

Original Article

## Antioxidant Activity of *Pistacia vera* Fruits, Leaves and Gum Extracts

Hossein Hosseinzadeh<sup>a\*</sup>, Sayyed Abolghasem Sajadi Tabassi<sup>b</sup>, Negar Milani Moghadam<sup>c</sup>,  
Marzieh Rashedinia<sup>c</sup> and Soghra Mehri<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Pharmaceutical Research Center, Pharmacodynamics and Toxicology Department, School of Pharmacy, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran. <sup>b</sup>Department of Pharmaceutics, Pharmaceutical Research Center for Medicinal Plants, School of Pharmacy, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran. <sup>c</sup>Pharmacodynamics and Toxicology Department, School of Pharmacy, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences, Mashhad, Iran.

### Abstract

The side effects of synthetic antioxidants have been considered in different studies. Accordingly, there is an increasing interest toward the use of natural substances instead of the synthetic ones. In this study, the aqueous and ethanolic extracts of *Pistacia vera* leaves and fruits as well as hydroalcoholic extract of gum were tested for a possible antioxidant activity using in vitro methods. Deoxyribose assay, erythrocyte membrane lipid peroxidation and liver mitochondrial non-enzymatic lipid peroxidation tests were used as an *in-vitro* model for determination antioxidant activity. The extracts were evaluated at different concentrations: 25, 100, 250, 500 and 1000 µg/mL. In all procedures, all extracts showed free radical scavenging activity. The effect of ethanolic extract of *P. vera* fruit at 1000 µg/mL was quite similar to positive control (DMSO 20 mM) in deoxyribose method. In two other tests, the ethanolic extracts of fruits and leaves were more effective than the aqueous extracts to inhibit malondialdehyde generation. Phytochemical tests showed the presence of flavonoids and tannins in *Pistacia vera* extracts. The present study showed that extracts of different part of *P. vera* have antioxidant activity in different in vitro methods. The ethanolic extracts of leaves and fruits showed more roles for antioxidant properties and gum hydroalcoholic extract demonstrated less antioxidant effect.

**Keywords:** *Pistacia vera*; Pistachio; Antioxidant; Deoxyribose; Free radical; Lipid peroxidation.

### Introduction

It has been well established that oxidative stress plays an important role in the onset of different diseases, including atherosclerosis, rheumatoid arthritis, cancer and the degenerative diseases associated with aging (1, 2). Consequently, the

supplement of dietary antioxidants will help to attenuate the damage of the body induced by oxidative stress, and can be used as potential therapeutic or preventive drugs for the risk of many free radical-mediated diseases.

In the last few decades, the natural antioxidant that may be obtained from different plant parts, flavonoids and poly phenolic compounds are paid more attention to, because phenolic compounds isolated from plants can act as free

\* Corresponding author:

E-mail: hosseinzadehh@mums.ac.ir

radical scavengers, metal chelators, and singlet oxygen quenchers (3).

*Pistacia vera* L., is a plant member of Anacardiaceae family and native to Asia. Pistachio nut is mostly produced in Iran and some other countries (4). *Pistacia* species have caught up the interest of researchers due to the study on different part of this plant such as leaves, kernels, hulls and gum demonstrate various biological activities such as antioxidant potential, antimicrobial, anti-inflammatory, mainly due to flavonoids and other phenolic components and anti-insect activities (5-11). It has been proved that Pistachio nuts are a rich source of phenolic compounds and have been considered because of high antioxidant potential (12).

Investigation on pistachio green hull has showed antioxidant, anti-microbial and antimutagenic activity (13). A clinical trial study on young men demonstrated that a pistachio diet improved blood glucose level, endothelial function, and some indices of inflammation and oxidative status (14). Also *P. vera* L. gum extract demonstrated a protective effect on oxidative damage in rat cerebral ischemia-reperfusion (15). It is also showed that a gum extract has antinociceptive and anti-inflammatory effect (16). In other study *P. vera* L. (Pistachio) leaves and nuts aqueous extracts showed antiemetic effect in young chicken (17).

In recent studies, the side effects of synthetic antioxidants are considered. There is an increasing interest to the use of natural substances instead of the synthetic ones. The purpose of this study was the evaluation of antioxidant effects from different parts of pistachio fruits, namely leaves and gum using three *in-vitro* approaches: deoxyribose assay, erythrocyte membrane peroxidation and rat liver microsomal lipid peroxidation induced by Fe<sup>2+</sup>/ascorbate.

