

Simultaneous Determination of Six Benzodiazepines in Spiked Soft Drinks by High Performance Liquid Chromatography with Ultra Violet Detection (HPLC-UV)

Kambiz Soltaninejad^a, Mohammad Karimi^b, Alireza Nateghi^c and Bahram Daraei^b

^aDepartment of Forensic Toxicology, Legal Medicine Research Center, Legal Medicine Organization, Tehran, Iran. ^bDepartment of Toxicology, Faculty of Medical Sciences, Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran. ^cIran Polymer and Petrochemical Research Institute, Tehran, Iran.

Abstract

A high performance liquid chromatographic method with ultra violet detection for simultaneous analysis of six benzodiazepines (BZDs) (chlordiazepoxide, diazepam, clonazepam, midazolam, flurazepam, and lorazepam) has been developed for forensic screening of adulterated non-alcoholic drinks. Samples were analyzed after a simple procedure for preparation using pH adjustment and filtering. Isocratic elution on a C18 column (250mm × 4.6 mm, 5µm) in the temperature 45°C with a mobile phase consisting of 15mM phosphate buffer: methanol (50:50 v/v) at a flow rate 1.4 mL/min has been done. The column eluent was monitored with a UV detector at 245 nm. This allowed a rapid detection and identification as well as quantization of the eluting peaks. Calibration curves for all drugs in the range of 0.5-10 µg/mL that all the linear regression and has more than 0.996. Recovery rates for the BZDs were in the range 93.7- 108.7%. The limits of detection were calculated between 0.01- 0.02 µg/mL. Also, the limits of quantification were 0.03- 0.05 µg/mL. Within-day and between -day coefficient of variation for all BZDs at all concentrations in the range of 0.45 - 7.69 % was calculated. The procedure can provide a simple, sensitive and fast method for the screening of six BZDs in adulterated soft drinks in forensic analysis.

Key word: Benzodiazepines; Soft drinks; HPLC; Forensic analysis.

Introduction

Benzodiazepines (BZDs) are one of the most important drugs which have been used in the treatment of neuropsychological disorders such as anxiety, insomnia, agitation, depression, muscle spasms and seizures (1). Also, BZDs are used in treatment of alcohol and opioid withdrawal and for inducing of sedation and amnesia in the preoperative procedures (1).

BZDs are among the most commonly prescribed drugs (1). From this view, BZDs abuse and accidental and intentional poisonings of this class of drugs are common in the world (2-5).

There has been an increase in the reports of drug-facilitated crimes in the world (6-8). The list of drugs associated with these crimes is extensive and BZDs constitute one of the drug classes more commonly used (6-8). BZDs availability and their synergistic effects with alcohol and the other central nervous systems depressants make them attractive for criminal

* Corresponding author:

E-mail: bdaraei@modares.ac.ir

acts (8). They have high potential for inducing of the states like hypnosis, anterograde amnesia and muscle relaxation (1, 8). From this view, BZDs have been used as adulterating agents of alcoholic and non-alcoholic drinks and foodstuffs in drug assisted crimes such as sexual assault, and robbery (9-11). Therefore, the analysis of the BZDs in the beverages and food residues from a suspected drug assisted crime scene has a crucial role for determining of the cause of poisoning and considerable as evidence in the criminal investigations (10, 11). Also, the need to simultaneous analysis of more than one BZD in samples is important in both clinical and forensic toxicology.

Many analytical methods have been described for analysis of BZDs in biological and non-biological samples based on immunochemical, colorimetric, spectrophotometric and chromatographic methods (12-20). Gas chromatographic (GC) have been demonstrated as sensitive for most benzodiazepines, but, GC are time consuming and often requiring derivatization or hydrolysis prior to analysis of thermally labile BZDs (9, 13, 18).

High-performance liquid chromatographic (HPLC) methods have been described for the analysis of BZDs (10, 11, 14, 16, 17, 20). These techniques have offered greater specificity and sensitivity over immunological procedures as well as colorimetric and spectrophotometric methods, and are also more suitable than GC for thermally labile compounds; HPLC is characteristically more time efficient than GC and does not require derivatization or hydrolysis prior to analysis. However, there is a need to rapid and sensitive analytical methods for determination of BZDs in adulterated drinks when considering small volume of sample and minimizing sample preparation for forensic cases using simple and low-cost instrumentation (17, 20).

