# A certain N -Generalized Principally Quasi-Baer Subring of the Matrix rings

H. Haj Seyyed Javadi: Amirkabir University
A. Moussavi, E. Hashemi: University of Tarbiat Modarres

#### **Abstract**

For a fixed positive integer n, we say a ring with identity is n-generalized right principally quasi-Baer, if for any principal right ideal I of R, the right annihilator of  $I^n$  is generated by an idempotent. This class of rings includes the right principally quasi-Baer rings and hence all prime rings. A certain n-generalized principally quasi-Baer subring of the matrix ring  $M_n(R)$  are studied, and connections to related classes of rings (e.g., p.q.-Baer rings and n-generalized p.p. rings) are considered.

### 1. Introduction and Preliminaries

Throughout all rings are assumed to be associative with identity. From [12, 21], a ring R is (quasi-)Baer if the right annihilator of any (right ideal) nonempty subset of R is generated, as a right ideal, by an idempotent. Moreover, in [12] Clark proved the left-right symmetry of this condition. He uses this condition to characterize when a finite dimensional algebra with unity over an algebraically closed filed is isomorphic to a twisted matrix units semigroup algebra. The class of quasi-Baer rings is a nontrivial generalization of the class of Baer rings. Every prime ring is quasi-Baer, hence prime rings with nonzero right singular ideal are quasi-Baer; but not Baer [24]. For a positive integer n > 1, the n' n matrix ring over a non-Prüfer commutative domain is a prime quasi-Baer ring which is not a Baer ring by [27] and [21, p.17]. The n' n (n > 1) upper triangular matrix ring over a domain which is not a division ring is quasi-Baer but not

Keywords and phrases. n-Generalized p.q.-Baer ring; p.p.-ring; Annihilators; triangular matrix ring

<sup>1. 2000</sup> Mathematical Subject Classification. 16D15; 16D40; 16D70.

Baer by an example due to Cohn; see [1], [20] and [5]. The theory of Baer and quasi-Baer rings has come to play an important role and major contributions have been made in recent years by a number of authors, including Birkenmeier, Chatters, Khuri, Kim, Hirano and Park (see, for example [1], [4-7], [16], [21], [26] and [28]).

A ring satisfying a generalization of *Rickart's condition* [30] (i.e., right annihilator of any element is generated (as a right ideal) by an idempotent) has a homological characterization as a right p.p.-ring. A ring R is called a right (resp. left) p.p.-ring if every principal right (resp. left) ideal is projective. R is called a p.p.-ring (also called a Rickart ring [2, p.18]), if it is both right and left p.p.-ring. In [9] Chase shows the concept of p.p.-ring is not left-right symmetric. Small [30] shows that a right p.p.-ring R is Baer (so p.p), when R is orthogonally finite. Also it is shown by Endo [13] that a right p.p.-ring R is p.p when R is abelian (i.e., every idempotent is central). Finally Chatters and Xue [11] prove that in a duo (i.e., every one sided ideal is two sided) p.p.ring R, if I is a finitely generated right projective ideal of R, then I is left projective and a direct summand of an invertible ideal. Following Birkenmeier et al. [7], Ris called right principally quasi-Baer (or simply right p.q.-Baer), if the right annihilator of a principal right ideal is generated by an idempotent. Equivalently, R is right p.q.-Baer if R modulo the right annihilator of any principal right ideal is projective. Similarly, left p.q.-Baer rings can be defined. If R is both right and left p.q.-Baer, then it is called p.q.-Baer. The class of p.q.-Baer rings includes all biregular rings, all quasi-Baer rings, and all abelian p.p.-rings. A ring R is said to be p - regular, if for every  $x \neq R$  there exists a natural number n, depending on x, such that  $x^n 
otin x^n Rx^n$ . A ring R is called a generalized right p.p.-ring if for any x 
ightharpoonup R the right ideal  $x^n R$  is projective for some positive integer n, depending on x, or equivalently, if for any x 
ightharpoonup R the right annihilator of  $x^n$  is generated by an idempotent for some positive integer n, depending on x. A ring is called *generalized p.p.-ring*, if it is both generalized right and left p.p.-ring.

