

A Survey on Socio-Economic Factors in Relation to Quality of Life in Birjand City

H. Ahmadi* and A. R. Eslam** * Sociology Department, Shiraz University ** Ph.D student of sociology, Shiraz University

Abstract

This study has been performed by quantitative approach, survey method, and questionnaire technique. The theoretical framework has been synthesized from Maslow's theory of hierarchical needs, and human ecological-systems theory. Based on the main concepts of the theories, and regarding the population characteristics, some indicators have been extracted. Data have been collected from 400 samples, calculated by Cochran' formulae, residing in Birjand city at the age of 15-65 years old with multistage cluster sampling method. Our basic assumption is that the intensity and direction of Quality of Life is subjective-objective and in turn, it is influenced and determined by subjective-objective factors. On this basis, by SPSS program and statistics such as analysis of variance and univariate regression, the relationships between Quality of Life and nine independent variables were analyzed. These variables include: social origin, employment position, level of education, occupational rating, level of income, benefitting from networks of social support, socialization manner in family, using mass media, and modern/traditional attitudes. The results show that all of these nine relationships have been significant and positive. Additionally, in multivariate regression the five variables –level of education, socialization manner in family, level of using mass media, level of benefitting from social support networks, and occupational rating— have been included in the equation, and they have collectively explained 44 percent of variances of dependent variable.

Keywords: Quality of Life, Well-being, Birjand, Basic Needs, Human Ecology.

(Miles, 1993:641)

((:)

(Westby, 1991: 2-5)

1. Quality of Life/Life Quality

O'Boyle,2001:) ((2000: 2299-301 12628-29; Markides, .(Land,2000: 2686-87) .(Land,2000: 2686; Lauer,1998: 28) Schalock et al,2002:) .(458 1. Sensitizing Concept

Biswas-Diener)

(and Diener, 2005: 7-8


2. Level of Living

Clark and) .(Diener and Diener,2005: 7-8

1. contribution

Rettig and) .(Leichtentritt,2005: 2 1. Phisiological needs 2. safty needs 3. belongingness and love needs 4. esteem needs 6. Human Ecological-Systems Theory 5. need for self-actualization

Rettig and .(Leichtentritt,2005: 2 ,2005: Rettig and Leichtentritt) .(2-3 1. perceptual



```
SPSS
              )
```

()

www.SID.ir

Τ / / /

/ R² Н0 В H1 Beta R² Τ В Beta R F= 105.004 Sig F= 0.000 Н0 / R² В Τ В Beta \mathbb{R}^2 R / / / Sig F= 0.000 F= 93.966

Beta / Н0 H1 В Beta В R Sig F= 0.000 F= 73.995 Beta Н0 R^2 H1

В

| | | Т | Beta | В | | R ² | R | |
|---|------------|---|---------|---|----------------|----------------|---|----------------|
| Beta / H0 H1 / R2 B T Beta B R2 R | / | 1 | 1 | / | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| Beta / H0 H1 / R2 B T Beta B R2 R | | | F= 40.5 | 4 | Sia F= | 0.000 | | |
| H1 / R2 B | / / Beta . | | | | | | | |
| T Beta B R ² R | | 1 | | |) | | / | R ² |
| | | |) | | | | В | |
| | | | | В | R ² | R | | |
| | 1 | / | 1 | / | 1 | / | | |

/ Beta R^2 Н0 H1 В В R² R Beta F= 84.469 Sig F= 0.000 Н0 H1 / R² В

Sig F= 0.000 F= 13.011

X = :

Y= a+b1x1

Y= 169.7+(0.82)x1

X = :

Y= a+b1x1+b2x2

Y= 137.5+(0.82)x1+(1.02)x2

X = :

Y= a+b1x1+b2x2+b3x3

Y= 127.5+(0.82)x1+(1.02)x2+(0.73)x3

X = :

Y= a+b1x1+b2x2+b3x3+b4x4

Y= 126.5+(0.82)x1+(1.02)x2+(0.73)x3+(0.35)x4

/

Beta

Y = a+b1x1+b2x2+b3x3+b4x4+b5x5

Y= 123.2+(0.82)x1+(1.02)x2+(0.73)x3+(0.35)x4+(1)x5

В

 R^2

R

(/

| | SigT | Т | Beta | В | R² | R ² | R | |
|---|------|---|------|---|----|----------------|---|--|
| / | 1 | 1 | / | 1 | | 1 | 1 | |
| / | 1 | 1 | / | 1 | 1 | / | 1 | |
| / | 1 | 1 | / | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| / | 1 | 1 | / | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | |
| / | 1 | 1 | / | | 1 | / | 1 | |

| Sig T | Beta | Т | | |
|-------|------|---|---|---|
| / | / | 1 | / | |
| / | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |

Т

```
.( :
```

(·)

Biswas-Diener and)

.(Diener,2005:7-8

1. Communities

2. Local non-governmental Organization

.

. . .

July)http://www.Sci.or.ir :

(2007

12- Clark, Cal & Janet Clark. The Status of Women and the Quality of Life in Developing Societies. Perspectives on Global Development and Technology, Vol 3, Iss 4, pp. 451-469, 2004.

1. variation

- 18- Schalock, Robert L. et al, Conceptualization, Measurement, and Application of Quality of Life for Persons With Intellectual Disabilities: Report of an International Panel of Experts. American Association on Mental Retardation. Vol. 40, N. 6: 457-470, 2002.
- 19- Westby, David L., The Growth of Sociological Theory. Prentice-Hall, U. S. A, 1991. 20- Biswas-Diener, Robert & Ed Diener. Making the Best of a Bad Situation: Satisfaction in the Slums of Calcutta, available at: http://proquest.umi. com/pqdweb?index=70&did=826345000&SrchMode=1&sid=6&F, (May 2005).
- 21- Rettig, Kathryn D. & Ronit D. Leichtentritt. A General Theory for Perceptual Indicators of Family Life Quality, available at: http://proquest.umi.com/pqdweb?index=124&did=44319405&SrchMode=1&sid=6&, (May 2005).

- 13- Land, Kenneth C., Social Indicators. Borgatta, Edgar F. & Rhonola J. V. Montgomery(eds)., Encyclopedia of Sociology. Vol. 4, McMillan Reference, U.S.A, 2000.
- 14- Lauer, Robert H., Social Problems and the Quality of Life. McGraw-Hill, USA, 1998.
- 15- Markides, Kyriakos S., Quality of Life. Borgatta, Edgar F. & Rhonola J. V. Montgomery(eds), Encyclopedia of Sociology. Vol. 4, McMillan Reference, U.S.A, 2000.
- 16- Miles, Ian D., Standard of Living. Outhwaite, William & Tom Bottomore(eds). The Blackwell Dictionary of Twentieth-Century Social Thought. Blackwell, U. S. A, 1993.
- 17- O'Boyle, C. A., Quality of Life: Assessment in Health Settings. Smelser, Neil J. & Baltes, Paul B.(eds). International Encyclopedia of the Social and Behavioral Sciences. Vol. 18, pp. 12628-12631, Pergamon, U. K, 2001.