# Canal Configuration of Mesiobuccal Roots in Permanent Maxillary First Molars in Iranian Population: A Systematic Review

Mandana Naseri<sup>1</sup>, Mohammad Javad Kharazifard<sup>2</sup>, Sepanta Hosseinpour<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Associate Professor, Department of Endodontics, School of Dentistry, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>2</sup> Epidemiologist, Dental Research Center, Dentistry Research Institute, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>3</sup> Dental and MPH Student, Students' Research Committee, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

#### Abstract

**Objectives:** It is essential for clinicians to have adequate knowledge about root canal configurations; although its morphology varies largely in different ethnicities and even in different individuals with the same ethnic background. The current study aims to review the root canal configurations of mesiobuccal roots of maxillary first molars in an Iranian population based on different epidemiological studies.

**Materials and Methods:** A comprehensive search was conducted to retrieve articles related to root canal configuration and prevalence of each type of root canal based on Vertucci's classification for the mesiobuccal root of maxillary first molars. An electronic search was conducted in Medline, Scopus and Google Scholar from January 1984 to September 2015. The articles were evaluated and methods, population, number of teeth and percentage of each root canal type evaluated in each study were summarized in the data table. Websites such as www.iranmedex.com, www.magiran.com and www.sid.ir were used to search all related studies published in Persian.

**Results:** Totally, out of nine studies conducted on the Iranian populations in nine provinces of Iran and 798 teeth, the Vertucci's type I was the most common type (35.70%), followed by type II (30.37%), type IV (16.66%), type III (7.93%) and type V (2.61%).

**Conclusions:** From this review article, it is concluded that the root canal morphology of mesiobuccal roots of maxillary first molars in the Iranian population predominantly has more than one canal. Therefore, careful evaluation of radiographs and anatomy of the pulp chamber is essential in order to achieve a successful root canal therapy.

Keywords: Anatomy; Dental Pulp Cavity; Molar; Maxilla; Review Literature as Topic Journal of Dentistry, Tehran University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran (2016; Vol. 13, No. 6)

<sup>eff</sup> Corresponding author: S. Hosseinpour, Students' Research Committee, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

sp.hosseinpour@gmail.com

Received: 11 March 2016 Accepted: 17 September 2016

**INTRODUCTION** 

Successful nonsurgical endodontic therapy is closely associated with locating all root canals, properly cleaning and shaping them both mechanically and chemically, and perfect obturation using appropriate sealant and root canal filling materials [1-5]. Therefore, it is essential for clinicians to have adequate knowledge about root canal configurations; although its morphology varies largely in different ethnicities and even in different individuals with the same ethnic background [6-8]. Root canal configuration is usually complicated and diverse [1,9,10]. Based on the literature, in addition to ethnicity, age [11-14] and gender [15-17] can also influence these diversities.

Previous studies classified root canal morphology in various ways [12,18,19]. First, in 1902, GV Black discussed human tooth morphology [20]. In 1969, Weine et al, [19] described a four-type classification method based on the pattern of division of the main root canal. In 1984, the details of human root canals were studied by Vertucci [18]. Vertucci [18] introduced a standardized and categorized

#### Naseri et al

method for differentiating the root canal variations into eight descriptive types [18]. This classification has been widely used in many studies [3,6,7,21-25]. Fourteen new canal morphology types were added to these previous classifications [17]. However, many case reports indicate several variations that emphasize on complete evaluation of each case [26-30]. These variations make it difficult to accurately locate, clean and fill a root canal, and can lead to post-treatment complications and compromise the outcome of root canal therapy [31-33].

Although the most common root canal configuration for permanent maxillary first molars is three roots and four canals [34], several studies have reported uncommon anatomical variations in these teeth [27,35,36]. Due to the wide buccolingual dimension of mesiobuccal root and presence of concavities on its mesial and distal surfaces, two canals are more common in these roots as compared to the distobuccal and palatal roots [1]. These facts have been confirmed by several studies on mesiobuccal roots of maxillary first molars (Table 1).

