pp. 115-124

Original paper

Monte Carlo statistical test for fuzzy quality

A. Parchami¹, H. Iranmanesh² and B. Sadeghpour Gildeh³

¹*Department of Statistics, Faculty of Mathematics and Computer, Shahid Bahonar University of Kerman, Kerman, Iran* 2,3*Department of Statistics, Factually of Mathematical Sciences, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad, Mashhad, Iran*

parchami@uk.ac.ir, iranmanesh.hamideh@mail.um.ac.ir, sadeghpour@um.ac.ir

Abstract

Testing the capability of a productive process on the basis of the flexible fuzzy quality using Yongting's index is proposed in this paper by the Monte Carlo simulation. The theoretical approach and detailed steps of an algorithm are given to simulate the critical-value-based and also *p*-value-based approaches to statistical testing fuzzy quality. Also, the probability of type *II* error of the quality test simulated by Monte Carlo approach. Moreover, a real-world case study is provided to show the performance of the proposed algorithm for triangular and trapezoidal fuzzy qualities.

Keywords: Testing hypotheses, *p*-value, the probability of type *II* error, fuzzy quality, capability index, Monte Carlo.

1 Introduction and background

In quality control, such as other statistical problems, we may confront imprecise concepts. One practical case in quality analyses is a situation in which the specification tolerance is a fuzzy set. In such a vague environment, products are not qualified with a 0 and 1 boolean view, but to some degrees depending on the quality level of the products. This matter can be caused to a justified judgment in decision making on manufacturing processes. Yongting [9] introduced the concept of "fuzzy quality" in 1996 by substituting the indicator function $I_{\{x:x\in [LSL,USL]\}}$ with the membership function of the fuzzy set \tilde{Q} . Motivations and merits of applying this flexible approach instead of using the classical quality were discussed in [5]. Sadeghpour-Gildeh [7] compared capability indices *Cp*, *Cpk* and Yongting's index with respect to the measurement error occurrence. Amirzadeh et al. [1] proposed a new *p*-chart controlling the degree of nonconformity based on fuzzy quality (FQ), and they expressed that the proposed control chart is more sensitive not only to changes in the process mean, but also to changes in the variance. Another generation of process capability indices was developed by Parchami and Mashinchi [4] to measure the capability of fuzzy quality.

Testing capability is a common method to check the performance of industrial production processes. Usually, the test statistic of such test is the process capability index. Due to the complexity of the capability indices formulas, the statistical distribution of the process capability estimator may not be clear, which makes a challenge in testing process capability in applications. This challenge is also seen to test the process capability based on fuzzy quality, and we have tried to solve it by proposing a simple and practical algorithm in this paper. In the proposed algorithm, a statistical significance test is simulated for testing the capability of a fuzzy process on the basis of normal data.

This paper is organized as follows. The probabilistic capability index based on fuzzy quality is reviewed in Section 2. Moreover, a significance fuzzy quality test is investigated in Section 2. Then, the Monte Carlo testing fuzzy quality is investigated by a practical algorithm in Section 3. A case study based on two triangular and trapezoidal fuzzy qualities has been provided with real-world data in Section 4. The final section is conclusions and future works.

Corresponding Author: A. Parchami

Received: March 2021; Revised: June 2021; Accepted: August 2021.

2 Testing fuzzy quality

2.1 Capability index to measure fuzzy quality

Let f be the probability density/mass function of a one-dimensional quality characteristic X. Yongting (1996) introduced the process capability index

$$
C_{\tilde{Q}} = \begin{cases} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \tilde{Q}(x) f(x) dx, & \text{for continuous quality characteristic,} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{Q}(x_i) f(x_i), & \text{for discrete quality characteristic,} \end{cases}
$$
 (1)

based on fuzzy quality for precise data in which \tilde{Q} is the membership function of fuzzy quality to construct the degree of conformity with the standard fuzzy quality. Note that $\hat{Q}(x)$ represents the degree of conformity with standard quality (or briefly, the degree of quality) when the measured quality characteristic of a product is x ; see [5]. It must be mentioned that the introduced process capability index in Eq. (1) is equal to the probability of the fuzzy quality event, by considering Zadehs probabilistic definition [10], that is, $C_{\tilde{Q}} = P(X \in \tilde{Q})$.