In this article, we describe a rapid, sensitive and simple HPLC method, using ultraviolet detection, for the simultaneous determination of six benzodiazepines (chlordiazepoxide, clonazepam, diazepam, flurazepam, lorazepam and midazolam) in non-alcoholic fruit based drinks for forensic applications.

Experimental

Chemicals and reagents

Clonazepam, diazepam, lorazepam, midazolam and citaloperam (as internal standard) were purchased as free base from Profarmaco (Milan, Italy). Flurazepam and chlordiazepoxide as pharmaceutical grade were supplied as a gift sample by Pars and Dr. Abidi Pharmaceutical Companies (Tehran, Iran), respectively. All other chemicals and reagents were of analytical grade and purchased from Merck Chemical Company (Darmstadt, Germany). The used water was purified on a Milli-Q ultra-pure water purification system (Millipore, Bedford, MA, USA).

The non-alcoholic fruit based drinks samples for spiking of the drugs were purchased from local market.

Apparatus and analytical conditions

HPLC analyses were performed using an Agilent chromatograph (1200 series, USA). The chromatographic system composed of an Agilent 1200 series solvent degasser, quaternary pump (G1311A, Agilent), Column oven (Thermostatted Column Compartment, Agilent 1200), UV-VIS detector (G1314B, Agilent), Data system (ChemStation® Version B.04.01) with software working under Windows XP operating system.

The separation was performed using an analytical Perfectsil Target Highchrom C-18 column (250mm × 4.6 mm, 5µm). The mobile phase consisted of a mixture of 0.015 mol /L potassium dihydrogen phosphate solution - methanol (50:50 v/v) which was adjusted to pH 6 with phosphoric acid and potassium hydroxide solution. The flow rate of 1.4 mL/min and the volume injection of 20 µL were constant in all cases. The mobile phase was prepared daily and filtered through a 0.45 µm Waters membrane filter (USA) before use. The detector was operated at a wavelength of 245 nm and the analyses were performed at temperature 45 °C under isocratic conditions.

Preparation of stock standard solutions

Stock solutions of each drug (1 mg/mL) were prepared separately by transferring accurately

weighed amounts of each compound into calibrated flasks and dissolving in methanol. These solutions were stored at 4 ° C and protected from light to minimize the risk of decomposition. Standard working solutions were then prepared freshly each day by appropriate dilution in the methanol. The calibration standards were prepared by diluting each of the stock solutions in the methanol to solutions with final concentrations of 0.5, 1.0, 2.0, 4.0, 8.0 and 10.0 µg/ mL of drugs.

Sample preparation

After preparing the desired concentration of drugs in the fruit base drinks, the sample was sonicated for 6 minutes in the ultrasonic bath. The samples were centrifuged at 2000 rpm for 10 minutes to precipitate coarse particles in fruit juice. The amount (about 1 mL) of supernatant is removed with a syringe and spent filters. 20 microliters of filtered sample was injected into the HPLC system.

Method validation

Once optimal chromatographic conditions have been established, the method was validated. The validation was established with respect to linearity, intra-day and inter-day precision, accuracy, specificity and sensitivity (21-22).

Linearity

The calibration graphs were obtained by injecting a series of standard solutions of each BZDs separately into the HPLC system and plotting mean chromatographic peak area against the nominal concentration of each compound. Each concentration was injected in triplicate and the mean peak area value was observed over the concentration range of 0.5 - 10 µg/ mL for all BZDs.

Precision

The system precision of the assays was investigated by performing five replicate analyses of added standard samples at three different concentrations (1.0, 4.0 and 10 µg/ mL) for each BZDs on the same day and on three separate days and evaluated by relative standard deviations (RSD) of the peak areas of each analyte.

Accuracy (recovery method)

The accuracy of HPLC method was tested by calculating the recovery of known amounts of each BZDs added separately at three different concentrations (1.0, 4.0 and 10.0 µg/mL) to samples representing the average weight of the corresponding BZDs concentrations. The recoveries were also confirmed by determination of these drugs in spiked samples containing 80, 100 and 120% of BZDs.

Limiting values

The limit of detection (LOD) was considered the lowest concentration of the analytes corresponding to three times the background noise or relationship signal to noise ratio 3:1.

The limit of quantification (LOQ) was defined as the lowest point of the calibration curve and fulfilled the requirement of LOQ signal-to-noise ratio of 10:1(21-22).

Results and Discussion

Selection of Mobile phase

Different combinations of methanol and phosphate buffer were tested and the optimum condition at methanol-phosphate buffer 0.015 M (50:50 V/V) was reached (Figure 1).

