

---

( )

\*

( / / / / / / / / )

MADM

Archive of SID

)

(

---

« » .[ - ]

« »[ ]

[ - ]

( )

[ - ]

( )

)

-[ ]

(... [ ]

[ - ]

»

«

( )

(BP)

(BA)

(MAUT)

(MAA)

(CA)

(MR)

(FST)

[10].(MDA)

[ ]

[ - ]

[ ]

[ - ]

(VP)

(P)

( )

)

(

(P)

-

[ ]

( )

( )

(P)

$$P = 0.5 P_t + 1.5 P_c + P_L$$

( )

= P<sub>t</sub>

(Plus)


(Minus)

= P<sub>c</sub>

= P<sub>L</sub>

[ ]

VP	P	MLP	F	MLS	S	VS	
18-100%	15-18%	12-15%	10-12%	8-10%	5-8%	0-5%	Minus (-)%
13-100%	11-13%	9-11%	7-9%	5-7%	3-5%	0-3%	Plus (+)%



(E)

-

( )

MADM

(E)

MADM

:

( )

)

$$E = E_p + E_w + E_c$$

( )

(

:

$$= E_c$$

MADM

MADM

$$= E_w$$

$$= E_p$$

MADM

$E_p$   $E_w$   $E_c$

( )

(Q)

-

«

»

(M)

(... ISO)

-

...

(T)

-

(D<sub>1</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>, D<sub>3</sub>)

k

m

(D<sub>1</sub>, D<sub>2</sub>, ..., D<sub>k</sub>)

m



(A<sub>1</sub>, A<sub>2</sub>, ..., A<sub>m</sub>)

...

MADM

معیار	گزینه	کمیته تصمیم گیران			
		D <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>	.....	D <sub>k</sub>
C <sub>1</sub> (BP)	A <sub>1</sub>	S	VS	.....	MLS
	A <sub>2</sub>	VS	VS	.....	S
	⋮	⋮	⋮	.....	⋮
	A <sub>m</sub>	MLP	F	.....	P
C <sub>2</sub> (P)	A <sub>1</sub>	VS	S	.....	VS
	A <sub>2</sub>	F	F	.....	MLP
	⋮	⋮	⋮	.....	⋮
	A <sub>m</sub>	S	VS	.....	S
C <sub>3</sub> (E)	A <sub>1</sub>	MLS	S	.....	S
	A <sub>2</sub>	P	MLP	.....	MLP
	⋮	⋮	⋮	.....	⋮
	A <sub>m</sub>	MLS	S	.....	MLS
C <sub>4</sub> (Q)	A <sub>1</sub>	VS	VS	.....	S
	A <sub>2</sub>	F	MLS	.....	F
	⋮	⋮	⋮	.....	⋮
	A <sub>m</sub>	S	S	.....	VS
C <sub>5</sub> (M)	A <sub>1</sub>	MLS	S	.....	MLS
	A <sub>2</sub>	F	MLP	.....	MLP
	⋮	⋮	⋮	.....	⋮
	A <sub>m</sub>	VP	P	.....	P
C <sub>6</sub> (T)	A <sub>1</sub>	VS	VS	.....	S
	A <sub>2</sub>	MLP	P	.....	MLP
	⋮	⋮	⋮	.....	⋮
	A <sub>m</sub>	MLS	S	.....	S

( ) P W  
 ( ) ( )  
 [ ] ( ) ( )



Architect of

( ) m (Very High) VH  
 ( )  
 (S)  
 m (VS)  
 ( ) (MLP)

معیار تصمیم گیری	کمیته تصمیم گیران			
	D <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>	.....	D <sub>k</sub>
C <sub>1</sub> (BP)	VH	VH	.....	VH
C <sub>2</sub> (P)	H	VH	.....	H
C <sub>3</sub> (E)	MLH	H	.....	H
C <sub>4</sub> (Q)	MLH	M	.....	MLL
C <sub>5</sub> (M)	M	MLL	.....	L
C <sub>6</sub> (T)	VH	H	.....	H

