

Original Article Open Access

# Assessment of Three "WHO" Patient Safety Solutions: Where Do We Stand and What Can We Do?

Sheida Banihashemi, Nahid Hatam<sup>1</sup>, Farid Zand<sup>2</sup>, Erfan Kharazmi<sup>1</sup>, Soheila Nasimi<sup>3</sup>, Mehrdad Askarian<sup>4</sup>

Department of Community Medicine, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran, <sup>1</sup>Department of Health Service Administration, School of Management and Medical Information Sciences, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran, <sup>2</sup>Shiraz Anesthesiology and Critical Care Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran, <sup>3</sup>Intensive Care Unit, Nemazi Hospital, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran, <sup>4</sup>Department of Community Medicine, Shiraz Anesthesiology and Critical Care Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, Iran

#### Correspondence to:

Prof. Mehrdad Askarian, Department of Community Medicine, Shiraz Anesthesiology and Critical Care Research Center, Shiraz University of Medical Sciences, Shiraz, IR Iran. E-mail: askariam@sums.ac.ir

How to cite this article: Banihashemi S, Hatam N, Zand F, Kharazmi E, Nasimi S, Askarian M. Assessment of three "WHO" patient safety solutions: Where do we stand and what can we do?. Int J Prev Med 2015;6:120.

#### **ABSTRACT**

**Background:** Most medical errors are preventable. The aim of this study was to compare the current execution of the 3 patient safety solutions with WHO suggested actions and standards.

**Methods:** Data collection forms and direct observation were used to determine the status of implementation of existing protocols, resources, and tools.

**Results:** In the field of patient hand-over, there was no standardized approach. In the field of the performance of correct procedure at the correct body site, there were no safety checklists, guideline, and educational content for informing the patients and their families about the procedure. In the field of hand hygiene (HH), although availability of necessary resources was acceptable, availability of promotional HH posters and reminders was substandard.

**Conclusions:** There are some limitations of resources, protocols, and standard checklists in all three areas. We designed some tools that will help both wards to improve patient safety by the implementation of adapted WHO suggested actions.

**Keywords:** Hand hygiene, patient hand-over, patient safety, procedure errors

#### INTRODUCTION

Patient safety is an issue of global concern and it focuses on reducing medical errors. [1] Most medical errors that lead to serious injuries or death of a patient are preventable, but most of them transpire due to complexity of the

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:

Website: www.ijpvmjournal.net/www.ijpm.ir

DOI:
10.4103/2008-7802.171391

healthcare system not because of individual errors.<sup>[2]</sup> A result of a study shows that the occurrence of unwanted events in hospitals was 11.1% for every 100 patients, from which 53.2% of these events were avoidable.<sup>[3]</sup> Based on a study in New York, 98,000 American patients lose their lives annually due to medical errors.<sup>[4]</sup> According to "The National Academy of Sciences Institute of Medicine," the cost of preventable medical errors is estimated to be between 17 and 29 billion dollars annually.<sup>[5]</sup> Based on our research regarding this situation in Iran, we did not find suitable data pertaining to medical errors and its costs. However, a study conducted at a Pediatric Hospital in Khorramabad, showed that the frequency of medical errors was estimated to be 36%.<sup>[6]</sup> Due to the possibility

Copyright: © 2015 Banihashemi S. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

of high medical error rates in Iranian hospitals, as well as insufficient data for patient safety, we decided to assess the present situations based on some patient safety standards. Since WHO has suggested nine solutions for reducing medical errors, a decision was made to assess the status of three of the nine patient safety solutions of WHO in 2 Intensive Care Unit (ICU) wards in Nemazi Hospital. Our three solutions were prioritized based on request and concern of each ward manager. We chose the ICU as our study environment because there is a higher possibility of medical errors as a result of prolonged hospitalization and different instruments used on the patients. The three safety solutions are as the following:

## Communication during patient hand-overs

Communication problems were reported to be responsible for 11% of adverse events in Australian hospitals.<sup>[7]</sup> Poor communication between professional caregivers is also one of the most important contributory factors of adverse events in NHS hospital practices.[8] There is also a possibility of inaccurate or incomplete transfer of patient's information due to the lack of proper communication between healthcare providers. Therefore, an effective patient hand-over is the key element for improving patient safety. Different rules and regulations are recommended by professional organizations to ensure that patient hand-over will be performed in a proper way. [9-13] In a qualitative study conducted in the USA, the situation, background, assessment, and recommendation (SBAR) technique was found to be an appropriate and cost-effective tool for patient hand-over and improving patient safety.[14] The results of a study conducted by the Mashhad University of Medical Sciences in Iran revealed that implementing standardized shift hand-over protocols and techniques improved intershift information communication.[15]

## Correct procedure at the correct body site

The rate of adverse events for surgical admissions is high, and it depends on many factors such as the type of procedure, age, etc.<sup>[16]</sup> The main cause of wrong-site procedure errors is a lack of standardized preoperative process that includes reviewing the patient's information.<sup>[17]</sup> A literature review and many other studies showed that surgical safety checklists are effective in reducing wrong-site surgery incidences and other medical errors.<sup>[18-22]</sup> A study conducted by the Shiraz University of Medical Sciences "(SUMS)" in Iran found that implementing safe surgery checklists decreased mortality and morbidity after procedures.<sup>[23]</sup> Some key strategies are provided for the proper use of these checklists.<sup>[24]</sup>

## Promotion of hand hygiene

In American hospitals, Health care-associated Infections (HAIs) account for an estimated 1.7 million infections and 99,000 associated deaths each year. [25] According to the result of a systematic review and meta-analysis, pooled overall HAIs density in adult ICUs was 47.9/1000 patient days. [26] The risk of HAIs in developing countries is 2-20 times higher than in developed countries because of a larger number of risk factors associated with poor adherence to hand hygiene (HH) among health care workers. [27] Several guidelines and policies for the improvement of HH have been developed. [28,29] An interventional study in Africa that incorporated WHO HH improvement strategies displayed a significant advancement for the patient safety in the developing countries.[30] Many studies have been conducted in the field of HH in Iran, indicating that staff knowledge and compliance were very poor in HH. Therefore, providing staff with educational and training programs is necessary to reduce infection rates.[31-33]

The aim of this study was to investigate the infrastructures of resources, polices, and protocols in order to compare the current execution of the 3 patients safety solutions (communication during patient hand-over, correct procedure at the correct body site, improved HH) with WHO suggested actions and standards. The second aim of this study was to recognize the inadequacy and insufficiency of our tools so that we can design better tools for patient safety improvement by considering culture and current routine standards affiliated to our study setting.