Note that Von Neumann regular rings are right (left) p.p.-rings by Goodearl [14,

Theorem 1.1], and a same argument as [14, Theorem 1.1] shows that p - regular rings are generalized p.p.-rings. Right p.p.-rings are generalized right p.p obviously. See [18] for more details.

**Definition 1.1.** Given a fixed positive integer n, we say a ring R is n-generalized right principally quasi Baer (or n-generalized right p.q.-Baer), if for all principal right ideal I of R, the right annihilator of  $I^n$  is generated by an idempotent. Left cases may be defined analogously.

The class of n-generalized right p.q.-Baer rings includes all right p.q.-Baer rings, (and hence all biregular rings, quasi-Baer rings, abelian p.p.-rings and *semicommutative* (i.e., if r(x) is an ideal for all  $x \, \dot{\subset} \, R$ ) generalized p.p rings). Theorem 2.1 in section 2, allows us to construct examples of n-generalized p.q.- Baer rings that are not p.q.-Baer. Some conditions on the equivalence of n-generalized p.q.-Baer and n-generalized p.p.-rings are discussed. However, we show by examples that the class of n-generalized p.q.-Baer rings properly extends the aforementioned classes.

In this paper, we discuss some type of matrix rings formed over p.q.-Baer or p.p. rings. We study n-generalized p.q.-Baer subrings of the matrix ring  $M_n(R)$ . Theorem 2.2, enables us to generate examples of n-generalized p.q.-Baer subrings of the matrix ring  $M_n(R)$ . Theorem 2.2, which extends [18, Proposition 6], enables us to provide more examples of matrix rings, that are both n-generalized p.q.-Baer and n-generalized p.p.-ring. Connections to related classes of rings are investigated. Although the class of n-generalized p.q.-Baer rings, includes all p.q.-Baer rings (and hence, all biregular rings, and all abelian p.p. rings), however we show by examples that the class of n-generalized p.q.-Baer rings properly extends the aforementioned classes.

Note that, for a *reduced* ring (which has no nonzero nilpotent elements), we have  $I_R(Rx) = I_R((Rx)^n) = I_R(x^n) = I_R(x) = r_R(x) = r_R(x^n) = r_R((xR)^n) = r_R(xR)$ , for every  $x \,\dot{c} \,R$  and every positive integer n. Therefore reduced rings are semicommutative and semicommutative rings are abelian. Also for reduced rings the definitions of right p.q.-

Baer, n-generalized right p.q.-Baer, generalized p.p. and p.p.-ring are coincide. This leads one ask whether commutative reduced rings are n-generalized p.q-Baer. However, the answer is negative by the following.

**Example 1.2.** Let p be a prime number and  $R = \{(a,b) \not \in | Z \not \mid Z \ a \in b \ (modp)\}$ , then R is a commutative reduced ring. Note that the only idempotents of R are (0,0) and (1,1). One can show that  $r_R((p,0)R) = (0,p)R$ , so  $r_R((p,0)R)$  dose not contain a nonzero idempotent of R; and hence R is not n-generalized right quasi-Baer, for any positive integer n.

**Lemma1.3.** Let R be an abelian n -generalized right p.q.-Baer ring, then  $r_R(I^n) = r_R(I^m)$  for every principal right ideal I of R and each positive integer m with  $n \, \pounds \, m$ .

**Proof.** It is enough to show that  $r_R(I^n) = r_R(I^{n+1})$ . Let  $x \not\subset r_R(I^{n+1})$ , then  $Ix \subset r_R(I^n)$  fR for some idempotent  $f \not\subset R$ . Hence  $I^n x = I^n x f = 0$ . Thus  $x \not\subset r_R(I^n)$ .