گزینہ	معیار تصمیم گیری					
	BP	P	E	Q	M	T
	(a <sub>1</sub> , b <sub>1</sub> , c <sub>1</sub> , d <sub>1</sub> )	(a <sub>2</sub> , b <sub>2</sub> , c <sub>2</sub> , d <sub>2</sub> )	(a <sub>3</sub> , b <sub>3</sub> , c <sub>3</sub> , d <sub>3</sub> )	(a <sub>4</sub> , b <sub>4</sub> , c <sub>4</sub> , d <sub>4</sub> )	(a <sub>5</sub> , b <sub>5</sub> , c <sub>5</sub> , d <sub>5</sub> )	(a <sub>6</sub> , b <sub>6</sub> , c <sub>6</sub> , d <sub>6</sub> )
A <sub>1</sub>	(a <sub>11</sub> , b <sub>11</sub> , c <sub>11</sub> , d <sub>11</sub> )	(a <sub>12</sub> , b <sub>12</sub> , c <sub>12</sub> , d <sub>12</sub> )	(a <sub>13</sub> , b <sub>13</sub> , c <sub>13</sub> , d <sub>13</sub> )	(a <sub>14</sub> , b <sub>14</sub> , c <sub>14</sub> , d <sub>14</sub> )	(a <sub>15</sub> , b <sub>15</sub> , c <sub>15</sub> , d <sub>15</sub> )	(a <sub>16</sub> , b <sub>16</sub> , c <sub>16</sub> , d <sub>16</sub> )
A <sub>2</sub>	(a <sub>21</sub> , b <sub>21</sub> , c <sub>21</sub> , d <sub>21</sub> )	(a <sub>22</sub> , b <sub>22</sub> , c <sub>22</sub> , d <sub>22</sub> )	(a <sub>23</sub> , b <sub>23</sub> , c <sub>23</sub> , d <sub>23</sub> )	(a <sub>24</sub> , b <sub>24</sub> , c <sub>24</sub> , d <sub>24</sub> )	(a <sub>25</sub> , b <sub>25</sub> , c <sub>25</sub> , d <sub>25</sub> )	(a <sub>26</sub> , b <sub>26</sub> , c <sub>26</sub> , d <sub>26</sub> )
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
A <sub>m</sub>	(a <sub>m1</sub> , b <sub>m1</sub> , c <sub>m1</sub> , d <sub>m1</sub> )	(a <sub>m2</sub> , b <sub>m2</sub> , c <sub>m2</sub> , d <sub>m2</sub> )	(a <sub>m3</sub> , b <sub>m3</sub> , c <sub>m3</sub> , d <sub>m3</sub> )	(a <sub>m4</sub> , b <sub>m4</sub> , c <sub>m4</sub> , d <sub>m4</sub> )	(a <sub>m5</sub> , b <sub>m5</sub> , c <sub>m5</sub> , d <sub>m5</sub> )	(a <sub>m6</sub> , b <sub>m6</sub> , c <sub>m6</sub> , d <sub>m6</sub> )

$$S_L(A) = 1 - \frac{c}{1+d-c} \quad ( )$$

$$S_R(A) = 1 - \frac{1-b}{1+b-a} \quad ( )$$

$$S_T(A) = [S_R(A) + 1 - S_L(A)] / 2 \quad ( )$$

$$\hat{W}_j = W_j / \sum_{j=1}^n W_j \quad ( )$$

$$\hat{R}_{ij} = R_{ij} / \sum_{i=1}^m R_{ij} \quad ( )$$

$$\hat{R}_j = \min_i R_{ij} / R_{ij} \quad ( )$$

$$\hat{R}_{ij} = R_{ij} / \max_i R_{ij} \quad ( )$$

$$W_j = \frac{1}{k} \otimes [W_{j1} \oplus W_{j2} \oplus \dots \oplus W_{jk}], j=1,2,\dots,n \quad ( )$$

$$R_{ij} = \frac{1}{k} \otimes [R_{ij1} \oplus R_{ij2} \oplus \dots \oplus R_{ijk}] \quad ( )$$

(i = 1, 2, 3, ..., m) (j = 1, 2, 3, ..., n)

(a<sub>j</sub>, b<sub>j</sub>, c<sub>j</sub>, d<sub>j</sub>)

(a<sub>ij</sub>, b<sub>ij</sub>, c<sub>ij</sub>, d<sub>ij</sub>)

(i=1,2,3,...,m), (j=1,2,3,4, 5,6)

(Crisp)

« » (Supp(A) ∈ (0, 1))

