

Maximal Inequalities for Associated Random Variables

V. Fakoor* and H.A. Azarnoosh

Department of Statistics, School of Mathematical Sciences, Ferdowsi
University, Mashhad, Islamic Republic of Iran

Abstract

In a celebrated work by Shao [13] several inequalities for negatively associated random variables were proved. In this paper we obtain some maximal inequalities for associated random variables. Also we establish a maximal inequality for demimartingales which generalizes and improves the result of Christofides [4].

Keywords: Associated random variables; Complete convergence; Demimartingales

1. Introduction

Definition 1.1. A finite family of random variables $\{X_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is said to be associated if for any two coordinatewise non-decreasing functions f and g on R^n

$$\text{Cov}(f(X_1, \dots, X_n), g(X_1, \dots, X_n)) \geq 0,$$

assuming of course that covariance exists. An infinite family of random variables is said to be associated if every finite subfamily is associated.

This definition was introduced by Esary *et al.* [5] as an extension of the bivariate notion of positive quadrant dependence of Lehmann [7]. Associated random variables have found many applications especially in reliability theory. Many authors have studied this concept providing interesting results and applications [2,8-10,12].

Definition 1.2. Let S_1, S_2, \dots be a L^1 sequence of random variables. Assume for all $j = 1, 2, \dots$

$$E[(S_{j+1} - S_j)f(S_1, \dots, S_j)] \geq 0, \quad (1.1)$$

for all coordinatewise nondecreasing functions f such that the expectation is defined. Then $\{S_j, j \geq 1\}$ is

called a demimartingale. If in addition the function f is assumed to be nonnegative, then sequence $\{S_j, j \geq 1\}$ is called a demisubmartingale.

Remark. If the function f is not required to be nondecreasing then (1.1) is equivalent to the condition that $\{S_j, j \geq 1\}$ is a martingale with the natural choice of σ -fields. Similarly, if f is assumed to be nonnegative and not necessarily nondecreasing (1.1) is equivalent to the condition that $\{S_j, j \geq 1\}$ is a submartingale.

Demimartingale was introduced by Newman and Wright [10]. Proposition 2 of Newman and Wright shows that partial sum of a sequence of mean zero associated random variables is demimartingale [10]. Chow proved a maximal inequality for submartingales which contains the Hajek-Renyi inequality and other inequalities as special cases [3]. Christofides showed that Chow's maximal inequality for submartingales can be extended to the case of demisubmartingales [4]. We prove a maximal inequality for demimartingales which generalizes and improves the result of [4].

2. Main Results

The main purposes of this paper are to establish some

* E-mail: fakoor@math.um.ac.ir

maximal inequalities for associated random variables (Theorem 2), also a maximal inequality for demimartingales which generalizes and improves the result of [4]. To prove the main results we will need the following two lemmas. The proof of Theorem 1 is based on the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. Let S_1, S_2, \dots be a demisubmartingale (or a demimartingale) and g a nondecreasing convex function then $g(S_1), g(S_2), \dots$ is a demisubmartingale.

For proof see [4].

The following lemma is in [13].

Lemma 2. For any $x \geq 0$,

$$\ln(1+x) \geq \frac{x}{1+x} + \frac{x^2}{2(1+x)^2} (1 + \frac{2}{3} \ln(1+x)).$$

The main results are the following.

Theorem 1. Let $\{X_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be a sequence of associated random variables with finite second moments. Let $S_j = \sum_{i=1}^j X_i$, $ES_n \leq 0$ and $s_n^2 = ES_n^2$.

If $P(S_n \leq c_n) = 1$, $n \geq 1$ where $0 < c_n \uparrow$, then for any $x > 0$,