 Table 1: Studies included in this systematic review and their reported prevalence of mesiobuccal canal configurations in maxillary first molars

Author	Study Method	Race	Sample size	*Type I(%)	Type II(%)	Type III(%)	Type IV(%)	Type V(%)	Type VI(%)	Type VII(%)	Type VIII(%)
Alrahabi and Zafar [74] (2015)	Cone beam computed tomography	Not mentioned	100	29.4	47	11.8	11.8	0	0	0	0
Guo et al [37] (2014)	Cone beam computed tomography	African American Asian Hispanic non- Hispanic white	628	28.3	26.3	1.1	41.9	2.4	NM	NM	NM
Yamada et al [38] (2011)	Micro- computed tomography	Japanese	90	44.4	22.3	24.4	8.9	0	NM	NM	NM
Zhang et al [40] (2011)	Cone beam computed tomography	Chinese	299	48	7.28	0	35.88	8.32	3	0	0
Neelakantan et al [41] (2010)	Cone beam computed tomography	Indian	220	51.8	5.5	0	38.6	0	0	0	0
Verma and Love [79] (2010)	Micro- computed tomography	Not mentioned	20	10	15	0	15	10	15	5	0
Pattanshetti et al [42] (2008)	Clinically and radiographically	Kuwaiti and non- Kuwaiti	110	57.7	33.6	8.7	0	0	0	0	0
Rwenyonyi et al [43] (2007)	Injection of Indian ink	Ugandan	221	75.1	4.1	0.9	11.3	5.8	1.4	0.9	0
Smadi and Khraisat [46] (2007)	Injection of Indian ink	Jordanian	100	22.6	27.8	2	35	1	7.3	3	0
Alavi et al[44](2002)	Injection of Indian ink	Thai	268	32.7	17.3	1.9	44.2	1.9	7.7	3.1	1.5
Ng et al.[45] (2001)	Injection of Indian ink	Burmese	239	30	25.5	1.1	33.33	6.7	NM	NM	NM
Weine et al [39] (1999)	Files in place of extracted teeth	Japanese	300	42	24.2	30.4	3.4	0	0	0	0
Fogol et al [15] (1994)	Clinically and radiographically	Not mentioned	208	28.9	39.4	31.7	0	0	NM	NM	NM
Kulid and Peters [80] (1990)	Files in place of extracted teeth	Not mentioned	51	4.8	49.4	45.8	0	0	NM	NM	NM

\* Evaluations were performed according to the Vertucci's classification

## <u>J Dent (Tehran)</u>

In 2014, Guo et al, [37] reported types I and II patterns in 28.3% and 26.3% of these roots, respectively in African Americans, Asian Hispanics and non-Hispanic Whites. In two different studies on the Japanese, type I (44.4% and 42%) was the most frequent type, followed by type II (24.2% and 22.3%) [38,39]. Moreover, among the Chinese [40], Indian [41], Kuwaiti [42], Ugandan [43], Thai [44] and Burmese [45] populations, the most frequent type of canal in the mesiobuccal root of the maxillary first molars was type I followed by type II. However, these frequencies vary widely among these populations, so that in the Ugandan population, the prevalence of type I was 75.1% [43], while in the Burmese population, it was 30% [45]. On the other hand, Smadi and Khraisat [46] reported type II as the most frequent (27.8%) type among the Jordanian population.

In addition, a wide variety of methods have been used to investigate root canal morphology. The laboratory techniques include clearing techniques using decalcification [47] and injection of Indian ink [44,48,49], hematoxylin dye [18], Chinese ink [50], metal castings [52,51], in vitro radiography [12,13,53], in vitro macroscopic examination [36], grinding or sectioning [19,54] and scanning electron microscope examination [55]. Moreover, computed tomography (CT), spiral CT, micro CT and cone beam CT (CBCT) have been used for clinical investigations [4,57,56]. All these methodological and biological factors contribute to variations in the reported prevalence.

The current study aims to review the root canal configurations of mesiobuccal roots in maxillary first molars among the Iranian population based on different epidemiological studies.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### Literature search and data extraction:

A comprehensive search was conducted to retrieve published and unpublished articles related to root canal configuration and prevalence of each type of root canals based on the Vertucci's classification [18] in mesiobuccal root of maxillary first molars. An electronic search was conducted in Medline, Scopus and Google Scholar from January 1984 to September 2015 without language limitation in publications with available full texts using the following keywords: root canal anatomy, root configuration, root canal morphology and maxillary first molars. Moreover, similar search strategy was also applied for the Cochrane database and manual searches, including journals and reference lists. Two independent reviewers retrieved articles according to the defined keywords. They also performed initial screening on titles and abstracts of the selected articles according to the pre-defined eligibility criteria. Disagreement between reviewers was resolved by discussion and if still remained, a third person was consulted.