گزینہ	معیار تصمیم گیری					
	BP	P	E	Q	M	T
	W <sub>1</sub>	W <sub>2</sub>	W <sub>3</sub>	W <sub>4</sub>	W <sub>5</sub>	W <sub>6</sub>
A <sub>1</sub>	R <sub>11</sub>	R <sub>12</sub>	R <sub>13</sub>	R <sub>14</sub>	R <sub>15</sub>	R <sub>16</sub>
A <sub>2</sub>	R <sub>21</sub>	R <sub>22</sub>	R <sub>23</sub>	R <sub>24</sub>	R <sub>25</sub>	R <sub>26</sub>
⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮	⋮
A <sub>m</sub>	R <sub>m1</sub>	R <sub>m2</sub>	R <sub>m3</sub>	R <sub>m4</sub>	R <sub>m5</sub>	R <sub>m6</sub>

A=(a, b, c, d)


( )

R<sub>ij</sub>

j

i

(i = 1, 2, ..., m), (j = 1, 2, ..., 6) .



SAW

SAW

SAW

( )

R<sub>ij</sub>

j

i

j

W<sub>j</sub>

$$R_i = \sum_{j=1}^n W_j \cdot R_{ij} \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots, m) \quad ( )$$

R<sub>i</sub>

( )

$$R_1 = R_{11}(W_1) + R_{12}(W_2) + R_{13}(W_3) + R_{14}(W_4) + R_{15}(W_5) + R_{16}(W_6)$$

$$R_2 = R_{21}(W_1) + R_{22}(W_2) + R_{23}(W_3) + R_{24}(W_4) + R_{25}(W_5) + R_{26}(W_6)$$

⋮

$$R_n = R_{n1}(W_1) + R_{n2}(W_2) + R_{n3}(W_3) + R_{n4}(W_4) + R_{n5}(W_5) + R_{n6}(W_6)$$

( ) ( )

$$\max \{R_1, R_2, \dots, R_n\}$$

معیار تصمیم گیری	کمیته تصمیم گیران		
	D <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>
C <sub>1</sub> (BP)	VH	VH	VH
C <sub>2</sub> (P)	H	MLH	MLH
C <sub>3</sub> (E)	MLH	H	MLH
C <sub>4</sub> (Q)	M	MLH	MLH
C <sub>5</sub> (M)	MLL	M	M
C <sub>6</sub> (T)	H	MLH	H

(T)

معیار تصمیم گیری	کمیته تصمیم گیران			میانگین فازی
	D <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>	
C <sub>1</sub> (BP)	(0,8,0,9,1,0,1,0)	(0,8,0,9,1,0,1,0)	(0,8,0,9,1,0,1,0)	(0,8,0,9,1,0,1,0)
C <sub>2</sub> (P)	(0,7,0,8,0,8,0,9)	(0,5,0,6,0,7,0,8)	(0,5,0,6,0,7,0,8)	(0,57,0,67,0,73,0,83)
C <sub>3</sub> (E)	(0,5,0,6,0,7,0,8)	(0,7,0,8,0,8,0,9)	(0,5,0,6,0,7,0,8)	(0,57,0,67,0,73,0,83)
C <sub>4</sub> (Q)	(0,4,0,5,0,5,0,6)	(0,5,0,6,0,7,0,8)	(0,5,0,6,0,7,0,8)	(0,47,0,57,0,63,0,73)
C <sub>5</sub> (M)	(0,2,0,3,0,4,0,5)	(0,4,0,5,0,5,0,6)	(0,4,0,5,0,5,0,6)	(0,33,0,43,0,47,0,57)
C <sub>6</sub> (T)	(0,7,0,8,0,8,0,9)	(0,5,0,6,0,7,0,8)	(0,7,0,8,0,8,0,9)	(0,63,0,73,0,77,0,87)