### Experimental

Ascorbic acid, Deoxyribose, Tiobarbituric acid (TBA), ferric chloride, methanol, butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) and trichloroacetic acid (TCA) were obtained from Merck (Germany).

#### *Plant material and Preparation of extracts*

*P. vera* L. was collected from (Khorasan-

Gonabad region) I.R Iran. It was identified by Mr. Ahi in the Herbarium of School of Pharmacy, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences (MUMS), IR. Iran. For the decoction extract, 1 L water was added to 100 g plant material and boiled for 15 min and percolation was performed till the solvent become colorless. Afterwards, the solution was filtrated and evaporated in a water bath (maintained at 30-40°C). The extract was stored in a refrigerator at 4°C.

To obtain the ethanolic extract, the leaves and fruits of plant were prepared by defatted powder via Soxhlet with petroleum ether giving as dry residue and was macerated in ethanol 80° (v/v) for 72 h. Then, the macerated mixture was filtered and evaporated as mentioned previously.

The gum was extracted from the resin by cold maceration by hydrodistillation with ethanol. The combined hydroalcoholic extract was filtered through filter paper and evaporated to dryness on water bath.

#### *Characterization of extract by HPLC*

The separation was carried out on a millipore column (5 µm, 1.5 X 3.9 mm) using gradient elution. Gradient was performed using water-phosphoric acid (0.1 N, 99:1) and acetonitrile-phosphoric acid (0.1 N, 99:1) at a total flow rate of 1 mL/min; gradient composition (min, % acetonitrile-phosphoric acid): 0.0, 5; 5.0, 7.5; 10, 10; 15, 12.5; 20, 15; 25, 17.5; 30, 20; 35, 22.5; 40, 25). The extracts were dissolved in methanol and filtered through a membrane filter (0.45 µm). 1.0 µL sample of 10 g/L of extract was injected in to a reversed-phase column (RPC-18). The peaks were monitored at 236 nm.

#### *Animals*

Male Wistar rats weighing 200-250 g were used for the study. The animals were bred and housed in the Animal House of the Faculty of Pharmacy Mashhad University of Medical Sciences accordance with ethical committee Acts.

#### *Deoxyribose assay*

In this method, after mixing the materials,

hydroxyl radicals were produced by ascorbic acid,  $H_2O_2$  and  $Fe^{3+}$ -EDTA thus deoxyribose degradation take place and produced malondialdehyde. The reaction mixture contained 100  $\mu$ L of 28 mM 2-deoxy- 2-ribose 500  $\mu$ L solution of various concentration of the material test (aqueous and ethanolic extracts of pistachio fruits and leaves and hydroalcoholic extracts of gum in buffer), 200  $\mu$ L of 200  $\mu$ M  $FeCl_3$  and 1.04 mM EDTA (1:1 v/v), 100  $\mu$ L  $H_2O_2$  (1 mM) and 100  $\mu$ L ascorbic acid (1 mM). All solutions were prepared freshly. After an incubation period of 1 h at 37°C, 1 mL of TBA (1% in 50 mM NaOH) and 1 mL of TCA were added to the reaction Mixture and the tubes were heated at 100°C for 20 min. The degree of deoxyribose degradation was measured by the TBA reaction. Absorbance was read at 532 nm (18, 19). The percentage Absorbance was read at 532 nm. The percentage of inhibition of deoxyribose degradation was calculated using the following equation:

$$\% \text{Inhibition} = (A_0 - A_1) / A_0 \times 100$$

Here,  $A_0$  is the absorbance of the control in the absence of samples;  $A_1$  is the absorbance in the presence of samples. DMSO was used as a positive control (20) and the negative control was all of the reaction mixture without extracts.

#### *Site-specific reactions assay*

This assay was prepared in the following three ways:

This test was done as mentioned previous to except that 100 mL of  $FeCl_3$  instead of 200 mL of  $Fe^{3+}$ -EDTA Solution was extra, for evaluated potency of sample radical scavenging and Fe chelating.

The evaluation was performed without ascorbic acid as a starter for oxidation.

Deoxyribose itself was omitted from the test (18).