2.2 Significance fuzzy quality test and *p***-value**

The main problem. For fuzzy quality analysis under the normality condition of one-dimensional quality characteristic, the main problem is testing the null hypothesis

 $H_0: C_{\tilde{O}} \leq c_0$ (process is not capable),

against the alternative hypothesis

 $H_1: C_{\tilde{Q}} > c_0$ (process is capable),

based on the random sample x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n with unknown mean and unknown variance parameters, where $c_0 \in (0,1)$ is the standard minimal criterion for Yongting's capability index. From now on, we briefly call this problem Testing Fuzzy Quality (TFQ) throughout this paper and the Monte Carlo simulation approach is investigated for TFQ in Section 3.

Now, we are going to derive a construction of a precise (and not fuzzy) test on the basis of the simulated distribution of $C_{\tilde{Q}}$, which is formally similar to recent tests. Obviously, the critical region of the proposed capability test is $\widehat{C_{\tilde{Q}}}>c$ in which *c* is the precise unknown critical value and

$$
\widehat{C}_{\tilde{Q}} = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \tilde{Q}(x) \widehat{\phi_{\mu,\sigma}}(x) dx = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \tilde{Q}(x) \phi_{\hat{\mu},\hat{\sigma}}(x) dx,
$$
\n(2)

is the estimator of Yongting's capability index based on the fuzzy quality \tilde{Q} and random sample from normal distribution with unknown parameters μ and σ^2 . Note that, the estimator of Yongting's capability index can be easily obtained by replacing unknown parameters μ and σ^2 with their estimators $\hat{\mu} = \bar{X}$ and $\hat{\sigma}^2 = S^2 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \bar{X})^2}{n-1}$ $\frac{(A_i - A)}{n-1}$, respectively. Considering random sample $X_1, \ldots, X_n \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ with unknown parameters, the probability of type *I* error in TFQ can be formulated by

$$
\alpha = \sup_{H_0} \Pr\left(\widehat{C}_{\tilde{Q}} > c\right) = \Pr\left(\widehat{C}_{\tilde{Q}} > c \mid C_{\tilde{Q}} = c_0\right),\tag{3}
$$

and so

$$
Pr\left(\widehat{C}_{\tilde{Q}} \le c \mid C_{\tilde{Q}} = c_0\right) = 1 - \alpha. \tag{4}
$$

Therefore, the unknown critical value *c* is equal to the $(1 - \alpha)$ th quantile of $\widehat{C}_{\widehat{Q}}$ distribution under the assumption $C_{\tilde{O}} = c_0$, and finding a precise critical value *c* by the Monte Carlo simulation is investigated in Section 3 as the main goal of this paper. Moreover, the *p*-value in TFQ is equal to

$$
p\text{-value} = Pr\left(\widehat{C}_{\tilde{Q}} > \widehat{c}_{\tilde{Q}} \mid C_{\tilde{Q}} = c_0\right) = E\left[I\left(\widehat{C}_{\tilde{Q}} > \widehat{c}_{\tilde{Q}} \mid C_{\tilde{Q}} = c_0\right)\right],\tag{5}
$$

where \widehat{c}_0 is the observed value of Yongting's capability index based on x_1, \ldots, x_n from Eq. (2) and $I(A)$ is the indicator function of event/set *A*. The power function of the fuzzy quality test using Yongting's index is

$$
\Pi(C_{\tilde{Q}}) = Pr\left(\widehat{C}_{\tilde{Q}} > c\right),\tag{6}
$$

where *c* is the critical value. Therefore, the probability of type *II* error at $c^*_{\tilde{Q}}$ is equal to

$$
\beta(c_{\tilde{Q}}^*) = 1 - \Pi(c_{\tilde{Q}}^*) = Pr\left(\widehat{C}_{\tilde{Q}} \le c \mid C_{\tilde{Q}} = c_{\tilde{Q}}^*\right) = E\left[I\left(\widehat{C}_{\tilde{Q}} \le c \mid C_{\tilde{Q}} = c_{\tilde{Q}}^*\right)\right],\tag{7}
$$

for any $c^*_{\tilde{Q}} > c_0$.