Effect of pH of mobile phase

We evaluated the effect of varying the pH (5-6). Moreover, the stability of benzodiazepines is low in the alkaline media. We observed that the best separation results were achieved at pH 6 (Figure 2).

Selection of flow rate and column temperature

Increasing of the column temperature from 25 °C to 50 °C led to a decrease in the total time required for the separation process with decrease of peak broadening and increase in sensitivity. The optimum column temperature was at 45 °C. Also, increasing the flow rate from 1 mL/min to 1.5 mL/min showed a similar decrease in the retention time. The optimum flow rate was in 1.4 ml/min.

Figure 3 showed that the obtained chromatogram with a rapid separation with different retention times of selected BZDs.




Figure 1. Effects of changing of methanol composition in the mobile phase on retention times of selected benzodiazepines.




Figure 2. Change of retention times of selected benzodiazepines in different pH of mobile phase.

Method validation

Linearity

Table 1. shows that the regression equations of the selected BZDs in the optimum analytical condition. In the regression equation; $y = ax + b$, “x” is referred to the concentration of the standard BZDs, ”y” referred to the peak area, “a” is the intercept of the straight line with y-axis and “b” is the slope of the line. The r^2 in table 1 referred to the correlation coefficient of the equation. All the standard BZDs showed

good linearity ($r^2 > 0.996$) in a relatively wide concentration range, adequate for the analytical method.

Precision

Precision of a quantitative method is the degree of agreement among individual test results when the procedure is applied repeatedly to multiple samplings. It is measured by repeatedly injecting a ready-made sample pool and expressed as coefficient of variation of the




Figure 3. Chromatogram referring to the separation of selected benzodiazepine. Peak 1: clonazepam (1 µg /m), Peak 2: Citaloperam (4 µg/ mL; as internal standard), Peak 3: Lorazepam (1 µg/ mL), Peak 4: Chlordiazepoxide(1µg/mL), peak 5: Diazepam (1µg/ mL), Peak 6: Midazolam (1µg/mL) and Peak 7:Flurazepam (1µg/mL).

Table 1. Calibration data for the standard curves of selected benzodiazepines (n = 5).

Benzodiazepine	Concentration Range (µg/ mL)	Linear Equation	r ²
Chlordiazepoxid	0.5 - 10	y = 0.678x - 0.029	0.997
Clonazepam	0.5 - 10	y = 0.333x - 0.013	0.998
Diazepam	0.5 - 10	y = 0.589x - 0.024	0.999
Flurazepam	0.5 - 10	y = 0.254x - 0.001	0.996
Lorazepam	0.5 - 10	y = 0.256x - 0.024	0.997
Midazolam	0.5 - 10	y = 0.412x - 0.110	0.997

results. Within-day (n = 5) and between-day (n = 3) precision presented coefficients of variation and relative errors lower than 10%. These results are presented in table 2.

Recovery

Recovery of selected BZDs ranged from 93.7% to 108.7% employing method of sample preparation. The coefficients of variation for this technique were lower than 10%. Results are summarized in table 3.

Selectivity

Chromatogram related to 1 µg/mL of chlordiazepoxid, clonazepam, diazepam, flurazepam, lorazepam, midazolam and 4 µg/mL citaloperam (as internal standard) were showed in Figure 3. There is no interference between matrix of blank sample and this method is selective selective (Figure 4).

Detection and quantification limits

The determined values of LOD and LOQ


Table 2. Intra-day and inter-day variations of the HPLC method for determination of selected benzodiazepines.