#### *Erythrocyte membrane peroxidation*

According to the method that was described before (19, 21), the evaluation of protective effects of pistachio extracts, were done with modification. After anesthizing the Wistar rats (200-250 g) with chloroform,

whole blood were collected via a cardiac puncture to heparinized tubes. The RBC was separated from plasma by centrifugation at 1500 g for 15 min. Packed RBC was washed twice with NaCl 0.15 M, and preincubated with phosphate buffer (pH = 7.4) containing sodium azide (1 mM) to inhibit catalase. Then  $H_2O_2$  (10 mM) was added, peroxidation was initiated  $H_2O_2$  (10 mM). 100  $\mu$ L solutions of various concentrations of the material test were added and the mixture was incubated at 37°C for 60 min. The addition of 28% (w/v) trichloroacetic acid terminated reaction. Lipid peroxidation was measured using thiobarbitonic method. The quantity of MDA was determined by measuring the absorbance at 532 nm BHT was used as a positive control (21, 22).

#### *Liver microsomal preparation and lipid peroxidation induced by $Fe^{2+}$ /ascorbate*

This test was carried out according to the method that was described previously (23). Wistar rats were anesthetized and liver was perfused with ice-cold saline through the portal vein until getting uniformly pale and were immediately removed. Then, pieces of liver were homogenized with 4 volume of ice-cold 0.1 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH = 7.4) containing 1.15% (w/v) KCl. The homogenate was centrifuged at 10000 g for 60 min. The supernatant was used for the study. According to the mentioned previous method, (23) for measuring antioxidant activity, rat liver microsome (2 mg/ mL) was mixed with 0.1 mL of  $FeSO_4$  (26% mM), 0.1 mL of ascorbate (0.13 mM), 0.1 mL of the sample in 150 mM KCl/Tris-HCl buffer solution (pH = 7.4). The mixture was incubated at 37°C for 60 min in a water bath; 0.75 mL of 2 M trichloroacetic acid/1.7 M HCl was added to stop the reaction, then tubes were centrifuged (4000 rpm, 10 min) and 0.5 mL of the supernatant was mixed with 0.15 mL TBA and was heated at 95°C for 10 min. The level of malondialdehyde was determined by measuring the absorbance at 532 nm. The percent of lipid peroxidation inhibition was calculated by following Equation:

$$\% I = (A_0 - A_1 / A_0) \times 100$$

**Table1.** Free radical scavenging activity of *Pistacia vera* extracts in deoxyribose assay.

| Concentration<br>( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) | %Inhibition                 |                          |                            |                         |                               |
|---------------------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------------|
|                                       | Ethanollic fruit<br>extract | Aqueous fruit<br>extract | Ethanollic Leaf<br>extract | Aqueous Leaf<br>extract | Hydroalcoholic Gum<br>extract |
| Control                               | -                           | -                        | -                          | -                       | -                             |
| 25 ( $\mu\text{g/mL}$ )               | 38.6***                     | 24.2***                  | 34.3***                    | 27.4***                 | 14.7***                       |
| 100                                   | 53***                       | 37.5***                  | 54.9***                    | 52.7***                 | 34.1***                       |
| 250                                   | 64.6***                     | 63***                    | 56.6***                    | 59.1***                 | 46.2***                       |
| 500                                   | 85.3***                     | 70.7***                  | 72.8***                    | 73***                   | 63.5***                       |
| 1000                                  | 90.3***                     | 77.1***                  | 88.5***                    | 84.5***                 | 67.4***                       |
| DMSO(20 mM)<br>positive control       | 91.5***                     | 91.8***                  | 90.9***                    | 91.3***                 | 91.3***                       |

% Inhibition =  $(A_{\text{control}} - A_{\text{sample}}) / A_{\text{control}} \times 100$

\*\*\*  $p < 0.001$ , as compared to control.

Here,  $A_0$  is the absorbance of the control reaction;  $A_1$  is the absorbance in the presence of the agents. BHT was used as a positive control (20).

#### Phytochemical test

Phytochemical screening of the extract was performed using the following reagents and chemicals (24): Alkaloids with Dragendorff's reagent, flavonoids by the use of Mg and HCl; tannins with 1% gelatin and 10% NaCl solutions and saponins with ability to produce hemolysis of RBC.

#### Statistical analysis

Statistical analysis was performed using one-way ANOVA followed by Tukey-Kramer post hoc test for multiple comparisons. The  $p$ -value less than  $p < 0.05$  were considered to be statistically significant. PCS software was used to calculate  $IC_{50}$  value.

## Results and Discussion

#### Yield of extraction

Yield of extraction was 4.22% for leaves and 10% for fruits. In ethanollic extraction method, yield of extraction for leaves and fruits were 26% and 6.9% respectively. For Pistachio gum, the yield was 6.9%.