3 Monte Carlo testing fuzzy quality

An algorithm is proposed in bellow to simulate/compute the critical value, *p*-value and the probability of type *II* error for the Monte Carlo TFQ at the given significance level *α*.

Algorithm 1.

Step 1: Compute the observed/estimated Yongting's index \widehat{c}_Q on the basis of the observed random sample x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n by Eq. (2) .

Step 2: Calculate sequence $\mu_1 < \mu_2 < \ldots < \mu_k$ to cover the interquartile range $[Q_1, Q_3]$ by the following formula

$$
\mu_j = Q_1 + \frac{j-1}{k-1} (Q_3 - Q_1), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, k,
$$
\n(8)

where Q_1 and Q_3 are 25th and 75th percentiles of observations x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n .

Step 3: For any $\mu_j \in \{\mu_1, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_k\},\$

a) compute the unknown value of root σ_0 from the equation $C_{\tilde{O}} = c_0$, which is equivalent to the equation

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \tilde{Q}(x) \phi_{\mu_j, \sigma_0}(x) dx = c_0,
$$
\n(9)

b) simulate $m = 10^3$ random samples with size *n* from $N(\mu_j, \sigma_0^2)$, 0

c) estimates $\widehat{c}_{\widehat{Q}}^{[1]}, \widehat{c}_{\widehat{Q}}^{[2]}, \ldots, \widehat{c}_{\widehat{Q}}^{[m]}$ for capability index, using Eq. (2) based on the fuzzy quality \widetilde{Q} for each simulated sample from part (b),

d) considering Eq. (4), the critical value for *m* simulated samples in part (b) is equal to the $(1 - \alpha)$ th quantile of $\hat{C}_{\tilde{Q}}$ distribution, that is

$$
c_j = \widehat{c}_{\widehat{Q}}^{(m(1-\alpha))}, \quad j = 1, \dots, k,\tag{10}
$$

where $\widehat{c}_{\widehat{Q}}^{(1)}, \widehat{c}_{\widehat{Q}}^{(2)}, \ldots, \widehat{c}_{\widehat{Q}}^{(m)}$ are the ordered estimated indices in part (c),

e) simulate the *p*-value by

$$
p-value_j = \overline{I\left(\widehat{C}_{\tilde{Q}} > \widehat{c}_{\tilde{Q}} \mid C_{\tilde{Q}} = c_0\right)}
$$

$$
= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{r=1}^{m} I\left(\widehat{c}_{\tilde{Q}}^{[r]} > \widehat{c}_{\tilde{Q}} \mid \mu = \mu_j, \sigma = \sigma_0\right), j = 1, ..., k,
$$
 (11)

in which the simulated capability indices are denoted by $\widehat{c}_Q^{[1]}, \ldots, \widehat{c}_Q^{[m]}$ and σ_0 is the computable root of the equation $C_{\tilde{Q}} = c_0$ from Part (a) by the Newton-Raphson method. Regarding to the strong low of large numbers, it must be mentioned that the Monte Carlo estimator *p*-value_j almost surely converges to Eq. (5), for each iteration, as $m \to \infty$,

f) for any arbitrary point $c^*_{\tilde{Q}} > c_0$, the simulated probability of type *II* error at $c^*_{\tilde{Q}}$ is

$$
\beta(c_{\tilde{Q}}^*)_j = Pr \left(\widehat{C}_{\tilde{Q}} \le c_j \mid C_{\tilde{Q}} = c_{\tilde{Q}}^* \right)
$$

\n
$$
= \overline{I \left(\widehat{C}_{\tilde{Q}} \le c_j \mid C_{\tilde{Q}} = c_{\tilde{Q}}^* \right)}
$$

\n
$$
= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{r=1}^m I \left(\widehat{c}_{\tilde{Q}}^{[r]} \le c_j \mid \mu = \mu_j, \sigma = \sigma_0^* \right), j = 1, ..., k,
$$
 (12)

in which σ_0^* is the computable root of equation $C_{\tilde{Q}} = c_{\tilde{Q}}^*$ by Newton-Raphson method and also the simulated indices basis of μ_j and σ_0^* are denoted by $\widehat{c_Q}^{[1]}, \ldots, \widehat{c_Q}^{[m]}$. Note that the Monte Carlo estimator $\beta(c_{\tilde{Q}}^*)_j$ almost surely converges to Eq. (7), as $m \to \infty$.