معیار	گزینه	کمیته تصمیم گیران			میانگین فازی
		D <sub>1</sub>	D <sub>2</sub>	D <sub>3</sub>	
C <sub>6</sub> (T)	ژالکه	VP	VP	VP	(0,0,0,0,1,0,2)
	پویاراهیر + هیرید نیرو	MLP	MLP	F	(0,27,0,37,0,43,0,53)
	ماشین سازی اراک	S	S	VS	(0,73,0,83,0,87,0,93)
	پتروپارت	VS	VS	VS	(0,77,0,87,0,93,0,97)
	متک	VS	VS	VS	(0,8,0,9,1,0,1,0)
	مهندسی و ساختمان ماشین سازی اراک	VS	VS	VS	(0,8,0,9,1,0,1,0)
	نصب نیرو	VS	VS	VS	(0,8,0,9,1,0,1,0)
	قرارگاه خاتم الانبیا	S	MLS	S	(0,63,0,73,0,77,0,87)
	آسفالت طوس	F	F	F	(0,4,0,5,0,5,0,6)
	سدید جهان صنعت	F	F	F	(0,4,0,5,0,5,0,6)
	آرون آب	P	P	P	(0,1,0,2,0,2,0,3)
	نیروپارس	P	VP	P	(0,07,0,13,0,17,0,27)
	طرح و بازرسی	P	VP	VP	(0,03,0,07,0,13,0,23)
	پایدار فولاد پیشرو	VP	VP	VP	(0,0,0,0,0,1,0,2)
	خوشاوند	VP	VP	VP	(0,0,0,0,0,1,0,2)
	پارس کیهان	VP	VP	VP	(0,0,0,0,0,1,0,2)
	رادیرا	VP	VP	VP	(0,0,0,0,0,1,0,2)
	قادر نصب + خردکاوه	VP	VP	VP	(0,0,0,0,0,1,0,2)
	طیف شیمی	VP	VP	VP	(0,0,0,0,0,1,0,2)

ردیف	نام شرکت	قیمت پیشنهادی
۱	ژالکه	۷۹,۳۵۱,۳۲۴,۹۰۴
۲	پویاراهیر + هیرید نیرو	۸۷,۴۸۸,۳۱۳,۱۳۴
۳	ماشین سازی اراک	۹۴,۰۷۲,۷۱۷,۶۷۸
۴	پتروپارت	۹۶,۷۱۸,۴۷۰,۷۴۵
۵	متک	۹۹,۰۰۰,۰۰۰,۰۰۰
۶	مهندسی و ساختمان ماشین سازی اراک	۹۹,۷۱۶,۷۵۶,۵۱۴
۷	نصب نیرو	۱۰۱,۳۵۱,۷۶۱,۷۴۷
۸	قرارگاه خاتم الانبیا	۱۰۵,۲۷۷,۵۶۶,۴۱۷
۹	آسفالت طوس	۱۰۸,۷۶۱,۸۲۸,۳۶۸
۱۰	سدید جهان صنعت	۱۰۹,۰۰۰,۰۰۰,۰۰۰
۱۱	آرون آب	۱۱۳,۱۴۲,۵۱۳,۰۰۰
۱۲	نیروپارس	۱۱۴,۹۳۰,۰۰۰,۰۰۰
۱۳	طرح و بازرسی	۱۱۵,۰۰۰,۰۰۰,۰۰۰
۱۴	پایدار فولاد پیشرو	۱۱۷,۲۴۳,۹۵۳,۱۲۰
۱۵	خوشاوند	۱۲۰,۶۸۳,۰۹۵,۱۹۶
۱۶	پارس کیهان	۱۳۸,۷۱۳,۰۸۷,۶۲۵
۱۷	رادیرا	۱۴۳,۸۰۳,۸۰۹,۹۵۵
۱۸	قادر نصب + خردکاوه	۱۴۸,۴۷۹,۸۱۵,۸۵۵
۱۹	طیف شیمی	۱۵۱,۰۰۰,۰۰۰,۰۰۰

(W<sub>j</sub>)(R<sub>ij</sub>)

( )

( ) ( )

( )