#### Deoxyribose degradation assay

In deoxyribose degradation method,

absorption spectra at 532 nm were evaluated for extracts in various concentration (25, 100, 250, 500 and 1000  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) and blank was used. All of tested compound showed antioxidant activity and OH radical scavenging effect ( $p < 0.001$  VS control). The  $IC_{50}$  values of aqueous and ethanollic extracts of fruits were 149.2  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  and 64.7  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  and for leaves 105.4  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  and 84.8  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ , respectively and for gum extract was 285.5  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ . The results are shown in Table 1. In deoxyribose assay ability of radical scavenging and inhibition of deoxyribose degradation (18), all extracts inhibited MDA production in a dose-dependent manner. The effect of fruit ethanollic extract (1000  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ ) was similar to positive control.

#### RBC lipid peroxidation

High polyunsaturated fatty acid contents cause membrane lipids particularly susceptible to oxidative damage (21, 25). Lipid peroxidation is one of the important reactions that induced by oxidative stress (26, 27).

TBARS were expressed with Pmol MDA produced in the presence of different concentrations of extracts. The  $IC_{50}$  values of the aqueous and ethanollic extracts of fruits were 768.3  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  and 325.1  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  and for leaves were 314.5  $\mu\text{g/mL}$  and 231.4  $\mu\text{g/mL}$ , respectively and gum extract showed antioxidant effect less than 50%. Results are shown in Tables 3-5.

All extracts prevented oxyradical generation. The fruits ethanollic extract was more effective

**Table 2.** Results of the assay for site-specific reactions of the ethanol and aqueous extracts of fruit, leaf and gum of *Pistacia vera*.

| Sample                        | Concentration    | Omit EDTA | Omit Vit C | Omit deoxyribose |
|-------------------------------|------------------|-----------|------------|------------------|
| Ethanolic<br>fruit extract    | Negative control | 0.617     | 0.156      | 0.084            |
|                               | 1000µg/mL        | 0.099     | 0.210      | 0.037            |
|                               | 500 µg/mL        | 0.163     | 0.170      | 0.030            |
|                               | 250 µg/mL        | 0.256     | 0.144      | 0.024            |
|                               | 100 µg/mL        | 0.340     | 0.127      | 0.019            |
|                               | 25 µg/mL         | 0.426     | 0.102      | 0.009            |
| Aqueous<br>fruit extract      | Negative control | 0.617     | 0.156      | 0.082            |
|                               | 1000µg/mL        | 0.114     | 0.143      | 0.064            |
|                               | 500 µg/mL        | 0.167     | 0.141      | 0.056            |
|                               | 250 µg/mL        | 0.297     | 0.129      | 0.027            |
|                               | 100 µg/mL        | 0.470     | 0.108      | 0.009            |
|                               | 25 µg/mL         | 0.326     | 0.077      | 0.002            |
| Ethanolic<br>Leaf extract     | Negative control | 0.609     | 0.159      | 0.079            |
|                               | 1000µg/mL        | 0.111     | 0.197      | 0.069            |
|                               | 500 µg/mL        | 0.178     | 0.149      | 0.061            |
|                               | 250 µg/mL        | 0.262     | 0.152      | 0.032            |
|                               | 100 µg/mL        | 0.419     | 0.116      | 0.027            |
|                               | 25 µg/mL         | 0.487     | 0.103      | 0.015            |
| Aqueous<br>Leaf extract       | Negative control | 0.609     | 0.159      | 0.079            |
|                               | 1000µg/mL        | 0.171     | 0.168      | 0.058            |
|                               | 500 µg/mL        | 0.210     | 0.140      | 0.037            |
|                               | 250 µg/mL        | 0.293     | 0.112      | 0.019            |
|                               | 100 µg/mL        | 0.327     | 0.098      | 0.016            |
|                               | 25 µg/mL         | 0.402     | 0.061      | 0.007            |
| Hydroalcoholic<br>Gum extract | Negative control | 0.609     | 0.159      | 0.083            |
|                               | 1000µg/mL        | 0.236     | 0.131      | 0.056            |
|                               | 500 µg/mL        | 0.280     | 0.119      | 0.045            |
|                               | 250 µg/mL        | 0.341     | 0.117      | 0.027            |
|                               | 100 µg/mL        | 0.399     | 0.081      | 0.021            |
|                               | 25 µg/mL         | 0.419     | 0.042      | 0.010            |

Values are absorbance of sample at 532 nm at test conditions.

than aqueous extract probably due to existence of linoleic and linolenic fatty acids. The antioxidant effect of pistachio fruits (28, 29) was due to antioxidant effects of phenolic compounds (flavonoids and tannin) (30). It was shown that Pistachio skins has better antioxidant activity i compared with seeds in different tests such as (DPPH assay, Folin-Ciocalteu colorimetric method and TEAC assay, SOD-mimetic assay). The excellent antioxidant activity of pistachio skins can be due to its higher content of antioxidant phenolic compounds (31). In another

study *P. lentiscus* resin showed antioxidant activity, Fe<sup>2+</sup> chelating activity and it inhibited inflammation (32).