Step 4: Monte Carlo critical value in TFQ is equal to the average of *k* calculated critical values in Step 3 and therefore

$$
c = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} c_j.
$$
 (13)

Step 5 (Decision rule): The process is capable, that is, the null hypothesis is rejected at significance level α , if $\widehat{c_{\tilde{O}}} > c$; otherwise the process is incapable.

Step 6 (*p***-value):** The Monte Carlo *p*-value in TFQ is equal to the average of *k* calculated *p*-values in iterations of Part (e), that is,

$$
\hat{p}\text{-}value = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} p\text{-}value_j.
$$
\n⁽¹⁴⁾

Step 7 (Probability of type *II* **error):** Finally, the Monte Carlo probability of type *II* error at $c^*_{\tilde{Q}}$, for any arbitrary point $c^*_{\tilde{Q}} > c_0$, is simulated in TFQ by the average of *k* calculated β in iterations of Part (f), i.e.

$$
\hat{\beta}(c_{\tilde{Q}}^*) = \frac{1}{k} \sum_{j=1}^k \beta(c_{\tilde{Q}}^*)_j.
$$
\n(15)

A real-world case study is presented in the next section to show the performance of Algorithm 1.

4 Case study

Piston rings for a vehicle engine are produced in a forging process. Twenty-five samples, each of size five, are taken from the inside diameter length (in terms of a millimeter) [3]; see Figs. 1 and 2. The degree of nonconformity was defined by Amirzadeh et. al [1] based on the trapezoidal fuzzy quality, and a fuzzy *p*-chart was proposed. It must be emphasized that all the programs and plots in this paper have been carried out with R software, and moreover the piston rings data are accessible by "qcc" package [8].

Figure 1: Box plots of 25 samples of piston rings in millimeter.

In continue, we are going to test the capability of a manufacturing process for the inside diameter measurement of the produced rings based on Yongting's index at significance level 0.01 by considering the trapezoidal fuzzy quality

$$
\tilde{Q}(x) = \begin{cases}\n\frac{x - 73.96}{0.03} & \text{if } 73.96 \leq x < 73.99, \\
1 & \text{if } 73.99 \leq x < 74.02, \\
\frac{74.03 - x}{0.01} & \text{if } 74.02 \leq x < 74.03, \\
0 & \text{elsewhere.}\n\end{cases}
$$

Figure 2: Histogram and kernel density estimation for 125 observed inside diameters.

The above membership function of the non-symmetric trapezoidal fuzzy quality is drawn in Fig. 3, and also the degrees of conformity and nonconformity for each observation are denoted at the left and right sides of Fig. 3, respectively.

Figure 3: Membership function of trapezoidal fuzzy quality *Q* and the related degree of nonconformity.

Figure 4: Cullen and Frey graph of the observed inside diameters.

Monte Carlo simulation approach is considered in this study to test H_0 : $C_{\tilde{Q}} \leq 0.95$, against H_1 : $C_{\tilde{Q}} > 0.95$, based on the observed random sample x_1, \ldots, x_{125} . Shapiro-Wilk test strongly confirms the normality assumption of the observed data with p -value = 0.786. Furthermore, to determine data distribution for these data set, a common method can be used namely, the Cullen and Frey graph [2]. It suggests the selection of a best fit for an unknown

distribution regarding to skewness level and kurtosis. On this graph, values for common distributions are shown to help in the model selection. Fig. 4 shows the distribution of observation has a skewness of zero, which also normal, uniform, and logistic distribution have zero skewness, but the kurtosis of observation model is close to the normal distribution. Thus, by examining the skewness and kurtosis graph of the observed inside diameters of piston rings in Fig. 4, the normal distribution model is appropriate to fit the data. Therefore by Eq. (2) , the estimated Yongting's index is equal to