### Eligibility criteria:

All in vitro studies evaluating the canal configuration of mesiobuccal roots of permanent maxillary first molars were included in this study. Clinical studies and those assessing other teeth were excluded. Studies only conducted on the Iranian population, which used the Vertucci's classification were included and other populations and methods of classification were excluded.

A total of 573 studies were found in the preliminary search. Then, their titles and abstracts were assessed to determine appropriate and related articles. After exclusion of irrelevant studies, 78 articles remained. Then, the full texts of the selected articles were obtained and reviewed. From each study, the methodology, sample size, sampling location and prevalence of different types of root canal configurations were extracted. Among the studies, 16 articles remained with their data and classification based on the Vertucci's classification and only two studies had been conducted on the Iranian population [22,25].

#### <u>Naseri et al</u>

Websites such as www.iranmedex.com, www.magiran.com and www.sid.ir were used to search all related studies published in Persian. From a total of 31 articles found as such, eight met our inclusion criteria. In addition, to collect unpublished or published regional data related to our study, a request was sent to all dental research centers and dental schools in Iran and nine studies were obtained as such. Finally, Data were collected on: 1) Author and year of publication; 2) Type of study; 3) Method of study; 4) Region of study; 5) Sample size, and 6) Type of root canal morphology.

#### RESULTS

#### Included studies:

Among 573 studies, in which anatomy and morphology of maxillary first molars and mesiobuccal canals were evaluated, 78 studies on root canal configurations were selected.

Anatomy and morphology of human extracted teeth were evaluated after access cavity preparation in included studies. Reference lists of included studies were evaluated to identify any potentially relevant article. Ten studies which were conducted on mesiobuccal canal configurations of maxillary first molars in the Iranian population met the inclusion criteria with a total of 649 teeth (Table 2). All of them were evaluated with clearing technique, direct observation, CBCT and radiography after cavity or section preparation.

### Data summary of included studies:

In 2004, Sadeghi and Sadr Lahijani [58] demonstrated that the most frequent type of root configuration among mesiobuccal roots of maxillary first molars was type IV (40%) in Kerman population. In their study, 50 human maxillary first molars were investigated by staining and clearing to determine the type and

**Table 2:** Studies included in this systematic review and their reported prevalence of mesiobuccal canal configurations in maxillary first molars in the Iranian population

Author	Study method	City	Sample size	*Type I(%)	Type II(%)	Type III(%)	Type IV(%)	Type V(%)	Type VI(%)	Type VII(%)	Type VIII(%)
Faramarzi et al [60]	Cone-beam computed tomography	Hamadan	156	30.77	49.36	0	19.87	0	NM	NM	NM
Rouhani et al [22]	Cone-beam computed tomography	Tehran, Mashhad, Tabriz, Bandar Abbas, Isfahan	125	46.4	14.4	9.6	3.2	3.2	17.6	5.6	0
Ezoddini et al [23]	Cone-beam computed tomography	Yazd	30	40	33.33	20	6.67	0	NM	NM	NM
Adel et al [24]	Observation	Qazvin	114	21.9	50.8	0.8	21.3	2.6	2.6	NM	NM
Shahi et al [25]	Injection of Indian ink	Tabriz	37	37.96	24.08	0	24.08	9.5	4.38	0	0
Ashofteh Yazdi et al [59]	Observation and radiography	Tehran	105	24.8	32.4	39	3.8	0	0	0	0
Sadeghi et al [58]	Staining and clearing	Kerman	50	20	32	4	40	4	NM	NM	NM
Naseri et al [62]	Cone-beam computed tomography	Tehran	149	10.1	32.9	10	35.6	11.4	0	0	0
Naseri et al [61]	Stereomicroscopy	Tehran	32	87.5	0	0	12.5	0	NM	NM	NM
Total			798	35.70	30.37	7.93	16.66	2.61			

\* Evaluations were performed according to Vertucci's classification

\*\*NM: Not mentioned

## <u>J Dent (Tehran)</u>

number of root canals and also the presence of accessory and lateral canals, which had a prevalence of 32% and 8%, respectively. Onehundred and five extracted maxillary first molars were evaluated in another study [59], in which after cavity preparation, the teeth were immersed in 1% fuchsin, incubated and root canal configurations were assessed by radiography and also observation of cross sections. The most common type was type III (39%). Shahi et al, [25] performed demineralization and Indian ink injection and evaluated the specimens by the use of a magnifying-glass at x5 magnification. They concluded that single root canal configuration, that is, type I, is more frequent in north-western Iran (37.96%).