#### *Liver microsomal lipid peroxidation*

Treatment of liver microsomal with extracts was produced a significant decrease of MDA generation as compared with control treatment. The IC<sub>50</sub> values of aqueous and ethanolic extracts of fruits 1441.5 µg/mL and 648.7 µg/mL, and leaves were 1101.1 µg/mL and 700.1 µg/mL, respectively and gum extract showed antioxidant effect less

**Table 3.** Effects of ethanolic and aqueous extracts of *Pistacia vera* fruits in RBC lipid peroxidation assay.

| Concentration | Aqueous extract |       |             | Ethanolic extract |       |             |
|---------------|-----------------|-------|-------------|-------------------|-------|-------------|
|               | MDA             | p     | Inhibition% | MDA               | p     | Inhibition% |
| Control       | 365.38 ± 9.26   | -     | -           | 385.79 ± 6.52     | -     | -           |
| 25 (µg/mL)    | 360.9 ± 4.13    | Ns    | 1.2         | 366.62 ± 9.26     | Ns    | 5           |
| 100           | 339.35 ± 4.65   | Ns    | 7.1         | 339.34 ± 5.72     | 0.001 | 12          |
| 250           | 255.48 ± 6.27   | 0.001 | 30          | 192.56 ± 7.26     | 0.001 | 50          |
| 500           | 211.94 ± 3.64   | 0.001 | 42          | 125.91 ± 9.38     | 0.001 | 67.4        |
| 1000          | 178.8 ± 9.56    | 0.001 | 51          | 96.42 ± 3.64      | 0.001 | 75          |
| BHT(0.04) mM  | 74.34 ± 2.15    | 0.001 | 79.6        | 78.18 ± 2.25      | 0.001 | 80          |

Values are mean ± SEM (n=6).

TBARS were expressed with pmol MDA produced in the presence of different concentrations of extracts.

ns: not significant.

BHT: Positive control.

**Table 4.** Effects of ethanolic and aqueous extracts of *Pistacia vera* leaves in RBC lipid peroxidation assay.

| Concentration | Aqueous extract |       |             | Ethanolic extract |       |             |
|---------------|-----------------|-------|-------------|-------------------|-------|-------------|
|               | MDA             | p     | Inhibition% | MDA               | p     | Inhibition% |
| Control       | 377.15 ± 9.33   | -     | -           | 402.06 ± 3.4      | -     | -           |
| 25 (µg/mL)    | 356.9 ± 6.22    | Ns    | 5.4         | 351.41 ± 10.51    | Ns    | 12.6        |
| 100           | 298.81 ± 5.38   | 0.001 | 20.8        | 291.01 ± 6.82     | 0.001 | 28          |
| 250           | 214.23 ± 9.15   | 0.001 | 43.2        | 233.3 ± 5.24      | 0.001 | 42          |
| 500           | 109.22 ± 5      | 0.001 | 71          | 92.601 ± 3.29     | 0.001 | 77          |
| 1000          | 106.23 ± 3.65   | 0.001 | 71.8        | 88.93 ± 4.97      | 0.001 | 77.9        |
| BHT (0.04) mM | 80.7 ± 1.91     | 0.001 | 78.6        | 77.25 ± 2.75      | 0.001 | 80.8        |

Values are mean ± SEM (n=6).

TBARS were expressed with pmol MDA produced in the presence of different concentrations of extracts.

ns: not significant.

BHT: Positive control.

**Table 5.** Effects of hydroalcoholic extracts of *Pistacia vera* gum in RBC lipid peroxidation assay.

| Concentration | MDA           | p     | Inhibition% |
|---------------|---------------|-------|-------------|
| Control       | 371.6 ± 6.49  | -     | -           |
| 25 (µg/mL)    | 363.51 ± 4.06 | Ns    | 2.1         |
| 100           | 349.86 ± 8.22 | Ns    | 5.8         |
| 250           | 316.31 ± 5.78 | 0.001 | 14.9        |
| 500           | 203.49 ± 7.42 | 0.001 | 45.2        |
| 1000          | 196.74 ± 7.56 | 0.001 | 47          |
| BHT (0.04) mM | 75.78 ± 2.66  | 0.001 | 79.6        |

Values are mean ± SEM (n=6).