#### **METHODS**

In our cross-sectional study, we invited 45 nurses and 15 physicians who worked in two ICUs of Nemazi Hospital for the past 12 months. Nemazi Hospital is the largest teaching hospital in the Southern Iran, affiliated to "SUMS." One ICU had 14 beds and the second ICU had 10 beds, both of which admitted medical and surgical patients. This study was approved by the ethics committee of "SUMS" and was conducted from September 2013 to January 2014 in three phases:

## Phase 1: Designing data collection forms

In order to design the three data collection forms, we used WHO actions. [9,17] We formed survey questions from WHO suggested actions, and some modifications were made due to the study setting's culture. We also used some of the questions from WHO HH framework survey due to existing conditions in our setting. [34] The data collection form consisted of demographic data, information on facilities, resources, basic organizational

structures, and protocols which are available in the wards [Annexure 3, 4, 5]. The questions in the data collection form were assessed by a team of health care staff experts working within the wards, senior nurses, professors, and project partners to test its validity and relevance. The primary forms were given to 20% of the study population. Ten nurses and doctors piloted all three data collection forms after providing verbal consent. The pilot group members were asked if the questions in the survey forms were comprehensive. According to their opinions, minor changes were made to some of the questions. Moreover, the validity of the data collection forms was verified, and the final forms were created.

## Phase 2: Baseline evaluation survey

The final data collection forms were distributed between the ICU ward staff members. After they provided verbal consent, they were asked to fill out the forms and return them within 2 weeks. In addition, unannounced systematic inspections of each clinical care area were performed every 2 weeks. 6 visits were made per ICU: Two morning visits, two evening visits, and two night visits. Data collection forms (as our observational checklist) were completed again by one of the authors (Sh. B) during the observation of the available documentation, resources, and opportunities in both wards. The results of the observations and the staffs' reports identified any problems and shortcomings in the execution of the solutions. In order to make the results more tangible, we decided to change the responses of the participants from always to (optimal), often or sometimes to (acceptable) and rarely or never to (substandard) respectively.

## Phase 3: Providing tools (protocols, checklists, posters, etc.)

After determining the key limitations and shortcomings from the analyzed data, we decided to design some tools to resolve them. By reviewing our results, the existing guidelines and protocols for each of the three issues in both wards, cultural perceptions, beliefs, and practices, we prepared new protocols and checklists for safe patient hand-overs and procedures. These protocols contain the necessary items that need to be communicated during patient hand-over and necessary items that need to be checked before and after invasive procedures.

For promoting HH and preventing HAIs, we provided the WHO recommended tools, which were not available previous to this study. For example, promotional HH reminders and posters were set in the workplace and alcohol-based hand rub bottles were attached to all beds, etc.<sup>[31]</sup> The content of protocols and checklists were assessed by heads of the wards, informed health care workers working within the wards, senior nurses, professors, and project partners to test for face validity and relevance.

Data analysis was performed by using SPSS statistical software version 21 (Chicago, IL, USA). We used

descriptive analysis such as percentages, means, and standard deviations. We also calculated the coefficient of variation in each area, in order to check the agreement between observer and respondents.

#### **RESULTS**

All 60 members were asked to complete the survey forms, but only 56 out of 60 individuals (93%) completed the forms. Participation in the survey was random and did not associate with a specific factor. None of the participants were more similar to each other. Three out of the 56 forms were excluded from evaluation since they were not completed. Demographic information of the participants is addressed in Table 1.

## Communication during patient hand-over

The time spent for each patient hand-over between shift changes was reported to be <5 min in 13.9% (n=5) of nurses and 26.7% (n=4) of doctors, between 5 and 10 min in 66.7% (n=24) of nurses and 60% (n=9) of doctors and more than 10 min in 19.4% (n=7) of nurses and 13.3% (n=2) of doctors. According to our observations, hand-over time between shifts for each patient lasted, on average,  $3\pm1.2$  min for nurses and  $4\pm1.5$  min for doctors, respectively. Implementation of policies' status, the availability of resources, and basic organizational structures for implementing "Communication during patient hand-over" solution is summarized in Table 2.

However, we did not observe any data collection form for hand-over communication between staff when changing shifts or between different patient care units in the course of a patient transfer. Hence, there was an imperfect form for hand-over communication during discharge of a patient or transfer to another facility. Hand-over was usually performed at the bedside by nurses and doctors, but access to radiology and pathology results was possible only at the nursing station.

Our study shows none of the items in the time of hand-over between caregivers were completed by nurses or doctors. There was also not a single case where

**Table 1: Demographic information of participants** 

ICU 1	ICU 2	Total
31 (58.5)	22 (41.5)	53 (100)
23 (56.1)	18 (43.9)	41 (100)
8 (66.6)	4 (33.4)	12 (100)
20 (52.6)	18 (47.4)	38 (100)
11 (73.4)	4 (26.6)	15 (100)
$28.74 \pm 3.82$	$29.77 \pm 5.51$	$29.16 \pm 4.57$
$3.51 \pm 3.54$	$4.86 \pm 3.69$	$4.07 \pm 3.63$
	31 (58.5) 23 (56.1) 8 (66.6) 20 (52.6) 11 (73.4) 28.74±3.82	31 (58.5) 22 (41.5) 23 (56.1) 18 (43.9) 8 (66.6) 4 (33.4) 20 (52.6) 18 (47.4) 11 (73.4) 4 (26.6) 28.74±3.82 29.77±5.51

ICU I had I4 beds and ICU 2 had I0 beds. SD=Standard deviation, ICU=Intensive Care Unit

information was transferred completely. However, most of the important information such as patient identification, primary and secondary diagnoses, changes in patient condition, patient condition assessment, plans, concerns, and recommendations were transferred by caregivers half of the time. Coefficient of variation in this area was 4.64% (<5%), so the agreement between observer and respondents was good and acceptable.

## Performance of correct procedure at correct body site

Implementation status of policies, availability of resources, and basic organizational structures for implementation of "Performance of correct procedure at correct body site" solution is summarized in Table 3. According to our observation, there was no guideline or educational content for informing the patients and their families about procedure rationale, plans, options, and risks in both wards. However, 9.4% of the staff reported the presence of such forms. It is worth mentioning that there were some discrepancies between observations and reports, which is discussed later.

The investigator observed a list of major procedures that required obtaining consent forms before the specific procedure was performed in the wards. The majority of the staff reported that they verbally obtain the consent for the required procedures, as well as information about patient's medical status and procedure plans. Thus, in most cases they did not fill out any checklist. The investigator also realized that there was no checklist available in both wards to ensure performance of safe procedures. Coefficient of variation in this area was 3.78% (<5%), so the agreement between observer and respondents was good and acceptable.

