

Geography and Development  
10<sup>nd</sup> Year- No. 26 – Spring 2012  
Received : 27/2/2011 Accepted : 7/10/2011  
PP : 1- 4

**Ranking the Sustainability Levels of Rural Areas Based on Vikor Model**  
**Case Study: the Villages of Fasa County – Fars Province**

**Dr. Seyed Ali Badri**

Assistant Professor of Geography  
University of Tehran

**Dr. Hassanali Faraji Sabokbar**

Assistant Professor of Geography  
University of Tehran

**Dr. Mojtaba Javedan**

Assistant Professor of Geography  
University of Tarbiat Modres

**Dr. Hojjat-Allah Sharafi**

Assistant Professor of Geography  
University of Shahid Bahonar Kerman

**Introduction**

Sustainable development together with its complicated principles, have been globally discussed for years. The development process which started from 1940, has reached to a dead end, therefore from 1980s environmental issues and development have been under a new perspective and special attention has been paid to them. This was the basis for sustainable theories. Acceptance of the definition for sustainable development from the viewpoint of UN Commissioning which a development is regarded sustainable if it enables to meet the present generation demands without neglecting the future potential for meeting the future generation demands, for the difficulty of determining the future generation needs and demands is faced with some problems. Meanwhile, concerning the sustainability measurement, there are no specific and fixed matrixes which enable us to predict them based on them the present and future condition. In most cases, based on the variable conditions of different countries and different historical eras, special matrixes have been used for measuring sustainability both at local and national level. However, since the late of 1990s, a kind of convergence has been formed for better recognition of different aspects and dimensions of sustainable development and since then the economical, social, political and environmental aspects, have been considered and regarded simultaneously in sustainability studies. The aim of the present study is identifying and designing the analysis process for multi-criteria decision making network in measurement of sustainable development and defining the links between the active and effective factors in this regard, these all have been attained through a careful study of the properties and characteristics, elements and of sustainable development indices in a rural district located in Fasa town ship area of Fars province by the use of Multi-criteria Decision Making Models (MCDM) and Analysis Network Process (ANP) and also the use of Vikor model. With respect to the main purpose of the present study i.e. the use of a proper model for ranking sustainability levels of rural areas, in this paper, with emphasis on sustainable development approach, Vikore model has been used for measuring the sustainability level of economical, social and environmental dimensions of Fasa town ship. For this purpose, firstly the frame work for organizing sustainable indices was presented and then through a brief introduction of the methods and models of measurement, based on the considered aims of the study, the characteristics and features of some of them are presented.

Then by implementing the obtained results, the level of sustainable development is measured through paired comparison in Super Decision software and using Vikor model.

### Research Methodology

The method used in this research is a combination of analytical and descriptive methods by using library and field systems. The rural analytical unit is comprised of rural households and experts, that by using Network Analysis Method and Borda technique, the data obtained from collecting the related questionnaires were processed by SPSS and Super decision Software. For sampling, class sampling method was used, in this method, in addition of the population criterion geographical dispersion of rural districts has also been considered. In this sampling method, firstly, specific codes were assigned to the rural households residing at each district. This process has been performed for all households residing at the selected districts. At the next stage, from each district, based on the required samples, the codes' number was selected randomly. Then by referring to rural health care centers, with respect to the existing files of the rural households, the required numbers of samples were selected and finally the questionnaires were filled by snowball method.

### Discussion and Results

The results of using Vikor method reveals that this method as a multi- variable decision making method has some advantages, including multi- characteristics optimum theory or non- ranking methods. On such a basis and following calculating the weights by Using Network Analysis Technique and benefiting from Vikor model, the difference of sustainability rate in economical, social and environmental dimensions of rural districts of this township will be determined.