TBARS were expressed with pmol MDA produced in the presence of different concentrations of extracts.

ns: not significant.

BHT: Positive control.

**Table 6.** Effects of ethanolic and aqueous extracts of Pistacia vera fruits in microsomal lipid peroxidation assay.

| Concentration | Aqueous extract |       |             | Ethanolic extract |       |             |
|---------------|-----------------|-------|-------------|-------------------|-------|-------------|
|               | MDA             | p     | Inhibition% | MDA               | p     | Inhibition% |
| Control       | 1.98 ± 0.083    | -     | -           | 1.89 ± 0.073      | -     | -           |
| 25 (µg/mL)    | 1.84 ± 0.074    | Ns    | 7.2         | 1.66 ± 0.044      | Ns    | 12.1        |
| 100           | 1.53 ± 0.027    | 0.001 | 22.7        | 1.37 ± 0.049      | 0.001 | 27.6        |
| 250           | 1.49 ± 0.043    | 0.001 | 24.7        | 1.29 ± 0.034      | 0.001 | 31.4        |
| 500           | 1.34 ± 0.074    | 0.001 | 32.6        | 1.04 ± 0.063      | 0.001 | 44.9        |
| 1000          | 1.051 ± 0.076   | 0.001 | 47.1        | 0.77 ± 0.096      | 0.001 | 59.5        |
| BHT (0.04) mM | 0.55 ± 0.02     | 0.001 | 72.2        | 0.53 ± 0.052      | 0.001 | 71.8        |

Values are mean ± SEM (n=6).

TBARS were expressed with pmol MDA produced in the presence of different concentrations of extracts.

ns: not significant.

BHT: Positive control.

**Table 7.** Effects of ethanolic and aqueous extracts of Pistacia vera leaves in microsomal lipid peroxidation assay.

| Concentration | Aqueous extract |       |             | Ethanolic extract |       |             |
|---------------|-----------------|-------|-------------|-------------------|-------|-------------|
|               | MDA             | p     | Inhibition% | MDA               | p     | Inhibition% |
| Control       | 1.98 ± 0.08     | -     | -           | 1.89 ± 0.073      | -     | -           |
| 25 (µg/mL)    | 1.80 ± 0.06     | Ns    | 9.1         | 1.79 ± 0.063      | Ns    | 5.4         |
| 100           | 1.67 ± 0.05     | 0.05  | 15.9        | 1.57 ± 0.08       | 0.05  | 16.9        |
| 250           | 1.61 ± 0.06     | 0.01  | 18.7        | 1.32 ± 0.056      | 0.001 | 30.7        |
| 500           | 1.07 ± 0.07     | 0.001 | 45.8        | 1.02 ± 0.043      | 0.001 | 46.1        |
| 1000          | 0.97 ± 0.06     | 0.001 | 51          | 0.84 ± 0.094      | 0.001 | 55.2        |
| BHT (0.04) mM | 0.55 ± 0.02     | 0.001 | 72.2        | 0.53 ± 0.052      | 0.001 | 71.8        |

Values are mean ± SEM (n=6).

TBARS were expressed with pmol MDA produced in the presence of different concentrations of extracts.

ns: not significant.

BHT: Positive control.

**Table 8.** Effects of hydroalcoholic extracts of Pistacia vera gum in microsomal lipid peroxidation assay.


| Concentration | MDA         | p     | Inhibition% |
|---------------|-------------|-------|-------------|
| Control       | 1.89 ± 0.05 | -     | -           |
| 25 (µg/mL)    | 1.78 ± 0.04 | Ns    | 6           |
| 100           | 1.74 ± 0.02 | Ns    | 8.1         |
| 250           | 1.66 ± 0.07 | 0.05  | 12.6        |
| 500           | 1.37 ± 0.06 | 0.001 | 27.4        |
| 1000          | 1.24 ± 0.05 | 0.001 | 34.5        |
| BHT (0.04) mM | 0.53 ± 0.04 | 0.001 | 72          |

Values are mean ± SEM (n=6).

TBARS were expressed with pmol MDA produced in the presence of different concentrations of extracts.

ns: not significant.

BHT: Positive control.