## Hand hygiene

Implementation status of policies, availability of resources, and basic organizational structures for implementing "Hand hygiene" solution is summarized in Table 4. According to our observations, foot operated taps were available in all points of care, as well as hand rub wall dispensers. On the other hand, few members of the staff (5.7%) reported the usage of hand-operated taps in both wards because staffs used hand-operated taps in their resting rooms. As for the types of hand rub dispensers that are available in the ward, all 53 staff reported the use of wall dispensers, but no one reported the availability of other types of hand rub dispensers such as pocket bottles, bottles affixed to trolleys/trays, bottles attached to beds, and dispensers located on the bedside of tables/trolleys. Thirty members of staff (56.6%) reported the availability of wall dispensers at the point of care, and the remaining percentage (43.4%) reported the availability of the wall dispensers but not at each point of care. About 69.2% of the staff did not know how

Table 2: Implementation status of policies, the availability of resources and basic organizational structures in the ward according to nurses' (N) and doctors' (D) opinion

Survey questions		Responses% (n)			Observer	
ourto, dancarono		N and D Optimal Acceptable Substandard				
Availability of standardized form for hand-over communication and transfer patient	N	7.9 (3)	0	92.1 (35)	Substandard	
- specific information from one caregiver to another, between staff shift change	D	6.7 (1)	0	93.3 (14)	Substandard	
Filling the forms if available	N	0	0	100 (1)		
	D	0	0	100 (3)		
Availability of standardized form for hand-over communication and transfer patient	N	13.2 (5)	0	86.8 (33)	Substandard	
specific information from one caregiver to another, between different patient care units in the course of a patient transfer	D	0	0	100 (15)	Substandard	
Filling the forms if available	N	0	40 (2)	60 (3)		
	D	0	0	0		
Availability of standardized form for hand-over communication during discharge of	N	78.9 (30)		21.1 (8)	Optimal	
a patient or to another facility		33.3 (5)		66.7 (10)	Optimal	
Filling the forms if available	N	92.1 (35)	7.9 (3)	0	Optimal	
	D	100 (15)	0	0	Optimal	
Existence training on effective hand-over communication as a part of educational	N	10.5 (4)	0	89.5 (34)	Substandard	
curriculum	D	20 (3)	0	80 (12)	Substandard	
Designated room or place, used during physician and nursing shift change	N	94.7 (36)	5.3 (2)	0	Acceptable	
reporting and hand-over	D	66.7 (10)	33.3 (5)	0	Acceptable	
Interruptions occurring during clinical hand-over (except in the case of an	N	21 (8)	57.9 (22)	21 (8)	Acceptable	
emergency)		0	73.3 (11)	26.7 (4)	Acceptable	
Someone being responsible for dealing with distractions that can disturb the hand-	N	9.1 (3)	34.2 (13)	56.7 (22)	Substandard	
over process including bleeps, telephones, relatives, nurses, and other doctors	D	0	40 (6)	60 (9)	Substandard	

http://www.ijpvmjournal.net/content/6/1/120

Table 3: Implementation status of policies and availability of resources and basic organizational structures in the wards

Content of survey questions	Responses% (n)		Observer	
	Optimal	Acceptable	Substandard	
Patient and his/her family are informed about procedures rationale, plans, options, and risks before the procedures that need obtaining consent before	54.7 (29)	37.7 (20)	7.5 (4)	Acceptable
Guide sheet or educational content is available for informing patient and his/her family about the procedure rationale, plans, options, and risks available in your ward	9.4 (5)	0	90.6 (48)	Substandard
Checklist for monitoring patient education level is available (if the previous answer is optimal)	100 (5)	0	0	Substandard
List (or catalogue) of major procedures' name that needs obtaining consent before an action is available	49.1 (26)	0	50.9 (27)	Optimal
Obtaining consent for all procedures (that need obtaining consent before an action)	54.7 (29)	43.4 (23)	1.9 (1)	Acceptable
Availability of a standard consent form (including the full name of procedure, site, anesthesia plan or preferences for all procedures that needs obtaining consent before an action)	75.5 (40)	0	24.5 (13)	Optimal
Documentation of consent forms (for all procedures that needs obtaining consent before an action)	77.4 (41)	17 (9)	5.6 (3)	Acceptable
Obtaining up-to-date information on the patient's medical status and proposed procedure plans, by practitioners, at the day of the procedure	52.8 (28)	47.2 (25)	0	Acceptable
Availability of checklist for obtaining up-to-date information on the patient's medical status and proposed procedure plans at the day of the procedure	5.7 (3)	0	94.3 (50)	Substandard
If availability of checklist is optimal or acceptable, filling out this checklist as indicated	100 (3)	0	0	Substandard
Availability of safety procedure checklist	11.3 (6)	0	88.7 (47)	Substandard
Filling the checklist before procedure (if safety checklist availability is optimal or acceptable)	33.3 (2)	50 (3)	16.7 (1)	Substandard
Filling the checklist after procedure (if safety checklist availability is optimal or acceptable)	50 (3)	50 (3)	0	Substandard
Marking the procedure site before the performance of the procedure	3.8 (2)	22.6 (12)	73.6 (39)	Substandard
Confirmation of the procedure site and markings by patient	14.3 (2)	14.3 (2)	71.4 (10)	Substandard
Someone is responsible for the marking of the procedure site (if the patient is unconscious)	50 (7)	50 (7)	0	Substandard

Table 4: Implementation status of policies and availability of resources and basic organizational structures in the wards for HH

Content of survey questions		Responses% (n)		
	Optimal	Acceptable	Substandard	
Running water is available	92.5 (49)	7.5 (4)	0	Optimal
Water is visibly clean	71.7 (38)	24.5 (13)	3.8 (2)	Optimal
Disposable towels are available at all sinks	3.8 (2)	62.3 (33)	33.9 (18)	Substandard
Soap is available at all sinks	47.2 (25)	50.9 (27)	1.9 (1)	Optimal
Alcohol-based hand rub is available	66 (35)	34 (18)	0	Optimal
Every health-care worker has easy access to hand rub pocket bottles	26.4 (14)	13.2 (7)	60.3 (32)	Substandard
There is an assigned personal responsible for the refilling or replacement of empty dispensers	50 (21)	32 (17)	7.5 (4)	Optimal
Hand rub dispensers are replaced when empty	41.5 (22)	56.6 (30)	1.9 (1)	Optimal
Examination gloves are available in these wards	35.8 (19)	49.2 (26)	15 (8)	Optimal
Audits on HH compliance are periodically performed in these wards	14.3 (6)	47.1 (25)	20.7 (11)	Optimal

frequently the audits on HH compliance were performed in the ward, 17.9% reported that it was performed at least once a year, 2.6% stated that it was done at least once every 2 years, and 10.3% said it was performed rarely.

According to our observations, posters illustrating hand wash and hand rub techniques were not displayed beside all the sinks, close to dispensers or in multiple areas of both wards. However, posters illustrating indications for HH were displayed in multiple areas of both wards thus; no other type of reminders was available in these

wards. All the staff reported that running water and alcohol-based hand rubs were always or often available, and 96.2% of the staff reported that water was always or often visibly clean. 88.7% of the staff reported that hand rub dispensers were always or often replaced when empty, and 84.9% of them stated that examination gloves were always or often available in these wards. Coefficient of variation in this area was 5.8% (<5%), so the agreement between observer and respondents was almost acceptable.

http://www.ijpvmjournal.net/content/6/1/120

#### **DISCUSSION**

As previously mentioned, most medical errors that result in death or serious injuries to patients can be prevented. As our baseline surveys showed, there were some limitations, such as resources, tools, and protocols, for implementing WHO solutions in both wards. Therefore, we made a decision to develop some tools (checklists, reminders, and posters) and protocols for successful implementation of WHO suggested actions in each area.

## Communication during patient hand-over

Standardized approach to hand-over communication is required in order to minimize the risk of adverse events due to lost information, misinterpretation, and missed actions. [9-13] An interventional study showed that safety environment improved significantly over time in an intervened group after implementing SBAR tool, and the proportion of incidences reported due to communication errors decreased significantly in the intervened group, from 31% to 11%. [35,12] Therefore, we believe that a standardized hand-over checklist like SBAR is vital in both wards for the improvement of this routine process. We designed a checklist similar to SBAR by considering culture and current routine standards affiliated to our study setting [Annexure 1].

