

()

(in situ)

//

(gas test)

(nylon bag)

()

% :)

(% / : % / : % : % : % :

/ / /

/ /

/ / / / / /

/ /

/ / /

/

/

/ / / / / /

/ / / / / /

Y = 35.724 :

+ 0.714 x

/

/

:

(in sacco)

) :
(
: % : % : % :)
(% / : % / : %) . ()

: AOAC

(Kjeltec analyze 1030)

(Soxtec Sys HT.1042)

Carbalite furnaces system RHF 17.10) . ()

Atomic) (E

(absorption spectrophotometry 902

(spectrophotometry coleman junior)

WTB)
(Binder -

)
: (± ± . ()

(in vivo) ()

(in sacco)

... (in situ) :

.()

KHPO_4 / Na_2HPO_4 / :
 $\text{MgSO}_4 \times 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$ /

MnCl_2 $\text{CaCl}_2 \times 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$:
 $\text{FeCl}_2 \times$ / $\text{CoCl}_2 \times 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ $\times 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$
 $6\text{H}_2\text{O}$

$(\text{NH}_4) \text{HCO}_3$ NaHCO_3 :

: .()

NaOH : ()

/ $\text{Na}_2\text{S} \times 7\text{H}_2\text{O}$

)

.(

)

(

)

(

/

.()

.()

CO_2

$\pm /$

$\times \times$

. CO_2

(V₀)
)

(V₆) (V₄) (V₂) ± /
(V₂₄) (V₁₂) (V₈)
(V₉₆) (V₇₂) (V₄₈) ()

Neway

Excel Neway

c , b , a

$$V_c(\text{ml}/200 \text{ mg DM}) = 212 * (V_t - V_b) / W (\text{gr})$$

SAS $V_c(\text{ml}/200 \text{ mgDM}) = 200 * (V_t - V_b) / W (\text{gr}) * 0.94$

$$= V_t () / t = W$$
$$= V_b$$
$$= V_c$$

1 . Blank Value

... (in situ) :

/ /
/ /

/ /
/ /

/ / /
/ /

/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	
/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	
/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	
		/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	
		/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	

/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	
/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	
/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	
		/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	
		/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	

/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	
/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	
/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	
		/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	
		/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	

/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /
/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /
/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /
		/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /
		/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /	/ ± /

$$P = a + b(1 - e^{-ct})$$

(,)

/ / /

a

c

b

()

P

(k= /)

$$P = a + [bc / (c+r)] [1 - e^{-(c+r)t}]$$

1. Neway

$P(K = 0.05)$	$P(K = 0.02)$	L	RSD	c	$a + b$	b	a
/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/

:

$P(K = 0.05)$	$P(K = 0.02)$	L	RSD	c	$a + b$	b	a
/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/

... (in situ) :

$P(K = 0.05)$	$P(K = 0.02)$	L	RSD	c	$a + b$	b	a
/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/

$P(K = 0.05)$	$P(K = 0.02)$	L	RSD	c	$a + b$	b	a
/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/

/ ()

/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
/	/	/	/	/	/	/	/

.()

/	/	/	/
/	/	/	/
/	/	/	/
/	/	/	/
/	/	/	/

(X)	(Y)
$Y = 48.351 + 0.448 x$	0.93
$Y = 58.329 + 0.419 x$	0.98
$Y = 45.370 + 0.211 x$	0.84
$Y = 45.201 + 0.240 x$	0.94

t

(X)	(Y)
$Y = 31.158 + 0.381 x$	0.98
$Y = 65.544 + 0.327 x$	0.95
$Y = 40.451 + 0.337 x$	0.80
$Y = 43.912 + 0.282 x$	0.97

(X)	(Y)
$Y = 35.724 + 0.714 x$	0.99
$Y = 47.136 + 0.854 x$	0.94
$Y = 2.065 + 1.045 x$	0.92
$Y = 6.767 + 1.006 x$	0.98

Hohenheimer

$$P = a + b(1 - e^{-ct})$$
 r

(X)	(Y)
$Y = 12.323 + 0.870 x$	0.98
$Y = 9.156 + 1.231 x$	0.98
$Y = 3.706 + 0.586 x$	0.95
$Y = 3.961 + 0.694 x$	0.96

(X)	(Y)
$Y = 31.218 + 0.615 x$	0.98
$Y = 60.653 + 0.247 x$	0.98
$Y = 10.678 + 0.780 x$	0.99
$Y = 11.147 + 0.826 x$	0.99

CO₂

... (in situ) :

.(,)

.()

t+1

t

s

.(,)

:

(

Archive of SID

.(,)

.(,)

.()

VFA

)

CH₄ CO₂

.(

CO₂

()

(,)

)

(

VFA

(,)

()

(,)

()

(,)

(Lag phase)

()

REFERENCES

in

in vitro in vivo situ

in vitro in vivo

in situ

(in vitro)

(in vivo)

(in vitro in situ)