$$
\widehat{c}_{\widehat{Q}} = \int_{73.96}^{74.03} \tilde{Q}(x) \phi_{\hat{\mu}, \hat{\sigma}}(x) dx = 0.966,
$$

in which *ϕ* is the probability density function of standard normal distribution, and unknown mean and variance of normal random variable are estimable by $\hat{\mu} = \bar{x} = 74.00118$ and $\sigma^2 = s^2 = 0.01007^2$, respectively. Hence, $0.966 < c$ is the critical region of Monte Carlo TFQ at significance level $\alpha = 0.01$, in which the critical value must be simulated by using Algorithm 1. We did a simulation to compute the Monte Carlo critical value in TFQ, where the mean changes over the following sequence: 73.99400, 73.99633, 73.99867, 74.00100, 74.00333, 74.00567 and 74.00800.

Considering the membership function of the fuzzy quality, the unknown root σ_0 in the equation $\int \tilde{Q}(x) \phi_{\mu_j,\sigma_0}(x) dx =$ *c*⁰ is computed for all seven possible cases by the Newton-Raphson method. Then, 1000 independent random samples are simulated from the normal distribution $N(\mu, \sigma_0^2)$ for each case. For each simulated sample, we estimated Yongting's index using Eq. (2). After ordering 1000 estimated capability indices, the 990th capability index is considered as the critical value for every seven possible cases. The left side graphs in Fig. 5 were shown the curve $h(\sigma_0) = C_{\tilde{O}} - c_0$ in first three iterations of Part (a) to compute its unknown root σ_0 . Moreover, corresponding histogram of the simulated Yongting's index with σ_0 is shown in the right side graphs of Fig. 5 from Parts (a) in gray color, and also, histogram of the simulated Yongting's index with σ_0^* is shown in the right side graphs based on Part (f). The total average of seven captured critical values is equal to $c = 0.973$, which is considered as the Monte Carlo critical value of TFQ in this study.

Figure 5: Left: Curve $h(\sigma_0) = C_{\tilde{Q}} - c_0$ to compute its unknown root for first three iterations of Part (a). **Right:** Histograms of the simulated Yongting's index with σ_0 and σ_0^* for first three iterations in parts (a) and (f), respectively.

By comparing \hat{c}_0 = 0.966 and *c*, the null hypothesis cannot be rejected and so the process is determined as an incapable process at significance level 0.01. The results of the Monte Carlo simulation approach are summarized for every iterations in Table 1 based on the considered trapezoidal fuzzy quality in this case study.

	\jmath	μ_i	σ_{0i}	c_j	$p-value_i$	$\beta(c_{\tilde{O}}^*)_j$
$\alpha = 0.01$	1	73.99400	0.0078	0.968	0.027	0.132
$c_0 = 0.95$	$\overline{2}$	73.99633	0.0095	0.970	0.053	0.225
$c_{\tilde{O}}^* = 0.975$	3	73.99867	0.0106	0.971	0.049	0.320
	4	74.00100	0.0111	0.972	0.062	0.357
	5	74.00333	0.0111	0.975	0.079	0.494
	6	74.00567	0.0106	0.975	0.087	0.515
	7	74.00800	0.0097	0.975	0.097	0.531
				$c = 0.973$	$\hat{p}\text{-}value = 0.065$	$\ddot{\beta}=0.368$
$\alpha = 0.05$	1	73.99400	0.0086	0.956	0.002	0.008
$c_0 = 0.94$	$\overline{2}$	73.99633	0.0103	0.958	0.004	0.008
$c_{\tilde{Q}}^* = 0.973$	3	73.99867	0.0113	0.958	0.005	0.032
	4	74.00100	0.0117	0.959	0.009	0.045
	5	74.00333	0.0117	0.961	0.016	0.079
	6	74.00567	0.0111	0.962	0.024	0.103
	7	74.00800	0.0102	0.962	0.025	0.129
				$c = 0.959$	$\hat{p}\text{-}value = 0.012$	$\beta = 0.058$

Table 1: Results of seven iterations in the Monte Carlo simulation based on the trapezoidal fuzzy quality at different significance levels 0*.*01 and 0*.*05.