## 2. N -generalized right principally quasi Baer subrings of the matrix rings

In this section we discuss some type of matrix rings formed over p.q.-Baer or p.p. rings. Theorem 2.3, which extends [18, Proposition 6], enables us to provide more examples of matrix rings that are both n-generalized p.q.-Baer and n-generalized p.p.-ring. We begin with Theorem 2.2 below, which enables us to generate examples of n-generalized p.q.-Baer subrings of the matrix ring  $M_n(R)$ :

**Lemma 2.1**[18, Lemma 2]. Let R be an abelian ring and define

$$S_n := \begin{bmatrix} \frac{a}{8} & a_{12} & L & a_{1n} & \vdots \\ \frac{6}{8} & a & L & a_{2n} & \vdots \\ \frac{6}{8} & M & M & O & M & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{8} & M & M & O & M & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{8} & 0 & 0 & L & a & \vdots \\ \end{bmatrix};$$

with n a positive integer<sup>3</sup> 2. Then every idempotent in  $S_n$  is of the form

We will use  $S_n$  Throughout the remainder of the paper, to denote the ring indicated in Lemma 2.1.

**Theorem 2.2.** If R is an abelian p.q.-Baer ring and n (3 2) is a positive integer, then  $S_n$  is an n-generalized right p.q.-Baer ring.

**Proof.** We proceed by induction on n. It is easy to show that  $S_2$  is a 2-generalized right p.q.-Baer ring. Let  $I_n$  be a principal right ideal of  $S_n$ . Consider  $I_{n-1,1} = \{B \not\subset | S_{n-1} \mid B \text{ is obtained by deleting } n$ -th row and n-th column of a matrix in  $I_n\}$ , and  $I_{n-1,2} = \{B \not\subset | S_{n-1} \mid B \text{ is obtained by deleting } 1\text{-th row and } 1\text{-th column of a matrix in } I_n\}$ . It is clear that  $I_{n-1,1}$  and  $I_{n-1,2}$  are principal right ideals of  $S_{n-1}$ . By induction hypothesis and Lemma 2.1  $\int_{0}^{f_i} \int_{0}^{f_i} \int_{0}^$ 

Since  $r_{S_{n-1}}(I_{n-1,1}^{n-1}) = r_{S_{n-1}}(I_{n-1,2}^{n-1}) = e_1S_{n-1}$ , x and  $x_{ij}$ 's are in  $f_1R$  for each i and j except  $x_{1n}$ . So we have  $a_1a_2 \perp a_nx_{1n} + y_{1n}x = 0$ . Hence  $y_{1n}x = 0$ , since  $f_1 \not\subset B(R)$ . Thus  $x_{1n} \not\subset f_1R$  and hence  $r_{S_n}(I_n^n) \subset eS_n$  for

$$e = \begin{cases} f_1 & 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f_1 & L & 0 \\ M & M & 0 & M \end{cases}$$

$$0 & 0 & L & f_1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & L \end{cases}$$

Since, for each  $Y 
ightharpoonup I_n e$ , all entries of the main diagonal of Y are zero and e is central,  $I_n^n e = (I_n e)^n = 0$ . Thus  $I_{S_n}(I_n^n) = e I_{S_n}$ . Therefore  $I_{S_n}(I_n^n) = e I_{S_n}(I_n^n) = e I_{S_n}(I_n^n)$ .

The following result, which generalizes [18, Proposition 6], provides examples of

matrix rings that are both n-generalized p.q.-Baer and n-generalized p.p.-ring:

**Theorem2.3.** If R is an abelian p.p.-ring, then  $S_n$  is an abelian n -generalized p.p.-ring.

**Proof.** We prove by induction on n. First, we show that the trivial extension  $S_2$  of R is 2-generalized right p.p. Let  $A = \begin{cases} a & b \\ 0 & a \\ d & e \end{cases}$  and  $r_R(a) = eR$ , with  $e = e^2 \div R$ . It is clear

that,  $fR \subset r_{S_2}(A^2)$  with  $f = \begin{cases} e & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\frac{i}{\pm}} \end{cases}$ . Next, let  $A^2 \begin{cases} e & 0 \\ 0 & e^{\frac{i}{\pm}} \end{cases} = 0$ . Since R is reduced,  $a^2x = ax = 0 \text{ and } a^2y = ay = 0$ . Hence ex = x and y = ey. Thus  $\begin{cases} \frac{x}{2} & \frac{y}{x} & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & x^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{cases} = f \begin{cases} \frac{x}{2} & \frac{y}{2} \\ 0 & x^{\frac{1}{2}} \end{cases}$ 

Consider  $B_1 = \begin{cases} 3 & a_{12} & a_{1n-1} \\ 5 & a & L \\ 6 & a & L \end{cases}$  and  $B_2 = \begin{cases} 3 & a_{23} & L & a_{2n} \\ 5 & a & L & a_{3n} \\ 6 & a &$ 

induction hypothesis, there exists  $e_i^2 = e_i \, \dot{z} \, S_{n-1}$ ,  $f_i^2 = f_i \, \dot{z} \, R$ , such that  $r_{S_{n-1}}(B_i^{n-1}) = e_i S_{n-1}$ ,

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} f_{i} & 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f_{i} & L & 0 \\ 0 & f_{i} & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f_{i} & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & L & f_{i} \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0 \\ 0 & f & L & 0 \end{cases}$$

$$e_{i} = \begin{cases} 0 & L & 0$$

Corollary 2.4 [18, Proposition 6]. If R is a domain, then  $S_n$  is an abelian ngeneralized p.p.-ring.

For a semicommutative ring, the definitions of n-generalized right p.q.-Baer and ngeneralized right p.p. are coincide:

**Proposition 2.5.** Let R be a semicommutative ring. Then R is n-generalized right p.q.-Baer if and only if R is n-generalized right p.p.

**Proof.** Let R be n-generalized right p.q.-Baer and  $a \not\subset R$ . Then  $r_R(aR)^n = eR$  for some idempotent  $e \not\subset R$ . Let  $x \not\subset r_R(a^n)$ . Since R is semicommutative,  $RaRx \subset r_R(a^{n-1})$ , which implies that  $r_R(aR)^n = eR$ . The converse is similar.

There exists an n-generalized right p.q.-Baer ring, which is generalized p.p.-ring but is not semicommutative.

**Example 2.6.** Let R be an integral domain and  $S_4$  be defined over R. Then  $S_4$  is abelian 4-generalized p.p.-ring and is 4-generalized p.q.-Baer by Corollary 2.4. By considering  $b = a = e_{12} + e_{14} + e_{34}$  and  $c = e_{23}$  in  $S_4$ , where  $e_{ij}$  denote the matrix units, we have ab = 0, and  $acb^{-1} = 0$ , hence  $aS_4b^{-1} = 0$ .

Now we conjecture that subrings of n-generalized right p.q.-Baer rings are also n-generalized right p.q.-Baer. But the answer is negative by the following.

**Example 2.7.** For a field F, take  $F_n = F$  for n = 1, 2, ..., and let S be the 2′2 matrix ring over the ring  $P_{n=1}^{*}F_n$ . By [7, Proposition 2.1 and Theorem 2.2] we have that S is a p.q.-Baer ring. Let

$$R = \begin{cases} P_{n=1}^{\frac{Y}{n}} F_n & \bigvee_{n=1}^{\frac{Y}{n}} F_n \\ \bigvee_{n=1}^{\frac{Y}{n}} F_n & < \bigvee_{n=1}^{\frac{Y}{n}} F_n, 1 > \frac{\dot{\Xi}}{2}, \end{cases}$$

which is a subring of S, where  $\langle \int_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n, 1 \rangle$  is the F-algebra generated by  $\int_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n$  and 1. Then by [7, Example 1.6], R is semiprime p.p which is neither right p.q.-Baer (and hence not n-generalized right p.q.-Baer), nor left p.q.-Baer (and hence not n-generalized left p.q.-Baer).

