Archive of SID

# Contractibility and idempotents in Banach algebras

R. Alizadeh: Amirkabir University of Technology

#### Abstract

Let A be a Banach algebra. It is shown that a contractible ideal of a Banach algebra is complemented by its annihilator. Then, it is proved the existence of minimal central idempotents in a contractible Banach algebra with a nonzero character. Moreover, the notion of b-contractibility and one of its equivalent forms are introduced. Through an example, it is shown that b-contractibility is strictly weaker than contractibility.

#### Introduction

Taylor in [13, Theorem 5.11] showed that a contractible Banach algebra with bounded approximation property is finite dimensional. Johnson in [6, Proposition 8.1] showed that a contractible commutative semisimple Banach algebra is finite dimensional. Curtis and Loy [1, Theorem 6.2] extended this result by dropping the semisimplicity assumption. But the question for noncommutative case has remained open. For more results of this type see [4],[5], [8], [10], [13].

This paper is organized as follows. In the second section, we show that a contractible ideal of a Banach algebra is controlled by its commutant and annihilator. Then, we prove the existence of minimal central idempotents in a contractible Banach algebra with a nonzero character. In the third section, we introduce a weaker version of contractibility which we call b-contractibility. We give a characterization of b-contractibility analog to that of contractibility given by Taylor. Also, we show that b-contractibility is strictly weaker than contractibility.

www.SID.ir

Archive of SID

First we recall some terminology. Throughout this paper, A is a Banach algebra and A-module means Banach A-bimodule. For a subset E of A, E' is the commutant of E. If for every A-bimodule X every bounded derivation from A into X is inner, then A is called *contractible*. Also, the term "semisimple" means  $Jacobson\ semisimple$ . An idempotent  $e \in A$  is called minimial if eAe is a division ring. If e and e are idempotents in e0, we write e1 if e2 if e3 holds. A nonzero idempotent e4 is called e4 e5 implies that e6 or e7. Also, two idempotents e8 and e9 e9 implies that e9 or e9. Let e9 be a subset of e9. The e9 right e9 and e9 is a subset of e9. The e9 right e9 in e9. Let e9 is a subset of e9. The e9 right e9 in e9 in

$$ran(S) = \{a \in A : ba = 0 \text{ for } b \in S\}.$$

The left annihilator lan(S) is defined semilarly. The *annihilator* of S is the set  $Ann(S) = ran(S) \cap lan(S)$ .

## Contractibility

**Theorem 2.1.** Let A be a contractible Banach algebra which is an ideal in a Banach algebra B. Then A + A' = B.

*Proof.* If  $A + A' \neq B$ , then we can choose  $b \in B - (A + A')$ . Now define

$$D: A \to A, x \mapsto xb - bx.$$

Clearly D is a derivation on A. By assumption there exists an  $a \in A$  such that D(x) = xa - ax for all  $x \in A$ . The latter result implies that  $b - a \in A$  or equivalently  $b \in A + A$  which contradicts the selection of b. Therefore A + A' = B.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let A be a contractible Banach algebra which is an ideal in a Banach algebra B. Then  $B = A \oplus \text{Ann}(A)$ .

**Proof.** Since A is contractible then  $M_2(A)$  with  $l^1$ -norm is contarctible, where  $M_2(A)$  is the algebra of  $2\times 2$  matrices with the enteries from A. On the other hand  $M_2(A)$  is an ideal in  $M_2(B)$  and by Theorem 2.1 we have with Elequiality  $M_2(B) = M_2(A) + M_2(A)$ . One can easily observe that

$$M_2(A)' = \begin{bmatrix} A' & Ann(A) \\ Ann(A) & A' \end{bmatrix}.$$

Archive of SID

Thus B = A + Ann(A). But  $A \cap Ann(A) = 0$ , because A is unital. Therefore the identity  $B = A \oplus Ann(A)$  holds.

**Remark.** In Theorems 2.1 and 2.2, A and B are related only algebrically. Indeed if there exists an infinite dimensional contractible Banach algebra A which is an ideal in a Banach algebra B, then the norm topology of A could be different from the relative norm topology of A which inherits from B.

**Theorem 2.3.** Let A be a contractible Banach algebra which admits a nonzero multiplicative linear functional f. Then A contains a central minimal idempotent.