An effective hand-over communication is not part of staff educational curriculum. So, development and implication of an appropriate educational program can significantly improve this process. An experimental study evaluated the undergraduate nursing students' performance using the standardized communication tool SBAR. The study found that continuing education and training in hospitals can considerably improve their learning abilities. [36] It is logical to create some guidelines and protocols to standardize this process like many other countries do. [11-13] Standardization can help us improve this routine process because information can be conveyed more efficiently and with a higher rate of reliability. [12]

## Correct procedure at the correct body site

Several types of surgical checklists have been created to control the risks during invasive procedures. [37-39] These checklists are applicable for procedures performed in wards or in all settings in which invasive procedures are performed. Using such safety checklists is useful to ensure that all relevant documents and special equipment are available prior to the start of the procedure and accurately match each patient. It is also useful when verifying the procedure and for correct site marking. [40] According to the results of other studies, adverse events were diminished due to using a safety checklist during interventional procedures. [41] According to the results of a review, benefits from these checklists will be of particular value in developing countries, where resources and surgical practice are behind compared to developed

countries.<sup>[42]</sup> In our setting, the risk of error during an invasive procedure is high due to workload pressure. We believe checklists would be particularly useful in other settings of developing countries. Therefore, we designed a safety procedure checklist similar to WHO safety surgery checklist with some modifications [Annexure 2].

On the other hand, a proper consent form (one of the six important surgical safety program factors) is required to eliminate preventable surgical harms. [43] According to our observation, a list of major procedures that required obtaining consent forms before being performed, as well as standard consent forms, were also available in both wards. Nonetheless, some of the respondents were not aware of the existence of such a list or form. This could indicate that a consent form was not filled out in the required situations, as well as the absence of a proper educational program for staff.

It is vital for the patient to be personally involved in their care process in order to improve the quality of care and patient safety, so proper implementation of the consent form is an important component for all invasive procedures and needs to be improved in both wards by training staff and providing proper tools. [17] There were some differences between what was observed and what was reported by the staff that can only be interpreted as a sign of unavailability of proper guidelines or a misunderstanding of the questions. Some staff also informed their patients verbally about the procedures.

#### Hand hygiene

Our results in this cross-sectional study indicated that basic HH facilities had some limitations in these wards. Several factors can influence the HH compliance among health care workers, and its promotion is particularly complex in the developing countries where limited resources can strongly influence practices. [44-48] Evidence indicated that when basic HH apparatuses, such as alcohol-based hand rubs, were available and easily accessible at the points of care, usage among healthcare workers increased. [49] A study in a large hospital in Ghana found that limited access to HH facilities was one of the primary recognized causes of low HH compliance.<sup>[50]</sup> Another study in Africa showed that although severe deficiencies in the infrastructure of HH were identified, the improvement was observed after implementing WHO multimodal HH improvement strategy. [30] However, studies that were conducted in Iran in recent years showed that there was no significant evidence of the availability of necessary resources for HH promotion in hospitals.<sup>[31-33]</sup> Even though necessary resources were available, few staff members were aware of the current status, according to their reports. Perhaps this is because they were not using the existing resources, or they lacked motivation or attention when completing the survey forms. However, for the promotion of HH, we

should first provide resources and then consider cultural perceptions, beliefs, and practices.

In order to promote HH in our setting, it is essential to provide alcohol-based hand rub packs in different sizes and set them in various places where staff can easily access them. Disposable towels should also be placed beside sinks, and hand washing reminders should be placed wherever possible. According to the results of a major survey in the USA, alcohol-based hand rubs were continuously available at each point of care in 77.5% of health care facilities. The great majority of facilities displayed posters explaining HH indications and correct techniques for hand rubbing and hand washing (89.9%, 80.6%, and 85.3%, respectively). Other workplace reminders, such as screen savers, were used in 78.3% of facilities.<sup>[51]</sup> Another infrastructure survey conducted in a teaching hospital in Ghana showed that basic equipment for HH, such as liquid soap dispensers, was not available in some wards. Overall, no single service provision center in that hospital had all the basic facilities needed for effective HH.[52]

#### **CONCLUSIONS**

By using data collection forms that were designed according to the WHO suggested actions; we compared "what we have" and "what we should have" in order to successfully implement the three mentioned WHO solutions. We found many shortcomings and limitations, and we overcame them by providing some tools such as protocols, safety procedure checklists, safety hand off checklists, and some resources like hand rubs and reminders. We recommend evaluation of the currently implemented status of patient safety solutions of other Iranian hospitals by comparing them to WHO suggested actions. We also recommend designing another study for comparing the status of some patient safety indexes before and after using designated tools to ensure that practice changes lead to improvements in patient safety.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

We thank the faculty members, residents and staff of Nemazi Hospital Intensive Care Units who participated in this study. The vice-chancellor for research at Shiraz University of Medical Sciences funded this project. This research was performed by Sheida Banihashemi in partial fulfillment of the requirements for certification as a specialist in community medicine at Shiraz University of Medical Sciences in Shiraz, Iran.

Received: 15 Apr 15 Accepted: 23 Aug 15 Published: 09 Dec 15

## **REFERENCES**

 World Health Organization. 10 Facts on Patient Safety. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2014. Available from: http://www.who.int/features/factfiles/