ردیف	گزینه	معیار تصمیم گیری					
		BP (0.8,0.9,1.0,1.0)	P (0.57,0.67,0.73,0.83)	E (0.57,0.67,0.73,0.83)	Q (0.47,0.57,0.63,0.73)	M (0.33,0.43,0.47,0.57)	T (0.63,0.73,0.77,0.87)
۱	ژالکه	(0.0,0.0,0.1,0.2)	(0.8,0.9,1.0,1.0)	(0.57,0.67,0.73,0.83)	(0.8,0.9,1.0,1.0)	(0.73,0.83,0.87,0.93)	(0.33,0.43,0.47,0.57)
۲	پویا راهبر + هیوند نیرو	(0.27,0.37,0.43,0.53)	(0.07,0.13,0.17,0.27)	(0.63,0.73,0.77,0.87)	(0.47,0.57,0.63,0.73)	(0.77,0.87,0.93,0.97)	(0.43,0.53,0.57,0.67)
۳	ماشین سازی اراک	(0.73,0.83,0.87,0.93)	(0.8,0.9,1.0,1.0)	(0.63,0.73,0.77,0.87)	(0.73,0.83,0.87,0.93)	(0.63,0.73,0.77,0.87)	(0.63,0.73,0.77,0.87)
۴	پتروپارت	(0.77,0.87,0.93,0.97)	(0.33,0.43,0.47,0.57)	(0.8,0.9,1.0,1.0)	(0.47,0.57,0.63,0.73)	(0.8,0.9,1.0,1.0)	(0.7,0.8,0.8,0.9)
۵	متک	(0.8,0.9,1.0,1.0)	(0.4,0.5,0.5,0.6)	(0.8,0.9,1.0,1.0)	(0.8,0.9,1.0,1.0)	(0.33,0.43,0.47,0.57)	(0.33,0.43,0.47,0.57)
۶	مهندسی و ساختمان ماشین سازی اراک	(0.8,0.9,1.0,1.0)	(0.47,0.57,0.63,0.73)	(0.43,0.53,0.57,0.67)	(0.47,0.57,0.63,0.73)	(0.57,0.67,0.73,0.83)	(0.63,0.73,0.77,0.87)
۷	نصب نیرو	(0.8,0.9,1.0,1.0)	(0.5,0.6,0.7,0.8)	(0.5,0.6,0.7,0.8)	(0.47,0.57,0.63,0.73)	(0.63,0.73,0.77,0.87)	(0.57,0.67,0.73,0.83)
۸	قرارگاه خاتم الانبیا	(0.63,0.73,0.77,0.87)	(0.8,0.9,1.0,1.0)	(0.8,0.9,1.0,1.0)	(0.13,0.23,0.27,0.37)	(0.7,0.8,0.8,0.9)	(0.57,0.67,0.73,0.83)
۹	آسفالت طوس	(0.4,0.5,0.5,0.6)	(0.8,0.9,1.0,1.0)	(0.8,0.9,1.0,1.0)	(0.8,0.9,1.0,1.0)	(0.8,0.9,1.0,1.0)	(0.73,0.83,0.87,0.93)
۱۰	سدید جهان صنعت	(0.4,0.5,0.5,0.6)	(0.0,0.0,0.1,0.2)	(0.8,0.9,1.0,1.0)	(0.8,0.9,1.0,1.0)	(0.77,0.87,0.93,0.97)	(0.47,0.57,0.63,0.73)
۱۱	آرون آب	(0.1,0.2,0.2,0.3)	(0.03,0.07,0.13,0.23)	(0.8,0.9,1.0,1.0)	(0.47,0.57,0.63,0.73)	(0.7,0.8,0.8,0.9)	(0.27,0.37,0.43,0.53)
۱۲	نیوپارس	(0.07,0.13,0.17,0.27)	(0.8,0.9,1.0,1.0)	(0.7,0.8,0.8,0.9)	(0.07,0.13,0.17,0.27)	(0.77,0.87,0.93,0.97)	(0.57,0.67,0.73,0.83)
۱۳	طرح و بازرسی	(0.03,0.07,0.13,0.23)	(0.13,0.23,0.27,0.37)	(0.8,0.9,1.0,1.0)	(0.8,0.9,1.0,1.0)	(0.77,0.87,0.93,0.97)	(0.4,0.5,0.5,0.6)
۱۴	پایدار پولاد پیشرو	(0.0, 0.0, 0.1,0.2)	(0.5,0.6,0.7,0.8)	(0.5,0.6,0.7,0.8)	(0.47,0.57,0.63,0.73)	(0.57,0.67,0.73,0.83)	(0.47,0.57,0.63,0.73)
۱۵	خوشاوند	(0.0, 0.0, 0.1,0.2)	(0.03,0.07,0.13,0.23)	(0.7,0.8,0.8,0.9)	(0.47,0.57,0.63,0.73)	(0.73,0.83,0.87,0.93)	(0.43,0.53,0.57,0.67)
۱۶	پارس کیهان	(0.0, 0.0, 0.1,0.2)	(0.43,0.53,0.57,0.67)	(0.77,0.87,0.93,0.97)	(0.47,0.57,0.63,0.73)	(0.7,0.8,0.8,0.9)	(0.7,0.8,0.8,0.9)
۱۷	رادیرا	(0.0, 0.0, 0.1,0.2)	(0.8,0.9,1.0,1.0)	(0.7,0.8,0.8,0.9)	(0.07,0.13,0.17,0.27)	(0.27,0.37,0.43,0.53)	(0.47,0.57,0.63,0.73)
۱۸	قادر نصب + خردکاو	(0.0, 0.0, 0.1,0.2)	(0.03,0.07,0.13,0.23)	(0.7,0.8,0.8,0.9)	(0.8,0.9,1.0,1.0)	(0.63,0.73,0.77,0.87)	(0.27,0.37,0.43,0.53)
۱۹	طیف شیمی	(0.0, 0.0, 0.1,0.2)	(0.07,0.13,0.17,0.27)	(0.77,0.87,0.93,0.97)	(0.07,0.13,0.17,0.27)	(0.77,0.87,0.93,0.97)	(0.2,0.3,0.4,0.5)