In 2009, Adel and Hamzehnejad [24] conducted a study on Qazvin population, in which 114 extracted permanent maxillary first molars were investigated. All the specimens were sectioned at the cementoenamel junction. After injection of Indian ink into the root canal system, teeth were cleared, demineralized and dehydrated. Each specimen was evaluated and classified by two calibrated dentists. The results indicated type II as the most common type.

A total of 311 maxillary first molars were evaluated by CBCT in three separate studies in different parts of Iran (Hamadan [60], Tehran, Mashhad, Tabriz, Bandar Abbas, Isfahan [22] and Yazd [23]) in 2014-2015. The thickness of each section was different in these studies; in one study, it was 1mm [60], 2mm in another one [23] and not mentioned in the study by Rouhani et al, [22]. Among these studies, Ezoddini et al, [23] demonstrated the presence of second mesiobuccal canal in 60% of the specimens; it was in the coronal third of the teeth in 55.55% of the cases. Rouhani et al, [22] reported type one as the most common canal morphology for both first and second maxillary molars. Moreover, they mentioned that the prevalence of root fusion in maxillary first and second molars was 2.4% and 8.8%, respectively.

Faramarzi et al, [60] also reported the presence of second mesiobuccal canal in 69.23% of maxillary first molars. However, Naseri et al, [61] indicated the presence of second mesiobuccal canal in 12.5% of teeth, which may be due to small sample size (32 maxillary first molars). In total, as shown in the results of the present study, type I was the most common canal configuration of mesiobuccal root in maxillary first molars in the Iranian population (38.55%), followed by type II (29.54%), type IV (16.41%), type V (12.41%) and type III (6.92%).

## DISCUSSION

Achieving a successful root canal therapy requires adequate knowledge about root canal configurations. Diversity of root canal morphology possible and variations in mesiobuccal root of maxillary first molars can affect the outcome of treatments [63,64]. The study reviewed present published and unpublished epidemiological studies, which investigated mesiobuccal root configurations in several provinces of Iran. These results show the significance of negotiating for extra canals in mesiobuccal root of maxillary first molars, especially in the Iranian population with 61.45% probability of having more than one root canal. It has been largely reported that the most common type of permanent maxillary first molar root is three separate roots [65] and rare cases have been reported with more than three separate roots [66]. Many factors can contribute to the number of root canals.

In 1999, Weine et al, [39] conducted an investigation among a Japanese population to detect the incidence of second mesiobuccal canal; their results were similar to those of other studies. However, they mentioned the impact of age on the incidence of second mesiobuccal canal. According to their results, fewer canals were detected in the mesiobuccal root due to calcification in older people, and several other studies confirmed these findings [15,55,67]. None of the studies included in our present

#### Naseri et al

review assessed the possible correlation between age and root canal anatomy and further studies are needed in this regard on the Iranian population. Although it seems that one mesiobuccal root is the most frequent type based on the external anatomy of these teeth, type I canal configuration is not the most common. In 2006, Cleghorn et al, [68] investigated the root anatomy of maxillary first molars in a comprehensive review article.

A total of 8,400 teeth and all palatal, distobuccal and mesiobuccal canals were analyzed in their study. It seems that the prevalence of two palatal canals is very low all over the world [17,69,70]. Studies on mesiobuccal root morphology comprise a large part of dental literature. This is due to the significantly high prevalence of more than one root canal in a broad range of diversities [71].

In 2004, Sert and Bayirli [17] investigated the impact of gender on the prevalence of different types of canals among a Turkish population. They showed that single canal (type I) in mesiobuccal root was seen in 10% of females as compared to 3% of males. Iranian researchers did not mention any statistically significant association between gender and internal anatomy variations of teeth. However, several studies reported results in contrast to these findings and did not mention any correlation between gender and the type of root canals [15,17,67,72]. In two separate studies, different techniques of morphology evaluation (in vitro versus clinical) were compared [54,73]. In both studies, significantly higher prevalence of second mesiobuccal canal was reported when an in vitro technique was used. Such differences are partly attributed to the definition of a "treatable canal" used in clinical practice and studies [15,74] versus visible root canal morphology in clearing studies [17,18,44,48,72]. Furthermore, spiral CT or CBCT recently used in several studies seems to be the most reliable method for determination of both internal and external morphology of root canals [37,41,75-79].