#### http://www.ijpvmjournal.net/content/6/1/120

- patient\_safety/en/index.html. [Last accessed on 2014 Sep 03].
- Emanuel L, Berwick D, Conway J, Combes J, Hatlie M, Leape L, et al. Advances in Patient Safety: New Directions and Alternative Approaches (Vol. I: Assessment). Rockville (MD): Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality; 2008. Available from: http://www.ahrq.gov/downloads/pub/advances2/vol1/ advances-emanuel-berwick 110.pdf. [Last accessed on 2014 Sep 03].
- Sousa P, Uva AS, Serranheira F, Nunes C, Leite ES. Estimating the incidence of adverse events in Portuguese hospitals: A contribution to improving quality and patient safety. BMC Health Serv Res 2014;14:311.
- Kohn L, Corrigan J, Donaldson M, editors. To Err is Human: Building a Safer Health System. Washington, DC: Institute of Medicine – National Academy Press; 1999. Available from: http://www.nap.edu/books/0309068371/html/. [Last accessed on 2014 Sep 04].
- Thomas EJ, Studdert DM, Newhouse JP, Zbar BI, Howard KM, Williams EJ, et al. Costs of medical injuries in Utah and Colorado. Inquiry 1999;36:255-64.
- Mohsenzadeh A, Rezapour S, Birjandi M. Frequency of medical errors in hospitalized children in Khorramabad Madani hospital during six months in 2008. Yafteh 2010;11:31-8.
- 7. Zinn C. 14,000 preventable deaths in Australian hospitals. BMJ 1995;310:1487.
- Neale G, Woloshynowych M, Vincent C. Exploring the causes of adverse events in NHS hospital practice. J R Soc Med 2001;94:322-30.
- World Health Organization. WHO Collaborating Centre for Patient Safety Solutions. Communication during Patient Hand-Overs. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2007. Available from: http://www.who.int/patientsafety/solutions/patientsafety/PS-Solution3.pdf. [Last accessed on 2014 Sep 02].
- Manser T, Foster S. Effective handover communication: An overview of research and improvement efforts. Best Pract Res Clin Anaesthesiol 2011;25:181-91.
- Department of Health, Victoria, Australia. Clinical handover tools (November 2006). Suggested content for CH Protocol or Guidelines; November, 2006.
   p. 1-2. Available from: http://www.health.vic.gov.au/qualitycouncil/downloads/ch\_policy\_guidelines\_content.pdf. [Last accessed on 2013 Sep 03].
- Australian Commission on Safety and Quality in Health Care. Guide to Clinical Handover Improvement; 2010. p. 33-5. Available from: http://www.safetyandquality.gov.au/wp-content/uploads/2012/01/ossie.pdf. [Last accessed on 2014 Sep 04].
- National Health Service. Institute for Innovation and Improvement. Acute SBAR Communication Tool. Available from: http://www.institute.nhs.uk/ images//documents/SaferCare/SBAR/Cards/Acute\_\_SBARv4.pdf. [Last accessed on 2014 Sep 04].
- Vardaman JM, Cornell P, Gondo MB, Amis JM, Townsend-Gervis M, Thetford C. Beyond communication: The role of standardized protocols in a changing health care environment. Health Care Manage Rev 2012;37:88-97.
- Malekzadeh J, Mazluom SR, Etezadi T, Tasseri A. A standardized shift handover protocol: Improving nurses' safe practice in intensive care units. J Caring Sci 2013;2:177-85.
- Kable AK, Gibberd RW, Spigelman AD. Adverse events in surgical patients in Australia. Int J Qual Health Care 2002;14:269-76.
- World Health Organization.WHO Collaborating Centre for Patient Safety Solutions. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2007. Performance of correct Procedure at correct body Site. Available from: http://www.who. int/patientsafety/solutions/patientsafety/PS-Solution4.pdf. [Last accessed on 2014 Sep 02].
- Collins SJ, Newhouse R, Porter J, Talsma A. Effectiveness of the surgical safety checklist in correcting errors: A literature review applying Reason's Swiss cheese model. AORN | 2014;100:65-79.e5.
- Kasatpibal N, Senaratana W, Chitreecheur J, Chotirosniramit N, Pakvipas P, Junthasopeepun P. Implementation of the World Health Organization surgical safety checklist at a university hospital in Thailand. Surg Infect (Larchmt) 2012;13:50-6.
- Semel ME, Resch S, Haynes AB, Funk LM, Bader A, Berry WR, et al. Adopting a surgical safety checklist could save money and improve the quality of care in U.S. hospitals. Health Aff (Millwood) 2010;29:1593-9.
- Taylor B, Slater A, Reznick R. The surgical safety checklist effects are sustained, and team culture is strengthened. Surgeon 2010;8:1-4.
- Treadwell JR, Lucas S, Tsou AY. Surgical checklists: A systematic review of impacts and implementation. BMJ Qual Saf 2014;23:299-318.
- 23. Askarian M, Kouchak F, Palenik CJ. Effect of surgical safety checklists on

- postoperative morbidity and mortality rates, Shiraz, Faghihy Hospital, a 1-year study. Qual Manag Health Care 2011;20:293-7.
- Spruce L. Back to basics: Implementing the surgical checklist. AORN J 2014;100:465-73.
- Klevens RM, Edwards JR, Richards CL Jr, Horan TC, Gaynes RP, Pollock DA, et al. Estimating health care-associated infections and deaths in U.S. hospitals, 2002. Public Health Rep 2007;122:160-6.
- Allegranzi B, Bagheri Nejad S, Combescure C, Graafmans W, Attar H, Donaldson L, et al. Burden of endemic health-care-associated infection in developing countries: Systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet 2011;377:228-41.
- World Health Organization. The Global Patient Safety Challenge. 2005-2006
   "Clean Care is Safer Care". Geneva: World Health Organization; 2005.
   p. 1-25.
- Guideline for Hand Hygiene in Health-Care Settings. United States: Centers for Disease Control and Prevention; 2002. Available from: http://www.cdc. gov/mmwr/PDF/rr/rr5116.pdf. [Last accessed on 2014 Sep 03].
- World Health Organization. WHO Guidelines on Hand Hygiene in Health Care: First Global Patient Safety Challenge Clean Care is Safer Care. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2009. p. 270. Available from: http://www.whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2009/9789241597906\_eng.pdf. [Last accessed on 2014 Sep 03].
- Allegranzi B, Sax H, Bengaly L, Richet H, Minta DK, Chraiti MN, et al. Successful implementation of the World Health Organization hand hygiene improvement strategy in a referral hospital in Mali, Africa. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2010;31:133-41.
- Ataei B, Zahraei SM, Pezeshki Z, Babak A, Nokhodian Z, Mobasherizadeh S, et al. Baseline evaluation of hand hygiene compliance in three major hospitals, Isfahan, Iran. J Hosp Infect 2013;85:69-72.
- Askarian M, Hajiabadi MJ, Kashkooli YA, Yousofi M, Fathi H, Kouchack F, et al. Improving infection prevention methods in hemodialysis units: A multicenter survey. Am J Infect Control 2014;42:193-4.
- Malekmakan L, Haghpanah S, Askarian M, Jowkari M, Moalaee M. Hand hygiene in Iranian health care workers. Am J Infect Control 2008;36:602-3.
- World Health Organization. Guide to Implementation of the WHO
  Multimodal Hand Hygiene Improvement Strategy. Geneva: World
  Health Organization; 2009. Available from: http://www.whqlibdoc.
  who.int/hq/2009/WHO\_IER\_PSP\_2009.02\_eng.pdf. [Last accessed on
  2014 Sep 03].
- Randmaa M, Mårtensson G, Leo Swenne C, Engström M. SBAR improves communication and safety climate and decreases incident reports due to communication errors in an anaesthetic clinic: A prospective intervention study. BMJ Open 2014;4:e004268.
- Kesten KS. Role-play using SBAR technique to improve observed communication skills in senior nursing students. J Nurs Educ 2011;50:79-87.
- 37. Safe Surgery Checklist. The Joint Commission. Available from: http://