ALTERNATIVE	WEIGHT	C1(BP)	C2(P)	C3(E)	C4(Q)	C5(M)	C6(T)	R	RANK
		0.23	0.17	0.17	0.14	0.11	0.18		
1	ژالکه	0.00597	1	0.714136	0.079155	0.056498	0.546218	R1: 0.40839	9
2	پویا راهبر	0.05422	0.174869	0.761257	0.048985	0.060228	0.654262	R2: 0.30286	15
3	ماشین سازی اراک	0.11043	1	0.761257	0.069043	0.049308	0.872749	R3: 0.497	3
4	پتروپارت	0.11773	0.47644	1	0.048985	0.064772	0.927971	R4: 0.45909	5
5	متک	0.12661	0.52356	1	0.079155	0.03086	0.546218	R5: 0.40092	10
6	نصب و ساختمان ماشین سازی اراک	0.12661	0.618848	0.570681	0.048985	0.046256	0.872749	R6: 0.40038	11
7	نصب نیرو	0.12661	0.665969	0.665969	0.048985	0.049308	0.818727	R7: 0.4152	8
8	قرارگاه خاتم	0.09638	1	1	0.022627	0.052428	0.818727	R8: 0.51847	2
9	آسفالت طوس	0.06629	1	1	0.079155	0.064772	1	R9: 0.55345	1
10	سدید جهان صنعت	0.06629	0.04712	1	0.079155	0.060228	0.709484	R10: 0.33867	14
11	آرون آب	0.03009	0.117277	1	0.048985	0.052428	0.490996	R11: 0.29786	16
12	نیوپارس	0.02214	1	0.809424	0.013842	0.060228	0.818727	R12: 0.46863	4
13	طرح و بازرسی	0.01485	0.285864	1	0.079155	0.060228	0.60024	R13: 0.34776	13
14	پایدار پولاد پیشرو	0.00597	0.665969	0.665969	0.048985	0.046256	0.709484	R14: 0.36745	12
15	خوشاوند	0.00597	0.117277	0.809424	0.048985	0.056498	0.654262	R15: 0.28975	17
16	پارس کیهان	0.00597	0.570681	0.929843	0.048985	0.052428	0.927971	R16: 0.43612	7
17	رادیرا	0.00597	1	0.809424	0.013842	0.02774	0.709484	R17: 0.44167	6
18	قادر نصب خردکاو	0.00597	0.117277	0.809424	0.079155	0.049308	0.490996	R18: 0.2638	19
19	طیف شیمی	0.00597	0.174869	0.929843	0.013842	0.060228	0.436975	R19: 0.27639	18

( )

( )

( )

( )

( ) ( )

( ) ( )

( )

( ) Q ( ) M

(P) (E)

P MLP

P ( 0.13,0.23,0.27,0.37)

(F) « »

F MLP MLP (0.27,0.37,0.43,0.53)

« » « »

( )

Q

(T) ( )

(VS S S) (0.73,0.83,0.87,0.93)

(T)

(VS VS S)

بدینوسیله نویسندگان این مقاله از پشتیبانی مالی دانشگاه تهران، پردیس دانشکده های فنی به شماره پرونده ۸۱۰۸۰۲۳/۱/۰۳ در انجام مطالعه فوق تشکر و قدردانی می نمایند.