*Proof.* Let  $d = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n \otimes b_n$  be a diagonal for A and define

$$T: A, \to a \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \langle f, aa_n \rangle b_n.$$

Since  $\sum_{n} a_{n}b_{n} = 1$ , then

$$< f, T(1) > = < f, \sum_{n} < f, a_{n} > b_{n} > = \sum_{n} < f, a_{n} > < f, b_{n} > = \sum_{n} < f, a_{n} b_{n} > = < f, \sum_{n} a_{n} b_{n} > = < f, 1 > = 1.$$

Thus  $T(1) \neq 0$ . Moreover for every  $a \in A$  and  $g, h \in A^*$  we have

$$\langle h, \sum_{n} \langle g, aa_{n} \rangle b_{n} \rangle = \sum_{n} \langle g, aa_{n} \rangle \langle h, b_{n} \rangle$$

$$= \langle g \otimes h, \sum_{n} aa_{n} \otimes b_{n} \rangle$$

$$= \langle g \otimes h, \sum_{n} a_{n} \otimes b_{n} a \rangle$$

$$= \sum_{n} \langle g, a_{n} \rangle \langle h, b_{n} a \rangle$$

$$= \langle h, \sum_{n} \langle g, a_{n} \rangle b_{n} a \rangle .$$

This implies that

$$\sum_{n} < g, aa_{n} > b_{n} = \sum_{n} < g, a_{n} > b_{n}a.$$

Thus we assume that

T(1)=e, then we have  $T(a) = \sum_n \langle f, aa_n \rangle b_n = \sum_n \langle f, a_n \rangle b_n a = ea$ . On the other hand we have  $T(a) = \sum_n \langle f, aa_n \rangle b_n = \langle f, a \rangle \sum_n \langle f, a_n \rangle b_n = \langle f, a \rangle e$ . Hence T is an operator of rank one and  $e^2 = T(e) = \langle f, e \rangle e = e$ . Now define

$$T_1: A \to A, a \mapsto \sum_n a_n < f, aa_n >.$$

$$WWW.SID.ir$$

With a similar argument we can show that

$$T_1(a) = ae' = \langle f, a \rangle e' \quad a \in A$$

where  $e' = T_1(1)$ . Also we have  $e'^2 = e'$  and  $\langle f, e' \rangle = 1$ . Now the identities

$$ee' = \langle f, e' \rangle e = e, \qquad ee' = \langle f, e \rangle e' = e'$$

imply that e = e' and for every  $a \in A$  we have

$$ea = \langle f, a \rangle e = \langle f, a \rangle e' = ae' = ae.$$

Therefore e is a central idempotent. In addition since T is a rank one operator and ranT = eAe, then eA = eAe = Ce is a division ring. Therefore e is a minimal idempotent.

## b-Contractibility

**Definition.** Let A be a Banach algebra and  $\pi$  be the natural map,

$$\pi: A \otimes A \longrightarrow A, \quad \pi \sum_{n} a_{n} \otimes b_{n}) \rightarrow \sum_{n} a_{n}b_{n}.$$

Let  $b \in A$  and X be an A-module. We say that a derivation  $\delta A \longrightarrow X$  is a b-derivation if there exists another derivation  $\delta' A \longrightarrow X$  such that  $\delta = b\delta'$ , where  $(b\delta')(a) = b\delta'(a)$ . Also we say that A is b-contractible if for every A-module X, every bounded b-derivation from A into X is inner. We call  $d \in A \hat{\otimes} A$  a b-diagonal if  $\pi(d) = b$  and a.d = d.a for all  $a \in A$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** Let A be a unital Banach algebra and  $b \in A' - \{0\}$ . Then A is b-contractible if and only if A has a b-diagonal.

*Proof.* First suppose A is b-contractible and  $\pi$  is defined as above. Clearly  $\ker \pi$  is an A-module and if we define

$$\delta: A \to \ker \pi, a \mapsto ab \otimes 1 - b \otimes a$$

then it is easy to see that  $\delta$  is a b-derivation. Indeed  $\delta = b\delta$  where

$$\delta': A \to \ker \pi, a \mapsto a \otimes 1 - 1 \otimes a$$

ince A is b-contractible, then threre exists an element  $\sum_{n} c_{n} \otimes d_{n} \in \ker \mathbb{R}$ , such that

$$\delta(a) = \sum_{n} ac_n \otimes d_n - \sum_{n} c_n \otimes d_n a \quad a \in A.$$

Archive of SID,  $c_n \otimes d_n$ . The above identities show that  $\pi(d) = b$  and a.d = d.a for all  $a \in A$ . Therefore, d is a b-diagonal for A.