$$P(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} S_j \geq x) \leq \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{2(s_n^2 + xc_n)} \left[1 + \frac{2}{3} \ln\left(1 + \frac{xc_n}{s_n^2}\right)\right]\right\}. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. Noting that $(e^x - 1 - x)/x^2$ is a non-decreasing function of x on R , for any $t > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} Ee^{tS_n} &= 1 + tES_n + E\left[\left(\frac{e^{tS_n} - 1 - tS_n}{S_n^2}\right)S_n^2\right] \\ &\leq 1 + \left(\frac{e^{tc_n} - 1 - tc_n}{c_n^2}\right)s_n^2 \\ &\leq \exp\left\{s_n^2\left(\frac{e^{tc_n} - 1 - tc_n}{c_n^2}\right)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by Lemma 1 observing that $\{e^{tS_j}, j = 1, \dots, n\}$ is a demisubmartingales, the Doob's inequality for demisubmartingale ([4]) guarantees that for any $t > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} P(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} S_j \geq x) &= P(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} e^{tS_j} \geq e^{tx}) \leq e^{-tx} (Ee^{tS_n}) \\ &\leq \exp\left\{-tx + s_n^2\left(\frac{e^{tc_n} - 1 - tc_n}{c_n^2}\right)\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Setting

$$t = \frac{1}{c_n} \ln\left(1 + \frac{xc_n}{s_n^2}\right)$$

in the right-hand side of the last inequality, we obtain

$$P(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} S_j \geq x) \leq \exp\left\{\frac{x}{c_n} - \frac{x}{c_n} \left(1 + \frac{s_n^2}{xc_n}\right) \ln\left(1 + \frac{xc_n}{s_n^2}\right)\right\}. \tag{2.2}$$

By Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{x}{c_n} \left(1 + \frac{s_n^2}{xc_n}\right) \ln\left(1 + \frac{xc_n}{s_n^2}\right) \\ &\geq \frac{x}{c_n} \left(1 + \frac{s_n^2}{xc_n}\right) \left\{\frac{xc_n}{(s_n^2 + xc_n)} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{xc_n}{s_n^2 + xc_n}\right)^2 \left[1 + \frac{2}{3} \ln\left(1 + \frac{xc_n}{s_n^2}\right)\right]\right\} \\ &= \frac{x}{c_n} + \frac{x^2}{2(s_n^2 + xc_n)} \left[1 + \frac{2}{3} \ln\left(1 + \frac{xc_n}{s_n^2}\right)\right], \end{aligned}$$

this proves (2.1), by (2.2).

Theorem 2. Let $\{X_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ be a sequence of associated random variables with zero means and finite second moments. Let $S_j = \sum_{i=1}^j X_i$ and $s_n^2 = ES_n^2$. Then for all $x > 0$, $a > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned} P(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} S_j \geq x) &\leq P(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} X_j > a) \\ &+ \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{2(s_n^2 + max)} \left[1 + \frac{2}{3} \ln\left(1 + \frac{max}{s_n^2}\right)\right]\right\} \end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} P(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |S_j| \geq x) &\leq 2P(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |X_j| > a) \\ &+ 2 \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{2(s_n^2 + max)} \left[1 + \frac{2}{3} \ln\left(1 + \frac{max}{s_n^2}\right)\right]\right\}. \end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

In particular, we have

$$P(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |S_j| \geq x) \leq 2P(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |X_j| > a) + 2 \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{4s_n^2}\right\} + 2\left(\frac{s_n^2}{s_n^2 + na}\right)^{\frac{x}{6na}} \quad (2.5)$$

Proof. Clearly

$$P(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |S_j| \geq x) \leq P(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} S_j \geq x) + P(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} (-S_j) \geq x).$$

Since $\{-X_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is a sequence of associated random variables with zero means and finite second moments, so (2.4) is a direct consequence of (2.3).

(2.5) follows from (2.4) easily, considering whether $s_n^2 \leq na$ or $s_n^2 > na$. We need only to prove (2.3).

Let $Y_i = \min(X_i, a), i = 1, \dots, n, T_j = \sum_{i=1}^n Y_j$. We have

$$P(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} S_j \geq x) \leq P(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} X_j > a) + P(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} X_j \leq a, \max_{1 \leq j \leq n} S_j \geq x) \leq P(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} X_j > a) + P(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} T_j \geq x). \quad (2.6)$$

It is easy to show that $\{Y_i, 1 \leq i \leq n\}$ is associated sequence with $EY_i \leq 0$ (see $P(4)$ in [5]). Applying Theorem 1 with $c_n = na$, we obtain

$$P(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} T_j \geq x) \leq \exp\left\{-\frac{x^2}{2(s_n^2 + na)}\left[1 + \frac{2}{3} \ln\left(1 + \frac{na}{s_n^2}\right)\right]\right\},$$

this proves (2.3), by (2.6).

The following theorem is a maximal inequality for demimartingales that generalizes and improves the result of [4].