- 1- Holt, G. D., Olomolaiye, P. O. and Harris, F. C. (1993). "A conceptual alternative to current tendering practice." *Building Research and Information*, Vol. 21, No. 3, PP. 167-172.
- 2 - Holt, G. D., Olomolaiye, P. O. and Harris, F. C. (1994a). "Evaluating performance potential in the selection of construction contractors." *Engineering Construction and Architectural Management*, Vol. 1, No. I. PP. 29-50.
- 3 - Holt, G. D., Olomolaiye, P. O. and Harris, F. C. (1994b). "Incorporating project specific criteria and client utility, into the evaluation of construction tenderers." *Building Research and Information*, Vol. 22, No. 4, PP. 214-221.
- 4 - Holt, G. D., Olomolaiye, P. O. and Harris, F. C. (1994d). "Evaluating prequalification criteria in contractor selection." *Building and Environment*, Vol. 29, No. 4, PP. 437-448.
- 5 - Holt, G. D., Olomolaiye, P. O. and Harris, F. C. (1994e). "A generic approach to the selection of construction contractors." *Final report of a research sponsored by the Leverhulme Trust*, School of Construction, Engineering and Technology, University of Wolverhampton, UK.
- 6 - Holt, G. D., Olomolaiye, P. O. and Harris, F. C. (1995a). "Applying multi-attribute analysis to contractor selection decisions." *European Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, Vol. 1, No. 3, PP. 139-148.
- 7 - Holt, G. D. (1996). "Applying cluster analysis to contractor classification." *Building and Environment*, Vol. 31, No. 6, PP. 557-568.

- 
- 8 - Holt, G. D., Olomolaiye, P. O. and Harris, F. C. (1994c). "Factors influencing UK construction clients' choice of contractor." *Building and Environment*, Vol. 29, No. 2, PP. 241-248.
  - 9 - Holt, G. D., Olomolaiye, P. O. and Harris, F. C. (1995b). "A review of contractor selection practice in the UK construction industry." *Building and Environment*, Vol. 30, No. 4, PP. 553-561.
  - 10 - Holt, G. D. (1998). "Which contractor selection methodology." *International Journal of Project Management*, Vol. 16, No. 3, PP. 153-164.
  - 11 - Russell, J. S. (1988). *A knowledge based system approach to the contractor prequalification process*. Ph.D. thesis, Purdue University, West Lafayette.
  - 12 - Russell, J. S. and Skibniewski, M. J. (1988). "Decision criteria in contractor prequalification." *Journal of Management in Engineering*, Vol. 4, No. 2, PP. 148-164.
  - 13 - Russell, J. S. and Ahmad, I. (1989). "A PERT approach to contractor prequalification analysis." *Thirty fourth Annual Association of Cost Engineers*, Boston.
  - 14 - Russell, J. S., (1990). "Model for owner prequalification of contractors." *Journal of Management in Engineering*, Vol. 6, No. 1, PP. 59-75.
  - 15 - Russell, J. S. and Skibniewski, M. J. (1990a). "QUALIFIER-I: contractor prequalification model." *Journal of Computing in Civil Engineering*, Vol. 4, No. 1, PP. 77-90.
  - 16 - Russell, J. S., Skibniewski, M. J. and Cozier, D. R. (1990b). "QUALIFIER-2: knowledge based system for contractor prequalification." *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, Vol. 116, No. 1, PP. 155-169.
  - 17 - Russell, J. S., Hancher, D. E. and Skibniewski, M. J. (1992a). "contractor prequalification data for contraction owners." *Construction Management and Economics*, Vol. 10, No. 2, PP. 117-135.
  - 18 - Russell, J. S. (1992). "Decision models for analysis and evaluation of construction contractors." *Construction Management and Economics*, Vol. 10, PP. 185-202.
  - 19 - Russell, J. S. and Jaleskis, M. (1992b). "Quantitative study of contractor evaluation programs and their impact." *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, Vol. 118, No. 3, PP. 612-624.
  - 20 - Russell, J. S. and Skibniewski, M. J. (1987). "A structured approach to the contractor prequalification process in the U.S.A." *In Proceedings of the CIB-SB1 4th International Symposium*.
  - 21 - Drew, D. and Skitmore, R. (1993). "Prequalification and C-competitiveness." *Omega*, Vol. 21, No. 3, PP. 363-375.
  - 22 - Kumaraswamy, M. M. (1996). "Contractor evaluation and selection: a Hong Kong perspective." *Building and Environment*, Vol. 31, No. 3, PP. 273-282.
  - 23 - Palaneeswaran, E. and Kumaraswamy, M. (2001). "Recent advances and proposed improvements in contractor prequalification methodologies." *Building and Environment*, Vol. 36, No. 1, PP. 73-87.
  - 24 - Tam, C. M. (1993). *Discriminant analysis model for predicting contractor performance in Hong Kong*. Unpub. Ph.D. thesis, Dept. of Building and Construction, City Polytechnic of Hong Kong.
  - 25 - Chau, C. K. Sing, W. L. and Leung, T. M. (2003). "An analysis on the HVAC maintenance contractors selection process." *Building and Environment*, Vol. 38, PP. 583-591.
  - 26 - Bubshait, A. A. and Al-Gobali, K. H. (1996). "Contractor prequalification in Saudi Arabia." *Journal of Management in Engineering*, Vol. 12, No. 2, PP. 50-54.
-