#### http://www.ijpvmjournal.net/content/6/1/120

- www.jointcommission.org/safe\_surgery\_checklist/. [Last accessed on 2014 Dec 011.
- WHO. Surgical Safety Checklist. World Health Organization. Available from: http://www.who.int/patientsafety/safesurgery/checklist/en/. [Last accessed on 2014 Dec 011.
- Patient Safety Tool: SURPASS Checklist. Becker's Infection and Quality Control.
   Available from: http://www.beckersasc.com/asc-quality-infection-control/patient-safety-tool-surpass-checklist-for-safe-surgery.html. [Last accessed on 2014 Dec 09].
- 40. Banschbach SK. Revisiting the universal protocol. AORN J 2009;89:257-9.
- Corso R, Vacirca F, Patelli C, Leni D. Use of "Time-Out" checklist in interventional radiology procedures as a tool to enhance patient safety. Radiol Med 2014;119:828-34.
- Vivekanantham S, Ravindran RP, Shanmugarajah K, Maruthappu M, Shalhoub J. Surgical safety checklists in developing countries. Int J Surg 2014;12:2-6.
- Kuo CC, Robb WJ rd. Critical roles of orthopaedic surgeon leadership in healthcare systems to improve orthopaedic surgical patient safety. Clin Orthop Relat Res 2013;471:1792-800.
- World Health Organization. WHO Guidelines for Hand Hygiene in Health Care. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2009. Available from: http:// www.who.int/gpsc/5may/tools/who\_guidelines-handhygiene\_summary.pdf. [Last accessed on 2014 Sep 03].
- 45. Pittet D, Simon A, Hugonnet S, Pessoa-Silva CL, Sauvan V, Perneger TV. Hand hygiene among physicians: Performance, beliefs, and perceptions. Ann Intern Med 2004;141:1-8.
- Rebaudet S, De Pina JJ, Rapp C, Kraemer P, Savini H, Demortiere E, et al. Risk of nosocomial infection in Intertropical Africa. Part 4: Prevention. Med Trop (Mars) 2008;68:73-82.
- Borghi J, Guinness L, Ouedraogo J, Curtis V. Is hygiene promotion cost-effective? A case study in Burkina Faso. Trop Med Int Health 2002;7:960-9.
- Hugonnet S, Perneger TV, Pittet D. Alcohol-based handrub improves compliance with hand hygiene in intensive care units. Arch Intern Med 2002;162:1037-43.
- Wharton EM, Platt AJ. Can we improve doctors' hand hygiene on ward rounds? J Hosp Infect 2006;64:400-1.
- Owusu-Ofori A, Jennings R, Burgess J, Prasad PA, Acheampong F, Coffin SE. Assessing hand hygiene resources and practices at a large African teaching hospital. Infect Control Hosp Epidemiol 2010;31:802-8.
- Allegranzi B, Conway L, Larson E, Pittet D. Status of the implementation of the World Health Organization multimodal hand hygiene strategy in United States of America health care facilities. Am J Infect Control 2014;42:224-30.
- Yawson AE, Hesse AA. Hand hygiene practices and resources in a teaching hospital in Ghana. J Infect Dev Ctries 2013;7:338-47.

**Source of Support:** Shiraz University of Medical Sciences. **Conflict of Interest:** None declared.

http://www.ijpvmjournal.net/content/6/1/120

International Journal of Preventive Medicine 2015, 6:120

## **ANNEXURE**

#### **Annexure 1: Hand-over checklist**

## □ Care giver 1 (name and job): □ Care giver 2 (name and job): □ Ward: □ Bed number: □ Patient name: □ Patient's diagnosis: □ Personal history (like allergies): □ Family history: □ New complaints, wants, or needs: Background □ Patient's current medication: □ Recent changes and response to treatment: □ Recent changes in vital signs: □ Recent changes in whole body exam: □ Recent changes in lab data (critical lab values): □ Recent changes in tests: Assessment □ Current assessment: □ Your best judgment/anticipations: Recommendation □ Pending tests or lab results: $\hfill\Box$ Things should be done of the next few hours: □ Current recommendation for future care: □ Plan of action: □ Prioritization of actions: □ Your concerns: Other questions and answers:

#### **Annexure 2: Safety procedure checklist**

Team member names  Role  1 2 3 4 5 6 Before procedure  Confirmation of patient identity (by himself or his family)  Confirmation of procedure site and position (by himself or his family)  Marking the procedure site (if it is applicable)  Review the patient history (allergies, risks for blood loss, etc.):  Any new concern about the current patient status:  Introducing the team members (name and role)  Confirmation of required equipment's for procedure, by practitioner  Anticipating critical events or concerns by team members (specific equipment requirements, sterility of the instrumentation, special investigations, etc.):  Availability of the required test or imaging  Indication for surgical site infection bundle (prophylactic antibiotic, hair removal, etc.)
2 3 4 5 6 Before procedure  Confirmation of patient identity (by himself or his family)  Confirmation of procedure site and position (by himself or his family)  Confirmation of filling the consent form (by himself or his family)  Marking the procedure site (if it is applicable)  Review the patient history (allergies, risks for blood loss, etc.):  Any new concern about the current patient status:  Introducing the team members (name and role)  Confirmation of required equipment's for procedure, by practitioner  Anticipating critical events or concerns by team members (specific equipment requirements, sterility of the instrumentation, special investigations, etc.):  Availability of the required test or imaging  Indication for surgical site infection bundle (prophylactic antibiotic, hair removal, etc.)
3 4 5 6 Before procedure  Confirmation of patient identity (by himself or his family)  Confirmation of procedure site and position (by himself or his family)  Marking the procedure site (if it is applicable)  Review the patient history (allergies, risks for blood loss, etc.):  Any new concern about the current patient status:  Introducing the team members (name and role)  Confirmation of required equipment's for procedure, by practitioner  Anticipating critical events or concerns by team members (specific equipment requirements, sterility of the instrumentation, special investigations, etc.):  Availability of the required test or imaging  Indication for surgical site infection bundle (prophylactic antibiotic, hair removal, etc.)
4 5 6 Before procedure  Confirmation of patient identity (by himself or his family) Confirmation of procedure site and position (by himself or his family) Marking the procedure site (if it is applicable) Review the patient history (allergies, risks for blood loss, etc.): Any new concern about the current patient status: Introducing the team members (name and role) Confirmation of required equipment's for procedure, by practitioner Anticipating critical events or concerns by team members (specific equipment requirements, sterility of the instrumentation, special investigations, etc.): Availability of the required test or imaging Indication for surgical site infection bundle (prophylactic antibiotic, hair removal, etc.)
Before procedure  Confirmation of patient identity (by himself or his family) Confirmation of procedure site and position (by himself or his family) Confirmation of filling the consent form (by himself or his family) Marking the procedure site (if it is applicable) Review the patient history (allergies, risks for blood loss, etc.): Any new concern about the current patient status: Introducing the team members (name and role) Confirmation of required equipment's for procedure, by practitioner Anticipating critical events or concerns by team members (specific equipment requirements, sterility of the instrumentation, special investigations, etc.): Availability of the required test or imaging Indication for surgical site infection bundle (prophylactic antibiotic, hair removal, etc.)
Before procedure  Confirmation of patient identity (by himself or his family)  Confirmation of procedure site and position (by himself or his family)  Confirmation of filling the consent form (by himself or his family)  Marking the procedure site (if it is applicable)  Review the patient history (allergies, risks for blood loss, etc.):  Any new concern about the current patient status:  Introducing the team members (name and role)  Confirmation of required equipment's for procedure, by practitioner  Anticipating critical events or concerns by team members (specific equipment requirements, sterility of the instrumentation, special investigations, etc.):  Availability of the required test or imaging  Indication for surgical site infection bundle (prophylactic antibiotic, hair removal, etc.)
Before procedure  Confirmation of patient identity (by himself or his family)  Confirmation of procedure site and position (by himself or his family)  Confirmation of filling the consent form (by himself or his family)  Marking the procedure site (if it is applicable)  Review the patient history (allergies, risks for blood loss, etc.):  Any new concern about the current patient status:  Introducing the team members (name and role)  Confirmation of required equipment's for procedure, by practitioner  Anticipating critical events or concerns by team members (specific equipment requirements, sterility of the instrumentation, special investigations, etc.):  Availability of the required test or imaging  Indication for surgical site infection bundle (prophylactic antibiotic, hair removal, etc.)
<ul> <li>Confirmation of patient identity (by himself or his family)</li> <li>Confirmation of procedure site and position (by himself or his family)</li> <li>Confirmation of filling the consent form (by himself or his family)</li> <li>Marking the procedure site (if it is applicable)</li> <li>Review the patient history (allergies, risks for blood loss, etc.):</li> <li>Any new concern about the current patient status:</li> <li>Introducing the team members (name and role)</li> <li>Confirmation of required equipment's for procedure, by practitioner</li> <li>Anticipating critical events or concerns by team members (specific equipment requirements, sterility of the instrumentation, special investigations, etc.):</li> <li>Availability of the required test or imaging</li> <li>Indication for surgical site infection bundle (prophylactic antibiotic, hair removal, etc.)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Confirmation of procedure site and position (by himself or his family)</li> <li>Confirmation of filling the consent form (by himself or his family)</li> <li>Marking the procedure site (if it is applicable)</li> <li>Review the patient history (allergies, risks for blood loss, etc.):</li> <li>Any new concern about the current patient status:</li> <li>Introducing the team members (name and role)</li> <li>Confirmation of required equipment's for procedure, by practitioner</li> <li>Anticipating critical events or concerns by team members (specific equipment requirements, sterility of the instrumentation, special investigations, etc.):</li> <li>Availability of the required test or imaging</li> <li>Indication for surgical site infection bundle (prophylactic antibiotic, hair removal, etc.)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Confirmation of filling the consent form (by himself or his family)</li> <li>Marking the procedure site (if it is applicable)</li> <li>Review the patient history (allergies, risks for blood loss, etc.):</li> <li>Any new concern about the current patient status:</li> <li>Introducing the team members (name and role)</li> <li>Confirmation of required equipment's for procedure, by practitioner</li> <li>Anticipating critical events or concerns by team members (specific equipment requirements, sterility of the instrumentation, special investigations, etc.):</li> <li>Availability of the required test or imaging</li> <li>Indication for surgical site infection bundle (prophylactic antibiotic, hair removal, etc.)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Marking the procedure site (if it is applicable)</li> <li>Review the patient history (allergies, risks for blood loss, etc.):</li> <li>Any new concern about the current patient status:</li> <li>Introducing the team members (name and role)</li> <li>Confirmation of required equipment's for procedure, by practitioner</li> <li>Anticipating critical events or concerns by team members (specific equipment requirements, sterility of the instrumentation, special investigations, etc.):</li> <li>Availability of the required test or imaging</li> <li>Indication for surgical site infection bundle (prophylactic antibiotic, hair removal, etc.)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Review the patient history (allergies, risks for blood loss, etc.):</li> <li>Any new concern about the current patient status:</li> <li>Introducing the team members (name and role)</li> <li>Confirmation of required equipment's for procedure, by practitioner</li> <li>Anticipating critical events or concerns by team members (specific equipment requirements, sterility of the instrumentation, special investigations, etc.):</li> <li>Availability of the required test or imaging</li> <li>Indication for surgical site infection bundle (prophylactic antibiotic, hair removal, etc.)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Any new concern about the current patient status:</li> <li>Introducing the team members (name and role)</li> <li>Confirmation of required equipment's for procedure, by practitioner</li> <li>Anticipating critical events or concerns by team members (specific equipment requirements, sterility of the instrumentation, special investigations, etc.):</li> <li>Availability of the required test or imaging</li> <li>Indication for surgical site infection bundle (prophylactic antibiotic, hair removal, etc.)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Introducing the team members (name and role)</li> <li>Confirmation of required equipment's for procedure, by practitioner</li> <li>Anticipating critical events or concerns by team members (specific equipment requirements, sterility of the instrumentation, special investigations, etc.):</li> <li>Availability of the required test or imaging</li> <li>Indication for surgical site infection bundle (prophylactic antibiotic, hair removal, etc.)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Confirmation of required equipment's for procedure, by practitioner</li> <li>Anticipating critical events or concerns by team members (specific equipment requirements, sterility of the instrumentation, special investigations, etc.):</li> <li>Availability of the required test or imaging</li> <li>Indication for surgical site infection bundle (prophylactic antibiotic, hair removal, etc.)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Anticipating critical events or concerns by team members         (specific equipment requirements, sterility of the instrumentation, special investigations, etc.):</li> <li>Availability of the required test or imaging</li> <li>Indication for surgical site infection bundle (prophylactic antibiotic, hair removal, etc.)</li> </ul>
(specific equipment requirements, sterility of the instrumentation, special investigations, etc.):  Availability of the required test or imaging Indication for surgical site infection bundle (prophylactic antibiotic, hair removal, etc.)
special investigations, etc.):  Availability of the required test or imaging Indication for surgical site infection bundle (prophylactic antibiotic, hair removal, etc.)
<ul> <li>Availability of the required test or imaging</li> <li>Indication for surgical site infection bundle (prophylactic antibiotic, hair removal, etc.)</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>Indication for surgical site infection bundle (prophylactic antibiotic, hair removal, etc.)</li> </ul>
hair removal, etc.)
Other questions and answers:
After procedure
Confirmation of recorded procedure name
<ul> <li>Confirmation of counting the instruments, swabs, and sharp (if</li> </ul>
applicable)
□ Confirmation of labeling the specimens
□ Any problems that need to be addressed
□ Key concerns for management of this patient:
☐ Items that must be followed:
Other questions and answers:
Name:
Signature of registered practitioner:

http://www.ijpvmjournal.net/content/6/1/120

## Annexure 3: "Hand-over" data collection form:

1. Is a standardized form available for hand-over communication and passing patient specific information from one caregiver to another, between staff change of shift?
□ Yes
□ No
□ Don't know
2. If the form is available, is it filled out?
□ Always
□ Often
□ Sometimes
□ Rarely
□ Never
3. Is a standardized form available for hand-over communication and passing patient specific information from one caregiver to another, between
different patient care units in the course of a patient transfer?
□ Yes
□ No
□ Don't know
4. If the form is available, is it filled out?
□ Always
□ Often
□ Sometimes
□ Rarely
□ Never
5. Is a standardized form available for hand-over communication during discharge of the patient back home or to another facility?
□ Yes
□ No
□ Don't know
6. If the form is available, is it filled out?
□ Always
□ Often
□ Sometimes
□ Rarely
□ Never
7. Is training on effective hand-over communication, part of the educational curriculum?
□ Yes
□ No
□ Don't know
8. Is any specified room or place, used during physician and nursing change-of-shift reporting and hand-over?
□ Always
□ Often
□ Sometimes
□ Rarely
, □ Never
9. Except in the case of an emergency, are any interruptions occurring during clinical hand-over?
□ Always
□ Often
□ Sometimes
□ Rarely
□ Never
10. Is anyone responsible for dealing with distractions that can disturb the hand-over process including bleeps, telephones, relatives, nurses, and
other doctors?
□ Always
□ Often