Added concentration (µg/ mL)	Intra-day			Inter-day		
	Measured concentration (µg/ mL)	Accuracy (SE%)*	Precision (CV %)	Measured concentration (µg/ mL)	Accuracy (SE%)*	Precision (CV %)
Chlordiazepoxide						
1	1.01 ± 0.077	1	7.69	1.04 ± 0.042	4	4.07
4	3.99 ± 0.06	-0.25	4.48	4.01 ± 0.059	0.25	1.48
10	10.03 ± 0.089	-0.5	0.88	10.12 ± 0.117	1.2	1.15
Clonazepam						
1	0.94 ± 0.059	-6	6.32	0.98 ± 0.035	-2	3.62
4	3.98 ± 0.07	-0.5	1.77	3.97 ± 0.034	-0.75	0.85
10	9.57 ± 0.126	-4.3	1.31	9.59 ± 0.167	-4.1	1.74
Diazepam						
1	1.07 ± 0.037	7	3.45	1.08 ± 0.038	-8	3.58
4	3.97 ± 0.045	-0.75	1.14	3.95 ± 0.027	-1.225	0.7
10	10.03 ± 0.081	0.3	0.81	10.02 ± 0.068	-0.2	0.67
Flurazepam						
1	0.95 ± 0.023	-5	2.5	0.95 ± 0.022	-4.4	2.35
4	4.11 ± 0.057	2.75	1.39	4.11 ± 0.063	2.75	1.53
10	9.35 ± 0.068	-6.5	0.68	9.34 ± 0.12	-6.6	1.28
Lorazepam						
1	0.96 ± 0.032	-4	3.5	0.97 ± 0.039	-3	4.04
4	3.96 ± 0.042	-1	1.07	3.93 ± 0.058	-1.75	1.4
10	9.97 ± 0.046	0.3	0.45	9.97 ± 0.044	-0.3	0.4
Midazolam						
1	1.04 ± 0.033	4	3.23	1.05 ± 0.035	5	3.3
4	3.95 ± 0.029	-1.25	0.74	3.95 ± 0.021	-1.25	0.53
10	9.59 ± 0.07	-4.1	0.73	9.59 ± 0.06	-3.1	0.62

* SE = 100 × (measured concentration - added concentration) / added concentration

Table 3. Accuracy/ Recovery of selected BZDs in proposed method.

Benzodiazepine	1($\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$)		4($\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$)		10($\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$)		Mean	
	Recovery(%)	CV(%)	Recovery(%)	CV(%)	Recovery(%)	CV(%)	Recovery(%)	CV(%)
Chlordiazepoxid	106	4.62	101.1	1.82	99.13	1.04	102.08	2.82
Clonazepam	97.6	4.37	99	0.72	94.9	1.4	97.16	1.74
Diazepam	108.7	7.74	98.25	1.01	100.2	1.3	102.38	4.42
Flurazepam	97.3	5.9	103.7	1.59	93.1	1.19	98.03	4.41
Lorazepam	96.3	4.99	97.25	2.62	99.6	0.54	97.92	1.42
Midazolam	106	2.77	98.58	0.32	96	0.64	100.19	4.22

**Figure 4.** Chromatogram of the blank sample of drinks.**Table 4.** Detection and quantification limits of the selected benzodiazepines in the method.

Benzodiazepine	Limit of Detection ($\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$)	Limit of Quantification ($\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$)
Chlordiazepoxid	0.01	0.03
Clonazepam	0.01	0.03
Diazepam	0.01	0.03
Flurazepam	0.02	0.05
Lorazepam	0.02	0.05
Midazolam	0.01	0.03

for selected BZDs in the proposed method have been showed in Table 4. In this method, LOD for chlordiazepoxide, clonazepam, diazepam and midazolam was $0.01\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ and for Lorazepam and Flurazepam was $0.02\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$. LOQ for chlordiazepoxide, clonazepam, diazepam, and midazolam was $0.03\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$ and

for lorazepam and flurazepam was $0.05\mu\text{g}/\text{mL}$.

Conclusions

A new, specific and validated method for the simultaneous analysis of BZDs (chlordiazepoxide, clonazepam, diazepam,

flurazepam, lorazepam, and midazolam) in fruit base drinks by using HPLC-UV was developed. This method is accurate, precise, sensitive, and linear with simple sample preparation. This method can be used for the analysis of the BZDs residue at various concentrations in clinical and forensic toxicology.

Acknowledgment

This work was supported by grants from Faculty of Medical Sciences of Tarbiat Modares University, Tehran, Iran. The authors would also like to thank Dr Hamid Mobedi from Iran Polymer and Petrochemical Research Institute for his technical support