In this review, most of the mesiobuccal roots in the Iranian population had more than one canal. These findings are in agreement with those of several studies conducted around the world [15,46,75,80,81]. The highest prevalence of one canal (type one; 75.1%) in the mesiobuccal root of maxillary first molars was reported by Rwenyonyi et al, [43]. A wide variety of two or more canals has been reported: 25% [26], 55% [2], 58% [9], 73.6% [17] and 78% [48] in different studies pointing to the possible impact of ethnicity. In the present review and three other studies [60,23,25], type II was the most frequent type of root canals. However, in other studies [22,24,58,59,61], other anatomical types were more common. These differences might be due to various techniques and populations. Recently, the application of surgical operating microscopes or loupes increased the clinical determination of second mesiobuccal canals [71,74,81,82]. On the other hand, Davis et al, [83] demonstrated that intact canal walls were seen in standard instrumentation by injection of silicone impression. Moreover, missed or not fully instrumented canals can reduce the overall longterm prognosis of root canal therapy [84]. Finally, these findings indicate that the internal root canal morphology of maxillary first molars, especially the mesiobuccal roots, has never been straight forward.

#### CONCLUSION

Our study demonstrated that mesiobuccal roots of maxillary first molars in the Iranian population predominantly have more than one canal. Other morphologies have been rarely reported. Therefore, careful evaluation of radiographs and the anatomy of the pulp chamber is essential to achieve a successful root canal therapy. Clinicians should focus on each case individually in addition to their anatomical knowledge.

## REFERENCES

1- Khan KS, Kunz R, Kleijnen J, Antes G. Five steps to conducting a systematic review. J R Soc Med. 2003 Mar;96(3):118-21.

2- Ingle JI. A standardized endodontic technique utilizing newly designed instruments and filling materials. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 1961 Jan;14(1):83-91.

3- Chourasia HR, Meshram GK, Warhadpande M, Dakshindas D. Root canal morphology of mandibular first permanent molars in an Indian population. Int J Dent. 2012;2012:745152.

4- de Pablo OV, Estevez R, Péix Sánchez M, Heilborn C, Cohenca N. Root anatomy and canal configuration of the permanent mandibular first molar: a systematic review. J Endod. 2010 Dec;36 (12):1919-31.

5- Al-Qudah AA, Awawdeh LA. Root and canal morphology of mandibular first and second molar teeth in a Jordanian population. Int Endod J. 2009 Sep;42(9):775-84.

6- Ahmed HA, Abu-bakr NH, Yahia NA, Ibrahim YE. Root and canal morphology of permanent mandibular molars in a Sudanese population. Int Endod J. 2007 Oct;40(10):766-71.

7- Peiris R, Takahashi M, Sasaki K, Kanazawa E. Root and canal morphology of permanent mandibular molars in a Sri Lankan population. Odontology. 2007 Jul;95(1):16-23.

8- Peiris R. Root and canal morphology of human permanent teeth in a Sri Lankan and Japanese population. J Anthropol Sci. 2008;116(2):123-33.

9- Brown P, Herbranson E. Dental anatomy & 3D tooth atlas version 3.0. Carol Stream, IL, Quintessence, 2005:261-4.

10- Taylor RMS. Variation in morphology of teeth: anthropologic and forensic aspects. Springfield, Charles C. Thomas Publisher Ltd., 1978:1-40.

11- Maggiore F, Jou Y, Kim S. A six-canal maxillary first molar: case report. Int Endod J. 2002 May;35 (5):486-91.

12- Pineda F, Kuttler Y. Mesiodistal and buccolingual roentgenographic investigation of 7275 root canals. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1972 Jan;33(1):101-10. 13- Pineda F. Roentgenographic investigation of the mesiobuccal root of the maxillary first molar. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1973 Aug;36(2):253-60. 14- Hosseinpour S, Kharazifard MJ, Khayat A,

Naseri M. Root canal morphology of permanent mandibular premolars in Iranian population: A systematic review. Iran Endod J. 2016 Summer;11 (3):150-6.

15- Fogel HM, Peikoff MD, Christie WH. Canal configuration in the mesiobuccal root of the maxillary first molar: a clinical study. J Endod. 1994 Mar;20 (3):135-7.

16-Ross IF, Evanchik PA. Root fusion in molars: incidence and sex linkage. J Periodontol. 1981 Nov;52(11):663-7.

17- Sert S, Bayirli GS. Evaluation of the root canal configurations of the mandibular and maxillary permanent teeth by gender in the Turkish population. J Endod. 2004 Jun;30(6):391-8.

18- Vertucci FJ. Root canal anatomy of the human permanent teeth. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1984 Nov;58(5):589-99.