Now, let us consider the following triangular fuzzy quality in TFQ (see Fig. 6)

$$
\tilde{Q}_{\Delta}(x) = \begin{cases}\n\frac{x - 73.96}{0.045} & \text{if } 73.96 \le x < 74.005, \\
\frac{74.03 - x}{0.025} & \text{if } 74.005 \le x < 74.03, \\
0 & \text{elsewhere.}\n\end{cases}
$$

By considering the triangular fuzzy quality instead of the trapezoidal fuzzy quality, the estimated Yongting's capability index strictly decreases to $\widehat{c_{\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}}} \leq 0.7665$, so that the simpler quality test $H_0: C_{\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_0} \leq 0.72$, versus $H_1: C_{\widehat{\mathcal{Q}}_0} > 0.72$ by the Monte Carlo approach lead us to the critical value 0.748. Therefore, the null hypothesis rejected at significance level 0.05, by considering the triangular fuzzy quality Q_{Δ} , and hence the process is capable at this level. Graphical information about first three iterations of Algorithm 1 is depicted in Fig. 7 based on triangular fuzzy quality.

Figure 6: Membership function of the triangular fuzzy quality \tilde{Q}_Δ and the related degree of nonconformity.

Figure 7: Left: Curve $h(\sigma_0) = C_{\tilde{Q}_{\Delta}} - c_0$ to compute its unknown root σ_0 for first three iterations of Part (a). Right: Histograms of the simulated Yongting's index with σ_0 and σ_0^* for first three iterations in parts (a) and (f), respectively.

5 Comparison with traditional capability indices

Traditional process capability indices $C_p = \frac{USL - LSL}{6\sigma}$ and $C_{pm} = \frac{USL - LSL}{6\sqrt{\sigma^2 + (\mu - T)}}$ $\frac{USL - LSL}{6\sqrt{\sigma^2 + (\mu - T)^2}}$ have many applications in industry, where *LSL* is the lower specification limit, *USL* is the upper specification limit, *T* is the target value and σ is the process standard deviation. In this section, we are going to test the quality of inside diameters of piston rings - by considering both crisp quality and fuzzy quality - on the basis of indices C_p , C_{pm} and the Yongting's index $C_{\tilde{O}}$ at different significance levels.

In quality test by C_p , the critical value based on the natural estimator $\widehat{C_p} = \frac{USL - LSL}{6S}$ is equal to $c_0 \sqrt{\frac{n-1}{\chi^2_{n-1,\alpha}}},$ in which c_0 is the standard minimal criterion and $\chi^2_{n-1,\alpha}$ is the lower α -quantile of chi-square distribution with $n-1$ degrees of freedom [6].

Also, the *p*-value for testing quality is equal to

$$
p\text{-value} = Pr\left(\widehat{C_p} > \widehat{c_p} \mid C_p = c_0\right) = Pr\left(\chi_{n-1}^2 < \frac{(n-1)c_0^2}{\widehat{c_p}^2}\right),\tag{16}
$$

where \hat{c}_p is the observed value of the index C_p based on x_1, \ldots, x_n . Furthermore, the probability of type *II* error at point c_p^* is equal to

$$
\beta(c_p^*) = Pr\left(\widehat{C_p} \le c \mid C_p = c_p^*\right) = 1 - Pr\left(\chi_{n-1}^2 < \frac{(n-1)c_p^{*2}}{c^2}\right),\tag{17}
$$

for any $c_p^* > c_0$. It must be mentioned that the critical value, the probability of type *II* error and the *p*-value for the crisp quality test by C_{pm} based on the natural estimator $\widehat{C_{pm}} = \frac{USL - LSL}{6\sqrt{S^2 + (\bar{X} - I)}}$ $\frac{USL-LSL}{6\sqrt{S^2+(\bar{X}-T)^2}}$ were simulated by the Monte Carlo simulation approach similar to what was presented in Algorithm 1. Moreover, in testing crisp quality based on indices C_p and C_{pm} , specification $LSL = 73.96$ and $USL = 74.03$, and also the target value $T = 73.999$ were considered.