Based on the performed calculations, in which  $S$  is the distance of  $I$  than the ideal solution (the best composition) and  $R$  is the distance of alternative from negative alternative solution (the worst composition) and when  $V > 0.5$ , then  $Q_i$  index has maximum agreement. when  $V < 0.5$  indicates maximum negative agreement, in total if  $V = 0.5$  it means equal group agreement. Ranking results shows that Jarghe village of Sheshdeh and Gare balagh based on the related indices with  $R = 0.0764$ ,  $S = 0.292$  and  $Q = 1$  has the highest level of sustainability and Novbandan village with  $R = 0.220$ ,  $S = 0.098$  and  $Q = 46$  has dedicated itself the lowest level of sustainability and other villages are placed between these levels.

### Conclusion

Also the overall results indicate that Vikor method for solving the dispersive decision issues based on selecting the best alternative among the existing ones, according to ranking system, has a high capability. Regarding the actions, reactions, and interactions and the interlinks among different criteria and matrixes in different dimensions, for accurate assessment of sustainability, paying attention to different groups and dimensions of sustainability independent from each other and also using the public and professional views is necessary. In this case, the group decision making method by using Vikor method and questionnaire together with combining the obtained data in ANP model has a high efficiency.

**Keywords:** Sustainable Development, Ranking of Sustainability, Vikor Model, Rural Areas, Fasa County.

## References

- 1- Birkmann, J (2000). Nachhaltige Raumentwicklung im dreidimensionalen Nebel, in: UVP-Gesellschaft: UVP-Report 3/ 2000. UVP-Verlag, Hamm.
- 2- Boosle, H (1999). Indicators for Sustainable Development: Theory, Method, Application; a Report to the Balaton Group; IISD; Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada.
- 3- Büyüközkan, G., Ruan, D (2008). Evaluation of software development projects using a fuzzy multi-criteria decision approach, *Mathematics and Computers in Simulation* 77 464-475.
- 4- Chang, C, Hsu, C, (2009). Multi-criteria analysis via the VIKOR method for prioritizing land-use restraint strategies in the Tseng-Wen reservoir watershed, *Journal of Environmental Management* Vol 90 (11), 3226–3230.
- 5- Chen, L.Y, Wang, T.C (2009). optimizing partners' choice in IS/IT outsourcing projects: The strategic decision of fuzzy VIKOR, *International Journal of Production Economics*, Volume 120, Issue 1.
- 6- Chu M. T, et al (2007). Comparison among three analytical methods for knowledge communities group-decision analysis, *Expert Systems with Applications* 33.
- 7- Chu M., et al (2007). Comparison among three analytical methods for knowledge communities group-decision analysis, *Expert Systems with Applications* 33.
- 8- Ewert, F & et al (2005). Development of a Conceptual Framework for Integrated Analysis and Assessment of Agricultural system. SEAMLESS.U.E.
- 9- Hardi & Atkisson (1999). The Dashboard of Sustainability; Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada: Consultative Group on Sustainable Development Indicators and international institute for sustainable development.
- 10- Hardi et al (1997). Measuring Sustainable Development: Review of Current Practice; IISD, Canada, Winnipeg, Manitoba, Canada.
- 11- Inskeep, E (1991) *Tourism Planning: An Integrated and Sustainable Development Approach*, New York: Van Nostrand Reinhold.
- 12- Jahanshahloo, G. R, Hosseinzadeh Lotfi, Izadikhah M, (2006), An algorithmic method to extend TOPSIS for decision-making problems with interval data, An algorithmic method to extend TOPSIS, *Applied Mathematics and Computation* 175.
- 13- LEPAE (1998). Towards Indicators of Sustainable Development; Chemical Engineering Department, University of Porto Rua dos Bragas, Portugal.
- 14- Lihong, M. et al (2008). Improved VIKOR Algorithm Based on AHP and Shannon Entropy in the Selection of Thermal Power Enterprise's Coal Suppliers *International Conference on Information Management, Innovation Management and Industrial Engineering*.
- 15- Moffatt I (1996). "Sustainable development, Principles, Analysis and Policy", The Parthenon publishing group, London.
- 16- Murdoch, Jonathan (1993). Sustainable Rural Development: Towards a Research Agenda; *Geoforum*, Vol. 24 (3).
- 17- Nardo, M & et al (2005). Handbook on Construction Composite Indicators Methodology and User Guide; Statistic Directorate; OECD.
- 18- Opricovic S, Tzen, G (2007). Decision Support Extended VIKOR method in comparison with outranking methods, *European Journal of Operational Research* Vol. 178.
- 19- Opricovic, S, Tzeng H (2007). Extended VIKOR method in comparison with outranking methods, *European Journal of Operational Research* 178, 514-529.