**Figure 1.** HPLC fingerprint of *P. vera* ethanolic gum extract.

than 50%. Results are shown in Tables 6-8.

#### *Phytochemical tests*

All extracts were negative as for the existence of alkaloid. The aqueous and ethanolic extracts of fruits were negative for tannin content but both extracts of leaves and gum were positive in tannin test. The aqueous and methanolic extracts of fruits had considerable amount of flavonoids but leaves ethanolic extracts and gum extracts had fewer amount. Saponin was not found in the extracts. According to the result of phytochemical tests in this research, the protective effect of extract is probably due to the presence of tannin in gum and leaves extract and flavonoids in fruit extract. HPLC fingerprints of the extract indicated five peaks (Figure 1).

#### **Conclusion**

The present study showed that extracts of different part of *P. vera* have antioxidant activity in different in vitro methods. The ethanolic extracts of leaves and fruits showed more roles for antioxidant properties and gum hydroalcoholic

extract demonstrated less antioxidant effect.

#### **Acknowledgment**

The authors are thankful to “Pharmaceutical Research Center” and the Vice Chancellor of Research, Mashhad University of Medical Sciences for financial support. The results described in this paper are part of a Pharm.D. thesis.

#### **References**

- (1) Halliwell B. Free radicals, antioxidants, and human disease: Curiosity, cause, or consequence? *Lancet* (1994) 344: 721-724.
- (2) Aviram M. Review of human studies on oxidative damage and antioxidant protection related to cardiovascular diseases. *Free Rad. Res.* (2000) 33: 85-97.
- (3) Jadhav SJ, Nimbalkar, SS, Kulkarni, AD and Madhavi DL. Lipid oxidation in biological and food systems. In: Madhavi AD and Salunkhe DK. (eds.) *Food Antioxidants: Toxicological Aspects of Food Antioxidant*. Marcel Dekker, New York (1995) 5-56.
- (4) Saitta M, Giuffrida D, La Torre GL, Potorti AG and Dugo G. Characterisation of alkylphenols in pistachio (*Pistacia vera* L.) kernels. *Food Chem.* (2009) 117: 451-455.