Conversely suppose  $d = \sum_n a_n \otimes b_n$  is a *b*-diagonal for *A*, *X* is an *A*-module and  $\delta: A \longrightarrow X$  is a bounded derivation. Clearly the map

$$\psi: A \times A \to X, (a,c) \mapsto a\delta(c)$$

is a bounded bilinear map. So by the universal property of projective tensor product there is a linear map  $\varphi: A \hat{\otimes} A \longrightarrow X$  such that  $\varphi \circ \otimes = \psi$  that is  $\varphi(a \otimes c) = a\delta(c)$ . In particular using the fact that d is a b-diagonal for A, we get

$$\sum_{n} a a_{n} \delta(b_{n}) = \varphi(a.d) = \varphi(d.a) = \sum_{n} a_{n} \delta(b_{n}a), \quad a \in A.$$

Now if  $x = \sum_{n} a_n \delta(b_n)$ , then for every  $a \in A$  we have

$$ax - xa = \sum_{n} aa_{n}\delta(b_{n}) - \sum_{n} a_{n}\delta(b_{n})a = \sum_{n} aa_{n}\delta(b_{n}) + b\delta(a) - \sum_{n} a_{n}\delta(b_{n}a).$$

Thus the identity  $ax - xa = b\delta(a)$  holds for every  $a \in A$ . Therefore every b-derivation is inner.

**Example 3.2.** Let A be the Banach algebra  $l_1(N)$  with pointwise multiplication and  $\{e_n\}$  be the standard basis for A. Then for every positive integer n, A is  $e_n$ -contractible. Indeed  $e_n \otimes e_n$  is an  $e_n$ -diagonal for A. But A is not contractible, since it is not unital. Therefore b-contractibility dose not imply contractibility.

**Remark.** If A is contractible, then it is unital and one can easily observe that A is b-contractible for every  $b \in A - \{0\}$ . However the above example shows that for non-unital Banach algebras the converse is not true. We do not know whether this is true for unital Banach algebras or not.

**Problem.** Does there exist a unital Banach algebra which is b-contactible for some nonzero central idempotent b, but is not contractible?

#### References

1. P. C. Curtis, Jr and R. J. Loy, The structure of amenable Banach algebras, J. London Math. Soc. 40 (1989) 89-104.

- G. H. Esslamzadeh Banach, algebra structure and amenability of a class of matrix algebras with applications, J. Funct. Anal. 161 (1999) 364–383.
- 3. G. H. Esslamzadeh and T. Esslamzadeh, contractibility of  $\ell^1$ -Munn algebras with applications, Semigroup Forum 63 (1) (2001) 1–10.
- J. E. Gale, T. J. Ransford and M. C. White, Weakly compact homomorphisms, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.331 (1992) 815–824.
- N. Gronbaek, Various notions of amenability, a survey of problems, Proceedings of 13th International Conference on Banach Algebras in Blaubeuren, 1997, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin (1998) 535–547.
- 6. B. E. Johnson, Cohomology in Banach algebras, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 127 (1972).
- B. E. Johnson, Approximate diagonals and cohomology of certain annihilator Banach algebras, Amer. J. Math. 94 (1972) 685–698.
- 8. A. Y. Helemskii, Flat Banach modules and amenable algebras, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. (1984), Amer. Math. Soc. Translations (1985) 199–244.
- A. Y. Helemskii, The homology of Banach and topological algebras, (Translated from Russian), Klauwer (989)
- A. J. Lazar, S. K. Tsui and S. Wright, A cohomological characterization of finite dimensional C\*-algebras, J. Operator Theory 14 (1985) 239–247.
- 11. T. W. Palmer, The Banach algebras and the general theory of \*-algebras, Vol. I, algebras and Banach algebras, Cambridge Univ. Press(1994)
- V. Runde, The structure of contractible and amenable Banach algebras, Proceedings of 13th International Conference on Banach Algebras in Blaubeuren, 1997, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin (1998) 415-430.
- J. L. Taylor, Homology and cohomology for topological algebras, Adv. in Math. 9 (1972)
   137–182.
- 14. Y. Zhang, Maximal ideals and the structure of contractible and amenable Banach algebras, Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. (2) 62 (2000) 221–226.