- 20- Opricovic, S, Tzeng H (2004). Decision Aiding Compromise solution by MCDM methods: A comparative analysis of VIKOR and TOPSIS, *European Journal of Operational Research* 156, 445-455.
- 21- Opricovic, S (2009). A Compromise Solution in Water Resources Planning, *Water Resources Management*, Volume 23, Number 8.
- 22- Opricovic, S, Tzeng G (2007). Decision Support Extended VIKOR method in comparison with outranking methods, *European Journal of Operational Research* 178.
- 23- Opricovic, S, Tzeng G.H (2004). Decision Aiding Compromise solution by MCDM methods: A comparative analysis of VIKOR and TOPSIS, *European Journal of Operational Research* 156 .
- 24- Prasenjit Chatterjee, Vijay Manikrao Athawale, Shankar Chakraborty (2009) ,Selection of materials using compromise ranking and outranking methods, *Materials and Design* 30 ,4043-4053.
- 25- Prescottt-Allen, R. (1999) *The System Assessment Method Illustrated by The Wellbeing of Nations*; IUCN/PADATA.
- 26- Rao, R.V (2008) A decision making methodology for material selection using an improved compromise ranking method, *Materials and Design*, Materials and Design ,29.
- 27- Saaty, Tomas L (2007). *Fundamentals of the Analytic Network Process, dependence and feedback in Decision-Making with a single Network*”, Internet search.
- 28- Sadler, B (1999). *A framework for Environmental Sustainability Assessment and Assurance*.
- 29- Sanayei A, Farid Mousavi A, Yazdankhah A (2010), Group decision making process for supplier selection with VIKOR under fuzzy environment, *Expert Systems with Applications* 37, 24–30.
- 30- Sayadi, M, K., Heydari, M., Shahanag, K (2009). Extension of VIKOR method for decision making problem with interval numbers, “*Applied Mathematical Modeling*, 33 2257-2262, Tehran, Iran.
- 31- Serageldin, S (1995). Evaluating Environmentally Sustainable Development; in: *Evaluation and Development Proceedings of the 1994 World Bank Conference, OED, W.D.C, U.S.A; 1995*
- 32- Sors, J. C (2001). *Measuring Progress Towards Sustainable development in Venice: A Comparative Assessment of Methods and Approaches*. Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei. Italy.
- 33- Tong, L., Chen, C, Wang, C (2007). Optimization of multi-response processes using the VIKOR method, *Intl, adv,manuf Tecnoal*, 31: 1049–1057.
- 34- Wang, T.C, et al (2006). Multi-Criteria Decision Analysis by Using Fuzzy VIKOR, *International Conference on Service Systems and Service Management*, 2006, Vol. 2.
- 35- Wei, J, Xiangyi Lin (2008). *The Multiple Attribute Decision- Making VIKOR Method and Its Application*, *Wireless Communications, Networking and Mobile Computing*, 2008. WICOM '08. 4th International Conference, Chain.
- 36- Yu, P. L (1973). A class of solutions for group decision problems. *Management Science* 19(8), 936-946.
- 37- Zeleny, M (1982). *Multiple Criteria Decision Making*. Mc-Graw-Hill.