Regarding to the above discussion, four following quality tests were considered with various critical values in Table 2 at different significance levels for inside diameters of piston rings:

- (1) testing the crisp quality based on C_p ,
- (2) testing the crisp quality based on C_{pm} ,
- (3) testing the trapezoidal fuzzy quality based on Yongting's index $C_{\tilde{Q}}$, and
- (4) testing the triangular fuzzy quality based on Yongting's index $C_{\tilde{Q}_\Delta}$.

For each case, the critical value, the *p*-value and the result of the quality test were computed/simulated in Table 2 at significance levels 0.010, 0.025, 0.05 and 0.100. Furthermore, the Monte Carlo probability of type *II* error were simulated in Table 2 by Eq. (15), for each quality test at three various points $c^*_{\tilde{Q}}$.

6 Conclusions and future works

Evaluation and testing of the capability of manufacturing processes was investigated in this article by using the Yongting's capability index. The main goal of this investigation was to estimate the critical value and *p*-value as well as the probability of type *II* error by a Monte Carlo simulation approach for the normal quality characteristic based on flexible fuzzy quality. The practitioners can use the proposed algorithm to determine whether their process meets the preset capability requirement and make reliable decisions when the fuzzy quality is considered instead of crisp specification limits. Also, a *p*-value-based approach is proposed for the capability test. Finally, a case study based on two triangular and trapezoidal fuzzy qualities has been investigated with real-world data. Testing capability based on one-dimensional and multivariate capability indices are two potential subjects for further research.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the respected anonymous referees whose comments improved the paper.

References

- [1] V. Amirzadeh, M. Mashinchi, A. Parchami, *Construction of p-charts using degree of nonconformity*, Information Sciences, **179**(2) (2009), 150-160.
- [2] A. C. Cullen, H. C. Frey, C. H. Frey, *Probabilistic techniques in exposure assessment*, Springer Science and Business Media, New York, 1st edition, 1999.
- [3] D. C. Montgomery, *Introduction to statistical quality control*, John Wiley and Sons, New York, 2001.
- [4] A. Parchami, M. Mashinchi, *A new generation of process capability indices*, Journal of Applied Statistics, **37**(1) (2010), 77-89.
- [5] A. Parchami, B. Sadeghpour-Gildeh, M. Mashinchi, *Why fuzzy quality?*, International Journal for Quality Research, **10**(3) (2016), 457-470.
- [6] W. L. Pearn, S. Kotz, *Encyclopedia and handbook of process capability indices: A comprehensive exposition of quality control measures*, World Scientific, Singapore, 2006.
- [7] B. Sadeghpour Gildeh, *Comparison of Cp, Cpk and Cp-tilde process capability indices in the case of measurement error occurrence*, IFSA World Congress, Istanbul, Turkey, (2003), 563-567.
- [8] L. Scrucca, *qcc: An R package for quality control charting and statistical process control*, R. News, **4**(1) (2004), 11-17.
- [9] C. Yongting, *Fuzzy quality and analysis on fuzzy probability*, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, **83** (1996), 283-290.
- [10] L. A. Zadeh, *Probability measures of fuzzy events*, Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, **23**(2) (1968), 421-427.

Monte Carlo statistical test for fuzzy quality

A. Parchami, H. Iranmanesh and B. Sadeghpour Gildeh

آزمون آماری مونت کارلو برای کیفیت فازی

چکیده. در این مقاله آزمون کارایی فرآیندهای تولیدی مبتنی بر کیفیت فازی انعطافپذیر و به کمک شاخص یانگتینگ به روش شبیه سازی مونت کارلو پیشنهاد شده است. عالوه براین رویکرد، یک الگوریتم کاربردی برای شبیه سازی مقدار بحرانی و *p-*مقدار آزمون کیفیت فازی نیز ارائه شده است. همچنین احتمال خطای نوع دوم آزمون کیفیت فازی با استفاده از رویکرد مونت کارلو شبیه سازی شده است. این مقاله شامل یک مطالعه موردی برای نشان دادن عملکرد الگوریتم پیشنهادی مبتنی بر دو نوع کیفیت فازی مثلثی و کیفیت فازی ذوزنقه ای نیز است.