# 3. Examples of n-generalized p.q.-Baer subrings

Although the class of n-generalized p.q.-Baer rings, includes all p.q.-Baer rings (and hence, all biregular rings, all quasi-Baer rings, and all abelian p.p. rings), however we show by examples that the class of n-generalized p.q.-Baer rings properly extends the aforementioned classes.

By the following example, there is an abelian p.q.-Baer (hence semiprime) ring R,

which is not reduced, but  $S_n$  is an abelian n-generalized right p.q.-Baer ring that is not semiprime.

**Example 3.1.** By Zalesskii and Neroslavskii [10, Example 14.17, p.179], there is a simple noetherian ring R that is not a domain and in which 0 and 1 are the only idempotents. Thus R is an abelian p.q.-Baer ring that is neither left nor right p.p, and hence is not reduced. By [7, Proposition 1.17] R is semiprime and by Theorem 2.1,  $S_n$  is abelian n-generalized p.q.-Baer, that is not semiprime and hence is not right p.q.-Baer.

**Example 3.2.** If R is an abelian p.q-Baer ring, then  $R[x] < x^3 >$  is an n-generalized p.q.-Baer ring.

**Proof.** First we note that  $Q: T \otimes R[x] < x^3 > defined by$ 

$$(a_0, a_1, a_2) \otimes (a_0 + a_1 x + a_2 x^2) + \langle x^3 \rangle$$

is an isomorphism, where  $T = \{(a,b,c) \mid a,b,c \not\subset R\}$  is a ring with addition componentwise and the multiplication defined by

$$(a_1,b_1,c_1)(a_2,b_2,c_2) = (a_1a_2,a_1b_2+b_1a_2,a_1c_2+b_1b_2+c_1a_2)$$
.

Let J be an ideal of T. Suppose  $I = \{a \not\subset R, (a \not\to C) \mid J\}$ , it is clear that I is an ideal of R. Since R is p.q.-Baer,  $r_R(I) = eR$  for an idempotent  $e \not\subset R$ . We can show that  $r(J^3) = (e, 0, 0)T$ , and hence, the result follows.

There exists a commutative n-generalized p.q.-Baer (hence n-generalized p.p.-) ring R, over which  $S_n$  is not an n-generalized p.p.-ring.

**Example 3.3.** Let  $p^{-1}$  3 be a prime integer and  $Z_{p^3}$  be the ring of integers modulo  $p^3$ , and  $S_3$  be defined over  $Z_{p^3}$ . Let  $A = pI_3 + e_{13}$ , where  $I_3$  is the identity matrix and  $e_{ij}$  denote the matrix units. It is clear that  $pI_3 + e_{13} + e_{12} 
otin r_{S_n}(A^3)$  and idempotents of  $S_3$  are  $I_3$  and 0. Hence  $r_{S_3}(A^3)^{-1}$   $I_3S_3$  and that  $S_3$  is not 3-generalized p.p.-ring, but  $Z_{p^3}$  is a 3-generalized p.p.-ring.

**Example 3.4.** For every abelian quasi-Baer (resp. p.p.-) ring R, by Theorems 2.1 and

2.2, the ring  $S_n$  is n-generalized right p.q.-Baer, which is not right p.q.-Baer. Therefore we are able to provide examples of n-generalized right p.q.-Baer rings that is not right p.q.-Baer:

Let F be a field, and R = F[x] be the polynomial ring where x is an indeterminate. Then  $S_n$  is a n-generalized right p.q.-Baer ring that is not right p.q.-Baer.

# Acknowledgement

The authors are deeply indebted to the referee for many helpful comments and suggestions for the improvement of this paper.