- (5) Demo A, Petrakis C, Kefalas P and Boskou D. Nutrient antioxidants in some herbs and Mediterranean plant leaves. *Food Res. Int.* (1998) 31: 351-354.
- (6) Pascual-Villalobos MJ and Robledo A. Screening for anti-insect activity in Mediterranean plants. *Ind. Crops Prod.* (1998) 8: 183-194.
- (7) Souri E, Amin G, Dehmobed-Sharifabadi A, Nazifi A and Farsam H. Antioxidative Activity of Sixty Plants from Iran. *Iranian J. Pharm. Res.* (2004) 3: 55-59.
- (8) Dedoussis GVZ, Kaliora AC, Psarras S, Chiou A, Mylona A, Papadopoulos NG and Andrikopoulos NK. Antiatherogenic effect of *Pistacia lentiscus* via GSH restoration and downregulation of CD36 mRNA expression. *Atherosclerosis* (2004) 174: 293-303.
- (9) Hosseinzadeh H, Behravan E and Soleimani MM. Antinociceptive and Anti-inflammatory Effects of *Pistacia vera* Leaf Extract in Mice. *Iranian J. Pharm. Res.* (2011) 10: 821-825.
- (10) Hamdan II and Afifi FU. Studies on the *in-vitro* and *in-vivo* hypoglycemic activities of some medicinal plants used in treatment of diabetes in Jordanian traditional medicine. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* (2004) 93: 117-121.
- (11) Giner-Larza EM, Manez S, Giner-Pons RM, Carmen Recio M and Rios JL. On the anti-inflammatory and anti-phospholipase A<sub>2</sub> activity of extracts from lanostane-rich species. *J. Ethnopharmacol.* (2000) 73: 61-69.
- (12) Halvorsen BL., Carlsen MH, Phillips KM, Bohn SK, Holte K, Jacobs DR and Blomhoff R. Content of redox-active compounds (ie, antioxidants) in foods consumed in the United States. *Am. J. Clin. Nutr.* (2006) 84: 95-135.
- (13) Rajaei A, Barzegar M, Mobarez AM, Sahari MA and Esfahani ZH. Antioxidant, anti-microbial and antimutagenicity activities of pistachio (*Pistachia vera*) green hull extract. *Food Chem. Toxicol.* (2009) 48: 107-112.
- (14) Sari I, Baltaci Y, Bagci C, Davutoglu V, Erel O, Celik H, Ozer O, Aksoy N and Aksoy M. Effect of pistachio diet on lipid parameters, endothelial function, inflammation, and oxidative status: A prospective study. *Nutrition* (2009) 26: 399-404.
- (15) Mansouri SMT, Naghizadeh B and Hosseinzadeh H. The effect of *Pistacia vera* L. gum extract on oxidative damage during experimental cerebral ischemia-reperfusion in rats. *Iran Biomed. J.* (2005) 9: 181-185.
- (16) Parvardeh S, Niapoor M, Nassiri Asl M and Hosseinzadeh H. Antinociceptive, anti-inflammatory and toxicity effects of *Pistacia vera* extracts in mice and rat. *J. Med. Plants* (2002) 1: 59-68.
- (17) Hosseinzadeh H, Mirshojaeian M and Razavi BM. Antiemetic effect of *Pistacia vera* L. (Pistachio) leaves and nuts aqueous extracts in young chicken. *Pharmacologyonline* (2008) 2: 568-571.
- (18) Burits M and Bucar F. Antioxidant activity of *Nigella sativa* essential oil. *Phytother. Res.* (2000) 14: 323-328.
- (19) Hosseinzadeh H, Shamsaie F and Mehri S. Antioxidant activity of aqueous and Ethanolic extracts of *Crocus sativus* L. stigma and its bioactive constituents crocin and Safranin. *Phcog. Mag.* (2010) 5: 419-424.
- (20) Schinella GR, Tournier HA, Prieto JM de Buschiazzo PM and Rios JL. Antioxidant activity of anti-inflammatory plant extracts. *Life Sci.* (2002) 70: 1023-1033.
- (21) Akhgari M, Abdollahi M, Kebryaezadeh A, Hosseini R and Sabzevari O. Biochemical evidence for free radical-induced lipid peroxidation as a mechanism for subchronic toxicity of malathion in blood and liver of rats. *Human Exp. Toxicol.* (2003) 22: 205-211.
- (22) Dwight JFSJ and Hendry BM. The effects of *tert*-butyl hydroperoxide on human erythrocyte membrane ion transport and the protective actions of antioxidants. *Clin. Chim. Acta* (1996) 249: 167-181.
- (23) Van Der Sluis AA, Dekker M, Verkerk R and Jongen WMF. An improved, rapid *in-vitro* method to measure antioxidant activity. Application on selected flavonoids and apple juice. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* (2000) 48: 4116-4122.
- (24) Trease GE and Evans WC. *Trease and Evans' Pharmacognosy*. London, Bailliere Tindall Press, (1983) pp. 309-706.
- (25) Halliwell B, Gutteridge JMC, Lester Packer and Alexander NG. Role of free radicals and catalytic metal ions in human disease: An overview. *Methods in Enzymol.* (1990) 186: 1-85.
- (26) Polidori MC, Savino K, Alunni G, Freddio M, Senin U, Sies H, Stahl W and Mecocci P. Plasma lipophilic antioxidants and malondialdehyde in congestive heart failure patients: relationship to disease severity. *Free Radic. Biol. Med.* (2002) 32: 148-152.
- (27) Smith DG, Cappai R and Barnham KJ. The redox chemistry of the Alzheimer's disease amyloid [beta] peptide. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* (2007) 1768: 1976-1990.
- (28) Duh PD, Yen WJ, Du PC and Yen GC. Antioxidant activity of mung bean hulls. *J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc.* (1997) 74: 1059-1063.
- (29) Maskan M and Karatas S. Storage stability of whole-split pistachio nuts (*Pistachia vera* L.) at various conditions. *Food Chem.* (1999) 66: 227-233.
- (30) Valenzuela A, Guerra R and Videla LA. Antioxidant properties of the flavonoids silybin and (+)-cyanidanol-3: Comparison with butylated hydroxyanisole and butylated hydroxytoluene. *Planta Med.* (1986) 6: 438-440.
- (31) Tomaino A, Martorana M, Arcoraci T, Moteleone T, Giovinazzo, C and Saija A. Antioxidant activity and phenolic profile of pistachio (*Pistacia vera* L., variety Bronte) seeds and skins. *Biochimie* (2010) 92: 1115-1122.
- (32) Mahmoudi M, Ebrahimzadeh MA, Nabavi SF, Hafezi S, Nabavi SM and Eslami Sh. Antiinflammatory and antioxidant activities of gum mastic. *Eur. Rev. Med. Pharmacol. Sci.* (2010) 14: 765-9.