19- Weine FS, Healey HJ, Gerstein H, Evanson L. Canal configuration in the mesiobuccal root of the maxillary first molar and its endodontic significance. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 1969 Sep;28(3):419-25.

20- Black GV. Descriptive anatomy of the human teeth: SS White manufacturing Company.1902:198-200.

21- Wasti F, Shearer A, Wilson N. Root canal systems of the mandibular and maxillary first permanent molar teeth of South Asian Pakistanis. Int Endod J. 2001 Jun;34(4):263-6.

22- Rouhani A, Bagherpour A, Akbari M, Azizi M, Nejat A, Naghavi N. Cone-beam computed tomography evaluation of maxillary first and second molars in Iranian population: a morphological study. Iran Endod J. 2014 Summer;9(3):190-4.

23- Ezoddini Ardakani F, Mirhosseini F, Karrabi M, Mokhtari N, Moeini M, Besharati S. Analysis of anatomy of mesio-buccal root canal in maxillary first permanent molars using CBCT technique (in vitro). SSU\_Journals 2014 Jun;22(2):1010-9. Naseri et al

24- Adel M, Hamzehnejad S. Root and canal morphology of the mesiobuccal root of maxillary first

permanent molars in a part of population of Qazvin. JQazvin Uni Med Sci. 2009;13(3):69-74.25- Shahi S, Yavari HR, Rahimi S, Ahmadi A. Rootcanal configuration of maxillary first permanentmolars in an Iranian population. J Dent Res Dent Clin

Dent Prospects. 2007 Spring;1(1):1-5.

26- Ashraf H, Dianat O, Hajrezai R, Paymanpour P, Azadnia S. Endodontic treatment of a double-rooted maxillary second molar with four canals: a case report. Iran Endod J. 2014 Fall;9(4):304-6.

27- Ghoddusi J, Javidi M, Vatanpour M. Two symmetrical maxillary first molars with two distobuccal root canals. Iran Endod J. 2006 Summer;1 (2):73-7.

28- Rahimi S, Ghasemi N. Maxillary first molar with two root canals. Sultan Qaboos Univ Med J. 2013 May;13(2):E346-9.

29- Shakouie S, Mokhtari H, Ghasemi N, Gholizadeh S. Two-rooted maxillary first molars with two canals: a case series. Iran Endod J. 2013 Winter;8(1):29-32.

30- Shojaeian S, Ghoddusi J, Hajian S. A case report of maxillary second molar with two palatal root canals and a furcal enamel pearl. Iran Endod J. 2013 Winter;8(1):37-9.

31- Cleghorn BM, Goodacre CJ, Christie WH. Morphology of teeth and their root canal systems. Endodontics 6<sup>th</sup> ed., Canada, Hamilton Ontario, BC Decker, 2008:151-4.

32- Malagnino V, Gallottini L, Passariello P. Some unusual clinical cases on root anatomy of permanent maxillary molars. J Endod. 1997 Feb;23(2):127-8.

33- Christie WH, Peikoff MD, Fogel HM. Maxillary molars with two palatal roots: a retrospective clinical study. J Endod. 1991 Feb;17(2):80-4.

34- Walton RE, Torabinejad M. Principles and practice of endodontics. Amsterdam, Elsevier Health Sciences, 2008:216-29.

35- Júnior BP, Teixeira CdS, Silva RG, Vansan LP, Neto MDS. Treatment of a second maxillary molar with six canals. Aust Endod J. 2007 Apr;33(1):42-5. 36- Woelfel JB, Sousa N, Issa EP. Morphologic study of the maxillary molars Part II: internal anatomy. Braz Dent J. 1992;3(1):53-7.

37- Guo J, Vahidnia A, Sedghizadeh P, Enciso R. Evaluation of root and canal morphology of maxillary permanent first molars in a North American population by cone-beam computed tomography. J Endod. 2014 May;40(5):635-9.

38- Yamada M, Ide Y, Matsunaga S, Kato H, Nakagawa KI. Three-dimensional analysis of mesiobuccal root canal of Japanese maxillary first molar using micro-CT. Bull Tokyo Dent Coll. 2011;52(2):77-84.

39- Weine FS, Hayami S, Hata G, Toda T. Canal configuration of the mesiobuccal root of the maxillary first molar of a Japanese sub-population. Int Endod J. 1999 Mar;32(2):79-87.