#### Reference

- 1. E.P. Armendariz, A note on extensions of Baer and p.p-rings, J. Austral. Math. Soc 18 (1974) 470-473.
- 2. S.K. Berberian, Baer \*-Rings, Grundlehren Math. Wiss. Band 195, Springer: Berlin, 1972, 296.
- 3. G.F. Birkenmeier, Idempotents and completely semiprime ideals, Comm. Algebra 11 (1983) 567-580.
- 4. G.F. Birkenmeier, Decompositions of Baer-like rings, Acta Math. Hung. 59 (1992) 319-326.
- G.F. Birkenmeier, J. Kim and J.K. Park, Polynomial extensions of Baer and quasi-Baer rings,
   J. Pure Appl. Algebra 159 (2001) 24-42.
- 6. G.F. Birkenmeier, J.Y. Kim and J.K. Park, On quasi-Baer rings, Contemporary Mathematics. 259 (2000) 67-92.
- 7. G.F. Birkenmeier, J.Y. Kim and J. k. Park, Principally quasi-Baer rings, Comm. Algebra 29(2) (2001) 639-660.
- 8. G.F. Birkenmeier, J.K. Park and S.T. Rizvi, Generalized triangular matrix rings and the fully invariant extending property, PrePrint.
- 9. S. A. Chase, Generalization of triangular matrices. Nagoya Math. J. 18 (1961) 13-25.
- 10. A.W. Chatters and C.R. Hajarnavis, Rings with chain conditions, Pitman, Boston, 1980.

- 11. A.W. Chatters and W. Xue, On right duo p.p. rings, Glasgow Math. J. 32 (1990) 221-225.
- 12. W.E. Clark, Twisted matrix units semigroup algebras, Duke Math. J. 34 (1967) 417-424.
- 13. S. Endo, Note on p.p. rings, Nagoya Math. J. 17 (1960) 167-170.
- 14. K.R. Goodearl, Von neumann regular rings; Krieger: Malabar (1991).
- 15. Y. Hirano, On annihilator ideals of a polynomial ring over a noncommutative ring, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 168 (2002) 45-52.
- 16. Y. Hirano, On ordered monoid rings over a quasi-Baer ring, Comm. Algebra 29(5) (2001) 2089-2095.
- 17. C.Y. Hong, N.K. Kim and T.k. Kwak, Ore extensions of Baer and p.p-rings, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 151 (2000) 215-226.
- 18. C. Huh, H.K. Kim and Y. Lee, p.p. rings and generalized p.p. rings, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 167 (2002) 37-52.
- 19. C. Huh, Y. Lee and A. Smoktunowicz, Armendariz rings and semicommutative rings, Comm. Algebra 30(2) (2002) 751-761.
- 20. S.Jondrup, p.p. rings and finitely generated flat ideals, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 28 (1971) 431-435.
- 21. I. Kaplansky, Rings of operators, Benjamin, New York,(1965).
- 22. N.H. Kim and Y. Lee, Armendariz rings and reduced rings, J. Algebra 223 (2000) 477-488.
- 23. J. Krempa, Some examples of reduced rings, Algebra Colloq. 3(4) (1996) 289-300.
- 24. J. Lawrence, A singular primitive ring, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 45 (1974) 59-62.
- 25. A.C. Mewborn. Regular rings and Baer rings, Math. Z. 121 (1971) 211-219.
- 26. A. Moussavi and E. Hashemi, Extensions of Baer and quasi-Baer rings, submitted.
- 27. M. Ohori, On noncommutative generalized p.p. rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ. 25 (1984) 157-167.
- 28. P. Pollingher and A. Zaks, On Baer and quasi-Baer rings, Duke Math. J. 37 (1970) 127-138.
- 29. M.B. Rege and S. Chhawchharia, Armendariz rings, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A Math. Sci. 73 (1997) 14-17.
- 30. C.E. Rickart, Banach algebras with an adjoint operation. Ann. of Math. 47 (1946) 528-550.
- 31. L.W. Small, Semihereditary rings, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 73 (1967) 656-658.