Reference

- Griffin CE, Kaye AM, Bueno FR and Kaye AD. Benzodiazepine pharmacology and central nervous system-Mediated effects. *Ochsner. J.* (2013) 13: 214-223.
- Park TW, Saitz R, Ganoczy D, Ilgen MA and Bohnert AS. Benzodiazepine prescribing patterns and deaths from drug overdose among US veterans receiving opioid analgesics: case-cohort study. *BMJ.* (2015) 350: h2698.
- Lovegrove MC, Mathew J, Hampf C, Governale L, Wysowski DK and Budnitz DS. Emergency hospitalizations for unsupervised prescription medication ingestions by young children. *Pediatrics.* (2014) 134: e1009-16.
- Urban M, Navratil T and Pelclova D. Trends in CNS affecting drugs in the calls to the Toxicological Information Center from 1997 to 2012. *Neuro. Endocrinol. Lett.* (2013) 34: 25-30.
- Zhang D, Zhang J, Zuo Z and Liao L. A retrospective analysis of data from toxic substance-related cases in Northeast China (Heilongjiang) between 2000 and 2010. *Forensic Sci. Int.* (2013) 231: 172-7.
- Rishi P and Kumar TA. Date rape drugs and their forensic analysis: An update. *Intern. J. Medical. Toxicol. Legal Med.* (2010) 12: 36-47.
- Parkin MC and Brailsford AD. Retrospective drug detection in cases of drug-facilitated sexual assault: challenges and perspectives for the forensic toxicologist. *Bioanalysis* (2009) 1: 1001-13.
- Montgomery MA. The use of benzodiazepines to facilitate sexual assault. *Forensic Sci. Rev.* (2010) 22: 33-40.
- Chen YC and Hu A. Simultaneous determination of trace benzodiazepines from drinks by using direct electrospray probe/mass spectrometry (DEP/MS). *Forensic Sci. Inter.* (1999) 103: 79-88.
- Mikami E, Goto T, Ohno T, Oka H and Kanamori H. Simultaneous analysis of seven benzodiazepines in dietary supplements as adulterants using High Performance Liquid Chromatography and its application to an identification system for diazepam. *J. Health Sci.* (2005) 51: 278-83.
- Ghosha P, Reddy MMK, Ramteke VB and Rao BS. Analysis and quantitation of diazepam in cream biscuits by high-performance thin-layer chromatography and its confirmation by mass spectrometry. *Analytica Chimica Acta* (2004) 508: 31-5.
- Szatkowska P, Koba M, Kośliński P, Wandas J and Bączek T. Analytical methods for determination of benzodiazepines. A short review. *Central Eur. J. Chem.* (2014) 12(10): 994-1007.
- Famiglioni G, Capriotti F, Palma P, Termopoli V and Cappiello A. The rapid measurement of benzodiazepines in a milk-based alcoholic beverage using QuEChERS extraction and GC-MS Analysis. *J. Anal. Toxicol.* (2015) doi: 10.1093/jat/bkv014.
- Uddin MN, Victoria F, Samanidou VF and Papadoyanni IN. Validation of SPE-HPLC determination of 1,4-benzodiazepines and metabolites in blood plasma, urine, and saliva. *J. Separation Sci.* (2008) 31: 3704-717.
- Westland JL and Dorman FL. QuEChERS extraction of benzodiazepines in biological matrices. *J. Pharmacol. Anal.* (2013) 3: 509-17.
- Borges KB, Freire EF, Martins I and de Siqueira ME. Simultaneous determination of multibenzodiazepines by HPLC/UV: investigation of liquid-liquid and solid-phase extractions in human plasma. *Talanta.* (2009) 78: 233-41.
- He W, Parissis N and Kiratzidis T. Determination of benzodiazepines in forensic samples by HPLC with photo-diode array detection. *J. Forensic Sci.* (1998) 43: 1061-7.
- Gautam L, Sharratt SD and Cole MD. Drug facilitated sexual assault: Detection and stability of benzodiazepines in spiked drinks using Gas Chromatography-Mass Spectrometry. *PLoS ONE* (2014) 9: e89031.
- Rao RN, Parimala P, Khalid S and Alvi SN. Detection of the adulteration of traditional alcoholic beverages by the separation and determination of alprazolam, chloralhydrate and diazepam using reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography. *Analytical Sci.* (2004) 20: 383-6.
- McIntyre LM, Syrjanen ML, Crump K, Horomidis S, Peace AW and Drummer OH. Simultaneous HPLC gradient analysis of 15 benzodiazepines and selected metabolites in postmortem blood. *J. Anal. Toxicol.* (1993) 17: 202-7.
- United States Pharmacopoeia 29. National Formulary 24, United States Pharmacopoeial Convention, Rockville 2006.
- ICH Q2B, International Conference on Harmonisation, Validation of Analytical Procedures. Methodology, 1996.

This article is available online at <http://www.ijpr.ir>

Back issues?
Visit <http://www.ijpr.ir>
or
[http:// ijpr.sbm.ac.ir](http://ijpr.sbm.ac.ir)