40- Zhang R, Yang H, Yu X, Wang H, Hu T, Dummer PMH. Use of CBCT to identify the morphology of maxillary permanent molar teeth in a Chinese subpopulation. Int Endod J. 2011 Feb;44 (2):162-9.

41- Neelakantan P, Subbarao C, Ahuja R, Subbarao CV, Gutmann JL. Cone-beam computed tomography study of root and canal morphology of maxillary first and second molars in an Indian population. J Endod. 2010 Oct;36(10):1622-7.

42- Pattanshetti N, Gaidhane M, Al Kandari A. Root and canal morphology of the mesiobuccal and distal roots of permanent first molars in a Kuwait population–a clinical study. Int Endod J. 2008 Sep;41(9):755-62.

43- Rwenyonyi CM, Kutesa AM, Muwazi LM, Buwembo W. Root and canal morphology of maxillary first and second permanent molar teeth in a Ugandan population. Int Endod J. 2007 Sep;40(9):679-83.

44- Alavi A, Opasanon A, Ng YL, Gulabivala K. Root and canal morphology of Thai maxillary molars.

Int Endod J. 2002 May;35(5):478-85.

45- Ng YL, Aung T, Alavi A, Gulabivala K. Root and canal morphology of Burmese maxillary molars. Int Endod J. 2001 Dec;34(8):620-30.

46-Smadi L, Khraisat A. Detection of a second

mesiobuccal canal in the mesiobuccal roots of maxillary first molar teeth. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod. 2007 Mar;103(3):e77-81. 47- Okumura T. Anatomy of the root canals. J Am Dent Assoc (1922). 1927 Apr;14(4):632-6.

48- Al Shalabi RM, Omer OE, Glennon J, Jennings M, Claffey NM. Root canal anatomy of maxillary first and second permanent molars. Int Endod J. 2000 Sep;33(5):405-14.

49- Barbizam JVB, Ribeiro RG, Tanomaru Filho M. Unusual anatomy of permanent maxillary molars. J Endod. 2004 Sep;30(9):668-71.

50- Yang ZP, Yang SF, Lee G. The root and root canal anatomy of maxillary molars in a Chinese population. Endod Dent Traumatol. 1988 Oct;4(5): 215-8.

51- Salama FS, Anderson RW, McKnight-Hanes C, Barenie JT, Myers DR. Anatomy of primary incisor and molar root canals. Pediatr Dent. 1992 Mar-Apr;14(2):117-8.

52- Hess WR, Zürcher E. The anatomy of the rootcanals of the teeth of the permanent dentition: The anatomy of the root-canals of the teeth of the deciduous dentition, and of the first permanent molars. London, J. Bale, sons & Danielsson, 1925:1-39.

53- Sykaras SN, Economou PN. Root canal morphology of the mesiobuccal root of the maxillary first molar. Odontostomatol Proodos. 1970 Mar-Apr;24(2):99-108.

54- Seidberg BH, Altman M, Guttuso J, Suson M. Frequency of two mesiobuccal root canals in maxillary permanent first molars. J Am Dent Assoc. 1973 Oct;87(4):852-6.

55- Gilles J, Reader A. An SEM investigation of the mesiolingual canal in human maxillary first and second molars. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1990 Nov;70(5):638-43.

56- Sabala CL, Benenati FW, Neas BR. Bilateral root or root canal aberrations in a dental school patient population. J Endod. 1994 Jan;20(1):38-42.

57- Slowey RR. Radiographic aids in the detection of extra root canals. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1974 May;37(5):762-72.

58- Sadeghi M, Sadr Lahijani M. An in vitro study on root canal anatomy in maxillary molars. J Islam Dent Assoc Iran 2004;16(49):14-21.

59- Ashofteh Yazd K, Hasani N. Internal anatomy of maxillary first molar. J Islam Dent Assoc Iran 2006;17 Endo-perio suplementary (55):68-74.

60- Faramarzi F, Vossoghi M, Shokri A, Shams B, Vossoghi M, Khoshbin E. Cone beam computed tomography study of root and canal morphology of maxillary first molar in an Iranian population. Avicenna J Dent Res. 2015 Jun;7(1).

61- Naseri M, Ahangari Z, Sharifi F, Sahebnasagh Z. Assessment of root morphology and apices of first and second maxillary molars in Tehran population. J Dent Mater Tech 2015 Dec;4(4):176-82.