http://www.ijpvmjournal.net/content/6/1/120

Annexure 3: Contd						
□ Sometimes						
□ Rarely						
□ Never						
11. How much time do you spent for patients hand-over between changes of shifts?						
□ <30 min						
□ 30-60 min						
□ More than 60 min						
12. How much time do you spent for each patient hand-over between changes of shift	ts?					
□ <5 min						
□ 5-10 min						
□ More than 10 min						
13. Please fill out the below table						
I terms that must be communicated during patient hand-over between		Percentag	e of using t	he items th	at must be	
nurses		commun	icated duri	ng patient h	and-over	
	<10	10-29	30-49	50-69	70-89	>90
Admitting diagnosis						
Secondary diagnosis						
Consultations that has been done in the previous shift						
Complications that has occurred for the patient in the previous shift						
Recently changes in medication or fluid therapy						
Abnormal labs						
Recently changes in vital signs						
Recently changes in drains/tubes/wounds/dressings						
Recently changes in clinical status/physical examination						
Any concerns about this patient						
Care/issues/orders/pending treatment/tests/items left undone requiring follow-up						
Annexure 4: "Correct procedure at correct body site" data collection for						
1. Are the patient and his/her family informed about procedure rationale, plans, option	s, and r	risks before t	he procedur	es that nee	d obtaining o	consent
before the performance?						
□ Always						
Often     Carratinas						
□ Sometimes						
□ Rarely						
Never	المام ما	t the wased.	.vo votionala	nlana anti	ana and rial	a and
2. Is any guide sheet or educational content for informing the patient and his/her familiavailable in your ward?	iy about	t the proceal	ire rationale	, pians, opti	ons and risk	s, and
□ Yes						
□ No						
□ Don't know						
3. If yes, is any checklist for monitoring of patient education, available in your ward?						
□ Yes						
□ No						
Don't know				مدر ما مامانم		
4. Is there any list (or catalogue) of major procedures' name that needs obtaining cons	sent be	rore the peri	ormance, av	aliable in yo	ur ward?	
□ Yes						
□ No						
□ Don't know	waa e	.12				
5. Is consent obtained for all procedures (that need obtaining consent before the perfo	ninance	:1:				
□ Always □ Often						
Oiteil						

http://www.ijpvmjournal.net/content/6/1/120

Annexure 4: Contd
□ Sometimes
□ Rarely
□ Never
6. Is there any consent form including the full name of the procedure, site, anesthesia plan or preferences for all procedures that need obtaining consent before the performance, available in your ward?
□ Yes
□ No
□ Don't know
7. Are consents documented for all procedures that need obtaining consent before the performance in your ward?
□ Always
□ Often
□ Sometimes
□ Rarely
□ Never
8. Is the current information on the patient's medical status and proposed procedure plans obtained by practitioners at the day of the procedure?
□ Always
□ Often
□ Sometimes
□ Rarely
Never
9. Is any checklist available for obtaining the current information on the patient's medical status and proposed procedure plans at the day of the procedure?
□ Yes
□ No
□ Don't know
10. If yes, is this checklist filled out when needed?
□ Always
□ Often
□ Sometimes
□ Rarely
□ Never
11. Is any checklist available to ensure the performance of correct procedure at the correct body site?
□ Yes
□ No
□ Don't know
If yes, answer the next three questions:
I. Is any part of the checklist questions completed before induction of anesthesia as "Sign in"?
□ Always
□ Often
□ Sometimes
□ Rarely
□ Never
II. Is any part of the checklist questions completed before start of surgical intervention as "Time out"?
□ Always
□ Often
□ Sometimes
□ Rarely
□ Never
III. Is any part of the checklist questions, completed before any member of the team leaves the procedure room as "Time out"?
□ Always
□ Often
□ Sometimes

http://www.ijpvmjournal.net/content/6/1/120

Annexure 4: Contd	
□ Rarely	
□ Never	
12. Is the procedure site marked before the performance o	f the procedure?
□ Always	
□ Often	
□ Sometimes	
□ Rarely	
□ Never	
If yes, answer the next two questions:	
I. Does the patient confirm the procedure site and marking	s?
□ Always	
□ Often	
□ Sometimes	
□ Rarely	
□ Never	
II. Is anyone responsible for the marking of the procedure s	ite if the patient is not conscious?
□ Yes	
□ No	
□ Don't know	
Annexure 5: "Hand hygiene" data collection form	
1. Is running water available?	
□ Always	
□ Often	
□ Sometimes	
□ Rarely	
□ Never	
2. Is water visibly clean?	
□ Always	
□ Often	
□ Sometimes	
□ Rarely	
□ Never	
3. What kind of taps is available?	
□ Always	
□ Often	
□ Sometimes	
□ Rarely	
□ Never	
4. Are disposable towels available at all sinks?	
□ Always	
□ Often	
□ Sometimes	
□ Rarely	
□ Never	
5. Is soap available at all sinks?	
□ Always	
□ Often	
□ Sometimes	
□ Rarely	
□ Never	

 $\square$  Never

http://www.ijpvmjournal.net/content/6/1/120

Annexure 5: Contd
6. Is an alcohol-based hand rub available?
□ Always
□ Often
□ Sometimes
□ Rarely
□ Never
7. If yes, what type of hand rub dispensers are available? (select all applicable answers)
□ Pocket bottle
□ Bottle affixed to trolley/tray
□ Bottle affixed to bed
□ Wall dispenser
□ Dispenser located on bedside table/trolley
8. If wall dispensers are available, are they placed at the point of care*?
□ Yes
□ Yes but not at each point of care
□ No
9. Does every health-care worker have easy access to hand rub pocket bottles?
□ Always
□ Often
□ Sometimes
□ Rarely
□ Never
10. Is there an assigned person responsible for the refilling or replacement of empty dispensers?
□ Always
□ Often
□ Sometimes
□ Rarely
□ Never
11. Are hand rub dispensers replaced when empty?
□ Always
□ Often
□ Sometimes
□ Rarely
□ Never
12. Are posters illustrating hand wash technique displayed beside each sink?
□ Yes
□ No
13. Are posters illustrating hand rub technique displayed close to the dispensers and in multiple areas of the ward?
□ Yes
□ No
14. Are posters illustrating indications for HH displayed in multiple areas of the ward?
□ Yes
□ No
15. Is any other type of reminder on HH displayed/available on this ward?
□ Yes
□ No
16. Are examination gloves available on this ward?
□ Always
□ Often
□ Sometimes
□ Rarely
=

http://www.ijpvmjournal.net/content/6/1/120

## Annexure 5: Contd...

- 17. Are audits on HH compliance periodically performed on this ward?
  - □ Always
  - □ Often
  - □ Sometimes
  - □ Rarely
  - □ Never
- 18. If yes, how frequently?
  - □ At least once a year
  - □ At least once every 2 years
- □ Less frequently

HH=Hand hygiene