(in situ)

in vitroin sacco

6. ARC Technical Review. 1984. Interdepartmental protein working party, Estimation of protein degradation. A standard method for in situ measurements of nitrogen disappearance from dacron bags suspended in the rumen.
7. Association of official analytical chemist (A.O.A.C.). 1990. 13 th edition. publ by A.O.A.C , P.O Box 540 , Washington D.C .
8. Blummel, M. & E. R. Orskov . 1993 . Comparison of in vitro gas production and nylon bag degradability of roughages in predicting feed intake in cattle. Anim.Feed Sci.Technol . 40: 109 – 119.
9. Blummel, M. & P. Bullerdieck.1997. The need to complement in vitro gas production measurements with residue determination from in sacco degradability to improve the prediction of voluntary intake of hays . Animal Science. 64: 71 – 75.
10. Cone , J. W. & H. A. Van Gelder. 1999. Influence of protein fermentation on gas production profiles . Anim.Feed Sci.Technol . 76: 251 – 264.
11. Cone , J.W., A.H.Van gelder , E.T.Weerman, & A.M.Van Vuren .1994b. In vitro estimation of rumen fermentable organic matter with rumen fluid and a cell free preparation of rumen fluid . J.Agri Sci . 42: 343 – 356.
12. Cone,J.W., A.H. Van Gelder ,G.T.W.Visscher, & L.Oushoorn .1996. Influence of rumen fluid and substrate concentration on fermentation kinetics measured with fully automated time related gas production apparatus . Anim.Feed Sci.Technol . 61: 113 – 128 .
13. Cone . J.W., H.A. Van Gelder & F. Driehuis. 1997. Description of gas production profiles with a three phasic model . Anim.Feed Sci.Technol. 66: 31-45.
14. Datt, C. & G. P. singh. 1995 . Effect of protein supplementation on in vitro digestibility and gas production of wheat straw . Indian J.Dairy Sci . 48: 357 – 361.
15. Dhanoa.M.S,S.Lopez,J.Dijkstra,D.R.Davies,R.Sanderson,B.A.Williams,Z.Sileshi & J.France. 2000. Estimating the Extent of degradation of ruminant feed from a description of their gas production profile observed in vitro : comparison of models . Btithish.J.Nutr . 83 : 131 – 142 .
16. France.J .,J.Dijkstra., M.S.Dhanoa ., S.Lopez and A.Bannik . 2000. Estimating the extent of degradation of ruminant feeds from a description of their gas production profiles observed in vitro: Derivation of models and other mathematical considerations . Btithish.J.Nutr . 83: 143 – 150.
17. Groot , J.C.J ., J.W.Cone ,B.A.Williams,F.M.A.debersaques, & E.A. Lantiga . 1996 . Multiphasic analysis of gas production kinetics for in vitro fermentation of ruminant feeds . Anim.Feed Sci.Technol . 64: 77 – 89 .
18. Huhtanen,P.S. Jaakkola, and U. Kukkonen. 1995 . Ruminal plant cell wall digestibility estimated from digestion and passage kinetics utilizing mathematical models . Animal Feed Science and Technology . 52 : 159 – 173 .
19. Lindberg, J. E. 1985a. Estimation of rumen degradability of feed proteins with the in sacco technique a various in vitro methods: a review. Acta Agriculture of Scotland Supplement . 25 : 64 – 96 .
20. Menke , K. H. & H. steingass . 1987 . Estimation of the energetic feed value obtained from chemical analysis and in vitro gas production using rumen fluid . Animal Research and Development. 28 : 7 – 12 .
21. Menke .Karl . Heinz, & Herbert Steingass . 1988 . Estimation of the energetic feed value obtained from chemical analysis and in vitro gas production using rumen fluid . Animal Research and Development . 28: 7 – 55.

22. Menke, K.H., L. Rabb, A. Salewski, H. Steingass, D. Fritz, & W. Schinder. 1979. The estimation of the digestibility and metabolizable energy content of ruminant feedingstuffs from the gas production when they are incubated with rumen liquor in vitro . J.Agr Sci (Cambridge) 93: 217 – 222 .
23. Orskov, E. R. & I. McDonald . 1979 . The estimation of protein degradability in the rumen from incubation measurements weighted according to rate of passage . Journal of Agriculture science (Cambridge) 92 : 499 – 503 .
24. Prasad, C. S., C. D. Wood, & K. T. Sampath .1994.Use of in vitro gas production to evaluate rumen fermentation of untreated and urea treated finger millet straw (*Eleusine coracana*) supplemented with different level of concentrate . Journal of Science of Food Agriculture . 65: 457 – 464.
25. Rymer, C., J. A. Huntington, & D. I. Givens. 1999. Effects of inoculum preparation method and concentration , method of inoculation and pre- soaking the substrate on gas production profile of high temperature dries grass . Anim.Feed Sci.Technol . 78 : 199 – 213 .
26. Stefanon ,B., A. N. Pell & P. Schofield . 1996 . Effect of maturity on digestion kinetics of water soluble and water insoluble fraction of alfalfa and brome hay . J.Anim Sci . 74: 1104 – 1125.
27. Wilman.D., G. R. Foulkes, & D. L. Givens.1996 . A comparison of four methods of estimating the rate and extent of cell wall degradation in grass silage . Anim.Feed Sci.Technol . 63: 99 – 109.

Archive of SID