62- Naseri M, Safi Y, Baghban AA, Khayat A, Eftekhar L. Survey of Anatomy and Root Canal Morphology of Maxillary First Molars Regarding Age and Gender in an Iranian Population Using Cone-Beam Computed Tomography. Iranian endodontic journal. 2016;11(4):298.

63- Chalkar A, Bhagwat S, Mandke L. Endodontic management of maxillary first molar with three mesiobuccal root canals using cone beam computerized tomography. European J Gen Dent. 2015 Jan;4(1):16.

64- Patil AC, Ramesh H, Yelamali S. Management of a permanent maxillary first molar with two disto buccal canals with the aid of spiral computed tomography: a case report. J Clin Exp Dent. 2010;2(3):153-6.

65- Vertucci FJ. Root canal morphology and its relationship to endodontic procedures. Endod topics. 2005 Mar;10(1):3-29.

66- Wong M. Maxillary first molar with three palatal canals. J Endod. 1991 Jun;17(6):298-9.

67- Neaverth EJ, Kotler LM, Kaltenbach RF. Clinical investigation (in vivo) of endodontically treated maxillary first molars. J Endod. 1987 Oct;13(10): 506-12. 68- Cleghorn BM, Christie WH, Dong CC. Root and root canal morphology of the human permanent maxillary first molar: a literature review. J Endod. 2006 Sep;32(9):813-21. 69- Hartwell G, Bellizzi R. Clinical investigation of in vivo endodontically treated mandibular and maxillary molars. J Endod. 1982 Dec;8(12):555-7.

70- Zaatar EI, al-Kandari AM, Alhomaidah S, al-Yasin IM. Frequency of endodontic treatment in Kuwait: radiographic evaluation of 846 endodontically treated teeth. J Endod. 1997 Jul;23(7): 453-6.

71- Sempira HN, Hartwell GR. Frequency of second mesiobuccal canals in maxillary molars as determined by use of an operating microscope: a clinical study. J Endod. 2000 Nov;26(11):673-4.

72- Çalişkan MK, Pehlivan Y, Sepetçioğlu F, Türkün M, Tuncer SŞ. Root canal morphology of human permanent teeth in a Turkish population. J Endod. 1995 Apr;21(4):200-4.

73-Pomeranz HH, Fishelberg G. The secondary mesiobuccal canal of maxillary molars. J Am Dent Assoc. 1974 Jan;88(1):119-24.

74- Stropko JJ. Canal morphology of maxillary molars: clinical observations of canal configurations. J Endod. 1999 Jun;25(6):446-50.

75- Alrahabi M, Sohail Zafar M. Evaluation of root canal morphology of maxillary molars using cone beam computed tomography. Pak J Med Sci. 2015 Mar-Apr;31(2):426-30.

76- Aggarwal V, Singla M, Logani A, Shah N. Endodontic management of a maxillary first molar with two palatal canals with the aid of spiral computed tomography: a case report. J Endod. 2009 Jan;35(1):137-9.

77- Baratto Filho F, Zaitter S, Haragushiku GA, de

Campos EA, Abuabara A, Correr GM. Analysis of the internal anatomy of maxillary first molars by using different methods. J Endod. 2009 Mar;35 (3):337-42. 78- Low KM, Dula K, Bürgin W, von Arx T. Comparison of periapical radiography and limited cone-beam tomography in posterior maxillary teeth referred for apical surgery. J Endod. 2008 May;34 (5):557-62.

79- Matherne RP, Angelopoulos C, Kulild JC, Tira D. Use of cone-beam computed tomography to identify root canal systems in vitro. J Endod. 2008 Jan;34(1): 87-9.

80- Verma P, Love RM. A Micro CT study of the mesiobuccal root canal morphology of the maxillary first molar tooth. Int Endod J. 2011 Mar;44(3):210-7. 81- Kulid JC, Peters DD. Incidence and configuration of canal systems in the mesiobuccal root of maxillary first and second molars. J Endod. 1990 Jul;16(7):311-7.

82- Buhrley LJ, Barrows MJ, BeGole EA, WenckusCS. Effect of magnification on locating the MB2canal in maxillary molars. J Endod. 2002 Apr;28(4):324-7.

83- Davis SR, Brayton SM, Goldman M. The morphology of the prepared root canal: a study utilizing injectable silicone. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol. 1972 Oct;34(4):642-8.

84- Wolcott J, Ishley D, Kennedy W, Johnson S, Minnich S. Clinical investigation of second mesiobuccal canals in endodontically treated and retreated maxillary molars. J Endod. 2002 Jun;28(6): 477-9.