- 
- 27 - Ling, F. Y. Y. and Liu, M. (2005). "Factors considered by successful and profitable contractors in mark-upsize decision in Singapore." *Building and Environment*, Vol. 40, PP. 1557-1565.
- 28 - Topcu, Y. I. (2004). "A decision model proposal for construction contractor selection in Turkey." *Building and Environment*, Vol. 39, PP. 469-481.
- 29 - Wang, W-C., Wang, H-H., Lai Y-T. and Li, J. C-C. (2006). "Unit-price-based model for evaluating competitive bids." *International Journal of Project Management*, Vol. 24, PP. 156-166.
- 30 - Sonmez, M., Holt, G. D., Yang, J. B. and Graham, G. (2002). "Applying Evidential Reasoning to Prequalifying Construction Contractors." *Journal of Management in Engineering*, Vol. 18, No. 3, PP.111-119.
- 31 - Sonmez, M. (2006). *A Review and Critique of Supplier Selection Process and Practices*. Business School Occasional Papers.
- 32 - Nguyen, V. U. (1985). "Tender evaluation by fuzzy sets." *Journal of Construction Engineering and Management*, Vol. 111, No. 3, PP. 231-243.
- 33 - Seydel, J. and Olson, D. L. (2001). "Multicriteria support for construction bidding." *Mathematical and Computer Modelling*, Vol. 34, No. 5-6, PP. 677-701.
- 34 - Vorster, M. (1977). "When the lowest tender isn't the lowest." *Journal of Construction in South Africa*, February, PP. 37-43.
- 35 - Strategic Planning and Control Organization, (2002). *Regulations for Classification and determination of qualification of contractors*, Tehran, Iran.
- 36 - Islamic Iran Parliament, (2004). *Tenders Regulations*, Tehran, Iran.
- 37 - Sasan Neghad A. and Khajeh Moghadam, M. (2002). *Rules and Regulations related to Building, Contractors, and Consultants Corporations*, Nakhle Danesh Publication, PP. 693-710, Tehran, Iran.
- 38 - Ettihad, A. (2004). *Knowledge about Vocation Contractors*, Behineh Publication, Tehran, Iran.
- 39 - Chen, C. T., Lin, C. T. and Huang, S. F. (2005). "A fuzzy approach for supplier evaluation and selection in supply chain management." *International Journal of Production Economics*, Article in Press.
- 40 - Chen, C. B. and Klein, C. M. (1997). "An efficient approach to solving fuzzy MADM problems." *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, Vol. 88, No. 1, PP. 51-67.

- 1 - Fuzzy MADM  
2 - Ranking  
3 - Bespoke Approaches  
4 - Multi-Attribute Analysis  
5 - Multi-Attribute Utility Theory  
6 - Multi Regression  
7 - Cluster Analysis  
8 - Fuzzy Set Theory  
9 - Multivariate Discriminate Analysis  
10 - Defuzzifying (Defuzzification)