Theorem 3. Let S_0, S_1, S_2, \dots be a demimartingale, with $S_0 = 0$. Let g be a non-decreasing convex function on R^+ with $g(0^+) = 0, g(xy) \leq g(x)g(y)$ for every positive x and y and let $\{c_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a non-increasing sequence of positive numbers. Then for every $x > 0$,

$$P(\max_{m \leq j \leq n} c_j S_j \geq x) \leq \frac{1}{g(x)} \{g(c_n)E(g(S_n))^+ + \sum_{j=m}^{n-1} [(g(c_j) - g(c_{j+1}))E(g(S_j))^+]\}. \quad (2.7)$$

Remark 1. For the case of independent random variables see [11, p. 57].

Remark 2. Taking $g(x) = x, m = 1$ in (2.7) provides the inequality in Theorem 2.1 of [4].

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $A = \{\max_{m \leq j \leq n} c_j S_j \geq x\}$. Then A can be written as $A = \bigcup_{j=m}^n A_j$, where $A_j = \{c_i S_i < x, m \leq i < j, c_j S_j \geq x\}, m \leq j \leq n$, the A_j 's are disjoint. Therefore,

$$g(x)P(A) = g(x) \sum_{j=m}^n P(A_j) = \sum_{j=m}^n E[g(x)I(A_j)] \leq \sum_{j=m}^n E[g(c_j S_j)I(A_j)] \leq \sum_{j=m}^n E[g(c_j)g(S_j)I(A_j)] = \sum_{j=m}^n E[g(c_j)g^+(S_j)I(A_j)].$$

The rest of proof is similar to the proof in Theorem 2.1. of [4].

3. An Application for the Complete Convergence

Complete convergence gives a convergence rate with respect to the strong law of large numbers. One can refer to [1,6] for details. Applying the maximal inequality (2.5), one can get the following result easily.

Theorem 4. Let $1 \leq p \leq 2, pr \geq 1$, and let $\{X_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a strictly stationary associated sequence with $EX_n = 0, E|X_n|^p < \infty$, and

$$\sigma^2 := EX_1^2 + 2 \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} EX_1 X_j < \infty.$$

Then for all $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{p-2} P(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |S_j| \geq \varepsilon n^{r+1}) < \infty.$$

Proof. Note that $s_n^2 \leq n\sigma^2$. Applying (2.5) with $x = \varepsilon n^{r+1}$, and $a = kn^r$, where $k = \frac{\varepsilon(2r+1)}{6pr}$, we obtain the result.

References

1. Baum L.E., Katz M. Convergence rates in the law of large numbers. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **120**: 108-123 (1965).
2. Birkel T. Moment bounds for associated sequences. *Ann. Probab.*, **16**: 1184-1193 (1988).
3. Chow Y.S. A martingale inequality and the law of large numbers. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **11**: 107-111 (1960).
4. Christofieds T.C. Maximal inequality for demimartingales and a strong law of large numbers. *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, **50**: 357-363 (2000).
5. Esary J., Proschan F., and Walkup D. Association of random variables with applications. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **38**: 1466-1474 (1967).
6. Hsu P.L. and Robbins H. Complete convergence and the law of large numbers. *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.*, **33**: 25-31 (1947).
7. Lehmann E.L. Some concepts of dependence. *Ann. Math. Statist.*, **37**: 1137-1153 (1966).
8. Matula P. On the almost sure central limit theorem for associated random variables. *Probab. Math. Statist.*, **18**: 411-416 (1998).
9. Newman C.M. and Wright A.L. An invariance principle for certain dependent sequences. *Ann. Probab.*, **9**: 671-675 (1981).
10. Newman C.M. and Wright A.L. Associated random variables and martingale inequalities. *Z. Wahrsch. Verw. Geb.*, **59**: 361-371 (1982).
11. Petrov V.V. *Sums of Independent Random Variables*. Springer-Verlag, NewYork (1975).
12. Prakasa Rao B.L.S. Hajek-Renyi type inequality for associated sequences. *Statist. Probab. Lett.*, **57**: 139-143 (2002).
13. Shao Q.M. A comparison theorem on moment inequalities between negatively associated and independent random variables. *J. Theoretical. Probab.*, **13**: 343-356 (2000).

Archive of SID